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sacrificed twice a day for the emperor and the Roman people, Eleazar son of the high priest, a most daring youth, who at that time commanded in the temple, persuaded the officiating priests not to admit the gift or sacrifice of any foreigner. This laid the foundation of the Roman war; as they rejected Caesar's sacrifice for the Romans.

Upon this began a dissension between the peaceful and seditious Jews. They occupied different parts of the city, and there was much mutual slaughter for seven days; but on the eighth day the seditious were superior, and committed great devastation. After this victory they besieged Antonia, took it in two days, destroyed the garrison, and burnt the fortress.

At this period Manaim, son of Judas the Galilean, headed an *insurrection*, armed his followers from Herod's armoury at Masada, besieged Jerusalem, made a truce with the Jewish party attached to the Romans, and suffered them to depart the city. Upon this the Roman soldiers quitted their camp, and retired to three of the strong towers with which Jerusalem was fortified. Their camp was burnt by Manaim, and such as loitered behind were destroyed.

Manaim conducted himself with such regal loftiness, that he was attacked by Eleazar as he was pompously worshipping in the temple; his adherents were put to flight and slaughtered; and he himself was dragged from a disgraceful lurking hole, and miserably perished in the midst of tortures.

*The Jews who had taken arms continued the insur-
PREFACE.

The following work is designed to assist speculative inquiry and pious meditation: it proposes to the lover of truth and goodness the doctrines of Christ in their native simplicity; and his character, as it arises from facts recorded by the evangelists: it states those evidences for our Lord's divine mission to which he himself appealed: and it contains a discussion of many difficulties relating both to the phraseology and to the subject-matter of the gospel history.

My quotations from the evangelical writers are large; and the distribution of my subject requires that the same passage should be placed in different lights. My reader will hence derive the benefit of reviewing, in a great measure, those inestimable writings which furnish my materials; and of thus becoming more conversant with the most important part of the most important book. Though in these extracts I have made the English version my groundwork, I have freely departed from it; not indeed with the strict and uniform attention of a translator, but as it occasionally seemed to admit of improvement. For notwithstanding its intrinsic merit, which we cannot but think extraordinary when we consider the age in which it was produced, every competent judge will acknowledge that
a sober and accurate revisal of it would essentially
serve the cause of religion; as it would facilitate
and recommend a perusal and study of the scrip-
tures, many parts of which at present abound with
invincible difficulties to the English reader.

It should be constantly recollected that the doc-
trines and precepts which our Lord himself de-
ivered do not constitute the whole of what his reli-
gion teaches on any particular subject. It is true,
that to every serious Christian they must appear
peculiarly authoritative and affecting: but the words
of Christ's inspired disciples rest on the same di-
vine authority with his own: and the discoveries of
God's perfections, and the general lessons of reli-
gion and morality, which occur in the Hebrew scrip-
tures, are parts of that grand system which Chris-
tianity invites all mankind to embrace.

In the prosecution of my present subject many
learned and excellent men have gone before me.
The industry of some has comprehended the whole
of our Lord's history; while the object of others
has coincided with my own, in exhibiting to their
readers only select parts.

Bishop Taylor's "Exemplar of Sanctity in the
History of Christ" is a pious, eloquent, and learned
work*: but, notwithstanding much weighty instruc-
tion, and occasional emanations of a sublime genius,
its diffuse and digressive manner is alone sufficient
to disgust readers of no very fastidious taste.

The sketches of our Lord's life by Dupinb, Cal-

---

*a This book has gone through
many editions.  

*b The Life of our blessed Sa-
viour Jesus Christ, &c. Written
PREFACE.

met, Tillemont, Le Clerc, Lenfant and Beausobre, the Abbé de St. Real, and Bailey, are recitals, paraphrases, or abridgments of the four Gospels; and they furnish explications of the text, sometimes interwoven with the narration, and sometimes subjoined in notes.

Stackhouse's History of the Bible contains a copious and useful life of Christ, with dissertations, notes, and replies to objections.

Dr. Benson's Life of Christ consists of discourses or dissertations on important subjects or difficult passages in the Gospels; but death prevented the laborious, learned, and judicious author from perfecting his comprehensive design. There is an excellent remark in the Introduction to this work; “That if the several hints of things of the like kind (which occur in the Gospels) be faithfully collected together, and considered in one view, they give such a light and lustre to one another, as to make the life of Christ appear to amazing advantage.” This author's method, of reducing under distinct heads detached and similar circumstances in our Lord's history, is like collecting scattered rays to a

in French by the learned L. E. du Pin, and Englished by a divine of the church of England, with additions. London. 1711. 8vo.

c Prefixed to his Commentary on the Gospels.
e Historia Ecclesiastica. 4°. Amst. 1716.
g Oeuvres; tome sec. À Paris, 1730.
h The Life of Jesus, as collected by Caleb Bailey, esq. 1732. The narration is a compound text of the four Evangelists, in the words of the English version.
luminous and forcible point. The first intimation of pursuing it was given, I think, by Sir Isaac Newton in his Observations on Daniel; where he well illustrates the manner in which our Lord borrowed his images and language from present objects. Doctor Benson has extended it to several other particulars. It has been carried somewhat further in the subsequent work; which I am conscious will also be found a defective attempt, both in general heads and inductions of particulars: for the plain and concise Gospels are full of deep and curious matter, not to be exhausted by the industry and attention of ages.

In Bishop Law's "Reflections on the Life and Character of Christ," there is a series of excellent observations comprised in a narrow compass; and references are made to various authors, who have enlarged on many topics which are only pointed out by this eminent writer.

Doctor Craig proposes "to give a single and connected view of Christ's whole character at once;" and "chiefly to consider those events in the history of the Gospel by which he bore witness of himself, and manifested the peculiar dignity of his character." He premises "a short account of those extraordinary interpositions of Providence by which his heavenly Father bore witness of him." This is a concise, elegant, and able performance.

Dr. Hunter professes "not to make the meaning

---

\footnotesize
\textsuperscript{m} Note, p. 148.
\textsuperscript{n} Considerations on the Theory of Religion, &c. Cambridge. 1765. ed. 5. 8vo.
\textsuperscript{o} See the preface to an Essay on the Life of Jesus Christ. Glasgow. 1769. 12°.
of words or of difficult passages the subject of inquiry." His agreeable and instructive work is adapted to all capacities, and methodically comprehends many ingenious and interesting remarks.

Doctor Harwood wrote his "Delineation of the Life and Character of Jesus Christ" "with an express design to promote the interests of practical religion," and "professedly calculated it for the use of masters and mistresses of families, and for the benefit of young persons." This treatise is recommended by a judicious selection of subjects, a strain of piety, a warmth of imagination, and a copiousness of style.

It would be tedious to mention the many detached discourses which coincide with my general design. But I cannot omit Bishop Bradford's, Archbishop Tillotson's, and Doctor James Foster's Sermons on the Example of Christ.

A diligent attention to our Lord's discourses and actions has been highly satisfactory and delightful to me. The life of Jesus is a most instructive, a most interesting, and a most important subject. The Deity, when we contemplate his discoveries of himself in the works of creation, cannot be suffi-

---


2 Preface, p. vi. London. 1772. Svo. Printed for T. Becket. There is a History of Jesus by William Smith, M.A. 12°. London, 1703. I have not seen it; but I am assured that it contains useful matter and historical knowledge; though it is not uniformly judi-

---

3 Boyle's Lectures, fol. 1, 481, &c.
ciently admired and adored. But in the Gospels we see him, as it were, face to face; we seem to converse with him, as a man with his friend; and we behold his perfections as vividly represented in the person of Jesus Christ as the limited capacity of human nature admits.

It is my earnest wish and prayer, that by a more general cultivation of biblical criticism, the lovers of the scriptures may better understand and more deeply admire them; and that those who neglect a due examination of them, or who deny their authority, may be convinced of their importance, and may discover the signatures of truth stampt on them. My ardent love and admiration of these divine writings lead me to conclude, that they cannot be seriously and carefully read without pleasure and conviction. I lament that they are impiously interdicted to a large body of Christians; that they are so much disregarded, and of course misunderstood, by the bulk of Protestants among ourselves; that many of our clergy, unmindful of the solemn engagement at their ordination, do not devote their time to the study of them; and that, while human learning is making a rapid progress in its various branches, the religion of Christ is almost every where overwhelmed by human formularies and systems. Christianity can never have its free course among men of improved understandings, and even among rational creatures in general, while gross misrepresentations of it are substituted in the place of the simple and perfect original.
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PART I.

OBSERVATIONS

ON

OUR LORD'S CONDUCT

AS

A DIVINE INSTRUCTOR.

CHAPTER I.

ON THE MATTER OF OUR LORD'S INSTRUCTIONS.

SECT. I.—What our Lord teaches of God the Father.

Our Lord represents the Deity as adorable and amiable in the highest degree. He is the most High, Luk. vi. 35. and Lord of heaven and earth; heaven is his throne, Mat. xi. 25. and earth his footstool; he is the one Jehovah, and the only true God; he is a spirit, whom no man hath seen at any time; he hath life in himself; he seeth in secret; he knoweth the heart; and with him all things are possible.

He arrayeth the herb of the field in more than regal glory; he feedeth the fowls of the air; and without him not a sparrow falleth to the ground. Much more does his providence extend to man: yea, the very 30. x. 31.

*Matt. x. 29. καὶ ὁ ἄνθρωπος, cadere solet: according to a known force of the Hebrew future.
What our Lord teaches of God the Father.

Mat. x. 30. hairs of our head are all numbered, and when he vouchsafes protection, there shall not one perish.\(^b\)

Mat. x. 18. There is none good but God; who “so loved the world as to give his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life.” He is also righteous, holy, kind to the unthankful and evil, perfect in mercy, forgiving to the merciful, and inexorable to those who withhold pardon and compassion from others.

Jo. xvi. 25. He loveth those who observe our Lord’s precepts: he adopteth the peacemakers for his sons: he abhorreth the lofty and ostentatious appearance which men admire: he avengeth his faithful servants on their persecutors: it is not his will that any should perish: the pure in heart shall hereafter see him: and of him shall the good be eternally blessed, and the wicked eternally punished.

But the image which perpetually occurs throughout the Gospels, and under which our Lord delights to mention God, is that of our heavenly Father; who “maketh his sun to rise on the evil and on the good, sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust,” and is

Luk. vi. 35. “kind to the unthankful and to the evil:” who compassionates and embraces the returning sinner with the bowels of a most affectionate father; nay, who is actuated by a stronger principle than natural affection: for “if we, being evil\(^c\), know how to give good gifts unto our children, how much more shall our Father, who is in heaven, give good things to them that ask him?”

Mat. x. 28. Such is the God whom we should fear, because he

\(^b\) Luke xxii. 18. That complete deliverance is thus proverbially expressed, see 1 Sam. xiv. 45; 2 Sam. xiv. 11; 1 Kings i. 52; Acts xxvii. 34.

\(^c\) Matt. vii. 11. Not absolutely evil; for God has implanted many good principles in the human mind; but comparatively so; subject to infirmities, passions, and the power of bad habits.
is able to destroy both soul and body in hell; whom we should obey after the manner of the angels in \textit{Mat. vi. 10.} heaven; from whom we should seek our reward; to \textit{Mat. vi. 1.} whose will we should wholly resign ourselves; and who \textit{Mat. xxvi. 39. iv. 10.} \textit{iv. 23. xvi. 23.} \textit{Mat. v. 48.} \textit{Luk. xi. 42.} \textit{Mat. xxii. 37.} is the sole object of our worship, service, and prayer, and the highest and best object of our imitation and love.

\textbf{SECT. II.---What our Lord teaches of his own nature and office.}

Our Lord sometimes calls himself \textit{the Son of man}; \textit{Mat. xxv.} and sometimes eminently \textit{the Son}, in contradistinction \textit{Jo. v. 27, &c.} to the Father, or to the Father and the Holy Spirit; \textit{Mar. xiii.} and with one or both of these he frequently joins \textit{Jo. v. 25.} himself in the passages where this appellation occurs: \textit{ix. 35. x. 36.} \textit{only begotten Son}\textsuperscript{d}. Of himself he further asserts, \textit{xi. 4, &c.} that God loved him before the foundation of the \textit{Jo. xvii. 4.} world; that he had a glory with the Father before the \textit{Jo. xvi. 5.} world was; that he spake what he had seen with his \textit{Jo. viii. 38.} Father, whom no man had seen but himself alone; that \textit{Jo. vi. 46.} he came down from heaven to do the will of him \textit{Jo. vi. 38.} that sent him; that he came forth from the Father \textit{Jo. xvi. 8.} \textit{and came into the world, and was to leave the world and go to the Father; that he should be seen ascend- Jo. vi. 62. ing up where he was before: and, because the Jews cavilled when he observed that Abraham saw his day with joy, he adds, "Before Abraham was, I am." \textit{It is Jo. viii. 58.} true that he calls himself a man; but this no more \textit{Jo. viii. 40.} excludes his divine nature, than the application of that term to angels excludes their angelic nature. He \textit{Luk.xxiv.4.} \textit{Act. i. 10.} \textit{Jo. iv. 26.} \textit{Mar.xiv.62.} \textit{Mat. xi. 9, 11.} affirms that he was the Christ; that his forerunner was more than a prophet, and yet that the least in the evangelical kingdom was greater than he; that he had

\textsuperscript{d} \textit{John iii. 16, 18. Hebr. יְהוָֹא which, by a metonymy of the effect,} \textit{translate ἰδίως.}
power on earth to forgive sins; that the works which
he did in his Father's name bare witness of him; that
his prophecies might lead his disciples to believe in
him; that all things must be fulfilled which were
written in the law of Moses, and in the prophets, and
in the psalms, concerning him; that the Father had
sanctified him, and sent him into the world; that the
words which he spake, he spake not of himself, but as
his Father taught and commanded him; that whoever
despised him despised the Father who sent him; that
he was the Saviour of the world, the way, the truth,
the resurrection, and the life; that no man came to
the Father but by him; that he and the Father were
one; that all judgment was committed unto him, to
the end that all might honour the Son even as they
honour the Father; that all things were delivered to
him by the Father, and that none knew the Son but
the Father: he commands that his disciples should be
baptized into his name, together with that of the
Father and of the Holy Spirit: he declares that he
shall sit on the throne of his glory, and all his holy
angels with him, and that before him, as King and
Judge, shall all nations be gathered: and one of his
latest assurances to his disciples is, that all power was
given unto him in heaven and in earth.


Our Lord represents God as prompted by a more
than paternal affection to give the Holy Spirit to them
that ask him; he says that he cast out demons by the
Spirit of God; that blasphemy against the Holy Spirit
was an irremissible crime; that he would not leave his
disciples in an orphan state, but would pray the Father,
who should give them another Advocate, even the
Spirit of truth, which proceeded from the Father, and
and of his own manifestation in the flesh.

should testify of our Lord's divine mission; that this Holy Spirit should speak in the disciples when they were delivered up to councils, rulers, and kings; that the same heavenly Paraclete and Guide should teach the disciples all things, should lead them into all the truth, and bring all things to their remembrance whatsoever our Lord had said unto them; that he should show them things to come; that he should glorify our Lord, for he should receive of his and show it unto them, all things being his which the Father had; and that into the name of the Holy Spirit, together with that of the Father and of the Son, all should be baptized.

Sect. IV.—What our Lord teaches of his own manifestation in the flesh, and of the ends for which he died.

The design of our Lord's incarnation and death is thus represented by him. He came not to destroy the law, but to fulfil it. He came not to call the righteous, but sinners to repentance. The Son of man came to seek and to save that which was lost. He laid down his life, that he might take it again. He came not to judge the world, but to save it. God sent him into the world, that the world through him might be saved: and that whosoever believed in him should have everlasting life. He was the good Shepherd, who gave his life for the sheep. For the sake of his disciples he sanctified himself, that they also might be sanctified through the truth. That the world might know that he loved the Father, and as the Father gave him commandment, even so he did. He came to give his life a ransom for many. His blood was shed for many, for the remission of sins.
What our Lord teaches of the life to come, and the general conditions of the gospel covenant.

Concerning the future invisible state, and the terms of our final acceptance or rejection, our Lord has thus instructed his followers: "Believe in God; believe also in me." "This is everlasting life, to know the only true God, and him whom he hath sent, even Jesus Christ." "He that believeth, and is baptized, shall be saved; but he that believeth not shall be condemned." "God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life." "Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that believeth on me hath everlasting life." "Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that heareth my word, and believeth on him that sent me, hath everlasting life; and shall not come into condemnation, but passeth from death unto life." "I am the resurrection and the life: he that believeth on me, though he die" on earth, "yet shall he live" hereafter: "and whosoever liveth" in the future state "and believeth in me shall never die." "By thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be condemned:" they being capable of merit and demerit, as well as thy thoughts and actions. "Blessed are they that hear the word of God, and keep it." "Blessed are the merciful; for they shall obtain mercy." "Blessed are the pure in heart; for they shall see God." "Judge not, and ye shall not be judged: condemn not, and ye shall not be condemned: forgive, and ye shall be forgiven." "But if ye forgive not men their trespasses, neither will your Father forgive your trespasses." "If

---

* John xvii. 3. The words Θρησκευόμενος Χριστών seem a marginal note crept into the text; though they occur in all the MSS. and versions.

f Mark xvi. 16; i.e. shall be in a state of salvation, and of condemnation.
and of the conditions of the gospel covenant.

ye know these things, happy are ye if ye do them.”
“Ye are my friends, if ye do whatsoever I command Jo. xv. 14.
you.” “If ye love me, keep my commandments.” “Why Jo. xiv. 15.
call ye me, Lord, Lord, and do not the things which Luk. vi. 46.
i say?” “Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Mat. vii. 21.
Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he Mat. xix.
that doeth the will of my Father who is in heaven.”
“If thou wilt enter into life, keep the command- 17.
ments.” Love God and thy neighbour, and thou shalt Luk. x. 27,
live. “Depart from me, all ye workers of iniquity.” xii. 27.
“Depart from me, ye cursed, into everlasting fire, Mat. xxv.
prepared for the devil and his angels: for I was an a
hungred, and ye gave no meat; I was thirsty, and a
ye gave me no drink; I was a stranger, and ye took a
me not in; naked, and ye clothed me not; sick, and a
in prison, and ye visited me not.—Inasmuch as ye did a
it not to one of the least of these my brethren, ye a
did it not to me.” “In the end of the world, the Son Mat. xiii.
of man shall send forth his angels, and they shall a
gather out of his kingdom all that cause offence” at the a
gospel, “and them that do iniquity;—they shall sever a
the wicked from among the just, and shall cast them into a furnace of fire: there shall be wailing and gnashing a
of teeth. Then shall the righteous shine forth as the a
sun in the kingdom of their Father.” “They who shall Luk. xx.
be accounted worthy to obtain that world, and the a
resurrection from the dead, cannot die any more; for a
they are equal unto the angels; and are the children a
of God, being the children of the resurrection.” “The Jo. v. 38,
hour is coming, in which all that are in the graves a
shall hear the voice of the Son of God, and shall a
come forth; they that have done good, unto the re-
surrection of life; and they that have done evil, unto a
the resurrection of condemnation.” “My sheep hear Jo.x.27,28.
my voice,—and I give unto them everlasting life; and a
they shall never perish, neither shall any pluck them out of my hand.” “In my Father’s house are many mansions.” “The Son of man shall come in the glory of his Father with his angels; and then shall he re-
ward every man according to his works.” Some shall receive the reward of a prophet, and others the reward of a righteous man. It shall be more tolerable in the day of judgment for some cities which had less advantages of religious knowledge, than for those which enjoyed greater. Some shall be beaten with many stripes; others, with few: some shall be made rulers over ten cities; others, over five: the faithful and wise steward shall be made ruler over all that his lord hath: the tares shall be gathered together to burn, but the wheat into the garner. “The King shall say to them on his right hand, Come, ye blessed of my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world. For I was an hungred, and ye gave me meat; I was thirsty, and ye gave me drink; I was a stranger, and ye took me in; naked, and ye clothed me; I was sick, and ye visited me; I was in prison, and ye came unto me,—Inasmuch as ye did it unto one of the least of these my brethren, ye did it unto me. And the wicked and merciless shall go away into everlasting punishment; but the righteous into everlasting life.” The punishment of the wicked is sometimes expressed under the figure of “outer darkness, where should be weeping and gnashing of teeth:” an image opposed to the splen-
dour of a guestchamber, in which the good banqueted. But the future state of bad men is more usually described in another manner. Our Lord teaches that the reviler shall be subject to hell fire; that we should sacrifice whatever is most dear to us, rather than be cast into hell fire, where the worm dieth not,
and of the conditions of the gospel covenant.

and the fire is not quenched; that evil men cannot escape the judgment of hell; that the angels shall cast them into a furnace of fire; that they shall depart into everlasting fire, prepared for the devil and his angels. He likewise says that God hath power, after he hath killed, to cast into hell; and that he is able to destroy both soul and body in hell. Thus also in his parables the tares are gathered to be burnt; and the rich man is described as tormented in the flames of hell. This is the judgment, or condemnation, the everlasting condemnation, the everlasting punishment, which he elsewhere speaks of; and which he opposes to the resurrection of life, and to life everlasting.

The idea of material fire is conveyed in most of these passages. Gehenna, the word which we render hell, is derived from גֶּהֶנֶּם, the Hebrew words which denote the valley of Hinnom, near Jerusalem, where the idolatrous Jews burnt their sons and their daughters in the fire. This place Josiah defiled. The filth and carcases cast into it were first a prey to worms, and then to fire. It was the general receptacle of polluting substances from the city; and a continual fire was kept in it to consume them: in allusion to which, everlasting burnings are called by the Chaldee paraphrast the Gehenna of everlasting fire.

But archbishop Tillotson says, "The scripture loves to make use of sensible representations to set forth to

---

5 אֲדֶם is the word here; which answers to בִּין, the grave, the future invisible state; from בִּין, to ask, because it is insatiable.

6 קְלְסָן, John v. 24. 29; κλίς, Matt. xxiii. 14; Mark xii. 40; Luke xx. 47.

7 The scripture loves to make use of sensible representations to set forth to all the passages quoted, except Luke xvi. 23.

k See Bishop Lowth's most excellent comment on Is. xxx. 33, and Chald. Is. xxvi. 19.

1 Serm. on Luke xvi. 19, 20; vol. i. Serm. lxxii. fol.
us the happiness and misery of the next life; partly by way of condescension to our understandings, and partly to work more powerfully on our affections. For while we are in the body, and immersed in sense, we are most apt to be moved by such descriptions of things as are sensible; and therefore the torments of wicked men in hell are usually in scripture described to us by one of the quickest and sharpest pains that human nature is ordinarily acquainted with, namely, by the pain of burning. But we cannot from these and the like expressions certainly determine that this is the true and proper pain of hell: all that we can infer from these descriptions is this, that the sufferings of wicked men in the other world shall be very terrible, and as great, and probably greater, than can possibly be described to us by any thing that we are now acquainted with. These forms of speech seem to be calculated and accommodated to our capacities, and not so much intended to express to us the proper and real torments of hell, as to convey to us in a more sensible and affecting manner the sense of what the scripture says in general, that 'it is a fearful thing to fall into the hands of the living God.'” Dr. Clarke also asserts, that “the exact nature and manner of the future punishment of the wicked, any further than is in general necessary to deter us from sin, is not distinctly revealed to us.”

Whatever sentiments thinking men, intimately acquainted with the scriptures, entertain on this subject; whether that God will for ever inflict a positive punishment on the wicked; or that, after a punishment exactly proportioned to their offences, he will annihilate them; or that a privation of being by fire will be the

[m] Serm. xiv. on the goodness of God, p. 91. fol.
mode of everlasting destruction, with which he will punish them; revelation is express, that their punishment will be dreadful, and coeval with their existence.

I must further observe a plain implication in our Lord's language, that the degree of future rewards and punishments will be adapted to our respective merits or demerits; and add to what has been already suggested on this point, that for some it is prepared to sit on our Lord's right and left hand in his glorious kingdom, and that some will receive more abundant condemnation.

SECT. VI.—What our Lord teaches of good and evil spirits.

We learn from our Lord's discourses that the heavenly angels are a numerous host; that they do God's will in heaven; that they are raised above the imperfect condition of humanity, and are holy, glorious, and immortal beings; that they are acquainted with many of God's counsels, though not with all; that they are occasionally ministering spirits to mankind, both in this life and the next; that at the last day our Lord shall come to judgment, and all the holy angels with him; that he shall send them forth, and they shall sever the wicked from among the just; and that in their presence he will confess those who boldly confess him before men, and deny those who timidly deny him.

Our Lord speaks of evil angels and their head in the following terms: "Depart from me, ye cursed, into everlasting fire, prepared for the devil and his angels." He addresses his tempter by the appellation of Satan; and the history of the temptation exhibits his apostate spirit under his proper character, as the

---

* Page 6.  
* Matt. xx. 23, and parallel places.
enemy of all righteousness. The evil one, Satan, and the devil, are used by him as equivalent terms. This wicked being is called Satan, because he is the grand adversary of God and goodness; and he is called the devil, because he is their grand calumniator. Our Lord further says, that the unbelieving and wicked Jews were of their father the devil, and willingly executed his desires; who was a manslayer from the beginning, as he seduced our first parents into the commission of a crime which subjected them to death; and who abode not in the truth, but deceived by lies the progenitors of the human race, and was indeed the original framer of falsehood. In another place evil men are styled by our Lord children of the evil one; his imitators, and partakers of his malignity.

Christ also represents Satan as erecting a kingdom opposite to God's kingdom of righteousness; as a strong man armed, who guardeth his palace, but as overcome, disarmed, and spoiled by one stronger than he; as the enemy who sowed tares among the good seed; and as taking away the word sown in the hearts of men, lest they should believe and be saved. He repeatedly calls

---

>P In Hebrew פאש signifies adversari. In three places רתא, in three they translate the word by ἐναθάνατος, and in seventeen by ἐναθάνατος. See Kircher's Conc.

>q Gen. iii. 5; he brought a false accusation against God, as concealing knowledge from mankind. In the book of Job, which is a true history poetically adorned, Satan is dramatically introduced as attributing Job's integrity to a wrong motive, and falsely asserting that it would not bear the test of adversity:

>ch. i. 9, 10, 11: ch. ii. 5. And in the bold language of the Apocalypse he is represented as the accuser of the brethren, as having accused faithful Christians before God day and night: ch. xii. 10. It seems probable that he acted this part towards his fellow-angels in heaven.

>r And probably the apostate angels.

>See that very remarkable passage, John viii. 44.

>Luke xi. 21, 22, and parallel places.
him the prince of this world; and describes this ruler in the hearts of wicked men as coming to inflict on him heavy evils, but finding in him no sin to strengthen his power over him; as cast out of his dominion in the hearts of men; as judged and condemned to suffer loss, by having his kingdom of idolatry and vice contracted. When the seventy returned, saying, "Lord, even the demons are subject to us through thy name," he thus figuratively expressed the rapid propagation of his gospel, which tended to establish a kingdom of righteousness; "I beheld Satan as lightning fall from heaven." He thus addressed the apostles, when they were in danger of defection from him: "Behold, Satan hath desired you, to sift you as wheat;" to shake and overcome your constancy through fear of the Jewish rulers. Many learned men have thought that our Lord used the popular language of the times, when he represented the woman who had been bowed together for eighteen years as bound by Satan, and when he addressed those who are called demoniacs in the Gospels as really under the power of impure and evil spirits. In some places there is an ambiguity in our Lord's manner of expressing himself, as it is transmitted down to us; and it is difficult to determine whether evil, or he who is eminently called the evil one, ought to be understood: as when he says that, in common discourse, whatever is more than plain affirmation or denial cometh of evil; when he teaches us to pray that God would deliver us from evil; and when he intercedes with his Father that he would keep the apostles from evil.

---

* See on this subject, Joseph Mede, Disc. vi; Dr. Mead, Medica Sacra; Sykes; Lardner; and a late very able treatise by Mr. Farmer.

* Matt. v. 37, ἐκ τοῦ πονηροῦ.

* John xvii. 15, ἐκ τοῦ πονηροῦ.

* Compare Matt. v. 39; Mark vii.
On a review of these passages, it is clear that some of them prove the personality of Satan. But I think that evil is sometimes attributed to him, not because it proceeds from his strict and proper agency on mankind, but because he originally introduced it, because he delights in it, and because the immediate authors of it imitate his disposition.

Tillotson does not see "how by any means it can be granted, without prejudice to the prerogative of God, which the scripture plainly gives him, of being the only knower of the heart, that the devil can have so immediate an access to our minds as to put wicked thoughts into them;" and he affirms, "that all the inward motions of our souls are totally exempted from the immediate cognizance of any other spirit but God alone." He allows indeed "that a heart wickedly bent and inclined gives the devil a great advantage to tempt men more powerfully, by presenting the occasions of wicked thoughts and actions to them: for it is usual in scripture phrase, as to ascribe all good motions to God's Spirit, so all evil thoughts and actions to the devil, not that he is the immediate cause of them, but because he is always ready to tempt men to them, and one way or other to promote them."

Dr. Clarke observes, that "though in scripture phrase the moral incapacity of men is frequently ascribed to the delusions of Satan; as when Satan is said to take away the word out of the hearts of men, and to fill their hearts, and the like; yet this is never spoken by way of excuse, but always, on the contrary, of high aggravation. They out of whose heart Satan
taketh away the word are by our Saviour compared to, and blamed for being like unto, the very worst and most unfruitful ground. And Ananias, whose heart Satan had filled, was asked by St. Peter, in the way of Act. v. 3. severe reproof, 'Why hath Satan filled (that is, why hast thou been so wicked, so covetous, so corrupt, as to suffer Satan to fill) thine heart?''

The common scripture phraseology must be understood in a sense consistent with St. James's maxim: "Every man is tempted, when he is drawn away of his own desires, and enticed."

Sect. VII.—What our Lord teaches of particular duties.

When Jesus had astonished the people by an answer and reproof which put the Sadducees to silence, a scribe, or teacher of the law, among the Pharisees, tried his wisdom by further asking him, which was Mat. xxii. 33-39, and p. p. the first and great commandment of all in the law of Moses. Jesus answered him, "The first of all the commandments is, Hear, O Israel; Jehovah our God is one Jehovah: and thou shalt love Jehovah thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy strength: this is the first and great commandment. And the second is like unto it: Thou shalt

d Some think that the Hebrew should be rendered, "Jehovah our God, even Jehovah, is one."

Deut. vi. 5. The Vat. MS. of the Greek version has διανομα for heart, and the Alex. and Ald. MSS. have καρδια. Kircher, in his Concordance, shows that 2β or 22½, heart, is translated διανομα twenty-eight times by the Greek interpreters: see particularly Lev. xix. 17, Deut. vii. 17; whereas ἔννοια, soul, is never thus rendered. I think that διανομα, Matt. xxii. 37; Mark xii. 30; Luke x. 27, and σινευς, Mark xii. 33, are only different renderings of 22β; probably made part of the text by being first noted in the margin: and that, Matt. xxii. 37, we should add, with two MSS. and vera. Syr. Aeth. καὶ δυάς ἐκ τῆς λοξυτης σου.

f We should read ὀψολα ἀνδρι, Mark xii. 31: as Matt. xxii. 39.
love thy neighbour as thyself. There is no other greater commandment than these."

It is observable that both these eminent precepts were before referred to by a Jewish teacher, who asked our Lord what he should do to inherit everlasting life, and was himself asked how he read in the law. The scribe might have known the tenour of Jesus’s doctrine in an advanced period of his ministry with respect to that very point about which he inquired; but St. Luke, not intent on extolling our Lord’s character by excluding others from the praise of religious wisdom, attributes this most admirable reply to the Jewish instructor himself. However, we may infer from the gospel history that the Jews formed different opinions about the comparative excellence of real or supposed duties. The Pharisees distinguished themselves by magnifying the tradition of the elders, by frequent ablutions, by avoiding legal defilement, by stated fastings, and by a minute tithing of all their substance. We learn also that, in our Lord’s time, the Jews were scrupulous observers of the sabbath and of other solemn festivals: and when the scribe applauds our Lord for preferring the love of God, and remarks that it was more than all whole burnt-offerings and sacrifice, he teaches us that religious acts of that particular class were held in very high estimation.

In this state of things, our Lord teaches his disciples that their worship of God should be humble, simple, and spiritual. He speaks thus with a decisive and commanding authority: "There is none other greater commandment than to love God and our neighbour. On these two commandments depend all the law and the prophets. This do, and thou shalt live."

With a like superiority to Jewish prejudices, our Lord extends the signification of the term neighbour
of particular duties.
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beyond local and religious considerations, to every fellow-creature capable of receiving from us offices of humanity: commanding us to imitate the Samaritan, Luk. x. 37. whose character represents that of a truly merciful man; and who acted the part of a neighbour to a wounded Jew, though the Jews had no dealings with Jo. iv. 9. the Samaritans, and though the Samaritans, fired by the same political and religious enmity, withheld from the Jews every act of hospitality, even to a cup of cold water. Luk. ix. 53. Jo. iv. 9.

The sublime morality of our heavenly Teacher regulates not only our actions, but our words and thoughts. To give a general and imperfect view of it. He enjoins moderation of desire; purity of heart; Mat. v. 3, 5. meekness; humility; self-denial; temperance; liberality; gratitude; prudence; a fearless discharge of our duty; seersy in almsgiving, in prayer, and in fasting; a studious cultivation of peace; exemplariness of conduct; the recommending of our pious acts by being reconciled to our brother; the sincere, harmless, lowly and placable disposition of children; repeated forgiveness of offences; and such a love of our enemies as to return blessings, prayers, and acts of goodness for their execrations, hatred, and injuries. He thus begins his public preaching: "Repent ye, and believe the gospel." He declares that acting towards others as we would reasonably expect, in like circumstances, that they should act towards us, was the substance and end of the law and the prophets. He teaches us to judge of actions by the goodness of the intention, and by the ability and opportunities of those who perform them. The weightier matters of his law are, the love of God, justice, mercy, and fidelity: he will have mercy rather than sacrifice: and the moral precepts of the Mosaic law are as carefully extended by him as its numerous
positive ones are reduced. He strongly cautions against hypocrisy, censoriousness, and covetousness. He allows of oaths on solemn occasions only, and not in common discourse: he condemns polygamy, and restrains divorce to the case of adultery. He forbids not only murder, but hatred, reviling, and causeless anger; not only adultery, but the unrestrained eye and desire. He teaches that his disciples are not defiled by eating with unwashed hands; but by evil thoughts, adulteries, fornications, murders, theft, false witness, covetousness, wickedness, deceit, lasciviousness, an evil eye of envy and malignity, blasphemy, pride, and the foolishness of mad and ungoverned passions. He imposes no burdensome and enslaving yoke on his disciples. His positive precepts and ordinances are few, simple, significant, and of great practical influence:

"Believe in God: believe also in me." "Whatsoever you ask the Father in my name, he will give it you." "He that believeth, and is baptized, shall be in a state of salvation." Partake of bread and wine solemnly and jointly, in remembrance of me, your Lawgiver, your Redeemer from the guilt of sin and the power of death, and your Benefactor even to dying for you on the cross, and to bestowing on you the gift of everlasting life.

Luke xxii. 19, 20. and p. p. Lord Bolingbroke speaks thus of baptism and the Lord's supper: "No institutions can be imagined more simple, nor more void of all those pompous rites and theatrical representations that abounded in the religious worship of the heathen and the Jews, than these two were in their origin. They were not only innocent, but profitable ceremonies, because they were extremely proper to keep up the spirit of true natural religion, by keeping up that of Christianity, and to promote the observation of moral duties, by maintaining a respect for the revelation that confirmed them." Works, 4°. vol. IV. 302.
Sect. VIII.—Whether any of our Lord’s moral precepts are new.

There are excellent passages in the heathen writers with respect to the existence, unity, providence, and perfections of God, and to our leading religious duties; though they must be selected from a strange mixture of inconsistency and error: and it has not been proved that any of our Lord’s moral precepts, which oblige his followers at all times, are new as to their general subject-matter; though some are manifestly so in degree, and all in the motives by which they are enforced. Thus mutual love was taught by Moses and by the heathen moralists; but the disciples of Jesus are commanded to love one another as he loved them, in ex-

Lev. xix. 18. 34.

Jo. xiii. 34.

Mat. xxv. 34. 35.

Mat. v. 45.

Luk. vii. 36.

pectation of an eternal reward at the resurrection of the just: I should add, and in imitation of the divine goodness; but for that excellent precept of the law, “The Lord loveth the stranger—love ye therefore the strangers.” And this coincidence of the evangelical law with the law of reason proves that they are derived from a common origin: as the uniformity in the works of creation shows the unity of the Creator.

Julian thought that he disparaged the Decalogue when he asked, What nation is there which does not think that its other precepts ought to be observed, except, Thou shalt not worship other gods, and, Remember the sabbath? whereas in fact he passed an encomium on it.

Sect. IX.—Whether any of our Lord’s precepts are unreasonable.

Some of our Lord’s precepts have been objected to as harsh, and inconsistent with the good of individuals

g Deut. x. 17–19. See also Plato, de Leg. i. v. p. 729. ed. Serr.
b Cyril, contra Jul. i. v. p. 152.
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and of society. I shall begin with making remarks on such of this kind as occur in the sermon on the mount.

Mat. v. 22. “I say unto you, That whosoever is angry with his brother without a cause shall be in danger of the judgment: and whosoever shall say to his brother, Raca, shall be in danger of the council: but whosoever shall say, Thou fool, shall be in danger of hell fire.”

The denunciation is addressed to all Christ’s disciples. If the restriction, “without a cause,” were omitted on the authority of a few manuscripts, fathers, and ancient versions, still the context shows that the anger condemned must be implacable. The injurious person, when reconciled to his brother, might offer an acceptable sacrifice. And there are vices which all naturally abhor, and which it may be the duty of some to reprehend with sharpness. Nay, we read that indignation and anger are attributed to our Lord himself. It is plain therefore that anger, improper in its cause, its object, its manner, its season, or its duration, must here be censured. There are degrees of anger mentioned; and proportionable punishments are annexed. A disciple of Christ guilty of sinful anger is subject to a future punishment, corresponding to the temporal one which among the Jews was inflicted by the judgment.

The elders and Levites who composed these municipal courts of judicature punished the murderer with death; probably by slaying him with the sword, or by hanging him on a tree. But anger bursting forth into words of derision and contempt, into

1 Deut. xvi. 18; xvii. 2; xxii. 2, 19; 2 Chron. xix. 5. The number of judges was seven. Joseph. Antiq. IV. viii. 4; B. J. XX. v.

k Some derive Raca from p'vn, to be empty or vain; and Castel translates the adjective from this verb by vanus, otiosus, apode, nequam. See 2 Sam. vii. 20. Others think that its root is from p'vn, to spit; and then the adjective signifies, according to Castel, spuitilia, levius, abjectus, viles, con-
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charges of emptiness and wickedness, exposes to a sentence like that awarded by the great council at Jerusalem, which took cognizance of higher matters, such as blasphemy against God and the law; ultimately decided causes too difficult for the judges appointed in the several cities; and inflicted the more terrible death of stoning. And anger still more unrestrained, so as to charge men with extreme infatuation, or with rebellion against God, the worst of all accusations, because so highly criminal under the law, incurs a still greater degree of punishment, answering to a death by fire, to the horrid burning of human victims before the statue of Moloch in the valley of Hinnom. Our Lord therefore asserts, agreeably to other parts of scripture, that reviling, hatred, variance, wrath, strife, shall exclude from the kingdom of heaven; and that these crimes shall be punished proportionally to their degrees of guilt. But, according to the tenor of the gospel covenant, sinful anger unrepented of is here supposed. For, on condition of repentance, all manner of sin and of blasphemy, even if uttered against Christ himself, shall be forgiven unto men; except the crime of imputing our Lord's miracles to an evil spirit, which indeed excluded repentance, and betrayed an incurable malignity of heart. And we may observe,

temptus. Thus it exactly answers to κακιαρχείον.

1 Acts vii. 58. The municipal courts inflicted this punishment in case of idolatry. Deut. xvii. 2-7.

= Syr. translates ματί by a word from הָרָע, status evanit; fatua, insipiens factus est. See also Syr. 1 Cor. iii. 19, where a word from the same root is used for ματάιον. Thus Raca and ματί may differ, as a charge of light and despicable conduct from that of habitual infatuation.

2 It is the opinion of many learned men, that instead of translating the Greek word ματί, thou fool, the eastern word Moreh should be translated or retained. As מַיהו signifies he rebelled in the Hebrew and Chaldee, we may fairly presume that it once had this sense in the Hebrew Syriac.
that our Lord elsewhere uses general assertions, where the same restriction must be understood; as in the words, “Whosoever shall deny me before men, him will I also deny before my Father who is in heaven.” For it is plain, from the case of St. Peter, that hardened perseverance in such denials is meant.

There is another general precept charged with too much rigour. “I say unto you, That whosoever looketh on a woman to desire her hath already committed adultery with her in his heart.” The words of the original, “in order to desire her,” denote giving full and unrestrained scope to evil thoughts and intentions. St. Peter describes some who walked after the flesh, as “having eyes full of adultery, and which could not cease from sin.” And all strict moralists decide to the same effect. Cicero records an observation made by Pericles, that a grave magistrate should not only restrain his hands from acts of avarice and oppression, but his eyes from contemplating such objects as raise inordinate desire. And in another place he asserts, that if men deliberate whether they should knowingly commit a crime, there is guilt in the very doubt. There is also a well-known determination of the Roman satyrist, that whoever meditates within himself

---

6 Πρὸς τὸ ἑκατονήμι αὐτῆς. So ch. vi. 1. πρὸς τὸ ἑκατονήμι αὐτῆς, with the end and design of being seen by them. See also ch. xiii.
30 ; xxiii. 5.
8 Off. i. 40 : Prætorem decet, non solum manus, sed etiam oculos, abstinentes habere.
q lb. iii. 8 : Hoc quidem deliberantium genus pellatur e medio—qui deliberant utrum—se scien-
tes scelere contaminent: in ipsa enim dubitatione facinus inest.

* Juv. xiii. 308 : Sceclus intra se tacitum qui cogitat ullam, Facti crimen habet. Add Cle-

"Ὅσις ἐκατονήμι ἀφεξεν' άλεξρων πρε-
γματος,
Οβρος ποιήσει τούτ', ἐὰν καρδιν λάθy."
He whose desire can prompt a shame-
ful act
Will perpetrated it, when occasion of-
fers.
any secret crime contracts the guilt of committing it. Some have thought that our Lord’s remark is confined to the intentional adulterer: and it is true that the word\textsuperscript{*} used by him signifies adultery, strictly so called, throughout the New Testament and the Greek version of the Old: but still the reason of the assertion equally extends itself to the intentional fornicator. God, who sees the heart, will punish all such evil intentions as want nothing but opportunity to become actual crimes.

It aptly follows: “And if thy right eye\textsuperscript{t} offend thee,” lead thee to renounce my gospel, or to violate any religious or moral duty, “pluck it out, and cast it from thee: it is profitable for thee that one of thy members should perish, and not that thy whole body should be cast into hell. And if thy right hand offend thee, cut it off, and cast it from thee: for it is profitable for thee that one of thy members should perish, and not that thy whole body should be cast into hell.” In another place of this evangelist our Lord says, “If thy hand or thy foot offend thee;” and more at large in St. Mark, “If thy hand offend thee, cut it off: it is better for thee to enter halt into life, than having two hands to be cast into hell, into the fire that never shall be quenched: where their worm dieth not, and the fire is not quenched,” &c. This is a strong eastern manner of expressing that seductions to sin, and particularly stumblingblocks in the way of openly professing the gospel at that season, should be avoided at all events; and that the causes of guilt and apostasy should be removed, whatever favourite gratifications were foregone, whatever temporal evils were endured. As you

\textsuperscript{*} δολαιξομεν.

\textsuperscript{t} Our Lord having mentioned looking on a woman, he immediately adds, “and if thy right eye offend thee:’” whereas, ch. xviii. 8, 9, and Mark ix. 43–48, the eye is instanced after the hand and the foot.
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would lose an eye or a limb to prevent a death by fire, so let every thing most dear be sacrificed to avoid eternal death.

It is plain that if the prohibition, "Swear not at all," were understood absolutely, the good of society would be much affected; as in important matters it would want the strongest human assurance, and the best human testimony, which derive their greatest force from a solemn appeal to God: and therefore our Lord restrains his command to ordinary discourse, and opposes it to the unnecessary and ensnaring oaths and vows so frequent among the Jews. He himself used the form of an oath when he said, "If a sign" from heaven "shall be" now "given to this generation":" and he affirmed his Messiahship with like solemnity, when the high priest adjured him by the living God.

Difficulties have been also raised against the following precepts in this discourse: "I say unto you, That ye resist not evil," or, as some choose to render the word, the injurious person: "but whosoever shall smite thee on thy right cheek, turn to him the other also. Him that taketh away thy cloak, forbid not to take thy coat also. Whosoever shall compel thee to go a mile, go with him twain. Give to him that asketh thee, and from him that would borrow of thee turn not thou away." "Lend, hoping for nothing again.—Of him that taketh away thy goods ask them not again."

"I say unto you, Love your enemies, bless them that curse you, do good to them that hate you, and pray for them that despitefully use you, and persecute you."

"Lay not up for yourselves treasures upon earth, where moth and rust doth corrupt, and where thieves break through and steal.—Take no thought for your life,

u Mark viii. 12. Comp. Heb. iv. 3; 2 Sam. iii. 35. "So do God to me and more also, if," &c.
what ye shall eat, or what ye shall drink; nor yet for your body, what ye shall put on.—Take no thought for the morrow: for the morrow shall take thought for the things of itself.”

Many have attempted to show that, with proper limitations, every one of these precepts obliges all Christians at all times. To this purpose it may be said, that our Lord teaches a general lesson of meekness and patience under moderate and private injuries, in opposition to a revengeful sense and rigorous retaliation of every wrong: that our Lord fulfilled his own precept when he calmly reproved the officer who smote him while he stood before the high priest; and that every Christian will fulfil it, who, when he is injured, makes reason the rule of his conduct, not rendering evil for evil unto any man from a vindictive spirit, and mitigating the punishment, as far as he is able, when from the circumstances of the case it is expedient to restrain the injurious, and to deter others by their example: that in some matters, not of great consequence, we should rather suffer wrong than angrily repel it, rather depart from our legal right than persist in litigious contention: that there were cases of unreasonable treatment in which patience and submission ought to be shown, and violence ought not to be returned for violence; particularly in the case, which often occurred at that time, of being compelled to attend public messengers: that, in general, liberality should be exercised towards men; and that Christians should lend to the honest and industrious, as far as their duty to themselves and their families enables them, and in unusually compassionate cases, or such as usually occur, provided the loss be of small account, even hoping for nothing again: that the love of our enemies is not
such a love of affection as must rest on its proper object; nor such an indiscriminate regard to men as would confound all distinctions of character, and would be inconsistent with our natural sense of right and wrong, and of moral beauty and deformity; but consists in such actions as affection commonly dictates, and all can perform, in blessing them, praying for them, and “doing good offices to them, especially of common humanity x:” that we should not be careful to lay up unnecessary and abundant treasures on earth, so as to

set our heart on them, and enslave ourselves to them:

and that we should not be anxiously solicitous for our present and future worldly subsistence, so as to embitter life, and to make this solicitude an addition to our daily and unavoidable evils.

But most of the precepts now referred to seem to admit of a more easy explanation. Immediately before the divine lesson which contains them was delivered, our Lord had selected his twelve apostles from a great number of other disciples; and when he had seated himself on the side of a mountain, his disciples came near unto him. And he lifted up his eyes on his disciples, and began to teach them in the hearing of the multitude. We must next observe in what capacity the disciples were sometimes addressed. They were addressed as men to be reviled and persecuted, and every way falsely accused, like the prophets before them; as professors and propagators of a new religion, who were the salt of the earth, the light of the world, and like a city set on an eminence; as great in the kingdom of heaven, on condition of doing and teaching Christ’s commandments; as guides to others; as obliged to be prudent in dispensing instruction and reproof; as prophets, and workers of miracles in the

x Tillotson, Serm. on Matt. v. 44. fol. 393.
name of Christ. It must be allowed that a peculiar conduct might be required of such, on account of their singular circumstances at that period. It became such to display the power of religion by the most perfect acquiescence under those personal injuries and temporal losses which they would often endure on a religious account; when judicially spoiled of their goods, gladly to suffer still further injustice for the name of Jesus; and when customary acts of oppression occurred, to show mildness instead of reluctance; so as, after a compelled assistance, to give a voluntary one to the oppressor, instead of resenting the wrong. Such might well be exhorted to unbounded liberality; and to lending, not only without usury, as Moses directed, but without hope of receiving any thing again. Though love of our enemies, as modified by Christ, is a duty at all times, yet it was peculiarly fit to inculcate it on such, when the profession of Christianity exposed them to hatred, curses, despiteful usage, and the fiercest persecution. The ministry of such would have been obstructed by attention to gain: having received freely, they were to give freely; and if any thought that the gifts of God could be purchased by money, the dispensers of them were to say with St. Peter, "Thy money perish with thee." Such also might well be exhorted to take no care for food or raiment, and to make no provision for the morrow. The labourer was worthy of his hire, and had a special assurance of God's protection: "Seek ye first the kingdom of God, and his righteousness; and all these things, which your heavenly Father knoweth that ye have need of, shall be added unto you." Whatever disciple of Christ, at

Matthew vi. 32. If men have need of these things in the common course of human affairs, they must use the natural means to procure them, such as economy, foresight, diligence.
that time and in those circumstances, literally obeyed
Mat. vii. 24. these precepts, built his house on a rock: his hope
rested on the sure foundation of God's veracity and
power.

In another of our Lord's discourses, somewhat later
in point of time, one of these precepts is repeated:
Luk. xii. 22. "Take no thought for your life, what ye shall eat;
neither for the body, what ye shall put on." And
again: "Seek not what ye shall eat or what ye shall
drink: and live not in careful suspense." Our Lord
adds this further injunction: "Sell that ye have, and
Comp. Mat. give alms:" and he enforces it with the same reasons
vi. 30, 31.
Luk. xii. 33,
34. which he had formerly assigned for not laying up trea-
sures on earth, the superior nature of heavenly trea-
sures, and the danger lest earthly ones should engross
our affections. But as this latter precept must be
restrained to those particular times, we have a proof
that the other commands naturally admit of the same
restriction. Agreeably to this sense we again find the
promise of a special protection, and that the whole dis-
course is directed to our Lord's disciples, his friends,
Luk. xii. 1,
4,11,12, 32.
his little flock, those whose apology the Holy Spirit
was to dictate when they were brought before syna-
gogues and magistrates for preaching the gospel, and
whose lives would be so exposed to danger on this
account, that it was necessary to arm them against the
fear of those who killed the body.

It must be very carefully observed, that our Lord's
Comp.Luk.
xii. 15 with
32.
address to the people at large is different: "Take heed
and beware of covetousness: for a man's life consisteth
not in the abundance of the things which he pos-
sesseth."

Mat. vi. 31,
32. Dr. Clarke, in his discourse on the words, "Take no
thought, saying, What shall we eat, or what shall we
* Luke xii. 29. See the marginal rendering.
drink, or wherewithal shall we be clothed?” observes, “That there was a particular time, and there were particular persons, when and to whom, and when and to whom only, this precept was given in its literal and strict sense. Our Saviour sent forth his apostles to preach the gospel from city to city, in such a manner as was altogether inconsistent with their attending to any worldly affairs. Accordingly he invested them with miraculous powers, and promised to afford them a miraculous support. And suitable to the circumstances of such a mission were the precepts he gave them to observe therein. Luke xii. 33. Matt. x. 8. In like manner the words of the text, considered as spoken to the apostles during their preaching from one city to another, may well be understood literally."

So also Tillotson quotes Matt. vi. 25, 26, 28, and says, “This discourse of our Saviour’s was not intended for a general and standing rule to all Christians; but only designed for his disciples, to take them off from all care about the things of this life, that they might attend upon his person, and wholly give themselves up to that work to which he had called them.”

Blaircombats the archbishop’s exposition as “an odd and dangerous opinion,” and as “opening a great gap,” “as if there were any part of this sermon not binding upon all private Christians as such.”

This useful author has turned the current of most expositors his own way: and I agree with him in asserting that throughout our Lord’s discourse pastors were not instructed in opposition to laymen, nor the twelve in opposition to the other disciples; but that

---

Lake xii. 15. p. 255. d Ib. p. 95. c Discourses on the Sermon e Ib. p. 84.
the followers of Christ were taught in opposition to heathens, hypocrites, scribes and Pharisees⁶. However, they were followers of Christ at a particular time, and in peculiar circumstances. From many of these the Seventy were to be selected; and many of these were to receive the Spirit after Christ’s ascension, and to become teachers of his religion. Some duties therefore might reasonably be required of them, which are not incumbent on Christians in all ages of the church: and it has been clearly shown that a precept does not bind always because our Lord enjoined it to his disciples⁷. As to the particular passage interpreted by the archbishop, it is true that the original word⁸ sometimes signifies to be excessively careful; but it is also true that it is used in a good sense: and it will remain to be well considered whether, Matt. vi. 31, 34, there be not too strict a prohibition, and whether, Matt. vi. 33, there be not too large a promise, for Christians at all times. Reason will sufficiently mark the distinction between temporary and perpetual rules of conduct contained in the scriptures. And it is much safer to admit such distinctions than to encourage enthusiastic expectations and practice, and to represent the gospel as advancing doctrines contrary to common sense and to common experience.

But lessons delivered by our Lord in some other of his discourses have likewise been considered as hard sayings.

Mat. xii. 36. If the solemn assertion, “I say unto you, That every idle word that men shall speak, they shall give account thereof in the day of judgment,” were understood of inadvertent and superfluous words, it would be impos-

⁷ See the observations on Luke xii. 33, p. 28.
⁸ μεριμνου.
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Possible to converse innocently. But the context shows that they are such as an evil man brings out of the evil treasure of his heart: they are opposed to such prudent and profitable conversation as will justify at the last day; and men must give an account of them in the sense of being condemned for them. By idle words therefore are meant false or evil words; as unfruitful works signify such as are destructive. And this mode of using terms which have a softer import, and of expressing less than is meant, is a common figure in all languages.

It should be observed that the following words are a parable: "When thou makest a dinner or a supper, call not thy friends, nor thy brethren, neither thy kinsmen, nor thy rich neighbours; lest they also bid thee again, and a recompense be made. But when thou makest a feast, call the poor, the maimed, the lame, the blind: and thou shalt be blessed; for they cannot recompense thee: for thou shalt be recompensed at the resurrection of the just." The passage immediately preceding, and which is remarkably analogous to this, is called a parable: "When thou art bidden of any man to a marriage feast, sit not down in the highest room," &c., and the introductory words to the place under consideration, "Then said he also to him that bade him," intimate that the parabolical style is continued. It must likewise be remarked that another parable instantly succeeds. Our Lord therefore may be understood as saying, Let your beneficence be free and disinterested: let your conduct resemble pre-

---

\(\text{\textsuperscript{i}}\) Eph. v. 11. Ἀργὸς is ἀνεφόρος, ab opere cessans, otiosus; and may as easily signify what tends to a bad purpose, as ἀναφορός, what tends to mischief. So Heb. xiii. 17, δακτυλικός, unprofitable, is dangerous; Matt. xxv. 30, ἀργὸς is evil: see ver. 26. So Eph. v. 4, ὁ δὲ ἀξίωσασθαι signifies which are highly unbecoming and sinful. So p\(\text{\textsuperscript{m}}\), p. 26, is vacuous and nequum.
paring a table for the poor, who can make no return; and not for the rich, who can make an ample one.

After having thus settled the meaning of the passage, I found the following remark in Leland’s View of the Deistical Writers: “Chubb interprets what our Lord saith in a parabolical way, Luke xiv. 12, 13, concerning inviting the poor, the blind, and the lame, (and which, as may be gathered from the context by comparing ver. 7, &c. was designed to rebuke the vanity of expensive and ostentatious entertainments, whilst the poor and indigent were neglected,) as if it were his intention that all Christians should deny themselves the pleasure of entertaining or being entertained by friends, relations, and those of their own rank, and were to confine themselves wholly to the company, conversation, and friendship of the poor, the maimed, the lame, and the blind. Though it is very evident, from his own practice, that our Lord was far from discouraging an agreeable intercourse and conversation among friends, and the offices and entertainment of social life.” When our Lord says, “Labour not for the meat which perisheth, but for that which endureth unto everlasting life,” his meaning is, that the Jews were not to labour so much for their temporal subsistence as for their eternal well-being.

As multitudes attended our Lord on his way to Jerusalem, he turned and said to them: “If any man come to me, and hate not his father, and mother, and wife, and children, yea and his own life also, he cannot

---

1 I. 327, Lond. 1754.
2 Posthumous Works, I. 24.
3 See bishop Law’s Considerations, &c. p. 311. ed. 5.
4 John vi. 27. The degree of comparison is sometimes thus expressed in the Hebrew language. Jer. vii. 22, 23; Hos. vi.
5 See 1 Cor. i. 17, and bishop Pearce’s note; who quotes John xii. 44; 1 Cor. x. 24; Eph. vi.
6 Col. iii. 2, and many other places.
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be my disciple.” He had before told his immediate followers, “He that loveth father or mother more than me is not worthy of me: and he that loveth son or daughter more than me is not worthy of me.” And he had likewise said to all his disciples, “If any man will come after me, let him deny himself, and take up his cross daily, and follow me.” By hating, our Lord does not mean the passion of the mind so called, but an inferior consideration and regard; such a forsaking of the nearest relations, and such an exposing of life to the rage of persecution, as resembled the effects of hatred. All must perceive that this sacrifice of worldly connections, this severe self-denial and daily danger of death, were peculiar to the times when the rancour of the Jews and heathens was so hot against the first preachers of the gospel.

When our Lord said to the young man who had kept the law from his youth, and asked what he yet wanted, “One thing thou wantest; if thou wilt be perfect, go sell all that thou hast, and distribute to the poor, and thou shalt have treasure in heaven: and come, take up the cross, and follow me;” the words refer to the greatest perfection that could be attained while Christ was on earth, which consisted in attending his person, in preaching the gospel with an entire reliance on Providence for daily subsistence, and in readily laying down life itself for the truth. And when the young man departed sorrowful, because he had civil authority and great possessions, our Lord went on to observe how difficult it was for the rich, or, as he explained himself, for those who trusted in riches, to become his disciples at a time when belief in him so essentially affected men in their secular concerns: nay,

= non de amore dicitur paulo remissiore. Gen. xxix. 30, 31; Mal. i. 2, 3; Castelli Lex.

NEWCOME.
he compared this difficulty to a natural impossibility, if human means only were considered. God, however, was able to effect their conversion, by the illustrious evidence to the gospel which from time to time he would vouchsafe.

When our Lord girded himself, washed the feet of his disciples, and gave them this exhortation, “If I, your Lord and Master, have washed your feet, ye also ought to wash one another’s feet;” his action derived its particular propriety from eastern customs, and his precept, though immediately addressed to the apostles, was designed to teach all his disciples in general lowliness and condescension to their fellow-creatures: agreeably to his admonition in another place, “Whosoever will be great among you, let him be your minister; and whosoever will be chief among you, let him be your servant;” which is in like manner addressed to the twelve with peculiar pertinency; and the truth conveyed by it, “He that humbleth himself shall be exalted,” will be verified among all Christians both in this world and the next.

I have only one observation more to suggest on this topic of unreasonable commands. When our Lord, at the last paschal supper, gave this advice to his disciples, “Now, he that hath a purse, let him take it, and likewise his scrip: and he that hath no sword, let him sell his upper garment, and buy one;” he meant to signify that distress and danger approached, and that it behoved each of them to provide for his subsistence and safety in such a way as prudence directed. In their circumstances, men usually procured swords; and therefore he expressed their situation by saying, that, in the common course of human affairs, such weapons would be considered as necessary. The disciples mistook the tendency of his words, when they answered,
"Behold, here are two swords:" with which they were probably furnished for security on their journey either from robbers or wild beasts. Our Lord replied, "It is enough:" intimating that the apostles were not to understand him as requiring that they should employ force in his defence or their own: and this he plainly declared when he immediately subjoined that his being numbered among the transgressors must needs be accomplished in him, and also when he commanded Peter to sheath the sword which he had rashly drawn, with this remarkable admonition, that "all who take the sword should perish by the sword."

**SECT. X.—Whether our Lord's teaching is defective.**

In the compass of Christ's instructions we find a great variety of duties explicitly taught or plainly implied. Some of his precepts are very comprehensive: as, "Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself:" "Whatsoever ye would that men should do unto you, do ye even so unto them:" "Render unto Caesar the things which are Caesar's; and unto God the things which are general society of mankind. To resist no injury, to take no care for the morrow, to neglect providing for the common necessities of life, and to sell all to follow Christ, might be properly exacted from those who were his companions, and his disciples in a stricter sense, like the scholars of Pythagoras, admitted within the curtain; but reason and experience both show that, considered as general duties, they are impracticable, inconsistent with natural instinct, as well as law, and quite destructive of society."

"Work. 4to, vol. iv. 300."
God's." But what the evangelists have recorded of his public preaching may rather be called a specimen of the manner in which he taught, than a full detail of all his admirable lessons. It must also be considered,

Jo. xvi. 25. that our Lord promised to show the apostles plainly of the Father after his departure from them; and

Jo. xvi. 13. that the Holy Spirit was to guide them into all truth, particularly into such as their prejudices could not

Jo. xvi. 12. bear till their minds were gradually enlightenèd. So that our Lord's ministry was not the whole of the gospel dispensation, but only an introductory part.

I shall add, though it is a digression from my immediate subject, that the inspired teachers of Christianity not only consider the moral part of the Old Testament as obligatory on all, but also presuppose the law of reason, and build on it as a foundation. They do not prove certain actions to be virtuous or vicious, but mention them as previously known to be such, and as accordingly approved or condemned by our conscience.

Rom. ii. 15. With regard to religious duties, no defect in them has been alleged. And if they do not distinctly recount and formally enjoin every moral, political, and domestic duty, let reason distribute the general precepts or prohibitions of revelation into all their particulars, let it show the analogy of one case to another, let it even supply whatever omissions can be discovered: for it extends to all the various parts of human duty, whereas many are foreign to the proper business of revelation; it is our primary law, of perpetual and universal obligation. The sacred writings have their due excellence and perfection, if they abound in the most important religious and moral truths, and if they incidentally teach political and social duties; furnishing the outline of these latter subjects, without filling up the parts. The omissions imputed to them are easily sup-
plied by reason, and can only be forcibly objected to books of strict method and system; not to teachers who choose a different manner of delivering their precepts, the propriety of which I shall illustrate in its proper place.

The following are some of the general passages which occur in the epistolary parts of the New Testament. St. Paul says, "If there be any virtue, if there be any praise, think on these things." Again: "The law is made—for the ungodly and for sinners—for liars, for perjured persons; and if there be any other thing that is contrary to sound doctrine." And St. John advances this general assertion, that "sin is the transgression of the law."

As to particular omissions, it has been objected that Christianity does not recommend patriotism. But, besides the general answer already suggested, it may be said that Christ himself afforded an example of it by the affectionate regard which he expressed for Jerusalem, and by shedding tears at the foresight of its desolation; and that the apostle Paul was a follower of Christ in this as in other respects, and declared his readiness to suffer temporal destruction for the sake of his brethren and kinsmen according to the flesh. It may further be replied, that universal benevolence comprehends patriotism; and that, the closer the tie is, whether religious or social, corresponding duties are proportionably required of us: that the most splendid acts of patriotism are among Christian virtues; a disinterested regard for others, and a readiness even to die for them when the importance of the case requires it: and that enjoining patriotism in direct terms would have been recommending a duty which, in one sense of

P See c. ii. sect. 1.
it, men at that time were already disposed to practise in excess; which would have encouraged Roman ambition, and the narrow attachment of the Jews to their own country; and would have tended to restrict benevolence, instead of enlarging it. He who loves his relations, his friends, and his neighbours, in the Christian sense of the word, must love his country; unless we mean by loving our country the love of its political constitution. And how could this be enjoined to the Romans, who lived under a despotic form of government? or to the Jews, whose polity was soon to be done away? It should also be considered, that “whatsoever things were written aforetime were written for our learning;” and therefore that Christians may be referred to the patriotic magnanimity of Moses, to Elisa’s regard for the distresses and deliverance of his country, to Jeremiah’s pathetic lamentations over Jerusalem, to Nehemiah’s “zeal for the public,” and to those remarkable words of a Hebrew poet, “Pray for the peace of Jerusalem; they shall prosper that love thee.”

The omission of friendship among Christian duties has furnished another objection. But there are passages in which it is supposed to exist among men; and it has very clearly the sanction of our Lord’s example. When our Lord says, “Ye are my friends, if ye do whatsoever I command you;” and when he is represented as loving Lazarus and St. John; in these passages, if not in some others, the idea rises above that of “an acquaintance, or kinsman, or relative, of the same family, fortune, or sect.” It is certain that no soil is so well prepared for “that peculiar relation which is formed by a consent and harmony of minds, by mutual

---

Ch. v. 18, 19. See Shaft. ubi supr., thinks that the writers Charact. i. 99, ed. 1711. of the New Testament always Bishop Taylor in Shaftesbury, use φίλος in this limited sense.
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esteeem, and reciprocal tenderness and affection," as the heart in which Christian virtues flourish; and particularly that benevolence which bishop Taylor nobly calls "friendship to all the world, friendship expanded like the face of the sun, when it mounts above the eastern hills." In the Old Testament, Moses speaks of a friend who is as a man's own soul: we have a striking example of friendship in the history of Jonathan and David; and in the Book of Proverbs mention is made of a friend who loveth at all times. We see by these instances that human nature is not one thing in the scriptures, and another thing in fact, or in the gravest and best ancient or modern moralists. Besides, as friendship is a connection which many may not be able to form, and therefore is not a general duty, if indeed it can be called a duty incumbent on any, it has been doubted whether it could properly be made the subject of a direct precept by a divine lawgiver.

It is also objected, that rules of civil policy are not to be found in the New Testament. But the duty of governors is obliquely regulated, when it is observed that they are not a terror to good works, but to the evil; that they are the ministers of God for good; that they who do good shall have praise of them; and that they are sent by the Sovereign Lord for the punishment of evil-doers, and for the praise of them that do well. And the duty of subjects is directly and repeatedly enjoined. In St. Paul's language, they should pray for kings and all that are in authority; they should be subject to the higher powers as the ordinance of God, and not only through motives of fear, but of conscience; and should render tribute, custom,

* Shaftesbury's definition: ubi supr.
* Ubi supr.
* See S. Jenyns's View of the Internal Evidences of Christianity, p. 53.
fear, and honour, where they are respectively due. In St. Peter's language, they should be afraid to speak evil of dignities: they should submit themselves to the king, and to governors, for the Lord's sake; for so is the will of God: they should both fear God and honour the king. There occurs one limitation to the duty of civil submission: "We ought to obey God rather than men." But reason, and nature, and particular forms of government instituted by men, may introduce more limitations. Children are commanded to obey their parents, and servants their masters, in all things: that is, in all things reasonable and honest; not in matters of an impious or immoral nature. But if these precepts are not to be understood strictly, much less are those above referred to, which are less strongly worded; "Let every soul be subject to the higher powers:" "Whosoever resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God:" "Submit yourselves to every ordinance of man for the Lord's sake." It is the manner of moral writers, and very remarkably so of the eastern ones, to deliver rules true for the most part, though not universally; and to leave the restrictions of them to the understandings and feelings of mankind.

It may be observed that the virtue of gratitude is nowhere expressly taught in the New Testament. But this amiable act of justice to benefactors is both re-

---

* Hence we see that lord Bolingbroke has as little reason to ascribe the doctrine of passive obedience to St. Paul, as that of absolute predestination. Works, 4to. vol. iv. 331. See also Gibbon's learned and elegant History, vol. ii. 187, 4to. 2d ed., where the author places among the evangelic virtues that passive and irresistible obedience which bows under the yoke of authority, or even oppression. The curious reader will find this objection considered and confuted in Hoadley's Measures of Submission, &c. Defence of the Sermon, p. 31, and Obj. 17, p. 72. Works, fol. 2d vol.
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Commended by example, and is supposed to be dictated by the human heart. Our Lord praised Mary’s respectful piety in anointing his feet, and declared that the fame of it should be as extensive as the propagation of the gospel: and St. Paul strongly expresses his sense of benefits conferred on him by the Philippians. —18.

Doing good to those who do good to us, is an inferior part of Christian morality: it is considered as an obvious truth, that the debtor to whom most had been remitted would love most: and St. Paul enforced his humane request to Philemon by suggesting with much delicacy the motive of gratitude among other reasons for granting it. We must also recollect that ingratitude is mentioned with implied censure and with wonder by our Lord; and that St. Paul ranks it among the most aggravated crimes.

It may also be urged that the religion of Christ contains no direct prohibition of self-murder. But we may justly reply, that it is comprehended in the general precept, “Thou shalt not kill:” and that wherever Christianity teaches an overruling Providence, wherever it exhorts to resignation and patience, wherever it proposes a precept or an example of enduring to the end, wherever it asserts that we are the servants of God or of Christ, that we are bought with a price, that we are not our own, that our body and spirit are God’s, it furnishes arguments against this crime. But remarks of this kind, where well founded, only prove that Christianity is not a system of morals explicitly comprehending every duty: to which it nowhere makes pretension.

It has also been advanced by an ingenious writer, that the Christian law is silent on the subject of active courage. But fortitude in general is enjoined or de—

Y Jenyns’s View, ubi supr.
Means afforded by our Lord

Mat. x. 28. scribed in the following terms: “Fear not them who kill the body:” “be ye steadfast, unmovable:” “quit ye like men, be strong:” “who shall separate us from the love of Christ? shall tribulation, or distress, or persecution, or famine, or nakedness, or peril, or the sword? Nay, in all these things we are more than conquerors through him that loved us.” Resistance is not forbidden in extraordinary cases: and it may be necessary to exert the quality of personal valour on such occasions. It is certain that it cannot be founded on firmer principles than such as the gospel furnishes; an unshaken adherence to duty, a contempt of death for the benefit of mankind, a submission to God’s will, and a reliance on his providence and future favour. But Christianity has as little to do with directly commanding and precisely regulating qualities of this kind, as with determining the lawfulness of usury or of war, what crimes shall be capital, what is the best form of civil government, and many other matters of a like nature.

Sect. XI.—Of the obligation, motives, and means to the practice of their duty, which our Lord affords his followers.

All that our Lord advances is resolved by him into the will and authority of God: “He that despiseth me despiseth him that sent me:” “Whosoever I speak, as the Father hath said unto me, so I speak.” The will of God, externally declared, is a sufficient ground of moral obligation to all his creatures; because an all-wise and absolutely perfect Being can only will what is right. A clear perception of duty must likewise induce an obligation on every intelligent being:

for God has so framed all such, that they are a law to themselves: and thus binding them to a particular mode of conduct is ultimately God’s act and will, made
known in a different manner. Our Lord refers to this principle in human nature when he says, "Yea, and Lk. xii. 57. why even of yourselves judge ye not what is right?" However, it is necessary to observe that God does not arbitrarily oblige to any matter of duty; but his wisdom and will are originally and invariably determined by antecedent fitness.

But our Lord not only convinces our reason that we ought to obey him; he likewise influences our will and affections by motives excellently adapted to our nature. He animates us to acts of benevolence by proposing to our imitation the example of God himself: Mat. v. 48. he exhorts us to follow his own example in acts of Lk. vi. 36. meekness and lowliness. He leads us to grateful obedience by exhibiting to us both the wonders of God's love, and his own no less astonishing acts of love in assuming our nature and laying down his life for us. Jo. iii. 16. And he gives us a most affecting inducement to observe his laws when he says, "Ye are my friends, if ye do whatsoever I command you." He has also unveiled to us the future world, and assured the righteous of everlasting life, and the wicked of everlasting punishment. Mat. xxv. He has graciously addressed himself to our imagination and senses on this subject; has circum-> substantially described the awful scene; has taught men that he will confess or deny them in the presence of the angels and of his heavenly Father; and even that Lk. xii. 8, he will reward their benevolent actions, and punish their malevolent ones, as if he himself had been their immediate object.

The means of performing our duty which Christ has granted us come next to be considered. The ordinance of baptism reminds such as are able to reflect on it of their covenant with God, of dying to sin, of having Rom. vi. 4. their hearts sprinkled from an evil conscience. The Heb. x. 22. 1Pet. iii. 11.
institution of eating bread and drinking wine in remembrance of Christ’s body broken and of his blood shed for us, assists reflection, like the former positive rite, by presenting sensible images; and leads us to be duly affected by all that our Legislator and Benefactor taught and did and suffered. Christ has also assured us that our heavenly Father will give the Holy Spirit to them that ask him, without any limitation of time: and he thus expresses the same truth figuratively;

Luk. xi. 13.

Jo. xv. 2. “Every branch in me that beareth fruit my Father pruneth, that it may bring forth more fruit.” Christ has also left us God’s sanctifying word of truth; the words of eternal life spoken by himself, and dictated by that Spirit which he imparted to his disciples: whence may be learnt the most effectual means, and, I believe, all the means of attaining piety and virtue, and of avoiding every kind of moral evil.

Jo. xvi. 17.

Jo. vi. 68.

Sect. XII.—Of the argument for Christ’s divine mission from the nature of his instructions.

The agreeableness of Christ’s doctrines and precepts to the attributes of God, and to the reason of mankind, constitutes what is called the internal evidence for the reality of his divine mission: and this evidence is much corroborated by the consideration that, in the midst of a people addicted to ceremonial observances, the pre-eminence is strongly given to a pure and spiritual worship of the Deity, and to actions of moral obligation. But as it may not exceed the powers of the human mind, especially with the assistance of the Hebrew Scriptures, to frame a rational system of religion and morality, the very superior excellence of what our Lord taught affords only a strong presumption, and not a decisive proof, that he was an ambassador of the most high God. The certainty of his heavenly mission
is established by *external* evidence of the most satisfactory kind.

The argument for Christianity arising from the nature and tendency of its doctrinal and preceptive parts will appear in the strongest light to those who best understand the books which contain them; and it will always be impaired in proportion as unscriptural notions of them prevail. Misrepresentations of them obstructed the reception of the gospel among mankind in general, and especially among philosophical and thinking men. It is rightly presumed that a religion which claims God for its author must be suitable to our just conceptions of him, and to the nature and circumstances of those for whom it is designed; that there can be no contradiction or inconsistency in God’s proceedings; and that he cannot set his seal to what would disprove any of his perfections, or give a subsequent revealed law repugnant to a prior natural law. When therefore unreasonable doctrines are imputed to Christianity, there are many who, instead of carefully examining what ground there is for such an imputation, will reject the religion in the gross, notwithstanding the strength of its external proofs when duly examined. But prepossess men in favour of Christianity as agreeable to reason in every respect, in its new discoveries as well as its republications; in other words, give them a scriptural representation of it; and you dispose them to admit the evidence of miracles and prophecies, and to argue, with rational Christians, that the subject-matter of Christ’s religion can both be defended on its own proper footing, and likewise appears to be true, because it ultimately derives its origin from the God of truth.
CHAPTER II.

OF THE MANNER IN WHICH OUR LORD TAUGHT.

SECT. I.—Of the authority with which our Lord spake.

Our Lord’s religious and moral lessons are not inferred from a train of reasoning, nor systematically arranged; but they are suited to the capacity of mankind in general, and are delivered with a majesty becoming a heavenly messenger. They are likewise transmitted to us in a manner most likely to gain attention, and to make a deep and lasting impression: for they are divine commands, comprised in a narrow compass, interwoven with an affecting history, exemplified by a perfect life, and enforced by the most powerful motives. The form, “I say unto you,” so often repeated in the discourse on the mount, where our Lord’s decision is opposed to that of Moses himself, and those solemn admonitions, “Take heed how ye hear,” “He that hath ears to hear, let him hear,” show a consciousness of the high character with which he was invested. His general manner impressed his hearers with awe and astonishment; and was one circumstance which extorted a confession from the officers sent to apprehend him, that never man spake like him.

I have already mentioned some of the magnificent expressions which our Lord used of himself to awaken and instruct the Jews. The authority which accompanied his instructions may be further illustrated by

\footnote[9]{Matt. xi. 15. xiii. 9, and p. p.: \textit{Δεῦρέν μιᾶν, οὐδὲν μοι, καθάπερ ἄρσης τε, ἐρχεῖσθαι.} \textit{Come, open now your ears, and your pure hearing.}}

\footnote[6]{Ch. i. sect. 2.}
with which our Lord spake.

the following passages: “Many prophets and kings Mat. xiii.
and righteous men have desired to see those things Luk. x. 24.
which ye see, and have not seen them; and to hear
those things which ye hear, and have not heard them.”

“Go ye; and learn what that meaneth; I will have Mat. ix. 13.
mercy, and not sacrifice.” “This do, and thou shalt Luk. x. 28.
live.” “Heaven and earth shall pass away; but my Mat. xxiv.
words shall not pass away.” “Ye call me Master and Jo. xiii. 13.
Lord; and ye say well, for so I am.” “I am the bread Jo. vi. 35.
of life.” “I am the light of the world.” “I speak that Jo. viii. 12.
which I have seen with my Father.” “As the Father Jo. x. 15.
knoweth me, even so know I the Father.” “If I be Jo.xii. 32.
lifted up from the earth, I will draw all men unto me.”

“When ye have lifted up the Son of man, then shall Jo. viii. 28.
ye know that I am he.” “I give you power to tread Luk. x. 19.
on serpents and scorpions, and over all the power of
the enemy; and nothing shall by any means hurt you.”

“The Son restoreth to life whom he will.” “The Fa- Jo.x.21,26,
ther hath given him to have life in himself, and to
execute judgment.” “All that are in the graves shall Jo. v28,29.
bear his voice, and shall come forth.” “All things Jo. xvi. 15.
that the Father hath are mine.” “No man ascendeth Jo. iii. 13.
up to heaven, but he that came down from heaven;
even the Son of man who was in heaven.” “In my Jo. xiv. 2.
Father’s house are many mansions: if it were not so, I
would have told you: I go to prepare a place for you.”

“This is the will of him that sent me, that every one Jo. vi. 40.
who seeth the Son, and believeth on him, may have
everlasting life.” “Whosoever shall confess me before Mat. x. 32,
men, him will I confess also before my Father who is
in heaven: but whosoever shall deny me before men,
him will I also deny before my Father who is in hea-
ven.” “The stone which the builders rejected is be-
Mat.xxi.42.
come the head of the corner.” “The Son of man shall Mat.xvi.27.
come in the glory of his Father, with his angels; and
then shall he reward every man according to his works.”

Jo. x. 28. “I give unto my sheep eternal life; and they shall never perish, neither shall any pluck them out of my hand.” Mat. xxv.
34, 41. “Then shall the King say to them on his right hand, Come, ye blessed of my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world.”

Mat. xxv.
34, 41. “Then shall he say also to those on his left hand, Depart from me, ye cursed, into everlasting fire, prepared for the devil and his angels.”

These are a specimen, not a full enumeration, of passages uttered by our Lord with great dignity and majesty; and some of them show the justness of Fenelon’s remark⁶, “Jesus Christ, master of his doctrine, distributes it with tranquillity. He says what he chooses, and he says it without any effort. He speaks of the kingdom and glory of heaven, as of his Father’s house. All the grandeur, which astonishes us, is natural to him: he was born there, and he mentions nothing but what he had seen, as he himself assures us.”

SECT. II.—That restrictions of our Lord’s precepts are sometimes introduced by him.

The limitations of Christ’s precepts are for the most part left to reason and nature. We may observe, however, that he sometimes limits them. He commands

Mat. vi. 3, 4. us to give alms and to fast, but secretly; to pray, but without ostentation, or vain repetitions: he condemns anger without a cause⁴, enjoins forgiveness in case of repentance, and teaches us that the love due to our enemies consists in blessing them, doing good to them, and praying for them.

⁴ Matt. v. 22. There is not sufficient authority to omit the word σελήν, though it is somewhat doubtful.
SECT. III.—That the reasons of our Lord's precepts are sometimes given.

Though in general the reasons of Christ's commands are not assigned, yet some are occasionally suggested. "Swear not at all; neither by heaven; for it is God's throne: nor by the earth; for it is his footstool: neither by Jerusalem; for it is the city of the great King. Neither shalt thou swear by thy head, for thou canst not make one hair white or black." "Love your enemies; that ye may be the children of your Father who is in heaven: for he maketh his sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust. For if ye love them who love you, what reward have ye? do not even the publicans the same?" "When ye pray, use not vain repetitions, as the heathens do:...for your Father knoweth what things ye have need of, before ye ask him." "Lay not up for yourselves treasures upon earth, where rust and moth doth corrupt, and thieves break through and steal: but lay up for yourselves treasures in heaven, where neither moth nor rust doth corrupt, and where thieves do not break through nor steal. For where your treasure is, there will your heart be also." "All things whatsoever ye would that men should do to you, do ye even so to them: for this is the law and the prophets." "Beware ye of the leaven of the Pharisees, which is hypocrisy. For there is nothing covered, that shall not be revealed; neither hidden, that shall not be known." "Take heed and beware of covetousness. 

---

\( ^{e} \) In allusion to the various garments and pieces of furniture which the easterners treasured up. See Job xxvii. 16; Is. iii. 7.

\( ^{f} \) So our version, Luke xii. 2. In the common editions we find \( \aleph \), but \( \gamma \) \( \gamma \) occurs in Beza's MS., and codd. Lat. translate by the causal particle.
ness: *for a man’s life consisteth not in the abundance of the things which he possesseth."

Sect. IV.—Instances of our Lord’s addressing himself to the reason of his hearers.

Our Lord was the authoritative instructor of rational creatures. We find him refuting the errors of the scribes and Pharisees by unanswerable reasons.

Mat. xxiii. "Woe unto you, ye blind guides, who say, Whosoever shall swear by the temple, it is nothing: but whosoever shall swear by the gold of the temple, he is a debtor. Ye fools and blind, for whether is greater, the gift, or the altar that sanctifieth the gift? Whosoever therefore shall swear by the altar, sweareth by it, and by all things thereon. And whosoever shall swear by the temple, sweareth by it, and by him that dwelleth therein. And he that shall swear by heaven, sweareth by the throne of God, and by him that sitteth thereon."

Luk. xii. 54-57. Mat. xvi. 4.

The following was his expostulation with the people for not using their reason in discovering that he was the Messiah: "When ye see a cloud rise out of the west, straightway ye say, There cometh a shower: and so it is. And when ye see the south wind blow, ye say, There will be heat: and it cometh to pass. Ye hypocrites, ye can discern the face of the sky and of the earth; but how is it that ye do not discern this time? Yea and why even of yourselves judge ye not what is right?"

When the Pharisees arraigned the conduct of the disciples in satisfying their hunger by plucking and eating ears of corn on the sabbath, he defended it by a variety of arguments. "Have ye not read what David

Mat. xii. 3-6.

§ See part ii. ch. 1, sect. 6.
did when he hungered, and they that were with him; how he entered into the house of God, and did eat the showbread, which was not lawful for him to eat, neither for them who were with him, but only for the priests? Or have ye not read in the law, that on the sabbath day the priests in the temple profane the sabbath, and are blameless? But I say unto you, That in this place is one greater than the temple." These therefore, who attend on me, are still more free than those who attend on the temple. "The sabbath was made for man, and not man for the sabbath." "But if ye had known what this meaneth, I will have mercy and not sacrifice"—if ye had duly considered that a tender regard to the wants and infirmities of men is of more value in the sight of God than a strict observance of his positive institutions—"ye would not have condemned the guiltless. For" these are my disciples: they act under the authority of a prophet who has power to regulate the observance of the sabbath: "the Son of man is Lord even of the sabbath day."

When the scribes and Pharisees murmured against him and his disciples for eating and drinking with publicans and sinners in the house of Matthew, his convincing reply was, "They that are whole need not a physician, but they that are sick. But go ye and learn what that meaneth, I will have mercy, and not sacrifice." Now reforming sinners is the greatest act of mercy; and it is part of my office: "for I came not to call the righteous, but sinners, to repentance." And on another occasion he spake three parables in vindication of a like conduct; showing the fitness of it from hence, that there was joy in heaven over repenting sinners, and that God readily embraced such with the arms of his mercy.

\[h\] was appointed for his benefit.
In the last instance but one there is a link omitted in the chain of reasoning. We may observe a like manner of speaking in another place. "Whosoever will come after me, let him deny himself, and take up his cross, and follow me." And this is your true interest. "For whosoever desireth to save his life shall lose it; but whosoever shall lose his life for my sake and the gospel's, the same shall save it." And life is the most valuable consideration. "For what shall it profit a man, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own life? or what shall a man give in exchange for his life?"

When the disciples of John and of the Pharisees asked him why his disciples fasted not, he benevolently and wisely answered, "Can the children of the bride-chamber fast, while the bridegroom is with them? As long as they have the bridegroom with them, they cannot fast. But the days will come, when the bridegroom shall be taken away from them, and then shall they fast in those days." "And he spake also a parable unto them: No man putteth a piece of a new garment upon an old; else both the new maketh a rent, and the piece that was taken out of the new agreeth not with the old. And no man putteth new wine into old bottles; else the new wine will burst the bottles, and be spilled, and the bottles shall perish. But new wine must be put into new bottles, and both are preserved. No man also, having drunk old wine, straightway desireth new; for he saith, The old is better." The force

---

1 Those who sought to save their lives at the time of the Roman war by deserting Christ, lost them; but those who adhered to Christ, though they seemed to endanger their lives from their persecutors, in fact preserved them by leaving Jerusalem before the siege.

2 The children of the bride-chamber are the guests invited to the marriage feast.

1 Perire solent.
of the reasoning is: The days of mourning must come after my departure; and therefore I will not anticipate them. Besides, it is not fit that rigorous moral discipline should be prescribed to new converts; or that my hearers should be discouraged by the forbidding aspect of my religion. When my doctrines are fully known and attentively considered, human prudence, assisted by them, will be enabled to dictate proper rules of self-denial.

When the scribes and Pharisees asked him, in the synagogue, whether it were lawful to heal a man with a withered hand on the sabbath, he thus appealed to their feelings and understanding: "Is it lawful to do good on the sabbath day, or to do evil? to save life, or to kill?" "What man shall there be among you, that shall have one sheep, and if it fall into a pit on the sabbath day, will he not lay hold of it, and lift it out? How much then is a man better than a sheep? Wherefore it is lawful to do good on the sabbath day." And he argued in like manner, when the ruler of the synagogue was moved with indignation at his restoring on the sabbath a woman "who had been bowed together, and could in no wise lift up herself," for a long course of years. "Thou hypocrite, doth not each of you on the sabbath loose his ox or his ass from the stall, and lead him away to watering? And ought not this woman, being a daughter of Abraham, whom Satan hath bound, lo, these eighteen years, to be loosed from this bond on the sabbath day?" And he thus vindicated his miracle at the pool of Bethesda: "Moses

---

The authorities for omitting ver. 4. of John, ch. v. may be seen in Wetstein, and in Kuster's edit. of Mill in loc. and proleg. 433, and præf. p. 5; I add that cod. Brix. in Blanchini's Evang. Quadr. omits this verse: though against this omission some remarks may be found in the proleg. to that work, p. 22. The
gave you circumcision, and ye on the sabbath circumcise a man. "If a man on the sabbath day receive cir-

close of this verse does not resemble St. John's style; and contains two words which do not occur elsewhere in the New Testament. I am strongly inclined to omit the verse, and, with Theophylact and Hammond, to attribute the quality of the water to the blood and entrails of the beasts slain in the temple at the Jewish festivals. Maundrel says that the place shown for Bethesda is contiguous to the area of the temple. Journey, 6th ed. p. 108. The word Bethesda is usually derived from וֹדֶהַת בַּיְתָא, domus misericordiae; but its etymology may be רֶשֶׁת בַּיְתָא, domus effusionis: for רֶשֶׁת is effudit in Chald. and Syr. The blood, &c. of the victims may therefore have been conducted off, from the place of slaying the beasts in the temple, to this pool: and the multitude may have supposed its healing quality greater and more general than it really was. The former part of ver. 7 may refer to the latter part of ver. 3; if indeed this clause is to be retained. Otherwise it alludes to a well-known fact at the time, that the virtue of the water began when it was disturbed by the usual influx. And ἀλῶς in the latter part of ver. 7. may signify plural, as ver. 43, and 1 Cor. iii. 10. "Others prevent me: some one or other of the crowd continues to obstruct me, till the waters lose their quality, or till the place is full. I have no friend to bring me forward soon enough." Wolfius, Curæ Phil. in loc., mentions the derivation from יָם, and says: Ita statuit Bochartus, ii. 614, Wagenseiliius in notis ad Sota, p. 308, cum Calvino, Gomaro, aliisque: aquas præterea illas eas fuisset autumat, quibus sacerdotes manus suas pedeque aut victimas abluerent, et quæ e labro aeneo quotidie evacuabantur, ex templo manantes, et hinc in urbe alicubi stagnantes. Non alius hinc est Adrian. Relandus in Palestina, p. 857: Posset, inquit is, aliquis suspicari, aquas quæ lavandis victimis inservierunt in concliavi lavantium, collectas fuisset certo loco, et illas pro sanctis habitas, adeoque salubris. Reland quotes Eusebius's Onomasticon as saying that "one of the two lakes of Bethesda had uncommonly red water, and retained a trace, as was reported, of the victims which were formerly cleansed in it; for which reason it is called the sheep-pool, on account of the sacrifices." And he also quotes these words from Jerome: "Alter (lacus), mirum in modum rubens, quasi cruentis aquis antiqui in se operis signa testatur. Nam hostias in eo lavari a sacerdotibus solitatas ferunt, unde et nomen accepit."

* John vii. 22, 23. Διὰ τούτου may very well be joined to the preceding verse. Some think that it may be rendered, As to
cvmcision, that the law of Moses should not be broken; are ye angry at me because I have made a man altogether whole on the sabbath day?"

He established the goodness and transcendent liberality of God in the following manner: "If a son shall ask bread of any of you that is a father, will he give him a stone? Or if he ask a fish, will he for a fish give him a serpent? If ye then, being evil, know how to give good gifts unto your children; how much more shall your heavenly Father give the Holy Spirit to them that ask him?"

When the Jews charged him with blasphemy, for making himself God by the assertion that he and his Father were one, he answered: "Is it not written in Jo.x.34, &c. your law, I said, Ye are gods? If he called them gods, unto whom the word of God came, and the scripture cannot be broken; say ye of him, whom the Father hath sanctified, and sent into the world, Thou blasphemest; because I said, I am the Son of God?"

When the Pharisees questioned him about divorces, Mat. xix. he referred them to the words of Moses; took up the point on which the argument turned, "so that they are no more twain, but one flesh;" and reasoned against divorcing a wife for every cause, from the closeness of the marriage tie, and from its divine appointment.

We also read that he thus proved the resurrection of the dead against the Sadducees, who denied that great truth: "Have ye not read in the book of Moses, how Mar. xii. in the bush God spake unto him, saying, I am the God of Abraham, and the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob? He is not the God of the dead, but of the living....For all live unto him....Ye therefore do Luk.xx.38.

this matter. See Mark xii. 24. Groitus supplies, Propterea au-
dite quod dicam. It seems pro-
bable that the words, not that it is of Moses, but of the fathers, are a gloss inserted in the text.
greatly err." I consider our Lord as arguing thus: The inconceivably great and glorious God could not be called the God of those whose existence terminated with this life. God would be ashamed to be called their God, if he had not prepared for them a continuing city: of which, in his own time, he will make them the glorious inhabitants.

Once more: when the scribes and Pharisees, who went down from Jerusalem to Capernaum, said that our Lord had Beelzebub, and that he cast out demons by the prince of the demons, he forcibly confuted their blasphemy by the following parables: "How can Satan cast out Satan? And if a kingdom be divided against itself, that kingdom cannot stand. And if a house be divided against itself, that house cannot stand. And if Satan rise up against himself, and be divided, he cannot stand, but hath an end. No man can enter into a strong man's house, and spoil his goods, unless he first bind the strong man: and then he will spoil his house." "And if I by Beelzebub cast out demons, by whom do your sons cast them out? therefore shall they be your judges. But if I by the finger of God cast out demons, no doubt the kingdom of God is come upon you." He seems to say: I am establishing a kingdom of righteousness by beneficent miracles: and if Satan assisted me in such a work, he would destroy his own power. You represent him as averting both natural and moral evil, instead of delighting in them. But it is plain, from the nature of my doctrines and miracles, that he is the vanquished, and not the confederate. And if there be such an unnatural confederacy, your own kinsfolk will appear to be engaged in it; and it will be too general not to be discovered.
Beauties which sometimes occur in his discourses.

Sect. V.—Of the beauties which sometimes occur in our Lord's discourses.

Our Lord's discourses are void of artificial and studied ornaments, but have a force and energy which no art can equal. The general characteristics of his manner are simplicity, affection, and dignity: qualities of speech which are the immediate sources of beauty and sublimity.

We may observe a lively use of the interrogation in some places: "Ye shall know false prophets by their Mat. vii. 16. fruits. Do men gather grapes of thorns? or figs of thistles?" "Whereunto shall we liken the kingdom of Mar. iv. 30. God? or with what comparison shall we compare it?" "Whereunto shall I liken the men of this generation? Lak. vii. 31. and to what are they like?" "Suppose ye that I am Lak. xii. 51. come to send peace on earth? I tell you, Nay; but only division." "Can the blind lead the blind? shall Lak. vi. 39. they not both fall into the ditch?" "How think ye? Mat. xviii. if a man have an hundred sheep, and one of them be gone astray, doth he not leave the ninety and nine, and go into the mountains, and seek that which is gone astray?" "What went ye out into the wilderness to Mat.xi.7-9. see? A reed shaken with the wind? But what went ye out for to see? A man clothed in soft raiment? behold,


* Observe here the pleonastic manner common in the Old Testament; the parallelism of the second branch of the sentence to the former.

* So ἅν signifies. The meaning is, that very great divisions would be the consequence of his religion.

* An inhabitant of the desert, exposed to the injuries of the weather, like a reed beaten to and fro by the wind. To this there is an opposition, ver. 8, a man clothed in soft raiment, living in a palace. Majorem vim accipit, says Quintilian, Usque adeone mori miserum est? quam per se, Mors misera non est. Inst. viii. 5. And Longinus observes that this figure makes what is said active, rapid, impetuous, enthusiastic, and pathetic, in an easy and natural way. Sect. xviii.
they that wear soft clothing are in kings' houses. But what went ye out for to see? A prophet? yes, I say unto you, and more than a prophet."

In the fourth beatitude the figure is strong, and expressively continued: "Blessed are they who hunger and thirst after righteousness; for they shall be filled."

He censures the doctrines and practices of the Pharisees under a striking allegory: "Every plant, which my heavenly Father hath not planted, shall be rooted out." And he beautifully uses the same figure to show the propriety of displaying his miraculous power on a particular occasion: "I must work the works of him that sent me, while it is day: the night cometh, when no man can work." The large return likely to be received by the bountiful man is thus described with a very pertinent amplification: "Give, and it shall be given unto you: good measure, pressed down, and shaken together, and running over, shall men give into your bosom."

In the same style is the following reproof to the apostles: "Why reason ye, because ye have no bread? perceive ye not yet, neither understand? have ye your heart yet hardened? having eyes, see ye not? and having ears, hear ye not? and do ye not remember?"

When our Lord had spoken a parable denouncing a

9 Luke vi. 38. An allusion to the flowing garments of the east. See Ps. lxxix. 13; Jer. xxxii. 18; Is. xl. 11; Prov. vi. 27. This is well explained by the action of Quintus Fabius in the Carthaginian senate. Tum Romanus, sim ex toga facto, Hic, inquit, vobis bellum et pacem portamus: utrum placet sumite. Liv. xxi. 18. Polybius's expression is, δεῖξας τοῖς ἐν τῷ κόσμῳ ὁλῷν καὶ

wov: "having shown to those in the senate the bosom of his gown." iii. 33. See Wetstein.

r Quinctilian (viii. 4.) thus expresses himself about this figure: Potest ascribi amplificationi conegers quoque verborum ac sentientiarum idem significantium. Nam, etiamsi non per gradus ascendant, tamen velut acervo quodam allevantur.
fearful destruction of the Jews, which the chief priests and scribes deprecated with horror, he looked on them and said, "What is this then that is written, The stone which the builders rejected, the same is become the head of the corner?" And the image is thus pursued by him with great sublimity: "Whosoever shall fall upon that stone shall be broken; but on whomsoever it shall fall, it will grind him to powder." Whosoever shall strike against it in a hostile manner will prove a vain and defeated assailant*: the gospel will prevail against all opposition, with damage or destruction to its opposers: but on whomsoever this stone shall fall, it shall crush him to powder: against whomever the vengeance of the Messiah shall be exerted, he shall perish exemplarily.

There is an elegant antithesis in the address to Simon the Pharisee: "Seest thou this woman? I entered into thine house, thou gavest me no water for my feet: but she hath washed my feet with tears, and wiped them with the hairs of her head. Thou gavest me no kiss: but this woman since the time I came in hath not ceased to kiss my feet. My head with oil thou didst not anoint: but this woman hath anointed my feet with ointment t."

The similitudes with which the discourse on the mount is concluded please the imagination by the justness and strength of the colouring. "Whosoever heareth these sayings of mine, and doeth them, I will liken him unto a wise man, which built his house upon a rock: and the rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house; and it fell not: for it was founded upon a rock. And every

* Offendet solido. Hor.  
one that heareth these sayings of mine, and doeth them not, shall be likened unto a foolish man, which built his house upon the sand: and the rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house; and it fell: and great was the fall of it."

A writer of very superior taste has this remark: "Where is there so just and so elegant a reproof of eagerness and anxiety in worldly pursuits, closed with so forcible an exhortation to confidence in the goodness of our Creator, as in these words? 'Behold the fowls of the air: for they sow not, neither do they reap, nor gather into barns; yet your heavenly Father feedeth them. Are not ye much better than they? Which of you by taking thought can add one cubit to his stature? And why take ye thought for raiment? Consider the lilies of the field, how they grow; they toil not, neither do they spin: and yet I say unto you, That even Solomon in all his glory was not arrayed like one of these. Wherefore, if God so clothe the grass of the field, which to day is, and to morrow is cast into the oven, shall he not much more clothe you, O ye of little faith?'"

Our Lord’s discourses are occasionally raised and animated by short comparisons. Speaking of the final judgment, he says, "Then shall the righteous shine forth as the sun in the kingdom of their Father."

"Before the Son of man shall be gathered all nations; and he shall separate them one from another, as a shepherd separateth his sheep from the goats." He shows by an apt image that the day of God’s vengeance on the Jews would be sudden and unexpected: "As a

\[\text{a Soame Jenyns's Internal View, &c. p. 33. ed. Dubl.}\]

\[\text{x 'Ως δὲ λευν ἐπιρροονεν, εἰκὼν ἐστι...Χρησμὸν δὲ ἢ εἰκὼν καὶ ἐν λόγῳ διεγέρας δὲ ποιηματο γὰρ.}\]

\[\text{"'He as a lion rushed,' is an image.—And the image is useful in prose also: but it must be sparingly used: for it is poetical." Arist. Rhet. iii. c. 4. § 1, 2.}\]
snare, shall it come on all them that dwell on the face of the whole land.” And their general and swift destruction is thus described by him: “As the lightning Mat. xxiv. cometh out of the east, and shineth even unto the west; so shall also the coming of the Son of man be.” He thus strongly illustrates the specious hypocrisy and inward pollution of the scribes and Pharisees: “Ye Luke xi. 44. are as graves that appear not: and the men that walk over them are not aware of them.” “Ye are like unto Mat. xxiii. whitened sepulchres; which indeed appear beautiful outward, but are within full of dead men’s bones, and of all uncleanness.” When he had privately explained many parables to his disciples, so that they understood them, he adds, “Every scribe, who is instructed unto the kingdom of heaven, is like an householder who Mat. xiii. bringeth forth out of his treasure things new and old.” And speaking of the sanctity which the apostles would derive from his doctrine, and of the power with which they would be invested by the Spirit, he uses the following natural similitude: “As the branch cannot bear Jo. xv. 4. fruit of itself, unless it abide in the vine; no more can ye, unless ye abide in me.”

The secular employments of men before the flood, while the divine vengeance impended over them, are thus vividly represented, and, as it were, multiplied:

Legal pollution was contracted by touching a grave. Num. ix. 16.

The most curious things in these burying grounds are some little square pavilions, about fifteen feet high, topped with a little dome, or with a very flat cap. The whole is whitewashed, &c. Each of these pavilions is the tomb of some saint. Cassini’s Voyage to Sallee. Lond. 1778. Dilly. But the reader may prefer Dr. Pocock’s interpretation in Hammond, that the Jewish sepulchres were washed over with white lime, that they might be discerned and avoided.

The eastern custom of collecting furniture and vestments, for grandeur and for presents, was also in use among the Romans. See Hor. Ep. I. vi. 434; and the beautiful comparison in Cic. de Oratore, . 35.
"They ate, they drank, they married wives, they were given in marriage." And when the days of Lot are spoken of, the conjunctive particles are omitted in the same rapid manner: "They ate, they drank, they bought, they sold, they planted, they builded." The same lively and dramatic figure occurs in the parable of the covetous rich man: "Soul, thou hast much goods laid up for many years: take thine ease, eat, drink, be merry."

The most august scene which imagination can conceive is represented with a mixture of simplicity and pathos in our Lord's largest description of the proceedings at the last day. The glory and majesty of the Judge and King are contrasted by his humility and benevolence. The righteous resume his words in the most natural manner; and, by resuming them, seem to inculcate anew the duties of humanity, never elsewhere so forcibly recommended. In like manner, when the wicked recapitulate the omissions with which they are charged, we seem a second time warned against the guilt of such crimes. But "when the Son of man shall come in his glory, and all the holy angels with him, then shall he sit on the throne of his glory: and before him shall be gathered all nations: and he shall separate them one from another, as a shepherd separateth his sheep from the goats: and he shall set the sheep on his right hand, and the goats on the left.

---

b Luke xii. 19. The Latins call this figure dissolutio, and dissoluta oratio; from the Greek terms λύσις and διασυνάφτω νυκτο. And it is placed by Tully among the orationis lumina, et quodammodo insignia. Orator. § 39. See also Quint. Inst. ix. 3. Longinus, § xix. Demetrius Phal. Glas. § 197.

c See Virg. Georg. iv. 538–553. Hom. Il. i. 11-15; 27-31. So Exod. vii, after ver. 18, the speech contained in ver. 16-18 is repeated in the Samaritan. See also ibid. 29, viii. 19; ix. 5, 19; x. 3; xi. 4. Polygl. Walt. or Bibl. Kennicott.

Then shall the King say unto them on his right hand, Come, ye blessed of my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world: for I hungered, and ye gave me meat: I thirsted, and ye gave me drink: I was a stranger, and ye took me in: naked, and ye clothed me: I was sick, and ye visited me: I was in prison, and ye came unto me. Then shall the righteous answer him, saying, Lord, when saw we thee hungry, and fed thee? or thirsty, and gave thee drink? when saw we thee a stranger, and took thee in? or naked, and clothed thee? or when saw we thee sick, or in prison, and came unto thee? And the King shall answer and say unto them, Verily I say unto you, Inasmuch as ye have done it unto one of the least of these my brethren, ye have done it unto me. Then shall he say also unto them on the left hand, Depart from me, ye cursed, into everlasting fire, prepared for the devil and his angels: for I hungered, and ye gave me no meat: I thirsted, and ye gave me no drink: I was a stranger, and ye took me not in: naked, and ye clothed me not: sick, and in prison, and ye visited me not. Then shall they also answer him, saying, Lord, when saw we thee hungry, or thirsty, or a stranger, or naked, or sick, or in prison, and did not minister unto thee? Then shall he answer them, Verily I say unto you, Inasmuch as ye did it not to one of the least of these, ye did it not to me. And these shall go away into everlasting punishment: but the righteous into everlasting life.” The elegant writer above mentioned asks*, “From the works of what sage of antiquity can be produced so pathetic a recommendation of benevolence to the distressed, and enforced by such assurances of a reward, as in these words of Christ?”

* Jenyns’s Internal View, &c. p. 31.
From the many exquisite beauties in our Lord's parables, I shall select some that appear the most remarkable. In the parable of the barren fig tree we have an instance of easy and natural narration, in which the fact is not coldly related, but enlivened by the introduction of a dialogue. "A certain man had a fig tree planted in his vineyard; and he came and sought fruit thereon, and found none. Then said he to the dresser of his vineyard, Behold, these three years I come seeking fruit on this fig tree, and find none: cut it down; why cumbereth it the ground? And he answered and said unto him, Master, let it alone this year also, till I shall dig about it, and dung it: and if it bear fruit, well: and if not, then after that thou shalt cut it down."

The opposition between the religious pride of the Pharisee and the humility of the publican is strongly marked. "The Pharisee stood by himself, and prayed thus, God, I thank thee, that I am not as other men are, extortioners, unjust, adulterers, or even as this publican. I fast twice in the week, I give tithes of all that I possess. And the publican stood afar off, and would not so much as lift up his eyes unto heaven, but smote upon his breast, saying, God be merciful to me a sinner."

\[\text{Luk. xiii. 6-9.}\]

\[\text{Luk. xviii. 11-13.}\]

1 Nothing can be more Attic than this figure. So Thucyd. iii. 3: Καὶ ἐὰν μὲν ξυμβῇ ἢ πείρατο ἐν ὑμῖν, Μυτηναῖοι εἰσίν, καὶ τὰ παραδοῦμεν, καὶ τείχῳ καταλείποι. And if the attempt succeeded, well: but if not, that they should require of the Mityleneans both to surrender their ships and to demolish their walls. See Dan. iii. 15: and I think that 1 Sam. xii. 14. should be thus rendered:

If ye will fear Jehovah, and serve him, and hearken unto his voice, and not rebel against the commandment of Jehovah, and both you, and also the king who reigneth over you will follow after Jehovah your Lord, well: but if ye will not, &c. Exod. xxxii. 32. should certainly be rendered, Yet now if thou wilt forgive their sin, well, &c.
In the parable of the ten talents, related by St. Luke, there is a fine allusion to historical facts, which, I believe, Le Clerc first observed. "A certain nobleman went into a far country to receive for himself a kingdom, and to return....But his citizens hated him, and sent a message after him, saying, We will not have this man to reign over us." Thus Herod the Great solicited the kingdom of Judæa at Rome, and was appointed king by the interest of Antony with the senate: and afterwards he sailed to Rhodes, divested himself of his diadem, and received it again from Augustus. In like manner, his sons Archelaus and Antipas repaired to the imperial city, that they might obtain the kingdom on their father's death: and we read that the Jews sent an embassy thither with accusations against Archelaus.

In the following parable there is an ornamental addition, like what sometimes occurs in the best poetical descriptions: "The kingdom of heaven is like to a grain of mustard seed, which a man took, and sowed in his field: which indeed is the least of all seeds: but when it is grown, it is the greatest among herbs, and becometh a tree, so that the birds of the air come and lodge in the branches thereof."

It has been observed with respect to the parable of the good Samaritan, that there is great propriety in laying the scene of the transaction; as the mountainous desert between Jerusalem and Jericho was likely...
to be infested by robbers. The parable was also delivered soon after the feast of tabernacles; and therefore at a time when many were actually going down from Jerusalem to different parts of the country; and when the train of ideas in the minds of our Lord's hearers would lead them to aggravate the inhumanity of the priest and Levite, by the reflection that they had been celebrating a religious festival, partaking of the oblations which the law assigned them, and reminded of their duty to relieve a distressed brother. The traveller is represented as the object of pity in plain and affecting terms: "He had fallen among thieves, who had stripped him of his raiment, had wounded him, and had departed, leaving him half dead." There cannot be a stronger opposition of character than between a priest and a Levite, teachers and ministers of a law abounding in precepts of mercy to their brethren; and one who bore a religious enmity to the Jewish nation, heightened by reciprocal acts of hatred and contempt. And yet even a Samaritan, whose name was a proverb of reproach, when he saw the wounded Jew, "passed not by on the other side," like the countrymen of the Jew who were equally eye-witnesses of his distress, but "had compassion on him, and went to him, and bound up his wounds, pouring in oil and wine, and set him on his own beast, and

---

k See Maundrell: and Wetstein and Macknight in loc.

1 ἔλθων καὶ ἔβρω, Luke x. 32, is not stronger than ἔβρω ver. 31: ἔλθω being often redundant. Our translators have injudiciously rendered ἔβρω differently. "When he saw him:" ver. 31. "Came and looked on him:" ver. 32. We have two instances of the same inhumanity in persons who ministered about sacred things. The Levite is placed last on account of his inferior rank: as John i. 19.

m Wetstein in loc. shows that Galen, Pliny, Theophrastus, and Columella, mention oil and wine as good for wounds. Succidae plurima præstant remedia ex oleo
brought him to an inn, and took care of him. And on
the morrow, when he departed, he took out two pieces
of money, and gave them to the host, and said unto
him, Take care of him; and whatsoever thou spendest
more, when I come again I will repay thee." No moral
teacher has exhibited a more illustrious triumph of
compassion over principles by which it was most forcibly
counteracted.

The parable of the rich man and Lazarus begins
with descriptions of the principal persons, which re-
fect additional beauty on each other by the contrast.
"There was a certain rich man, who was clothed in purple
and fine linen, and fared sumptuously every day: and
there was a certain beggar named Lazarus, who was
laid at his gate, full of sores, and" in vain "desiring to
be fed with the crumbs which fell from the rich man's
table." The picture is heightened by the following cir-
cumstance of horror: "moreover the dogs came and
licked his sores." But the narrative seems to imply
much more than what is expressed: it seems to inti-
mate that the sufferings of Lazarus were insupportable
to human nature: for it is added, "And it came to Ver. 22.
pass, that the beggar died,.... the rich man also died,
and was buried" with funeral pomp. After their death
a second contrast begins. The beggar is "carried by
angels into Abraham's bosom:" he reclines at a
heavenly banquet in a most distinguished place, the
bosom of the great progenitor of the Jewish nation\(^a\),
the father of the faithful, and the friend of God. But Rom.iv.11.
the rich man is in torments, he sees afar off the happi-
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\(\text{vinoque et aceto, prout queque mulceri morderive opus sit. Plin.}\)
\(\text{Nat. Hist. xxix. 9. In Athen-}\)
\(\text{enus, it is said of wine,}\)
\(\text{Καὶ τῶν ἀλκοόνων ἀνθρώπων ἑκάστην ἑξῆς.}\)

\(\text{And to the wounded wine will use-}\)
\(\text{ful prove.}\)

\(\text{See Pol. Syn. in loc.}\)

\(\text{a See Matt. viii. 11; John xiii.}\)

\(\text{23, 25; Plin. Epist. iv. 22.}\)

\(\text{F 2}\)
Of the beauties which sometimes occur

ness enjoyed by him whom he lately despised, and in vain beseeches Abraham that he would send Lazarus to dip the tip of his finger in water, and cool his tongue. Here the parable assumes a dramatic form; and it closes with an admonition and prophecy, to which, in the opinion of the hearers, the greatest weight is given, as they are uttered by Abraham himself: "If they hear not Moses and the prophets, neither will they be persuaded, though one rose from the dead."

The state of extreme wretchedness to which the prodigal son had reduced himself is painted with great expression in the parable so called: "When he had spent all, there arose a mighty famine in that land; and he began to be in want. And he went and joined himself to a citizen of that country; and he sent him into his fields to feed swine. And he desired to fill his belly with the husks which the swine did eat: and no man gave unto him." In his hour of reflection and remorse, which his distress naturally awakened, he says, "I will arise and go to my father, and will say unto him, Father, I have sinned against heaven, and before thee, and am no more worthy to be called thy son: make me as one of thy hired servants." Accordingly, when he comes to his father, he addresses him in these very words: "Father, I have sinned against heaven, and before thee, and am no more worthy to be called thy son." The compassion of the father, and the strength of natural affection, which is weak in com-

---

A most hateful employment to a Jew, whose law pronounced those animals unclean.

P. Kəpərəw, siliqua, i. e. leguminis folliculus, a corniculi similitudine, ut putatur. Quid vetet Luc. xv. accipere pro leguminum folliculis, hoc est, siliquis, quas Plinius quoque pecorum pabulo gratissimas esse scribit, non video. Hen. Steph. thea.

9 Luke xv. 21. I have shewn, p. 62, note 5, that this repetition is agreeable to the manner of Moses, and of the most admired ancient writers.
parison of God's goodness, are thus beautifully represented: "When he was yet a great way off, his father Luke xv. 20. saw him, and had compassion, and ran, and fell on his neck, and kissed him." He seems also to interrupt his son in his act of confession and self-abasement by the command, "Bring forth the best robe, and put it on him; and put a ring on his hand, and shoes on his feet: and bring bither the fatted calf, and kill it; and let us eat, and be merry:" for it remained that the son should add, "Make me as one of thy hired servants." The jealousy and indignation of the Jews at the admission of the Gentiles into God's favour, their rejection of the gospel on that account, and God's benevolent endeavours to overcome their prejudices, are set forth with equal excellence in the remaining part of the parable. When the elder son knew the cause of the rejoicing, "he was angry, and would not go in: therefore came his father out, and intreated him. And he answered and said to his father, Behold, these many years do I serve thee, neither transgressed I at any time thy commandment: and yet thou never gavest me a kid, that I might make merry with my friends: but as soon as this thy son was come, who hath devoured thy living with harlots, thou hast killed for him the fatted calf." Here is ostentation of his own obedience; a charge of unkindness against his father; aggravation of the younger son's conduct, for he does not vouchsafe him the endearing appellation of brother; and expostulation with his father for an act of the greatest placability and goodness. The father replies with the most perfect mildness. The claim of un-

Comp. Luke xv. 18, 19, with ver. 21. There is an unnecessary refinement in this observation: as the authorities for adding these words are sufficient. See note c.

p. 62.

It is not said his living, but thy living: a circumstance of aggravation.
interrupted obedience is not denied; and thus the case is put as favourably as possible for the Jews; and they are left, with much delicacy, to collect their guilt from their former history and present conduct. And where- as the angry language of this thy son had been used, the father endeavours to raise the most tender sensa-
tions by changing it into this thy brother. "Son, thou art ever with me, and all that I have is thine. It was meet that we should make merry, and be glad: for this thy brother was dead, and is alive again; and was lost, and is found."

We shall in vain search the treasures of ancient and modern learning for apologues equal in beauty to our Lord's parables in general, and particularly to the three last; which I have therefore somewhat largely illustrated.

In some of our Lord's discourses the sedate and composed affections prevail, displaying the humane and attractive virtues of the speaker, penetrating and posses-
sing the heart of the hearers, and clearly reflecting back the mild lustre of an amiable character: than which natural species of eloquence none is more persuasive or delightful. The best illustrations of this may be taken from our Lord's addresses to the companions of his ministry, and the future preachers of his gospel, on the night before his crucifixion.

He thus comforts them on his departure: "Let not your heart be troubled: believe in God, believe also

\[\text{Jo. xiv. 1, 2, 3, 16, 18, 27.}\]

---

\[\text{\textsuperscript{1} See Doddridge on Luke xvi. 32.}\]

\[\text{\textsuperscript{u} Hes quod intelligimus, quod-
que a docentibus desideramus, id erit quod ante omnia bonitate commendabitur: non solum mite ac placidum, sed plerumque blandum et humanum, et audientibus amabile atque juicundum: in quo exprimendo summa virtus ea est, ut fluere omnia ex natura rerum humanumque videantur, quomores dicentis ex oratone pellucet, et quodammodo agnoscantur. Quod est procul dubio inter conjunctas maxime personas, quoties perfec-
rimus, ignoscimus, satisfacimus, monemus, procul ab ira, procul ab odio. Quinct. vi. 2.}\]
in me. In my Father's house are many mansions: if it were not so, I would have told you. I go to prepare a place for you. And if I go and prepare a place for you, I will come again, and receive you unto myself; that where I am, there ye may be also." "I will pray the Father, and he will give you another Advocate, that he may abide with you for ever." "I will not leave you in an orphan state: I will come unto you." "Peace I leave with you, my peace I give unto you: not as the world giveth, give I unto you. Let not your heart be troubled, neither let it be afraid."

He thus arms them against the persecutions which they were to undergo: "If the world hate you, ye know that it hated me before it hated you. If ye were of the world, the world would love his own: but because ye are not of the world, but I have chosen you out of the world, therefore the world hateth you....All these things will they do unto you for my name's sake, because they know not him that sent me." "They will put you out of the synagogues: yea, the time cometh, that whosoever killeth you will think that he doeth God service....But these things have I told you, that when the time shall come, ye may remember that I told you of them."

He thus exhorts them to the discharge of their duty as his disciples: "If ye love me, keep my commandments....He that hath my commandments, and keepeth them, he it is that loveth me: and he that loveth me shall be loved of my Father, and I will love him, and will manifest myself unto him." "Herein is my Father's love unto you." 

---

* Hence Christ himself was called ἀποκαλείν, and he is called so: John ii. 1. Παράκλητος signifies to comfort and to exhort. Wetstein's note is "Glossarium, advocatus, ἀποκαλείν: and he quotes Asconius in Cic. in Q. Cæc. advocatus, si aut jus sugerit, aut præsentiam suam commodat amico. See also Bishop Pearce. ο quamdiu vivit. Wetstein. Bishop Pearce. Philem. 15.
glorified, that ye bear much fruit; so shall ye be my disciples....If ye keep my commandments, ye shall abide in my love; even as I have kept my Father's commandments, and abide in his love....This is my commandment, That ye love one another, as I have loved you....Ye are my friends, if ye do whatsoever I command you."

And he thus supports and animates them in the prayer with which he concludes these affectionate dis-

courses: "Glorify thy Son, that thy Son also may glorify thee. As thou hast given him power over all flesh, that he should give everlasting life to as many as thou hast given him....Father, I will that they also, whom thou hast given me, be with me where I am; that they may behold my glory, which thou hast given me: for thou lovedst me before the foundation of the world."

But our Lord occasionally moves as well as per-
suades; and commands our passions as well as conciliates our affections. This will appear from the following instances; in which pity, terror, and hatred of vice, are strongly excited.

"O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, which killest the prophets, and stonest them that are sent unto thee; how often would I have gathered thy children together, as a hen gathereth her brood under her wings, and ye would not! Behold, your house is left unto you desolate." 

"If thou hadst known, even thou, at least in this thy day, the things which belong unto thy peace! but now they are hidden from thine eyes. For the days shall come upon thee, when thine enemies shall cast a trench about thee, and compass thee round, and keep thee in on every side, and shall lay thee even with the ground, and thy children within thee; and they shall not leave in thee one stone upon another; because thou knewest
not the time of thy visitation." "Woe unto them that Mat. xxiv. are with child, and unto them that give suck in those days." "Behold, the days are coming in which they Luk. xxiii. shall say, Blessed are the barren, and the wombs that never bare, and the breasts which never gave suck."

"Fear not them who kill the body, but are not able Mat. x. 28. to kill the soul: but rather fear him who is able to destroy both soul and body in hell." "Nation shall Mat. xxiv. rise against nation, and kingdom against kingdom: and there shall be famines, and pestilences, and earthquakes, in divers places. All these are the beginning of sorrows." "Immediately after the tribulation of Ver. 29. those days shall the sun be darkened, and the moon shall not give her light, and the stars shall fall from heaven, and the powers of the heavens shall be shaken."

"And there shall be signs in the sun, and in the moon, Luk. xxi. and in the stars; and upon the earth distress of nations, with perplexity; the sea and the waves roaring; men's hearts failing them for fear, and for looking after those things which are coming on the earth: for the powers of heaven shall be shaken." "Then shall they begin to Luk. xxiii. say to the mountains, Fall on us; and to the hills, Cover us." "Then shall appear the sign of the Son of Mat. xxiv. man in heaven: and then shall all the tribes of the land mourn, and they shall see the Son of man coming in the clouds of heaven with power and great glory. And he shall send his angels with a trumpet of a great sound, and shall gather together his elect from the four winds, from one end of heaven to the other."

"O generation of vipers, how can ye, being evil, Mat. xii. 34. speak good things? for out of the abundance of the heart the mouth speaketh." "Every plant, which my Mat. xv. 13, heavenly Father hath not planted, shall be rooted up. Let them alone: they are blind leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the
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Mat. xvi. 3. ditch. “O ye hypocrites, ye can discern the face of the sky; but can ye not discern the signs of the times? A wicked and adulterous generation seeketh after a sign; and there shall be no sign given unto it, but the sign of the prophet Jonas.” “Ye are of your father the devil, and the desires of your father ye seek to do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it.”

Mat. xxiii. But in our Lord’s last address to the scribes and Pharisees, we have the most remarkable example of grave and sharp reproof, dictated by so perfect a knowledge of the heart that every word must have stung the conscience of his hearers to the quick; and uttered, we may well suppose, with an earnestness and vehemence becoming the justest indignation against vice, and the dignity of a rejected and persecuted prophet.

Mat. xxiii. The audience consisted of the disciples, the persons reproved, and all the people. The scene was the temple, now last filled with glory by the presence of Jesus. The time was the paschal week, the fourth day before our Lord’s crucifixion, and that on which he concluded his public instructions.

The remote occasion of this severe reprehension was the conduct of the scribes and Pharisees throughout our Lord’s ministry. Before he had finished half his course, they twice sought to kill him for healing on the sabbath; though we have seen that he defended these acts of humanity and mercy by invincible arguments. They hardened themselves in their disbelief of him, notwithstanding the witness which God gave of him at his birth, at his baptism, and during his whole intercourse among them; notwithstanding the testimony of his forerunner, whom all held to be a prophet, the ex-

Luk. xx. 45.
Hagg. ii. 7.
Jo. v. 16, 18. Mat. xii. 11–14.
cellence of his doctrine, the sinless rectitude of his life, his acquaintance with all their thoughts, his appeals to Moses and the prophets, whose predictions of the Messiah he was daily fulfilling, and his own great and numerous miracles: ascribing these to Satan, though designed to subvert the kingdom of Satan, and endeavouring to compass Jesus's death for the most illustrious of them, the raising of Lazarus after he had lain four days in the grave.

The immediate occasion of our Lord's asperity was, that the chief priests and scribes questioned him by Mat. xxii. what authority he acted, with a design of putting him to death for avowing that authority; that the Pharisees ver. 45, 46. sought to apprehend him for describing the punishment of their unbelief in a parable; that they suborned Luk.xx. 21. spies to ensnare him in his discourse, in order to deliver him up to the Roman governor; and that their malice prompted a feeble attempt to lessen his public reputation for wisdom by proposing to him difficult Mat. xxii. questions. These, we must observe, were the preceding events of this very day.

The subject of this intrepid, eloquent, and pathetic animadversion is, the attention due to the scribes and Pharisees as teachers of Moses's law, notwithstanding the strange inconsistency between their doctrines and practice; their rigorous exaction of traditionary observances, burdens which they refused to alleviate in the smallest degree, though they saw the people sinking under the weight of them; their ostentation in "all their works," pompous show of reverence for the law, pride, love of reputation for religious wisdom, and of uncontrolled authority in religious decisions. A woe is denounced against them for excluding men from the Messiah's kingdom by the terrors of temporal punishments; for devouring the substance of widows, and
hiding their rapacity under the cloak of superior holiness; for their unwearied zeal in making proselytes to doctrines and practices which plunged men in destruction; for their blindness and infatuation in deciding that oaths by the gold of the temple and by the gift on the altar were obligatory, and discharging those from all obligation, who swore by the temple and altar, to which the gold and the gift owed their sanctity; for their scrupulousness in performing the minuter parts of the law, and their flagrant guilt in omitting the weightier; for cleansing the outside of vessels, which they filled by means of rapine and injustice; for the speciousness of their external appearance, when all within was the rankest foulness and pollution; for honouring the memories of former prophets, and disclaiming the conduct of their forefathers who slew them, while they persecuted and crucified those of their own times. The whole concludes with a prophecy of their rancour against the Christian church, with a denunciation of present and future vengeance for their subtle and dangerous malignity, with a most affecting apostrophe to Jerusalem, an allusion to the destruction of the temple, and a prediction of the Messiah's future glorious appearance, when every knee should bow to him and every tongue confess him.

We read in the Gospels that the people were astonished at our Lord's doctrine, because "he taught them as one having authority;" that, when he visited Nazareth the second time during his ministry, the inhabitants of that city asked, "Whence hath this man

---

*a Christ, a divine teacher, and searcher of the heart, had authority to reprove in this manner.
*a This is proverbially expressed in a strong manner: They strained out a gnat, and swallowed a camel. So the English Bibles of 1549, and 1599.
*b The true reading is ἀκραίας, not ἀκραίας.
this wisdom?” and that the Jewish officers, who were sent to apprehend him, made the following remarkable confession, “Never man spake like this man.” And we Jo. vii. 46. further read, that when he first preached the gospel in the synagogue at Nazareth, “all bare him witness, and wondered at the gracious words which proceeded out of his mouth;” and that two of his disciples, with whom he conversed after his resurrection, said one to another on his departure from them, “Did not our heart burn Luk. xxiv. within us, while he talked with us by the way?” We 32. have therefore testimonies to our Lord’s eloquence, as well as to his authority and wisdom. Sublimity is inseparable from great minds and great subjects: every natural expression either of the amiable qualities of the heart, or of its stronger emotions, cannot but affect and strike us; and the highest truths delivered with simplicity, and often with the bold ornaments of eastern metaphor, proverb, or parable, have a diversified beauty which the human mind is prone to acknowledge and admire in whatever shape it appears. In the mean time, the great and uniform object of our heavenly Teacher was to communicate religious and moral instruction, and to sanctify the heart: his ornaments are unsought, and arise out of the subject with the greatest ease and majesty.

I shall digress an instant to consider the following question: Why did not God inspire the preachers of the gospel, and the writers of the New Testament, with the most perfect language and manner? I answer, that God has preferred affording internal evidence of the Christian revelation by the truth and purity of its doctrines and precepts, and external evidence of it by miracles and prophecies, perhaps for these among other reasons: that the faith of Christ’s disciples “might not, originally, stand in the wisdom Cor. ii. 4, 5. of men, but in the power of God;” which is St. Paul’s
reason for not preaching "with the persuasive words of man's wisdom:" that, in after-ages, the framing of the Christian system might not be attributed to the superior abilities of such consummate writers: that the sacred records might carry plain marks that they were written by those whose names they bear: that the attention of men might be principally turned to the authority of the lawgiver and the reasonableness of his laws: and that no superfluous miracle might be wrought; as such an interposition would not have answered any religious purpose, and mankind are equally instructed in the present way.

It is true, that nothing can exceed the high poetical ornaments in many parts of the Old Testament. In the earliest times, previous to the knowledge of letters and the existence of records, poems were most likely to be remembered, admired, and sent down to posterity. And God was pleased to consecrate poetry to the service of religion occasionally in the Hebrew historians; and especially in the writings of Job, David, Solomon, and those whom the Jews call the later prophets. I conjecture that the Hebrew poets were early trained up to compositions of this kind, accompanied with music: and God seems graciously to have inspired them in the same form, to engage the attention, to impress the memory, to animate the affections, and to kindle the devotions, of a people prone to idolatry.

The recorded poetry of the New Testament occurs only in the hymns of Elizabeth, Mary, Zacharias, and Simeon: though it appears that hymns were also inspired by the Spirit in devout assemblies of Christians. Christianity, an universal religion, is recommended to the reason and veneration of all mankind by its intrinsic excellence and supernatural proofs, and by the dignity and majesty with which it is delivered.
Our Lord’s images drawn from familiar objects.

Sect. VI.—That our Lord’s instructions frequently sprang out of the occasion: and that his images were drawn from familiar objects.

It is observable of our Lord’s discourse, that it is often suggested by accidental objects, and arises in the most easy and natural manner from present or recent occasions and circumstances. This topic has been discussed by very sagacious and diligent writers, who have left little to be supplied, and have sometimes strained a true hypothesis beyond its just limits. I shall enumerate such instances as appear to be well founded.

The temple was the scene of the transaction, when Jesus thus answered the Jews who asked of him a sign: “Destroy this temple,” pointing to his body, in which dwelt all the fulness of the godhead, “and in three days I will raise it up.”

* Within the precincts of the same building, in erecting which stones of great magnitude and beauty had been used, our Lord said to the chief priests and elders, “The stone which the builders rejected, the same is laid.”

\[\text{Luk. xx. 17, 18.}\]


\[\text{“I freely acknowledge,” says Whiston, “that sir I. Newton’s observation, how Christ in his parabolical discourses was wont to allude to things present, is, though not an entirely new, yet a very true, and, as here more fully than anywhere else insisted on, a very curious observation: and I further take the liberty to mention this rule, as that by which sir I. Newton himself was pleased to examine the several sections of my Harmony of the Four Evangelists.”—Six Dissertations, p. 312, 313.}\]

\[\text{d So Ter. Andr. ii. i. 12: Tu, si hic sis alter sentias. And Hor. Sat. i. ix. 45: Haberes Magnum adjutorem, et posset qui ferre secundas, Hunc hominem velles si tradere.}\]

\[\text{* In this and the next section the asterisk is prefixed to the author’s own illustrations of the general remark.}\]
become the head of the corner. Whosoever shall fall on that stone shall be broken; but on whomsoever it shall fall, it will grind him to powder."

To Nicodemus, who came to him by night, our Lord observed, that "men loved darkness rather than light, because their deeds were evil".

At Jacob's well in Samaria, Jesus, having asked drink of a Samaritan woman, went on to represent his doctrine under the image of living, that is, flowing, or perpetually springing water: and added, "Whosoever shall drink of the water that I shall give him shall never thirst; but the water that I shall give him shall be in him a well of water springing up into everlasting life."

Ver. 31, 32. At the same time "his disciples prayed him, saying, Master, eat. But he said unto them, I have meat to eat that ye know not of....My meat is to do the will of him that sent me, and to finish his work."

It is also highly probable that it was seedtime, when, during the course of this transaction, he thus addressed his disciples: "Say ye not, There are yet four months, and then cometh harvest? behold, I say unto you, Lift up your eyes, and look on the field; for they are white already to harvest. And he that reapeth receiveth wages, and gathereth fruit unto everlasting life: that both he that soweth and he that reapeth may rejoice together. And herein is that saying true, One soweth, and another reapeth."

Simon and Andrew his brother were employed in their occupation as fishermen, and astonishment had seized them at the wonderful draught of fishes which they had taken, when Jesus thus called them: "Follow me, and I will make you to become fishers of men:"

and when in particular he said to Simon, who, agree-
ably to the warmth of his feelings, might most strongly
express his admiration, "Fear not, from henceforth Lok. v. 10.
though shalt catch men.'"

"From his power exerted in raising the impotent
man, our Lord makes an easy transition to his power
of raising the dead; and from thence takes occasion to Jo.v.21,25.
instruct the Jews in the doctrine of a general resurrec-
tion and future judgment.'"

It must also be observed, that our Lord was ad-
ressing fishermen when he said, "What man is there Mat.vii.10.
among you, of whom if his son ask a fish, will he give
him a serpent?" And that he was speaking to the See Mar.
same hearers, immediately after sitting in a ship and
iv.1. Mat.
iiii.36.
teaching the multitude that stood on the shore, when
xiii.36.
he compared his kingdom to "a net that was cast into Mat.xiii.
the sea, and gathered of every kind: which, when it
47. 48.
was full, they drew to shore, and sat down, and col-
lected the good into vessels, but cast the bad away."

Maundrel informs us that not far from the mount
of beatitudes was the city of Bethulia, which stood on
a very eminent and conspicuous mountain. Our Lord
might therefore direct the eye to it, when he said, "A Mat.v.14.
city that is set on a hill cannot be hidden." It has
been further supposed that he might observe husband-
men manuring the soil with that material when he
called his disciples the "salt of the earth:' and that he Ver.13.
might point to the objects themselves when he bade
them "behold the fowls of the air," and "consider the Mat.vi.26,
lilies of the field;" when he instructed them to judge
28.
of false prophets as of trees, by the fruits which they Mat.vii.16,
brought forth; and when he compared hearers and 17. 24. 26,
doers of his word to houses founded on a rock, and

* Randolph's View, &c. 93. 8 P. 115, edit. 6. Oxf.

NEWCOME. G
That our Lord's instructions frequently forgetful hearers of it to ruinous houses which had been erected on the sand.

We find the same exhortation from the fowls of the air and the lilies of the field repeated, when the words were probably spoken in Capernaum, or between that place and the adjoining lake. We have not sufficient grounds to fix the time of this repetition; Jesus's teaching from a ship being an equivocal mark in such a country as Galilee, and the tempest, which immediately succeeded, being no less so, in a lake surrounded with mountains, like that of Tiberias. It seems plain, from the series of events in the gospel history, that the discourse on the mount was delivered soon after the second passover in Christ's ministry, and perhaps about a month after the vernal equinox. But yet I incline to think that the general scene of the transaction, and the usual occupations of the Jews in their fields, vineyards, and gardens of herbs, suggested some of the images which are ingeniously supposed to have arisen, not from familiar but from present objects.

* On healing the servant of a centurion, who, though a Gentile, was more eminent for a rational faith in Jesus than the Jews, our Lord foretold the admission of many Gentiles into the kingdom of heaven, and the exclusion and punishment of the unbelieving Jews.

When our Lord compared the progressive guilt of the Jews to the malady of a demoniac returning on him with sevenfold fury, it is ingeniously remarked

\[ b \]

"that he did not introduce this comparison abruptly, but soon after curing a demoniac, who perhaps was then standing before him."

When one said to him, "Behold, thy mother and thy brethren stand without, desiring to speak with thee; he answered, Who is my mother? and who are my

brethren? And he stretched forth his hands toward his disciples, and said, Behold my mother and my bre-
thren! For whosoever shall do the will of my Father who is in heaven, the same is my brother, and sister, and mother."

Sir Isaac Newton, and many after him, have sup-
posed that the parable of a sower going forth to sow Mat. xiii. was spoken during seedtime, about the month of No-
vember: and the series of events does not contradict
the supposition.

When one of our Lord's disciples excused himself
from becoming his immediate and constant attendant,
by saying, "Lord, suffer me first to go and bury my Mat.viii.21.
father;" to wait for his death, which in the course of
nature cannot be distant; "Jesus said to him, Let the Luk. ix. 60.
dead bury their dead;" let the spiritually dead, thy
brethren or thy kinsfolk, perform this office: "but go
thou and preach the kingdom of God."

In allusion to the miracle of feeding a great multi-
tude with five loaves and two fishes, and to the at-
tendance of the multitude that their returning wants
might be again supplied, our Lord said to the people
the next day, "Labour not for the meat which perish-
eth, but for that meat which endureth unto everlasting
life, which the Son of man shall give unto you ..... I am
the bread of life: he that cometh to me shall never hunger; and he that believeth on me shall never
thirst." And afterwards in the synagogue: "I am the
living bread which came down from heaven: if any
man eat of this bread, he shall live for ever: and the
bread that I will give is my flesh, which I will give for
the life of the world."

* Immediately after the Pharisees had censured his
disciples for eating with unwashed hands, our Lord, Mar. vii. 2.
referring to Pharisaical ablutions and fear of outward
That our Lord's instructions frequently

Mat. xv. 10, 11.

defilement, thus solemnly taught the multitude a most
instructive and seasonable moral lesson: "Hear, and
understand: Not that which goeth into the mouth
defileth a man; but that which cometh out of the
mouth, this defileth a man."

The disciples having forgotten to take bread with
them on crossing the lake of Tiberias, our Lord cau-
tioned them against the leaven of the Pharisees, the
Sadducees, and Herod; meaning their doctrine, hypo-
crisy, and subtle cruelty.

Mat. xvi. 6.

At the feast of tabernacles the Jews filled a golden
vessel out of Siloam, a fountain near mount Sion.
When they came with it to the water-gate of the tem-
ple, they sounded their trumpets, and sang, "With joy
shall ye draw water out of the wells of salvation:" and,
Is. xii. 3.
Is. lv. 1.
"Ho, every one that thirsteth, come ye to the waters."
This traditionary custom of drawing water, and pouring
it on the morning sacrifice, was said to signify the
Joel ii. 18.
effusion of the Spirit; to be a symbol of the law; to
be a commemoration of Moses's bringing water out of
the rock in the wilderness; and to be offered that the
Jo. vii. 37, 38.
Joel ii. 18.
Jo. viii. 12.
Joel ii. 18.

When Jesus said to the Pharisees, "I am the light
of the world: he that followeth me shall not walk in
darkness, but shall have the light of life;" it is thought

See Lightfoot, Schoettgen, and Wetstein in loc., and Buxt.
Lex. Rabh. voc. ש"ב.
probable that he spake this at sunrising, as the evan-
egelist had observed that early in the morning he came into the temple.

Sir Isaac Newton is followed by many in supposing that it was the sabbatical year, and that several Jewish bond-servants had been newly set free at the feast of tabernacles, when our Lord said, “If ye continue in Jo. viii. 31, my word, then are ye my disciples indeed; and ye 32. shall know the truth, and the truth shall set you free.” Servants were manumitted in the seventh year of their Ex. xxi. 2. servitude, and in the fiftieth year of jubilee, on the Deut. xv. 12. tenth day of that month in which the feast of taber-

nacles was kept. But whether there was an actual manumission at this time is quite uncertain. The pas-
sage has sufficient force by referring to a common custom.

Immediately before Jesus restored sight to a man blind from his birth, he thus expressed himself: “As p. 9. Jo. ix. 5. long as I am in the world, I am the light of the world;” where the image of his being the light of the world in a moral sense was naturally suggested by the occasion. And when the man on whom the miracle was wrought believed in Jesus, and worshipped him, Jesus said, “For judgment I am come into this world, that they Ver. 39. who see not might see; and that they who see might be made blind.” By my coming into the world, the just judgments of God are displayed: plain and good men see the truth; wise and vicious men are judicially blinded. Then said the Pharisees, “Are we blind Ver. 40, 41. also?” Jesus answered, “If ye were blind,” if ye were incapable of religious light and knowledge, “ye should have no sin: but now ye say, We see,” we have wis-
dom and understanding, “therefore your sin remain-
eth;” you pervert your faculties, and are guilty of cri-
minal unbelief.
It is ably suggested by sir Isaac Newton, that our
Lord, during the feast of tabernacles, was near folds
in Jerusalem, which contained sheep for sacrifice, had a
porter, and a certain height to obstruct the entrance of
thieves, when he represented himself as the door of
the sheepfold, as the good shepherd, as having other
sheep of a different fold, as knowing his sheep, and
laying down his life for them.

*It appears that the feast of tabernacles was just
ended, and that many were going from Jerusalem to
their respective places of abode, when our Lord spake
the parable which begins, “A certain man *went down*
from Jerusalem to Jericho:” and again, as he and many
of the Jews were going up to the feast of dedication,
he thus lays the scene of another parable: “Two men
*went up* \(^k\) to the temple to pray.”

While Jesus was eating bread with one of the Pha-
risees on the sabbath, he spake three parables arising
from the occasion: one, in censure of choosing the
chief places; another, in praise of disinterested hospi-
tality and beneficence; and a third, representing the
gospel under the idea of a great feast, from which the
Jews should be excluded on their refusal to partake of
it, and to which the Gentiles should be earnestly and
importantly invited.

*The covetous Pharisees being present, he spake
the parable of a certain rich man who had an unjust
steward; exhorted men to make themselves friends of
riches, which are so often procured by unrighteousness,
and so often administer to it; and distinguished be-
tween the precarious and dangerous riches of this life
and the true heavenly treasures. The Pharisees de-

\(^k\) Luke xviii. 10. This illus-
tration is a doubtful one, as the
phrase is applicable to inhabit-
ants of Jerusalem. John vii. 14;
Acts iii. 1.
riding him on this account, he with great dignity added another parable, of one who was rich, and unfeeling to the distresses of his fellow-creatures in this life, and became the just object of divine punishment in the next.

* When he was near the mount of transfiguration, which the evangelists describe as a high mountain, he said to his apostles, “If ye have faith as a grain of mustard seed, ye shall say unto this mountain, Remove hence unto yonder place; and it shall remove.” And Jortin has observed that, as he passed by the mount of Olives, the disciples wondered at the sudden withering of the fig tree; upon which Jesus answered, “Verily I say unto you, If ye have faith, and doubt not, ye shall not only do this which is done to the fig tree, but also if ye shall say unto this mountain, Be thou removed, and be thou cast into the sea; it shall be done.” *A like pointing out of present objects occurs while our Lord was in the way to the feast of dedication. “The apostles said to him, Increase our faith. And he said, If ye had faith as a grain of mustard seed, ye might say unto this sycamore tree, Be thou plucked up by the root, and be thou planted in the sea; and it should obey you.”

*Martha having said to him, “Lord, dost thou not care that my sister hath left me to serve alone? bid her therefore that she help me.” he answered, “Martha, Martha, thou art careful and troubled about many things: but one thing is needful.”

Being about to raise Lazarus, he extended his discourse to the general resurrection: “I am the resurrection, and the life: he that believeth in me, though he die, yet shall he live” at the last day: “and whosoever liveth” at the general resurrection “and believeth in me, shall never die.”
When some brought young children to him, he took occasion to observe that of such-like was the kingdom

Mar. x. 14, of heaven: adding, "Verily I say unto you, Whosoever shall not receive the kingdom of God as a little child, he shall not enter therein."

* The remark of one of his disciples as he went out

Mar. xiii. 1, of the temple, "Master, see what manner of stones, and what buildings are here!" occasioned this reply: "Seest thou these great buildings? there shall not be left one stone upon another, that shall not be thrown down:" and introduced a most illustrious prophecy relating to the destruction of Jerusalem.

At the time of the vernal equinox, when the trees put forth their leaves in Judæa, he spake this parable:

Luk. xxi. 29-31. "Behold the fig tree, and all the trees; when they now shoot forth, ye see and know of your own selves that summer is now nigh at hand. So likewise ye, when ye see these things come to pass, know ye that the kingdom of God is nigh at hand."

When Peter said to Jesus, "Thou shalt never wash my feet," Jesus answered him, "If I wash thee not" in a spiritual sense, "thou hast no part with me."

Having called the fruit of the vine his blood, or appointed it as the perpetual representation of his blood shed for mankind, he went on to call himself the true vine, his Father the husbandman, and his disciples the branches: declaring that, if they abode in him, they should bring forth much fruit, but severed from him should be cast forth as withered branches, and burnt.

And, lastly, after his resurrection he thus prophesied of Peter's death, "Verily, verily, I say unto thee, When

Jo. xxi. 18. 1 John xv. 1-6. Grotius observes here, and John vii. 37: Mos est Christi ex rebus obviis sermonis sui occasi onem sumere. It is unnaturally supposed by some, that a vine tree presented itself to Jesus at this time: because the scene is the guest-chamber where he ate the pass-over.
thou wast young, thou girdedst thyself, and walkedst
whither thou wouldest: but when thou shalt be old,
thou shalt stretch forth thy hands, and another shall
gird thee, and carry thee whither thou wouldest not:"
beautifully alluding to the circumstance that Peter,
when he received an intimation that Jesus was stand-
ing on the shore, “girt his fisher's coat unto him, and
cast himself into the sea.”

The following quotation from Macknight will show
that our Lord's images were taken, if not from pre-
sent objects, yet from obvious ones: “The sower going
forth to sow, the growth of the corn, the trees putting
forth their branches and leaves, the dressing of vine-
yards, the grass of the fields, the fowls of the air, the
foxes' holes, the gathering of chickens under the wings
of their dam, the affections of a father, the putting of
the hand to the plough, the building of houses, the
making of war, the robbing of a traveller, the breaking
of houses by thieves, and many such similitudes, are
introduced by him, to convey striking impressions of
the truths which he was delivering.”

Mr. Townson's instances are, “his comparing the
perverseness of the Jews to the froward and sullen
humour of children; and the solicitude of Heaven for
the conversion of sinners, and the joy of angels on their
repentance, to a woman lighting a candle and sweep-
ing her house in search after her lost piece of silver,
and when she had found it, calling her neighbours
about her to rejoice with her.”

Other examples are, the burning of tares and the
storing of wheat, the separation of good fishes from

---

* John xxi. 7. See Macknight's Harmony, p. 661, and sermon preached at Chester, 1778.
* See an ingenious visitation
* Gospel History, p. 80, 81.
bad, the dunging of a fig tree, the quality of leaven, the importunity of petitioners, the seeking of a lost sheep on the mountains, the dividing of sheep from goats, the customs of nuptial and other feasts, the conduct of stewards, labourers, and domestic servants. But it is unnecessary to make an attempt towards a complete induction of particulars.

By so strongly marking our Lord's peculiar mode of instructing, and, instead of repeated general lessons on religious and moral topics, introducing so many references to time and place, to occasional occurrences and present objects, the evangelists furnish a presumption that his discourses are not artfully and cautiously invented by them?, but are always the substance of what he said, and often his very expressions.

And as our Lord's conversation so constantly took this turn, it may be collected that his grand purpose was to be useful and instructive?.

It has been further observed, that his excellent lessons were likely to be better retained this way; as every object and event to which he had alluded served for a monitor and remembrancer.

It may be added, that this manner of teaching must sometimes have given a peculiar animation to his discourses: that a proud display of knowledge and wis-

? This is among the many very masterly observations made by Dr. Jortin. See Discourses on the Christian Religion, p. 229, 245, 246.


? I have often admired, says an elegant writer, the spirited theatrical manner of the Italian and eastern poets when they recite in the open air, pointing out each object of description in an imaginary scene of their own extemporaneous creation; but availing themselves at the same time of every real appearance of nature within view of their audience, that is applicable to their subject, and connected in some degree with the spot where the recital is made. Wood's Genius of Homer, p. 22, 45.
dom is best avoided by pursuing this method: that it proves how full our Lord’s mind always was of the best thoughts, his mouth speaking out of the abundance of his heart: and that it may teach good men distantly to copy his admirable manner, by making a right use of common incidents on fit occasions.

And again: Our Lord’s familiar way of instructing is strongly contrasted to the terrors which accompanied the giving of the law. “We are not come to the moun-
tain that might be touched*, and that burned with fire, nor unto blackness, and darkness, and tempest, and the sound of a trumpet, and the voice of words; which voice they that heard intreated that the word should not be spoken to them any more: (for they could not endure that which was commanded, And if so much as a beast touch the mountain, it shall be stoned, or thrust through with a dart: and so terrible was the sight, that Moses said, I exceedingly fear and quake:”*) but we are come to a prophet from among our brethren, to the meek and lowly Jesus, who was touched with the feel-
ing of our infirmities, and graciously adapted himself to them.

Sect. VII.—That our Lord showed a knowledge of men’s secret words and actions, and even of their thoughts.

A wonderful circumstance appeared in our Lord’s conduct and discourse, that all things, even the inmost recesses of the human mind, were “naked and open” Heb. iv. 13. to his view.

The position which we are illustrating is thus laid down by St.John: “Now when Jesus was in Jerusalem Jo. ii. 23–

* It is ingenious to supply μη or o6 with Junius and Wall. But touched may be opposed to the heavenly one mentioned ver. 22. the mountain capable of being
at the passover, at the feast \(^1\), many believed in his name, when they saw the miracles which he did. But Jesus did not commit himself unto them, because he knew all men, and needed not that any should testify of man: for he knew what was in man." And we find the disciples in one place, and Peter in another, declaring that our Lord knew all things.

It will be useful to pursue this subject throughout our Lord's history: and here, as in the preceding section, I shall compile the observations of others \(^u\), and distinguish my own additions.

When Jesus saw Nathanael coming to him, he thus characterised him, "Behold an Israelite indeed, in whom is no guile." Nathanael, who really had "a conscience void of offence," was astonished that a stranger should thus address him, and therefore asked, "Whence knowest thou me? Jesus answered, Before that Philip called thee, when thou wast under the fig tree, I saw thee:" alluding, it is most probable, to private acts of devotion which he had been there performing. Nathanael, struck with this instance of supernatural knowledge in addition to the Baptist's testimony, thus expressed his belief in Jesus as the Messiah, "Rabbi, thou art the Son of God; thou art the king of Israel."

St. John also relates that "there was a man of the Pharisees named Nicodemus, a ruler of the Jews: the same came to Jesus by night, and said unto him, Rabbi, we know that thou art a teacher sent from God: for no man can do these miracles that thou doest, unless God be with him." The answer of Jesus does not seem to be direct; and therefore Le Clerc thus

\(^{1}\) i. e. during the eight days of its continuance: not on the feast day, as we injudiciously render it here and Matt. xxvi. 5. and p. p.  
\(^{u}\) See Benson's Life of Christ, ch. v; Bp. Law's Considerations, p. 330.
of men's secret words, actions, and even thoughts.

explains it: “Having premised this, Nicodemus was about to ask Jesus what he ought to do that he might be admitted into the kingdom of heaven, which was at hand; and this is the concealed part, which Jesus's reply has regard to.” The passage thus understood will furnish an instance of what lord Bacon mentions, though in too general terms: “It is an excellent observation, which hath been made upon the answers of our Saviour Christ to many of the questions which were propounded to him, how that they are impertinent to the state of the question demanded; the reason whereof is, because not being like man, which knows man's thoughts by his words, but knowing man's thoughts immediately, he never answered their words, but their thoughts.” This great writer should rather have said, “he sometimes answered, not their words but their thoughts.” Read our Lord's reply with this clue, and nothing can be more pertinent: “Verily, Jo. iii. 3. verily, I say unto thee, Unless a man be born again” by a spiritual birth, and by baptism receive the extraordinary gifts of the Spirit, “he cannot see the kingdom of God;” he cannot become a subject of my present or future kingdom.

Nor is there any other way of explaining such texts but what is suggested; or recurring to the supposition that more was said on both sides than the evangelists record, and that rather the heads of the discourses than the particulars are delivered down to us.

We have another instance of our Lord's divine knowledge in his conversation with the woman of Samaria. Proposing to discover his high prophetic character

\footnote{Harm. p. 520. fol. See Gal. iv. 9. for the former sense, which I prefer on account of ver. 4: and see ver. 31. xix. 11.}

\footnote{Works, vol. ii. Lond. 1740. fol. James i. 17. iii. 15, 17. for the latter.}

\footnote{The word δισθήνει may be translated again, or, from above.}
among the Samaritans, “he said to her, Go, call thy husband, and come hither. The woman answered and said, I have no husband. Jesus said unto her, Thou hast well said, I have no husband: for thou hast had five husbands; and he whom thou now hast is not thy husband: in that saidst thou truly.”

To proceed in the order of events. When Jesus said to a paralytic in Capernaum, “Take courage, son, thy sins are forgiven thee;” there were certain scribes and Pharisees sitting there, and reasoning in their hearts, “Why doth this man speak blasphemies? who can forgive sins but God only? .... And immediately when he perceived in his spirit that they so reasoned within themselves,” or, as St. Matthew expresses it, “when he saw their thoughts,” he said to them, “Why reason ye these things in your hearts? Which is easier, to say to the sick of the palsy, Thy sins are forgiven thee, or to say, Arise, and take up thy bed and walk?” Which is easier, to see the heart and to know that a person is worthy of forgiveness; or to work a miracle? “But that ye may know that the Son of man hath power on earth to forgive sins, (he saith to the sick of the palsy,) I say unto thee, Arise, and take up thy bed, and walk.” Thus he leaves them to infer his more than human knowledge in selecting fit objects of forgiveness, from an act of more than human power, and from their consciousness that he was acquainted with the operations of their minds.

When the scribes and Pharisees watched Jesus whether he would heal a man with a withered hand on the sabbath-day, “he knew their thoughts,” commanded the man to arise and stand forth in the midst

* It is said that Jesus saw their faith, Matt. ix. 2. This refers to the faith of the paralytic himself, as well as of those who brought him.
of the synagogue, reasoned the case with them, and, alluding to their secret plot against him, asked them in return, "Is it lawful on the sabbath day to do good, or to do evil? to save life, or to destroy it?" contrasting his own benevolent design with the murderous one which they were harbouring against him.

* When the Roman centurion respectfully besought him by the elders of the Jews to preserve the life of his servant, who was at the point of death, and in deference to Jewish customs declined seeking a personal interview, notwithstanding his station; our Lord knew the reply which would be made, the occasion for displaying the centurion's faith, and the entire series of events, when he answered, "I will come and heal him."

When a woman who had been a sinner anointed Jesus while he was sitting at meat with Simon the Pharisee, "he who had bidden him speak within him—spake within himself, saying, This man, if he were a prophet, would have known who and what manner of woman this is that toucheth him: for she is a sinner;" and thus proved it to be a natural notion that a divine instructor should be endowed with that knowledge which Jesus actually possessed. Jesus replied to his thoughts, showed by a parable that the woman's expression of her gratitude was reasonable, and, on account of her former and present marks of repentance, spake comfort to her by thrice declaring that her sins were remitted.

When Jesus had healed a blind and dumb demoniac, and the people expressed their amazement by saying, "Is not this the son of David?" the scribes and Pharisees, who had come from Jerusalem to Capernaum in order to watch our Lord's conduct, alarmed at hearing such a question started by the multitude, immediately

\[b\] This is Benson's interpretation.
suggested this blasphemy apart from Jesus, “He casteth out demons by Beelzebub, the prince of the demons.” “But he, knowing their thoughts,” confuted this impious calumny by similitudes, showing how absurd it was to suppose that Satan should be divided against himself.

*When the Pharisee with whom Jesus dined wondered that he did not first wash before dinner, it may be supposed that Jesus addressed his subsequent discourse to this inward sentiment, not plainly declared by words or actions.

*When a woman, who had laboured under an issue of blood twelve years, came behind Jesus as multitudes thronged him, and “touched the hem of his garment, saying within herself,” not to others, “If I may but touch his garment, I shall be whole,” and in reward of her faith instantly perceived that she was healed, our Lord knew that extraordinary power had gone out of him, and that the woman had approached him with full assurance of recovery; and to promote the great ends of his mission by a discovery of this double miracle, he asked who touched him, and declared to the woman that her faith had restored her.

When Jesus had spoken of coming to him, of believing in him, of being so taught of God and so hearing and learning of the Father as to come unto him, and of receiving and obeying his doctrine which he should ratify by his death, under the figures of “the meat which endured unto everlasting life,” of “the true bread from heaven,” of “the bread which gave life unto the world;” and had called himself “the bread of life,” “the living bread,” nourishing and supporting the souls of men while he lived among them; adding, that the bread which he spake of was his flesh, which he would give for the life of the world; that his flesh was to be eaten and his blood drunk, they being food in a
true and excellent sense, and far beyond that which sustained the perishing body, insomuch that whosoever ate him should live by himc; the evangelist relates Jo. vi. 57. that "many of his disciples, when they heard this, said, This is a hard saying; who can hear it?" But "when ver. 61, 62. Jesus knew in himself," and not by human means, "that his disciples murmured at it, he said unto them, Doth this offend you? What and if ye shall see the Son of man ascend up where he was before?" Then you may be convinced how truly I asserted that I came down ver. 58, 51, from heaven. It is the spiritual part, both in the teacher and hearer, that giveth life: the flesh, or body, profiteth nothing as a source of life: even my oblation of my body on the cross will be of no avail, but to such as are duly influenced by considering the purposes which it serves: the words which I speak unto you, the truths which I teach and which I shall confirm by my death, are a life giving principle, and the source of ver. 68. eternal life in an honest and good heart sanctified by Jo. xvii. 17. them. "But there are some of you that believe not. Jo. vi. 64. For Jesus knew from the beginning who they were that believed notd."

c See like images, John vii. 37; Isa. lv. 2; Prov. ix. 5; Jer. xv. 16; Ezek. iii. 1, 3; Rev. x. 9, 10; 1 Cor. iii. 2; Heb. v. 14; and particularly Eccles. xxiv. 21. See the twelfth of Dr. Clarke's seventeen sermons. So magnificent a promise as living by Christ, living for ever, having eternal life, and being raised up at the last day, ver. 57, 54, cannot be given to eating and drinking bread and wine representing the flesh (or body) and blood of Christ in the Lord's supper. Such a promise can only be made to faith pro-

d In this difficult chapter, ver. 51, 53—57. are explained by ver. 58; as appears by the annexed promise of living for ever. But ver. 58. is equivalent to ver. 35, and ver. 35. is explained by ver. 40, where we should read γὰρ for δι'. Again, ver. 35. is parallel to ver. 48, and ver. 48. is expressed, without a figure, in ver. 47. Observe that διδωμ, will give, occurs ver. 27; and διδωμ, I will give, ver. 51: whence it follows that Jesus had in view his doctrine sealed by his blood;
That our Lord showed a knowledge

When a certain man thus accosted him, "Lord, I will follow thee whithersoever thou goest," Jesus thus addressed his secret prejudices as to the temporal grandeur of the Messiah: "Foxes have holes, and the birds of the air have nests; but the Son of man hath not where to lay his head." Grotius observes that Christ *paupertatem suam profiensa ipsum hominis ulcus tetigit.*

*Jesus wisely declined working a miracle for the woman of Canaan who implored his assistance to heal her daughter, because he "was a minister of the circumcision for the truth of God, to confirm the promises made unto the fathers." But when he rejected her importunity in the following strong proverbial form,

It is not meet to take the children's bread, and cast it to dogs," he made use of the expression to prove her faith, knowing that he should have an opportunity to commend and reward it.

They that received the didrachm, annually given to the high priest for the service of the temple, asked Peter in Capernaum whether Jesus did not submit to that tribute as well as other Jews. When Peter returned to the house where our Lord was, Jesus showed his knowledge of this transaction by preventing Peter

which doctrine whosoever so imbibed and digested as to allow it a proper effect on his conduct, should have eternal life. By this highly figurative language, though carefully explained by him, Jesus checked the ardour of the multitude, ver. 51, and exercised the faith of his hearers, separating the worldly-minded from the sincere. Randolph says, View, &c., p. 185: "By giving his flesh for the life of the world, he plainly alludes to his passion; and by eating his flesh and drinking his blood, he means, as he himself hath explained it, ver. 29, 35, 47, believing on him....though I doubt not but the words carry a further allusion to the sacrament, which he should afterwards institute"....

* See Randolph's View, &c., p. 185.
* In value about fifteen pence.
who was about to relate it, and intimated that as the
Son of God, and as the lineal descendant of David, he might plead an exemption from it.

At the same time, Jesus took another occasion of showing that no remote or secret occurrence could be concealed from him. As they journeyed, the disciples had disputed among themselves which should be the greatest. Jesus saw the thought of their heart, asked them the subject of their debate, on their silence advanced this maxim, “If a man desire to be first, the same shall be last of all, and servant of all;” and when, conscious of a discovery, they still requested a decision of the point, taught them that the way to exaltation in his kingdom was to imitate the humility of children.

We read that the Pharisees and chief priests sent officers to take Jesus at the feast of tabernacles, about six months before his crucifixion. It is probable that our Lord, engaged in teaching the people, immediately diverted his discourse, and, with a piercing and commanding look, addressed them to this effect: “My purpose is to continue with you only a short time: my departure to him that sent me is at hand. The time of distress will come on you, when ye shall in vain seek me as your deliverer: for I go whither ye cannot follow me.” This reasoning against their covert design could not but create wonder and awe; and the discovery of their thoughts seems to be a principal reason

$h$ Perhaps this circumstance is introduced, because, immediately before, the disciples had been much depressed by our Lord’s prophecy of his death, Matt. xvii. 23.

$i$ See Bishop Pearce.

$k$ Paraphrase of John vii. 33, 34.

$1$ Dr. Clagett in his Paraphrase on ver. 33. says, “When they were come, Jesus, knowing their business, thus spake unto them .... It did without question startle the officers to hear our Saviour reflect so plainly upon the business for which they were sent; this arguing him to be a prophet. Something like this we find in Kings xiv. 6, and John i. 48.
Jo. vii. 46. why they declared at their return that “never man spake like this man.”

“The woman taken in adultery was brought before Jesus with a view to entrap him, that by a judicial decision he might declare himself a king. But Jesus wisely eluded their malice; knowing their heart.”

* Zacchæus was a stranger to the person of Jesus; Luk. xix. 5. and therefore our Lord, by selecting him from a great multitude to be his host, showed an extraordinary knowledge of his character and good disposition towards him.

When certain proselytes to Judaism among the Asiatic Greeks desired to see Jesus, and Andrew and Philip informed him of it, we shall not rightly understand our Lord’s abrupt reply about the near approach and consequences of his death, and about the temporal danger of professing the gospel, unless we consider that he addresses himself to the prejudices of his hearers supernaturally known to him, and that he was rectifying their mistaken expectations of a splendid worldly kingdom shortly to be erected by him.

When our Lord’s disciples reasoned among themselves about his declaration, “A little while and ye shall see me; and again, a little while, and ye shall not see me, because I go to the Father;” when they said among themselves, “What is this that he saith unto us? we cannot tell what he saith;” Jesus knew that they were desirous to ask him, and graciously solved those doubts which had passed among them apart from him, and which no mere man could have known: “I came forth from the Father, and am come into the world: again, I leave the world, and go to the Father.” Upon

m Locke’s Reas. of Christianity, 8vo, p. 60, ed. 1736. John viii. 3, &c.

a See a like instance, Luke xiv. 25, 26, &c., and, as Dr. Benson thinks, Luke ix. 57, 58.
which knowledge of their private reasonings his disciples made this declaration; "Now we are sure that thou knowest all things, and needest not that any man should ask thee: by this we believe that thou camest forth from God."

St. John observes that Jesus knew from the beginning who should betray him. And again: "He knew who should betray him; therefore said he," when he was about to wash the feet of the disciples, "Ye are clean, but not all."

*Judas had given a sign to the band who came with him to seize Jesus, "Whomsoever I shall kiss, that is he; take him, and lead him away safely." Jesus alluded to this secret transaction, when he said, "Judas, betrayest thou the Son of man with a kiss?"

While Jesus stood before the high priest Caiaphas, he was thrice denied by Peter. Peter being in the hall below, and our Lord in the upper part of the same spacious chamber, it is reasonable to think that our Lord's acquaintance with the fact, and his "turning and looking on Peter," immediately after his third and most vehement denial, furnish another instance of his more than human knowledge.

* I shall close this enumeration with two instances of facts preternaturally known by our Lord after he rose from the dead. He upbraided the apostles with their unbelief and hardness of heart, because they believed not them who had seen him after his resurrection: and he showed his knowledge of Thomas's incredulity, and of the very words in which he expressed it, when he said to him, "Reach hither thy finger, and perceive my hands; and reach hither thy hand, and thrust it into my side: and be not faithless, but believing."

Dr. Benson has observed, that this knowledge di-
rected our Lord in preaching his doctrine, in working miracles, in selecting his apostles, in conducting himself towards his enemies, and in answering insidious questions.

Jo. iii. 34.

It also shows that “God gave not the Spirit by measure unto him.” We read that the same gift was imparted in a lower degree to his followers. The word of God, spoken by his prophets, was “a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart:” and when the first Christians prophesied in the church, “the secrets of the unbeliever’s heart were made manifest; and so falling down on his face he worshipped God, and reported that God was in them of a truth.”

At the time, this great and wonderful knowledge must have carried with it much astonishment to all, and the most entire conviction to the well-disposed; and it must have filled his enemies with shame, and have greatly aggravated their guilt.

To those who believe the sacred records it will always be a proof of our Lord’s heavenly mission. “Christ,” says an admirable writer, “knew the hearts of men, as he showed on all occasions: a knowledge which Almighty God represents in scripture as so peculiar to himself, that he cannot be supposed to suffer those to partake of it who are not sent by him.”

Sect. VIII.—Of our Lord’s wisdom in difficult circumstances, and in replying to insidious questions.

After having recounted proofs of our Lord’s supernatural knowledge, I shall show his superior wisdom in trying situations, and in answering difficult or ensnaring questions suddenly and publicly proposed; a wisdom uniformly displayed by him, and, though not exceeding the powers of man, yet admirable in itself, and worthy of a prophet sent from God: nor indeed

and in replying to insidious questions.

can it easily be accounted for on any other supposition than that of inspiration from above, considering the narrow and mistaken notions of the Jews at the time of Christ's appearance.

Let the reader consider the following instances:

"Jesus entered into a synagogue; and there was a man who had his hand withered. And the Pharisees, that they might accuse him" of breaking Moses's law, "asked him, saying, Is it lawful to heal on the sabbath day? And he said unto them, What man shall there be among you, that shall have one sheep, and if it fall into a pit on the sabbath day, will he not lay hold on it, and lift it out? How much then is a man better than a sheep! Wherefore it is lawful to do good on the sabbath day."

* * When one said to him, "Master, speak to my brother, that he divide the inheritance with me," his reply was, "Man, who made me a judge or a divider over you?" Thus did he keep within the limits of his office as a heavenly teacher.

In the temple, "the scribes and Pharisees brought Jo. viii. 3, to Jesus a woman taken in adultery; and when they had set her in the midst, they said unto him . . . Moses in the law commanded us, that such should be stoned; but what sayest thou? This they said, tempting him, that they might have to accuse him" either of condemning her to death without the authority of the Roman governor, or of determining against the decision of their law. But Jesus stooped down, and with his finger formed about them a circle* in the dust of

---

* Dr. Benson, in his Life of Christ, ch. v., has given all the instances in this section, except those marked thus *.

† Γράφοντες κύκλον in the Greek mathematicians, is to describe a circle: and Plutarch, in the story of Popillius, who included Antiochus in a circle, and demanded his answer before he quitted it,
the pavement*. And "when they continued asking him, he lifted up himself, and said unto them, He that is without sin among you, let him first cast a stone at her. And again he stooped down and marked the ground. And they who heard it, being convicted by their own conscience, went out one by one, beginning at the eldest, even to the last: and Jesus was left alone, and the woman standing in the midst." But though our Lord did not invade the province of the magistrate by condemning the adulteress to death, he explicitly condemned her crime as a moral instructor; "Go, and sin no more."

When the Pharisees and Sadducees, tempting him, desired that he would show them a sign from heaven, he answered, "When it is evening, ye say, It will be fair weather: for the sky is red. And in the morning, It will be foul weather to day: for the sky is red and lowring. O ye hypocrites, ye can discern the face of the sky: but can ye not discern the signs of the times? A wicked and adulterous generation seeketh after a sign, and a sign shall not be given unto it," such as they ask at this time; "but the sign of the prophet Jonas" shall be hereafter given. He advises them to use their reason, refers them to a future illustrious proof of his divine mission, and reproves their vices; but he displays not his boundless power to the unworthy, though all nature was at his command.

When "a certain teacher of the law stood up and tempted him," or proved his wisdom, "saying, Master, what shall I do to inherit eternal life?" a reply was expected derogating from the excellence of the law, and exposing our Lord to censure and danger on that

account. But Jesus referred him to the Jewish law-giver, approved of his answer, and assured him that, if he loved God and his neighbour, he should live: and being further asked who was his neighbour, he showed, by an elegant and affecting parable, that every one to whom he could perform a good office was comprehended in that description.

"The feast of the dedication was held at Jeru- Jo. x. 22, salem; and it was winter. And Jesus walked in the temple in Solomon's porch. Then came the Jews round about him, and said unto him, How long dost thou keep us in suspense? If thou be the Christ, tell us plainly. Jesus answered them, I have told you here-tofore, and yet ye believe not: the works that I do in my Father's name, they bear witness of me." Thus did he indirectly declare his Messiabship, by appealing to his miracles, and by calling God his Father. Truth was tempered by wisdom: however, prejudice so blinded his enemies, that nothing but a miracle could preserve him from their rage.

Great multitudes followed Jesus as he went up to celebrate his last passover at Jerusalem. "And the Pharisees came to him, tempting him," or trying to set him at variance with Moses, "and saying to him, Is it lawful for a man to put away his wife for every cause?" It is probable that they knew his decision of that point, and designed to take advantage of it. Jesus in his reply asked them Moses's determination, declared that their forefathers were not capable of a more perfect law, and showed them from the history written by Moses, that so strict and sacred an union as marriage ought not to be lightly dissolved. Thus did he silence them by that very authority which they expected him to oppose.

* See the marginal rendering. H. Steph. Test. Græc. 1576, 120., has suspensam tene.
As Jesus was in the temple, the Jewish rulers asked him by what authority he acted. Jesus in return put a question to them, whether the baptism of John was from heaven or of men. “And they reasoned with themselves, saying, If we shall say, From heaven; he will say unto us, Why then did ye not believe him? But if we shall say, Of men; we fear the people; for all hold John as a prophet. And they answered Jesus, and said, We know not.” Thus did he confound the device of his adversaries, which probably was to apprehend him, and accuse him of blasphemy, if he had acknowledged himself to be the Messiah, and yet sufficiently intimate that he was commissioned from above, as one who was universally esteemed a prophet had repeatedly borne him testimony.

When he was asked in the most flattering and artful terms about the lawfulness of paying tribute, we must observe that to deny this was sedition, and to affirm it was disclaiming his Messiahsliip in the opinion of the Jews, and betraying the rights of that people. But how superior does Jesus rise to this formidable alternative? “Why tempt ye me, ye hypocrites? Show me the tribute money. And they brought unto him a denarius. And he saith unto them, Whose is this image and superscription? They say unto him, Caesar’s. Then saith he unto them, Render therefore unto Caesar the things which are Caesar’s; and unto God the things which are God’s. When they heard these words, they marvelled, and left him, and went their way.”

The Sadducees also put to him a great difficulty in their apprehension of things—whose wife at the resurrection a woman should be whom seven brethren had successively taken. Jesus answered, “Ye do err, not knowing the scriptures, nor the power of God. For in the resurrection they neither marry, nor are given in marriage, but are as the angels of God in heaven.”
The answer is most clear and determinate, most instructive and rational. No wonder that the multitude who heard it were astonished at his doctrine.

Again: when the Pharisees heard that he had put the Sadducees to silence, they made trial of his wisdom by asking him which was the great commandment of the law. Jesus replied, "Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy strength. This is the first and great commandment. And the second is like unto it," namely, this; "Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself. On these two commandments depend all the law and the prophets." This answer was so just, that it was applauded even by one of the scribes who had combined in asking it insidiously. And the triumph of Jesus's wisdom was so complete, that no man "durst from that time forth ask him any more questions".

I shall end the remarks under this head with the words of a most eminent author:\footnote{5} these two commandments depend all the law and the prophets. This answer was so just, that it was applauded even by one of the scribes who had combined in asking it insidiously. And the triumph of Jesus's wisdom was so complete, that no man "durst from that time forth ask him any more questions".

I shall end the remarks under this head with the words of a most eminent author:\footnote{5} through haste of writing, too weak an expression has escaped him:

"There is scarce any thing which proves both wisdom and rightness of mind more fully, than proper behaviour on sudden occasions, and proper answers to unforeseen questions: for what a man shows himself to be at such times, we have in general great cause to believe he really is. Now to this trial our Saviour, living a public life, in the midst of persons taking all advantages to ensnare him, was perpetually exposed; and his character never suffered by it." It was indeed exalted by every such occasion of showing his wisdom and sedateness: insomuch that his enemies were ashamed, amazed, and silenced; nay, even paid him the unwilling tribute of public approbation.

\footnote{5} $\delta\pi\alpha\zeta$ is the true reading. \footnote{6} Secker's Sermons, vol. iv. Matt. xxii. 46. p. 206, Engl. ed.
SECT. IX.—That incidents, sometimes very slight, and ques-
tions, particularly some of a curious nature, were turned by
our Lord to an instructive and practical purpose.

When our Lord’s “disciples prayed him, saying, Master, eat,” he took occasion to inculcate that the true feast to a good mind was to be employed in the service of God and man: “My meat is to do the will of him that sent me, and to finish his work.”

When “a certain woman lifted up her voice and said to him, Blessed is the womb that bare thee, and the breasts which thou hast sucked,” he replied, “Yea rather, blessed are they that hear the word of God, and keep it.”

*When “one said to him, Behold, thy mother and thy brethren stand without, desiring to speak with thee.... he stretched forth his hand towards his disciples, and said, Behold my mother and my brethren! For whosoever shall do the will of my Father who is in heaven, the same is my brother, and sister, and mother.”

When he was thus addressed, “Master, speak to my brother, that he divide the inheritance with me,” he embraced that opportunity of cautioning his hearers against the vice which seems to have dictated the request made to him: “Take heed, and beware of covetousness: for a man’s life,” or true happiness, “consisteth not in the abundance of the things which he possesseth.” Nor was he content with delivering a general precept; but went on to enforce what he advanced by a beautiful parable, the moral of which he distinctly pointed out: “so is he that layeth up trea-

Luke xii. 15. Wetstein’s note on this verse is, Coherent hæc cum precedentibus, ut do-
ceamur veram litis inter fratres causamuisse utriusque deside-
rium plura habendi. Servius ad Georg. ii. 496, “et infidos agitans discordia frates,” infidos autem ait, quod avaritiam causa dissen-
tiunt.
sure for himself, and is not rich towards God.” And afterwards he still further pursued the topic in words *Luk.* xii. 23, confined to the disciples only.

When some told him of the Galileans whose blood Pilate had mingled with their sacrifices, he did not indulge the malevolence of the scribes and Pharisees by enlarging on the crimes of the unhappy sufferers, whose nation was held in contempt among the rest of the Jews; he was silent on Pilate’s cruelty and impiety, and on the special reasons why God permitted such judgments to overtake men; choosing to warn his hearers of the national judgments impending over *them*, which nothing could avert but repentance. “Unless *Luk.* xiii. 3, ye repent, ye shall all perish in like manner:” by a like fearful destruction, in the calamitous war with the Romans. And, the importance of the subject deeply engaging him, he illustrated by a parable the danger *ver. 6-9.* of unfruitfulness in good works, and the longsuffering and goodness of God, shown by a gracious and earnest invitation of them to amendment at that very period of time.

When “one said to him, Lord, are there few that be saved?” are there few of this generation that will enter into thy kingdom? he indirectly answered the inquiry which he had solved in another place, and at the same *Mat.* vii. 14. time conveyed much useful instruction: “Strive to *Luk.* xiii. 24 enter in at the *strait* gate:” it is an object worthy of your most earnest contention: use the present season, lest hereafter ye feel inexpressible regret and anguish: lest I say unto you at the last day, “Depart from me

---

*See the eighth of Dr. Clarke’s seventeen Sermons; where most of the instances given in this section occur. Those marked * are the author’s illustrations.*

*See the eighth of Dr. Clarke’s seventeen Sermons; where most of the instances given in this section occur. Those marked * are the author’s illustrations.*

*a ὅσαίρεσ, ὅψεστε.*

*b The answer refers to those in whose presence Christ had eaten and drunk, and in whose streets he had taught, *ver. 26.*
all the workers of iniquity:” lest ye be excluded from 
the company of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob in my glo-
rious kingdom, and the despised Gentiles be admitted.

When Martha received Jesus into her house at Be-
thany, and complained to him that her sister Mary 
left her alone to serve, whilst she sat at Jesus’s feet 
and heard his word; what was our Lord’s answer?

Nil parvum sapit, et semper sublimia curat. Hoa.

Luk. x. 41. “Martha, Martha, thou art careful and troubled about 
many things: but one thing is needful: and Mary hath 
chosen that good part, which shall not be taken away 
from her.” The one thing needful is the good part 
chosen by Mary, attention to religious and eternal 
concerns.

Mat. xviii. 
1-4. 

When Jesus’s disciples inquired “who was the great-
est in the kingdom of heaven,...he set a little child 
in the midst of them, and said, Verily I say unto 
you, Unless ye be converted, and become as little chil-
dren, ye shall not enter into the kingdom of heaven. 
Whosoever therefore shall humble himself as this 
little child, the same is the greatest in the kingdom of 
heaven.”

Ver. 21-35. *When Peter came to Jesus and said, “Lord, how 
often shall my brother sin against me, and I forgive 
him? till seven times?” our Lord answered him, “I 
say not unto thee, Until seven times: but, Until seventy 
times seven” But he did not stop here: he proceeded 
to speak the striking parable of the merciless servant, 
the application of which he himself made: “So like-
wise shall my heavenly Father do also unto you, if ye 
from your hearts forgive not every one his brother 
their trespasses.”

When thus questioned concerning a man blind from 
Jo. ix. 1-5. his birth, “Master, who sinned, this man, or his parents,

* Here a definite number is put for an indefinite.
that he was born blind?" our Lord dismissed the deep part of the question in a word, "Neither did this man sin, nor his parents:" not entering into any discussion about the impossibility of a preexisting state, nor defining in what cases children might reasonably bear the iniquity of their parents. He kept in view the end of his mission; observed that a fit occasion of working a miracle had arisen, especially as the time of his departure was approaching; and led his followers to consider him as the light of the world in a moral sense, as well as in the natural one of restoring sight to the blind.

When one thus respectfully addressed him, "Good Master, what shall I do that I may inherit eternal life?" he did not answer the important question till he had advanced a fundamental religious truth suggested by a casual expression: "Why callest thou me good? there is none good but one, that is God."

* When questioned about the lawfulness of paying tribute, he was not content with indirectly asserting a civil duty in the words, "Render unto Caesar the things which are Caesar's," but he added a religious lesson, "and unto God the things which are God's."

When four of his apostles, Peter, James, John, and Andrew, asked him on the mount of Olives at what time the temple was to be destroyed, and what was the sign of his coming to punish the Jews, and of the end of the Mosaic dispensation; he delivered at large a most remarkable prophecy, but at the close of it he was still more copious in enforcing the duties of watchfulness, prayer, and good works.

And, lastly, when our Lord after his resurrection

---

4 i. e. so as to cause the infliction of a judicial punishment.

* This is the learned Mr. Farmer's remark on demoniacs, p. 360.

f It was now about six months before his death.
had foretold the manner of Peter’s death in his old
age, “Peter, seeing John, saith, Lord, and what shall
this man do?” to which question our Lord gave an ob-
scure answer, gently censured Peter’s inquisitiveness,
and reminded him that the point of high importance
was to follow him, to imitate his life and his con-
stancy unto death.

We see by this induction that as our Lord wrought
no unnecessary miracle, so neither did he display un-
necessary knowledge; and that he thought religious
knowledge and practical truths by far the most impor-
tant: we perceive the bent of his mind by the tendency
of his discourse: we should ourselves prefer what most
engaged his attention; and should particularly bear in
mind his weighty and affectionate admonition to his
apostles, “If ye know these things, happy are ye if ye
do them.”

Sect. X.—Of parables, and the reasons why our Lord
used them.

There are different significations of the word parable
in the writings of the New Testament and in the Greek
versions of the Old.

It is sometimes used for elevated and instructive
poetry. Balaam is said to take up his parable, when
he uttered his sublime poems and predictions relating
to Israel. David says, “I will incline mine ear to a
parable;” which is equivalent to speaking of wisdom,

5 See Jortin’s excellent ser-

b Heb. הבוא, the root of which
has two senses: 1. dominatus est.
And poems and proverbs may be
hence named, on account of their
superior excellence. See Taylor’s
Concordance. 2. assimilavit: a
sense which the Arabic הבוא like-
wise has. And from this root
may easily signify a figura-
tive and parabolical way of writ-
ing, and then, a proverbial and
poetical one in general. See an
excellent note on הבוא, Heb. pre-
lect. iv.
and musing of understanding. And in a psalm ascribed to Asaph we find the words, "I will open my mouth in a parable," and that the author proceeds to relate historical, but very useful facts. Hence I apprehend that the word parable denotes in one place of the New Testament weighty instruction in general, without poetical ornaments. When our Lord had thus explained the nature of moral pollution, "There is nothing from without a man, that entering into him can defile him: but the things which come out of him, those are they that defile the man:" the evangelist adds, that "when he was entered into the house from the people, his disciples asked him concerning the parable."

It also signifies a proverbial saying. Thus Solomon is said to have spoken three thousand parables; in Ecclesiasticus the word is translated a wise sentence; and where our Lord observes, "Ye will surely say unto me this proverb," the literal rendering is this parable, "Physician, heal thyself."

But to come nearer the point. It occurs in the Gospels for a short comparison or similitude. "He spake a parable unto them; No man putteth a piece of a new garment upon an old....and no man putteth new wine into old bottles....No man also having
drunk old wine straightway desireth new:” that is, If I now enjoined my disciples austere duties, after having just initiated them into my religion, I should be like one who put a piece of a new garment on an old; or who put new wine into old bottles; or who expected men to prefer new wine when they had immediately drunk old. And, again, our Lord is said to have

Luk. vi. 39. spoken a parable when he asked, “Can the blind lead the blind? shall they not both fall into the ditch?” that is, He who undertakes to instruct or amend others, while he himself is ignorant or faulty, is like the blind guiding the blind. In these two instances the subject of the comparison is implied; in many others it is expressed; as, “The kingdom of heaven is like unto a grain of mustard seed... The kingdom of heaven is like unto treasure hidden in a field.”

Lastly, the word parable occurs for a continued relation of feigned but possible facts; bearing a general correspondence to the circumstances of those for whose instruction it was designed, or to the real state of things which it was intended to illustrate. If the facts are real, the narration becomes example; if impossible, it becomes fable, a mode of teaching less suitable to the dignity of a divine instructor. In our Lord’s parables the facts are not only possible, but the actors are men; and thus the application is moral in the most forcible and affecting degree.

1 Servius observes on Æn. i. 503. “Exercet Diana choros.” Multi vituperant comparationem hanc, nescientes exempla, vel parabolas, vel comparationes, non semper usqueaque congruere, sed interdum omni parte, interdum aliqua, convenire. Grot. on Matt. xiii. 27. We have happily a key to a sound and rational explanation of all our Lord’s parables, by his own interpretation of two which the evangelists have recorded: Matt. xiii. 18–23. 37–43.

m See Judges ix. 8–15. 2 Kings xiv. 9.

n See a parable of an eagle, Ezek. xvii. 3–10.
I shall now state the reasons why our Lord spake in parables; and make some general observations on those recorded in the Gospels.

The first parables related by our Lord are the concise ones of not putting a piece of a new garment on an old, or new wine into old bottles; of the blind leading the blind; and of the two debtors, one of whom owed five hundred denarii, and the other fifty. The next are those of the rich man whose ground brought forth plentifully; of the watchful servants; and of the fruitless fig tree: and I think that these were immediately succeeded, on the same day, by the parable of the sower, and many others spoken on that occasion. Matthew concludes his relation of them with this remark: "All these things spake Jesus unto the multitude in parables; and without a parable spake he not unto them: that it might be fulfilled which was spoken by the prophet, saying, I will open my mouth in parables; I will utter things which have been kept secret from the foundation of the world." What Asaph asserted of himself, the evangelist applies to our Lord; and observes that it was eminently verified in him when he explained by parables the nature of his kingdom. St. Matthew seems to point out the resemblance

Ps. lxxviii. 2. The literal translation of the Hebrew is:

I will open my mouth in a parable:
I will pour forth acute sayings of old.

The latter hemistick is otherwise rendered by Aquila and Symmachus, and by ὁ ἀπεριστέρω ἀείγυμνα ἀπὸ ἀρχήν ἀπειθομένοι προβλήματα ἀρχαίαν ἐπιγέμπαν προβλήματα ἀν' ἀρχὴν and only signifies, I will pour forth lessons of old time. The first, and Ps. xlix. 4, is used as equivalent to 번. Some derive it from 번, acutum esse, because it requires acuteness both to utter and to explain it: and others from the Arabic 번, declinavit, deflexit: whence 번, similitudo, comparatio, quasi oratio flexa. See Tayl. Conc. Cast. Lex. and the note on 번, above referred to. However, 번 though originally signifying a dark saying, is used for important truths in general.
between our Lord and the ancient prophets in the manner of their instructions, and to suggest this as one reason for the use of parables. And as parables are occasionally found in the old prophets, this way of speaking might lead the Jews to consider our Lord as vested with that high character.

When Jesus had dismissed the multitude whom he taught on the sea-shore, and had entered into a house in Capernaum, "his disciples came and said unto him, Why speakest thou unto them in parables?" showing by their question that he had newly adopted this manner of teaching in a series of regular parables. "He answered and said unto them, Because unto you," my disciples, "it is given to know the mysteries of the kingdom of heaven;" the secret doctrines relating to the gospel-kingdom; such as, my sowing the word, and the causes of the failure or growth of the seed; the reason why the tares grow up with the wheat, the wicked with the good, in the field of my kingdom, and why I do not command them to be immediately rooted out; and the gradual increase and wonderful extension of my kingdom: "but to them," to the multitude who are not my disciples, "it is not given." "Unto them that are without" all these things are done in parables. To those who are not members of my kingdom I shadow out the truths relating to the gospel dispensation under similitudes. For whosoever hath good dispositions, means of instruction shall be given to him, and he shall have religious knowledge abundantly: but whosoever hath not a due degree of such qualifications as a religious teacher, attesting his commission by miracles, may justly require, even that which he seemeth
to have shall be taken from him: his means of improvement shall be lessened or withdrawn, according to his degree of guilt. With what measure of attention ye mete, knowledge shall be measured to you; and unto you that hear profitably shall more be given. For this reason I speak to the multitude in parables, because, seeing my miracles, they see without conviction; and hearing my doctrine, they hear without considering and understanding it. I use parables, the people may go on as they have begun. The event of my instructing them covertly will be their ignorance in the midst of knowledge: the words of the prophet will be verified in them: their spiritual blindness and deafness will continue; they hardening themselves, lest at any time, as Isaiah says, they should be converted, and their sins should be forgiven them. And in them is verified the prophecy of Isaiah, which saith,

"Hear indeed, but understand not:
And see indeed, but know not:
Make gross the heart of this people,
And make their ears dull, and close up their eyes:

"τήν, ἄνευ, and the infinitive mood, are used to express what will actually happen, and not formal intention and design: ἡμέρα, Matt. ii. 15. iv. 14. Luke ix. 45. xi. 50. John xii. 38. Bp. Pearce adds 1 Cor. i. 15. John v. 20. 2 Cor. i. 17. vii. 9. Rev. viii. 12. See his note on 1 Cor. i. 15. ἄνευ, Matt. ii. 33. xiii. 35; the infinitive mood, Matt. x. 34. 35. So γὰρ, Is. xxviii. 13.

a Ch. vi. 9, 10.

t Or,

Hearing indeed, understand not: And seeing indeed, know not.

Le Clerc, on Mark iv. 12, quotes Ἀeschylus,

Οἱ πρῶτοι μὲν ἑλέσσοντες ἐβλέπουν μὴν,

Κλείοντες οὖν ἕκαστον.

Prometheus, l. 446, is describing in how rude a state men were when he instructed them. He also refers to Deomoeth. contra Aristogitonem, latter part, τὸ γεγομένους, ἄριστος μὴ ὀφθαλμος, καὶ καταπτισθήσεται μὴ ἐκοίμη. And Whitby in loc. quotes Philo; who, speaking of those who were addicted to wine and sensual pleasures, says, ἄριστος οὐκ ὀφθαλμος, καὶ ἐκοίμησας οὐκ ἐκοίμησας. Alleg. l. 2. p. 73.

a The verbs בְּשַׁלְשָׁם, לַבָּשָׁה, and בָּשַׁה are imperatives in Hiphil, as in the English version; or the
Lest they [see with their eyes, and hear with their ears,  
And understand with their heart, and] be converted, and I  
should heal them.”

This is the message which Jehovah gives to Isaiah.  
It is a prophecy that Isaiah would be rejected by the  
Jews: it is a strong way of expressing the event, not  
the purpose, of his mission. To interpret it of God’s  
end and design is inconsistent with every precept, ad-
monition, and expostulation throughout Isaiah’s pro-
pheties.

St. Mark refers to and abridges Isaiah, who is quoted  
at large by St. Matthew. The reader may see, by what 
is included in brackets, the parts retained or omitted  
by St. Mark in the last verse of Isaiah.

I say then that our Lord diminished the light which 
he had before given to the people, because they closed  
their eyes against that greater degree of it which he  
had formerly vouchsafed: though at the same time this  
inferior light was sufficient to direct them. This is  
agreeable to the divine procedure on like occasions.  
Thus after the Fall the immediate manifestations of  
the Deity, which seem to have been often made in the  
state of innocence, were in a great measure withdrawn;  
and man had no longer frequent intercourse with hea-
ven. Thus our Lord withheld the evidence of great  
and repeated miracles from the inhabitants of Nazar-  
eth on account of their unbelief: “for he could not”,

third pers. sing. pret. in Hophal,  
as יפרוש is rendered in o’, and in  
St. Matthew; or the third pers.  
sing. pret. in Hiphil, as רבדה  
and ישן are rendered in St. Mat-
thew in o’. See also John xiii. 40.  
*: Literally, et medeatur quis  
ei, sc. populo. Syr. and Chald.  
render by גבר, to pardon, or re-
mit: of which St. Mark’s version  
is a paraphrase. Indeed three  
MSS. and two versions omit ἤμαρτησα in St. Mark: and then  
kai ἀφέναι αὑτού would exactly an-
swer to Chald. and to Syr. except  
that in this version the pronoun  
is singular.  
* It is said that a thing cannot  
be done, when it is not fit that it  
consistently with his wisdom, "do any mighty works there, save that he laid his hands on a few sick, and healed them." And the principal reason why he gave not the Jewish nation sensible evidence of his resurrection seems to have been, because they had before rejected such strong proofs of his divine mission.

Let us consider in what circumstances Jesus acted, when he spake nothing but parables to the people. He was near Capernaum, Chorasin, and Bethsaida, cities sharply reproved by him because they repented not on account of his preaching and miracles: and, on the very day when his parables were uttered, the scribes and Pharisees, who may have been part of his auditors, and whose judgment of him many present may have adopted, had been guilty of the greatest absurdity and impiety in ascribing his miracles to Satan. In this situation how does our Lord proceed? Does he involve his doctrines in impenetrable darkness? Far otherwise. A veil indeed is cast over them; but of so fine a texture, that the features and beauty of his religion shine through it. "With many such parables" relating to his kingdom as the Messiah "spake he the word unto them, as they were able to hear it:" still graciously adapting himself to their capacity and prejudices, though they would not sufficiently exert their faculties to understand him. And at the close of the first parable he added, "He that hath ears to hear, let him hear:" let the attentive lover of truth comprehend my doctrines. Could then St. Mark's words be meant in the sense which some wrest them to, that our Lord designed to keep his hearers in total and final ignorance, and to obstruct their conversion and forgiveness? Could the

Acts iv. 30. Deut. xii. 17. Josh. ix. 19. See Bp. Kidder's Boyle's Lectures, fol. i. 154. See also Cor. iii. 11, and the instances there collected by Bp. Pearce. x See Doddridge in loc.
Of parables, and the reason

evangelist almost immediately contradict himself in so palpable a manner? Could such a teacher afterwards deliver many lessons to the people in the plainest and most familiar manner? We must say then that our Lord did not cause, but foretell, the ignorance, infidelity, and aggravated guilt of the Jews: that he lessened indeed their means of conviction at this particular time, and on the particular subject of the gospel kingdom, with great justice and dignity; but still accommodated himself to the capacity of the teachable and well-disposed hearer with his accustomed prudence and benevolence.

Our Lord seems particularly to guard against the objection of speaking with a general purpose of concealing his doctrine. When he was alone, he expounded all his parables to his disciples. "And he said unto them," using an apt comparison, "Is a candle brought to be put under a bushel, or under a bed? and not to be set on a candlestick? For there is nothing hidden" by me, "which shall not be manifested; nor has any thing been made secret," by being wrapped up in parables, "but that" in the course of my preaching or yours "it should come abroad." And his general precept to his disciples was, "What I tell you in darkness, that speak ye in light: and what ye hear in the ear, that preach ye upon the housetops."

Such then are the reasons assigned in the Gospels for the use of parables. But it is not agreeable to the manner of the sacred writers to give all the reasons of our Lord's conduct in this or other respects. We may therefore go on to inquire what other uses were served

\[ \text{Mar. iv. 34. Ver. 21, 22.} \]

\[ \text{v Matt. x. 27.} \] The houses were low, and the tops of them were flat, with windows open to the inner court, and obstructed by lattice on the outside. The house-top was the place for seeing or proclaiming anything. See Bishop Lowth on Isa. xxii. 1.
by parables at the time when they were spoken, and in succeeding ages of the church.

They suited the turn and manner of the eastern nations*; to the bulk of whom a train of reasoning would have appeared cold and lifeless, but who delighted in having their imagination struck by the boldest figures and the most glowing imagerya. In colder climates the imagination is less lively, and the attention to plain argument proportionably greater; and therefore to imitate this manner is by no means incumbent on Christian instructors of every age and country.

As our Lord’s ministry was introductory, and the whole plan of the gospel dispensation was not to be disclosed till after the descent of the Holy Spirit, they were particularly adapted to convey those truths relating to Christianity which the national prejudices of the Jews made it expedient for him rather to insinuate than to declare. Thus the reception of the Gentiles into the Christian church, that grand stumblingblock to the Jews, was delivered with much wisdom in the parable of the son who wasted his substance with riotous living; in that of a certain man who made a great supper and bade many; in that of the labourers who murmured at the reward of those who were called at a late hour; and in that of the wicked husbandmen whose vineyard was to be let out to others.

Parables were also highly suitable to the prophetical style in general, as it abounds with figure and allegory: and they were employed with much wisdom to convey

---

*a There are many parables in the Koran. See the word in Sale’s index. “The Chinese are most taken with comparisons, parables, and histories.” Le Compte’s China, p. 389.

*a Rustici et imperiti simplicius quae facta sunt audiunt; et, capti voluptate, facile ii quibus delectantur consentiunt. Quinct. l. v. c. xi. quoted by Mr. Gray in his ingenious and useful delineation of our Lord’s parables. London. Murray. 1777.
such prophecies as those concerning the rapid and extensive propagation of the gospel, and the exemplary destruction of the Jews; which are each the subject of more than one parable. Whereas directly foretelling such events would have exasperated our Lord's enemies against him; and the effects of their unwearied malice would have shortened the course of his ministry, or have made repeated miracles necessary to protract it.

A further end served by parables was to confirm the disciples in the belief of the Gospel, by showing them that Christ's opposers and persecutors among the Jews were guilty of such conduct towards God as to stand self-condemned, confessing themselves to be wicked men deserving a miserable destruction.

Parable is a lively and affecting way of instruction, which makes deep impressions on the mind. There are many parables recorded by the evangelists, which were spoken by our Saviour to his disciples when alone: and we may well suppose that he taught them in this manner, not only to exercise their understanding, but because it was a forcible and animated way of teaching. The minds of most readers will always dwell with singular delight and improvement on our Lord's parables; their imagination, warmed with the beauty of the images, will long retain them; and they will ever be regarded as striking lessons of religious and moral instruction.

The lessons thus conveyed are inoffensive, because

---

b See Mark iv. 26, &c. 31, &c.; Matt. xiii. 33; Luke xiii. 6, &c.; Matt. xxi. 33, &c.
c Matt. xviii. 23, &c.; xiii. 44-50; xxv. 1, &c., 14, &c.
d As Matt. xiii. 44-50.
e "St. Luke, who, setting aside his sacred character, may justly claim a place among good writers, seems to have been particularly affected and pleased with the parables of Christ; and has recorded some which the other evangelists have omitted." Jortin's Sermons, vol. ii. p. 229. London ed.
indirect. We ourselves make the application; our eyes are turned unawares on our own behaviour; and the duties of self-examination and amendment are most skilfully and agreeably taught. Thus an able writer has observed, that "the parable of the merciful Samaritan was a gentle reproof to the Jews for their antipathy to strangers, by opposing to it an example of humanity and mercy."

Parables serve likewise, as has been hinted, to employ the faculties of the human mind; they excite inquiry when the resemblance between the fiction and the true state of things is to be traced out; and they lead us in the most engaging way to exercise our thoughts on religious subjects, and to acquaint ourselves with the divine dispensations.

The remarkable decorum which prevails in our Lord’s parables has been observed by archbishop Tillotson, and by Mr. Lowth in his useful Directions for reading the Scriptures. The instances given by these writers are, that in the parable of the ten virgins five are wise and five foolish; in that of the prodigal son, the brother continues constant to his duty; in that of the ten talents, one makes no improvement of his talent, but two improve theirs; and in the parable of the lost sheep, among a hundred only one goes astray. It may be added, that the rest of the servants are represented as very sorry at the unmerciful conduct of their fellow servant; that the rich man is described as solicitous about the eternal welfare of his brethren; that, among many guests, one only is said to be without a wedding-garment; that Jesus does not himself commend the

Tennent’s Visitations Sermon.  
Serm. xxxi. on Matt. xxv. 1, 2.  
p. 185, &c.  
Matt. xxxii. 11. These instances serve to show that our Lord did not give gloomy pictures of human nature: which further appears from Matt. v. 45. ix. 13; Luke xv. 7.
worldly prudence of the unjust steward, but introduces his master as commending it; and that, in the similar parables of the great supper and of the marriage-feast, there is a striking difference arising from our Lord's different situation: earlier in his ministry, all with one consent began to make excuse to a certain man who made a great supper; and the parable concludes thus: "I say unto you, that none of those men who were bidden shall taste of my supper:" but when the iniquity of the Jews was more aggravated, and our Lord's death at hand, "a certain king made a marriage feast for his son;" and when the king heard that his invitation was scorned, and his servants contumeliously treated and slain, "he was angry, and sent forth his armies, and destroyed those murderers, and burnt up their city."

It is also a striking observation made by bishop Pearce\(^k\), after Chrysostom\(^1\) and Tillotson\(^m\), that "in the parable of the marriage-feast, though the fact was plain respecting him who had not on a wedding-garment, yet the king addressed him with the mild appellation of friend, in the sense of one to whom goodwill and civility were due: and that Abraham called the rich man, even when in the place of torment, by the name of son: Son, remember that thou in thy lifetime receivedst thy good things. What an useful lesson have we here of gentleness and mildness towards one another!"


Οὐκ ἐξείπεν, Ἀνάθεμα καὶ ἄμε καὶ παμπύρη, τοσαύτα [κακά] διὰ θείας τῶν ἀνθρώπων, φιλανθρώπως μέμησαν τῶν καὶ ἢλικαν καὶ συγγενές; οὐκ ἐρυθρᾶς, οὔτε ἀλοχὸν; ἄλλα τί; τίκων κ. τ. λ.

"He did not say, Inhuman and cruel and profligate, after having acted thus towards Lazarus, dost thou now make mention of humanity and pity and pardon? dost thou not blush? art thou not ashamed? But what? Son, &c."

Our Lord has explained two parables, and he has given the moral of four others. After that of the covetous rich man he adds, "So is he that layeth up treasure for himself, and is not rich towards God." To that of the merciful servant he subjoins, "So likewise shall my heavenly Father do also unto you, if ye from your hearts forgive not every one his brother their trespasses." And two parables being capable of misconstruction, he carefully guards against a perversion of their design. He is very large in applying that of the unjust steward; deducing an inference that "the children of this world are wiser in their generation than the children of light;" and exhorting his hearers to make themselves friends of riches by a faithful use of them. And he thus applies the parable of the unjust judge overcome by the importunity of a widow, "And shall not God avenge his own elect, who cry day and night unto him, and will he be long-suffering with respect to them? I tell you that he will avenge them speedily," by bringing the Roman armies upon the Jews their persecutors.

The subject-matter of our Lord's parables, recommending pious resignation in a state of poverty, humility, forgiveness, humanity, fruitfulness in good works, prayer, watchfulness, a prudent and beneficent use of wealth, a due improvement of religious advantages, and such like worthy actions and dispositions, shows the excellence of our Lord's doctrines and the amiableness of his character: their beauty, decorum, variety, and pertinency, on occasions which did not admit of premeditation, furnish a strong presumption of his more

---

* See above, p. 114, note 1.
* I read καὶ μακροθυμία. See Grot. and Bengelius. The present is put for the future. Et patientiam habebit in illis? Vulg.
* "That is," says Grotius, "et in corum causa lentus erit?"
than human wisdom; and the completion of the prophecies which they contain directly proves his divine mission. So that on the whole they constitute no mean part of the internal evidence of Christianity.

SECT. XI.—That our Lord sometimes instructed by actions.

Speaking, as it were, to the eye by sensible representations is a very ancient kind of language. Types may be called prophecies by action. Thus the manner of our Lord's death, and the benefits of it to mankind, were presignified by the brazen serpent raised on a pole; the sight of which restored those who had been bitten by serpents.

The Hebrew prophets sometimes borrowed illustrations from casual objects; sometimes they seem to supply the action themselves; and sometimes God expressly commanded them to supply it. To give a single instance of each: "As Samuel turned about to go away from Saul, the king laid hold on the skirt of the prophet's mantle, and rent it. And Samuel said unto him, The Lord hath rent the kingdom of Israel from thee this day." When Joash, king of Israel, visited Elisha in the sickness whereof the prophet died, Elisha said, "Take arrows. And he took them. And he said unto the king of Israel, Smite upon the ground. And he smote thrice, and stayed." This was to denote that Joash should thrice vanquish the Syrians. In this and similar instances there is no doubt but that the mode of prophecy was suggested by the Spirit, though the divine agency is not recorded. Again: in the beginning of Jehoiakim's reign God commanded Jeremiah to put bonds and yokes on his neck, and send them to certain kings; thus denoting the bondage of Jeru-

salem, and of some particular kingdoms, to Nebuchad-
nezzar king of Babylon. 9.

Examples of significant signs occur also in the New
Testament. Pilate seems to have adopted a ceremony
in the Jewish law, when he took water and washed his hands before the multitude; intending to declare by this action, as he did in express words, that he was innocent of the blood which he was compelled to shed.

When our Lord expired, "the veil of the temple was rent in twain from the top to the bottom," to signify that the way into the true holy of holies was made manifest by the death of Christ.

I allow this interpretation: but yet I am unwilling to allow "that the darkness which was spread over the land," while our Lord was on the cross, "showed the spiritual blindness of the Jews: and that the earthquakes at the death and resurrection of Christ showed the great revolutions which should come to pass in the establishment of the gospel and in the fall of Judaism and paganism." I infer the reason of rending the veil from the reason of erecting it: but my mind is satisfied with contemplating the other events as wonders designed to excite awe and attention at the periods when they happened.

But to return. The cloven tongues, like as of fire, which sat on each of the apostles on the day of Pentecost, denoted that they received the wonderful gift of speaking with divers tongues. Peter was instructed in that great mystery, the admission of the Gentiles into the Christian church, by a symbolic vision: he saw in a trance a number of unclean beasts, fowls, and insects let down from heaven; and was commanded not to call those things common which God had cleansed. At

9 See more instances in Sir I. Newton on Daniel, p. 148.

9 Jortin: Eccl. Hist. i. 274.
Corinth “Paul was pressed in spirit, and testified to
the Jews that Jesus was the Christ: and when they
opposed themselves, and blasphemed, he shook his rai-
ment,” thus importing his detachment from them*, “and
said unto them, Your blood be upon your own heads:
I am clean.” And while this apostle was at Cæsarea
in his way to Jerusalem, “there came down from Judæa
a certain prophet, named Agabus;” who “took Paul’s
girdle, and bound his own hands and feet, and said,
Thus saith the Holy Spirit, So shall the Jews at Je-
rusalem bind the man that owneth this girdle.” I shall
add one instance from the Apocalypse. A mighty angel
is introduced as “taking up a stone, like a great mill-
stone, and casting it into the sea, saying, Thus with
violence shall that great city Babylon be thrown down,
and shall be found no more at all.”

And this mode of expressing ideas by visible signs is
not uncommon in ancient history. I shall allege a few
proofs from among many. It is well known that Tar-
quin the Proud† advised his son to destroy the chief
citizens of Gabii, by taking the Gabinian messenger
into his garden, and striking off with his staff the heads
of his tallest poppies. And, about a century before
this, Thrasibulus the Milesian‡ conveyed like counsel
to Periander, tyrant of Corinth, by breaking off the
highest ears of corn. Sending land and water to the
Persians§ was considered as a formal acknowledgment
of their sovereignty. When Trajan was in Egypt, he
consulted the oracle at Heliopolis whether he should
successfully finish the Parthian war, and return to
Rome. He received for answer a vine-twig†† wrapped

* Or interpret the text accord-
ing to Neh. v. 13.
† Liv. i. 54.
§ Herod. iv. 126.
†† Macrob. i. c. xxiii. calls it
vitis centurialis, or that with
up in a napkin, and divided into many parts. This was thought to be verified by the carrying of Trajan’s bones to Rome: but Fontenelle * rightly observes that the allegorical reply, as he terms it, was so general as to suit the total or partial ill success of either army. It might be proved that this emblematical language is common among almost all nations: but it is particularly adapted to the lively turn and warm feelings of the eastern nations. I proceed to show how our Lord enjoined or employed it.

He commanded his disciples, when they departed from a house or city where they were rejected on their first mission, which was attested by signal miracles, to shake off the very dust of their feet, as a significant token of disclaiming further intercourse with them at that time.

Our Lord instituted baptism as a perpetual rite of initiation into his church. Immersion in water be-
tokens burial with Christ into death; “that like as he was raised up from the dead by the glory of the Fa-
ther, even so we also should walk in newness of life:” and a partial ablution is likewise very significant, and equally efficacious for the purpose of salvation, as it denotes the washing of regeneration, and the sprinkling of our hearts from an evil conscience; the baptism which saves us being, as St. Peter expresses it, “not putting away the filth of the flesh, but the answer of a good conscience towards God.”

At the first passover which our Lord celebrated after entering on his ministry, and again at that which im-
mediately preceded his death, he cast the traders out which the centurion chastised soldiers. Juvenal, viii. 247.

* Hist. des Oracles, c. xvi.

* See Bp. Hurd’s able and ele-
gant sermons preached at Lin-
coln’s Inn, vol. i. 273; whence I have borrowed some instances in this section.
of that part of the temple which was called the Gentiles' court. It may be collected from Josephus, that Gentiles were forbidden to pass beyond this outer court. He says, "that the whole circle of pillars round the temple, comprehending also the tower of Antonia, measured six stadia; that the part exposed to the weather was adorned with a pavement of various stones; that, as you went through this to the second court, there ran a stone enclosure, thirteen cubits high, of very elegant workmanship, in which were pillars at equal distances, declaring the law of purity, some in Greek and some in Roman letters, that a stranger should not enter into the holy place: for the second court was called holy." Now, the buyers and sellers and the sheep and oxen being found within the precincts of the temple, it is justly argued, that the scrupulous Jews would not subject to such profane uses the second court, which they deemed holy; and therefore that the scene of these secular acts was the court of the despised Gentiles. In this place the devout among them worshipped Jehovah; and therefore, "though it had no sanctity of legal distinction, yet it had the sanctity of peculiarity to God-ward." By the authoritative act of cleansing this part of the temple, our Lord not only testified his zeal for God's house, agreeably to the construction put on it by the disciples, but his zeal for the Gentiles also: it being a way of teaching by action that the gospel was open to them as well as the Jews. "Our blessed Saviour, who came to redeem, not the Jews only, but the Gentiles also, and to make them a principal part of his fold, would not

---

c Bell. Jud. i. v. c. v. § 2. ed. Haverc.
d J. Mede's Discourses, xi. p. 44. fol.

e Mede, ib.

f See this ingeniously and solidly proved at the end of Bp. Hurd's first volume of sermons.
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suffer them to be thus neglected; but in this act of his gave them a _preludium_ of his further favour intended towards them: and he that was to vindicate their souls from death, and take away the _partition-wall_ between Eph. ii. 14. them and the Jews, first vindicates their oratory from profanation $^8$.

Whether our Lord intentionally selected his miracles to be emblematical of his character, designs, and actions as a spiritual teacher, is a question of some nicety. A most learned and excellent writer$^b$, whose authority claims as much deference as any man's, has started this as a new hypothesis, and has pursued it through some pages. In proof of it, he refers to our Lord's own application in the following instances: "He gave sight to the blind; a miracle well suiting him who brought immortality to light, and taught truth to an ignorant world. Our Saviour himself leads us to this observation, and sets his miracle in the same view, saying upon that occasion, 'I am the light of the world Jo.iix. 39. —I am come into this world, that they who see not might see.' He fed the hungry multitudes by a miracle which aptly represented his heavenly doctrine, and the gospel preached to the poor; and which he himself so explains, saying, 'I am the living bread which came Jo. vi. 51. down from heaven: if any man eat of this bread, he shall live for ever.' At his direction the disciples twice cast the net, and had an astonishing draught of fishes, when without him they had toiled long in vain and caught nothing; an image of the success which they should have when they became fishers of men$^i$, as he himself explained it. He raised the dead, a miracle

$^8$ J. Mede's Discourses, xi. p. 44. fol.


peculiarly suitting him who at the last day should call forth all mankind to appear before him; and therefore when he raised Lazarus he uttered those majestic words, 'I am the resurrection, and the life: he that believeth in me, though he were dead, yet shall he live.' He performed some miracles upon persons who were not of his own nation; and it was so ordered by Divine Providence that these persons, as the centurion, the Syrophœnician woman, the Samaritan leper, should show a greater degree of faith and of gratitude than the Jews to whom the same favours were granted. This was an indication that the gospel should be more readily received by the Gentiles than by the Jews; and this our Saviour intimates, saying, when he had commended the Centurion's faith, 'Many shall come from the east and west, and shall sit down with Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, in the kingdom of heaven: but the children of the kingdom shall be cast out into outer darkness.'

In these examples, reasons are assigned for interpreting them in a spiritual as well as a literal sense: others, which the reader will do well to examine with attention, are explained in the same manner without any proof annexed.

The observation is not new. Dupin has the following passage in the preface to his Life of Christ: “He healed no bodily diseases but what were a figure of the spiritual distempers of our souls. Sin is as pernicious to the soul, and as great an evil to that, as leprosy, palsy, deafness, blindness, or any other infirmity, is to the body: and therefore let us do the same to obtain the health of our souls, that we read the diseased persons did for the cure of their bodies: let us present ourselves before Jesus, and say with the leper,
‘Lord, if thou wilt, thou canst make me clean;’ and with the blind man, ‘Open mine eyes, that I may see.’—Let us look upon that thankfulness, faith, love, and other passions of those who were miraculously healed, as a pattern of those affections which we ought to have for the graces which we have received.”

This is very pious advice: but the question is, whether the disorders were selected with this immediate view: which I am apt to resolve in the negative.

It has been shown in another place¹ that our Lord customarily took the colouring of his language from present objects and occasions; and the reader must judge whether the words, which are produced as arguments for the spiritual sense of our Lord’s miracles, are any thing more than observations naturally arising from the subject. The serious Christian may laudably assist his meditations by any such view of our Lord’s miracles: and yet the sober critic and just reasoner may think such interpretations inadmissible. As an expositor of the scripture I am strongly disposed to rest in the literal sense of the miracles in the Gospels, except where the eastern manner and the prophetic character exhibited in the sacred writings lead us to understand them symbolically; as when the temple was cleansed, and the barren fig tree blasted. We read that Jesus healed all manner of disease and all manner of infirmity among the people, and that he gave the twelve authority to do the same; words which plainly exclude a curious selection of objects. If we allow ourselves to expatiate in the fields of imagination,

Fertur equis auriga, neque audit currus habenas.

Virg. Georg. i. 514.

For instance: by healing a paralytic or a leper, our Lord shows that his doctrine will remove correspond-

¹ Sect. sixth of this chapter.
ing diseases of the soul; his stilling the waves signifies his victory over the madness of the people; and his walking on them, the progress of his gospel, and its crossing the ocean to the remotest lands; interpretations which Jortin has actually given. In like manner we might go on to allegorize the raising of the dead, the healing of the diseased, and the feeding of the hungry, by the prophets under the law: and particularly, the multiplication of oil by Elisha might be said to foreshow the future large effusion of the Spirit; and his removing the effect of poisonous herbs, shred into pottage, might be considered as aptly expressing the power of God’s word to counteract sin, the poison of the soul, or as prefiguring that the disciples of the Messiah should drink any deadly thing and it should not hurt them.

From thus commenting on the miracles at large, we shall come to a fanciful explanation of each circumstance. Thus Victor Antiochenus\textsuperscript{m} says that our Lord gradually restored sight to the blind man near Bethsaida, to signify that men did not at once emerge from the darkness of ignorance, but were by degrees enlightened with a clear knowledge of gospel mysteries. Grotius also thought that the twelve baskets of fragments\textsuperscript{n}, gathered after miraculously feeding the five thousand, answered to the twelve apostles; and in his note on our Lord’s act of spreading clay over the eyes of a blind man, he has this mystical remark: “Homicini, non tantum suapte natura non inest vis cognoscendi per se ea quae divina sunt et spiritualia; sed et, quia ex luto factus est, affectus habet luteos qui ipsum impediunt.” 1 Cor. ii. 14. And for aught I know, some commentator, trained in the school of Cocceius or

\textsuperscript{m} He lived A. D. 401. See Lucas Brugensis on Mark viii. 24.
\textsuperscript{n} On John vi. 12.
Hutchinson, may have interpreted the seven baskets of fragments, taken up when the four thousand were fed, as signifying the seven spirits of God. Criticisms of Rev. iv. 5; v. 6. this kind might well have been passed over in silence, if love of novelty or ostentation of ingenuity had not sometimes, though very rarely, led men of the first names to authorize them: however, it is impossible to establish a taste for them in an age when the best writings of antiquity are so constantly read, and the rules of sound interpretation are so well understood. But to go on:

When the disciples asked Jesus who was the greatest in the kingdom of heaven, he did not barely recommend an humble unambitious spirit in general terms, but gave an energy to his words by placing an example of it before his disciples. “He called a little child unto him, and set him in the midst of them, and said, Verily I say unto you, Unless ye be converted, and become as little children, ye shall not enter into the kingdom of heaven. Whosoever therefore shall humble himself as this little child, the same is greatest in the kingdom of heaven.”

When our Lord approached Jerusalem at the last passover, he “knew all things that should come upon him:” that the fame of his raising Lazarus from the dead would cause the great multitude which crowded into the city at that season to meet him with branches of palm-trees, the ensigns of triumph; that they would show him the greatest honour by strewing their garments and branches of trees in the way; and that they would bless his kingdom, and hail him king of Israel. At this time therefore he chose to declare his regal character by publicly and triumphantly riding into Jerusalem in a manner anciently customary among the first persons of his country; thus reminding all of
Zechariah's prophecy, "Tell ye the daughter of Sion, Behold, thy king cometh unto thee, meek, and sitting upon an ass, and a colt the foal of an ass."

Blasting the fig tree, which bare leaves only, was a symbolical action, typifying both the rejection of the Jewish nation for their unfruitfulness under such abundant means of grace, and the curse which all the disciples of Christ would be subject to, unless they brought forth fruit meet for repentance. This was agreeable to the moral of a parable before delivered; in which a fig tree, that bare not fruit for three years, was to be cut down, if it continued barren. The next day, the disciples saw the fig tree dried up from the roots, turned our Lord's attention to the object, and wondered at the suddenness of the event. Our Lord left the instruction immediately arising from the miracle to their present and future reflections; and, speaking only to the circumstance of their astonishment, observed that they should be enabled to work greater miracles than what now raised their admiration, if they had a due degree of faith, obtainable by such prayer as was accompanied with proper moral qualifications in the petitioner.

On the night immediately preceding his crucifixion, the paschal supper being brought, but not partaken of, Jesus at the close of his life continued to show that affection to his disciples which he had uniformly evi-
denced throughout the whole course of it; and there-
fore took occasion to enforce some most important
lessons of instruction. Though conscious of his high
and unspeakable dignity, he condescended to perform
a low and servile office, for the sake of deeply impress-
ing its moral uses. "He riseth from supper, and laid
aside his garments; and took a towel, and girded him-
self. After that he poured water into a basin, and
began to wash the disciples' feet, and to wipe them
with the towel wherewith he was girded." Peter revere-
rently declining his part, our Lord taught him and all
this great truth: "If I wash thee not," if I cleanse thee
not from all sin by my example and death, by my doc-
trine and by the Spirit, "thou hast no part with me"
in my kingdom of glory. Having resumed his place,
he instructed his followers that they should readily
perform to each other that very office, or any equally
humble: I have given you an example applicable to a
variety of cases; that you may act with a general con-
formity to what you have seen in me your superior.
The easterns were shod with sandals: and the per-
formance of the special action related by the evange-
list depended on climate and mode of dress. It could
not therefore be meant as an universal and perpetual
rite in the Christian church.

"As often as we eat bread and drink the cup" at
the celebration of the Lord's supper, "we show his
death," by easy and natural signs, "till he come." The
bread is the "outward and visible sign" of his body.

\[p\]
To wash the saints' feet, 1 Tim. v. 10, is to use hospitality
towards them.

\[q\] The transsubstantialists of the Greek and Latin church would
do well to consider the following passage in the famous Fenelon,
one of the greatest ornaments to the church of Rome. "It would
be easy to show in detail, with the books in our hands, that we
have no preacher of our age who has been so figurative in his most
studied discourses, as Jesus Christ was in his popular preaching."
Sur l'Eloquence, p. 94.
Breaking the bread, an act mentioned by three of the evangelists and by St. Paul, is a representation of his body broken on the cross, of his disjointed bones, and of his being pierced by the nail and the spear. The wine represents the blood of Christ, shed for many for the remission of sins. God, who knows our frame, has graciously assisted our meditations on the subject of Christ's death by thus addressing our senses. And those who administer this rite with an unbroken wafer, and also withhold the cup from the bulk of Christian worshippers, greatly enervate its significance and expressiveness.

When Jesus appeared to the eleven on the evening of his resurrection, "he breathed on them, and saith unto them, Receive ye the Holy Spirit." This was a partial communication of that Spirit, the full effusion of which was received on the day of Pentecost. The sign attending our Lord's words intimated by whose energy the gift was imparted; and also the nature of it, as an invisible but active and vivifying principle. Our Lord breathed, as it were, into their nostrils the breath of the spiritual life.

And, to conclude this enumeration, which I have pursued, like some of the former ones, in the order of time; when our Lord, after his resurrection, had signified to Peter that he should die on the cross, he repeated this intimation by adding, "Follow me;" meaning to declare, in giving occasion to this action, that as Peter then literally followed him, so should this apostle figuratively follow him by dying the same kind of death.

And it has been observed that the beloved disciple, intent on our Lord's words and actions, followed him

---

r Gen. ii. 7. See Grot. in loc. and Macknight's Harmony, p. 313.
uncalled*: as if he meant most affectionately to show, by the same action, a like readiness to lay down his life for the truth.

CHAPTER III.

CONCERNING THE PROOFS WHICH OUR LORD GAVE OF HIS DIVINE MISSION.

SECT. I.—Of the prophecies uttered by our Lord, and their completion.

EUSEBIUS†, having quoted the prophecy, “Upon this rock I will build my church, and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it,” adds these words: “And having collected in a separate treatise numberless other particulars said and foretold by our Saviour, and subjoined the events of things agreeable to his inspired foreknowledge, we demonstrate the undoubted truth of what we are persuaded concerning him.” This book is lost; and though some‡ have enumerated the most eminent of our Lord’s prophecies, yet I have not seen any writer who has professedly made a complete collection of them. I shall lay before the reader such as at present occur to me on a diligent attention to the subject. Those already accomplished shall be ranged with a general view to the time of their completion: and those shall be placed last which are now accomplishing, or are to be accomplished hereafter.

* See Doddridge’s excellent paraphrase and note on John xxi. 20.
† Præp. Evang. i. i. c. 3. referred to in Jortin’s Discourses on the Christian Religion, p. 194.
While the second passover in the course of our Lord's ministry was celebrating at Jerusalem, he restored on the sabbath one who had been infirm in his limbs for the space of thirty-eight years: and declared to the Jews that his Father would direct him to do still greater works than such as he had already done.  

“For as the Father raiseth the dead, and quickeneth them,” whenever it pleaseth him to exercise his almighty power, “even so the Son quickeneth whom he will.”

“Verily, verily, I say unto you, The hour is coming, and now is, when the dead shall hear the voice of the Son of God: and they that hear shall live.” This is plainly distinguished from the general resurrection as an event just at hand, and indeed is directly opposed to it: “Marvel not at this: for the hour is coming, in which all that are in the graves shall hear his voice, and shall come forth; they that have done good, unto the resurrection of life; and they that have done evil, unto the resurrection of condemnation.” Our Lord refers therefore to those illustrious acts of power which he was about to display in raising the dead during the course of his ministry; instances of which we have in his restoring to life the widow's son at Nain, the daughter of Jairus at Capernaum, and Lazarus at Bethany: which last miracle was again particularly foretold a few days before it was wrought: “Our friend Lazarus sleepeth; but I go that I may awake him out of sleep.”

As Jesus was proceeding to Jerusalem, and was about to enter that city in a kind of triumph, “he sent
two of his disciples, saying unto them, Go into the village over against you, and immediately when ye enter therein ye shall find an ass tied, and a colt with her, on which no man ever sat. And they that were sent departed, and found as he had said unto them."

There is another prediction which bears a resemblance to this. On the day before his crucifixion, our Lord, on being asked where the passover should be prepared for him, said to Peter and John; "Behold, when ye enter into the city, there shall meet you a man bearing a pitcher of water a: follow him into the house whither he goeth. And ye shall say to the master of the house, The master saith, My time is at hand: where is the guest-chamber, where I may eat the passover with my disciples? And he will show you a large upper room furnished b: there make ready for us. And they went, and found as he had said unto them."

Dr. Benson quotes these two instances in the fifth chapter of his Life of Christ c; and refers to some events of a similar kind foretold to Saul by the prophet Samuel: adding a just observation, that we read the history of these minute predictions with great coldness and indifference, compared with the impressions which their accomplishment must have originally made.

When Nathanael expressed his wonder that Jesus knew his secret actions, our Lord said unto him, "Hereafter ye," my disciples, "shall see heaven open, and the angels of God ascending and descending on the Son of man:" meaning that, agreeably to the sense of Jacob's vision, which by action beautifully represented God's care of Jacob and his posterity, there should be an in-

---

a Probably for the purpose of washing before meat: as John ii. 6.
b ἐσπαθεῖον in Mark xiv. 15, is a gloss on ἐσπαθέειον.
c P. 188.
tercourse between the Son of man and heaven, and that sometimes angels should minister to him.

At Capernaum our Lord foretold the treachery of Judas about a year before the event; saying in the presence of the twelve, "There are some of you who believe not:" for he knew, says the evangelist, who should betray him. And when Peter acknowledged his Messiahship, he replied, "Have I not chosen you twelve, and one of you is a false accuser?" And again, the same night on which he was betrayed, he alluded to the traitor's dark purpose by declaring, when he had washed the feet of his apostles, "Ye are clean, but not all:" an allusion which he went on to explain: "If ye know these things, happy are ye if ye do them. I speak not of you all: I know whom I have chosen: but that the scripture may be fulfilled, He that eateth bread with me hath lifted up his heel against me. Now I tell you before it come to pass; that, when it is come to pass, ye may believe that I am he." "When Jesus had said these things, he was troubled in spirit, and testified and said, Verily, verily, I say unto you, that one of you, who eateth with me, shall betray me." The apostles looking on each other full of doubt, inquiring among each other, and each asking with extreme sorrow whether he was the person pointed out; Jesus answered, One of the twelve, who now at this table dippeth his hand with me in the dish and joineth in our common act of eating, even one of my associates and familiar companions shall betray me. The apostle John, reclining at table near Jesus, and Peter beckoning to him that he should inquire particularly, our


e Mark i. 13. Luke xxii. 43.

Ver. 17–19, &c.

xxiv. 4. Acts i. 10.

See 1 Tim. iii. 11. Rev. xii. 9, 10.

Ver. 21, &c.

and p.p.

f This was a circumstance of very particular aggravation, according to the eastern customs.
Lord privately satisfied John, and consequently Peter, by answering that he would give a sop to the person meant, and accordingly he delivered one to Judas, and thus addressed him, "What thou doest, do quickly:" words not immediately understood by the other apostles. Upon this Judas himself said, "Lord, is it I?" confounded by guilt, or not yet convinced of Christ's divine knowledge: and receiving a public assurance that he was the man, he immediately went out, and executed that night the perfidious and sordid agreement which he had shortly before made with the Jewish rulers.

At the same time, Jesus said to the eleven apostles, "All ye shall be offended because of me THIS NIGHT: Ver. 31. for it is written, I will smite the shepherd, and the sheep of the flock shall be scattered abroad." And again: "Behold, the hour cometh, yea, is now come, Jo. xvi. 32. that ye shall be scattered, every man to his own place, and shall leave me alone." Accordingly, when the Roman soldiers, and the servants of the Jewish rulers, seized and bound Jesus ON THAT VERY NIGHT, "all the disciples forsook him and fled."

Immediately after this, Peter having made earnest professions of his fortitude, our Lord foretold with wonderful precision that even he, the boldest and most forward of his disciples, and seemingly the most unlikely to be guilty of such timidity, ON THAT NIGHT, See Mar. xiv. 30. and before the cock crew TWICE, should THrice deny that he knew him. And all the evangelists have recorded the history of Peter's three denials; together with the circumstance, that after the third denial it was the second cock-crowing, or about our three in the morning.

It was early in his ministry that our Lord obscurely prophesied of his death. At the first passover, three

8 Mark xiv. 30; for δι' αἵματος read δι' αἵματος. See Wetstein.
years before he suffered, he intimated to the Jews that
the temple of his body should be destroyed. And about
the same time he darkly signified the manner of his
death to Nicodemus: "As Moses lifted up the serpent
in the wilderness, even so must the Son of man be
lifted up." In the synagogue at Capernaum, when
the third passover was near, he declared to the Jews
that he would give his flesh for the life of the world.
And after that festival, the course of his ministry being
far advanced, "he began to show his disciples that the
Son of man must go to Jerusalem, and suffer many
things, and be rejected of the elders and chief priests
and scribes, and be put to death. And he spake the
word openly." Soon afterwards, while they abode in
Galilee, he repeated the prophecy on three occasions\(^h\).
And on his last journey to Jerusalem, he showed his
unbounded knowledge by suggesting these particular
circumstances: that the chief priests and scribes, after
they had condemned him to death, would deliver him
to the Gentiles, who should mock him, and contumeliously
treat him, and spit on him, and scourge him,
and crucify him. Jesus also prophesied that he should
die out of [the precincts of] Jerusalem. And in
the parable of the wicked husbandmen, who are repre-
sented as casting the heir out of the vineyard and slay-
ing him, our Lord foreshowed that he should suffer
without the gate of Jerusalem. On the Wednesday in
the paschal week, he foretold that he should be deli-
vered up to be crucified on the day of the passover.
And, when Mary anointed him at Bethany, he pre-
dicted the near approach of his death by observing

\(^h\) See this expression repeated
to the Jews six months before
the last passover, John viii. 28:
and to certain Greeks, five days
before it, John xii. 32.

\(^i\) Matt. xvii. 12. and p.p. and
xvii. 25.
that she had, as it were, kept the ointment to the day
of his embalming; so soon was his burial to follow. Jo. xii. 7,
and p.p. 
Luk. xxii.
During the last paschal supper, he both mentioned his
sufferings in general terms, and alluded to his cruci-

fixion between two malefactors: "I say unto you, that ver. 37.
this which is written must yet be accomplished in me,
And he was numbered among the transgressors." When
he instituted the communion, he brake bread, saying,
This is my body broken for you. He also declared that
the wine presignified his blood shed for many: and,
having appointed that it should be drunk in remem-

brance of him, he added; "But I say unto you, I shall Mat. xxvi.
not drink henceforth of this fruit of the vine, until that 

day when I drink it new with you in my Father's king-

dom:" meaning, that he should not again partake of
wine till after his resurrection, when, his sufferings Act. x. 41.
being past, it would be, as it were, of a new and supe-

rior kind. How the leading facts in these predictions
were accomplished I need not recall to the mind of any
Christian. There was also a most exact accomplish-

ment of every inferior circumstance. When the high
priest had condemned Jesus, he was mocked of those Luk. xxii.
who blindfolded him, saying, "Prophecy unto us, thou 

Christ, who it is that smote thee." In like manner,
"Herod with his men of war set him at nought, and Luk. xxiii.
mocked him, and arrayed him in a gorgeous robe." 11.
And when Pilate had scourged him, the Roman sol-
diers "stripped him, and put on him a scarlet robe; and Mat. xxvii.
when they had platted a crown of thorns, they put it
upon his head, and a reed in his right hand; and they
bowed the knee before him, and mocked him, saying,
Hail, king of the Jews!" Our Lord also endured other

k Vina, nuxem, fundam cythis
Ariusis, nectar. Virg. Ecl. v. 71: Jam nova progenies celo demit-
titur alto. Ecl. iv. 7. See also quoted by Wetstein. So again: 
Is. lxv. 17. 2 Pet. iii. 13.

NEWCOMBE.
contumelious indignities and wanton injuries. He was
struck with the staves of the officers as he stood be-
fore the high priest: he was smitten on the face with
the palms of their hands: he hid not his face from
their spitting. Pilate’s soldiers also, after they had
scourged him, spat upon him, and took the reed, his
mock sceptre, and smote him on the head.

Our Lord foretold his resurrection on the third day,
sometimes distantly to the Jews, sometimes distinctly
to his disciples. When he had cleansed the temple at
his first passover, and the Jews asked him, “What sign
showest thou, seeing that thou doest these things? he
answered, Destroy this temple, and in three days I will
raise it up.” When the Jews asked of him a sign from
heaven, he replied, “An evil and adulterous genera-
tion seeketh after a sign; and there shall no sign be
given to it, but the sign of the prophet Jonas: for as
Jonas was three days and three nights in the whale’s
belly; so shall the Son of man be three days and three
nights in the heart of the earth.” The sign requested

1 Οὐ δὲ ἐρράσασθεν. Matt.xxvi.67:
bdaiouμαστοι καὶ τὰ ράλλαν. Mark
xiv. 65. Ictus, qui virga vel bac-
culo vel faste incutitur. H. Steph.
in voc. Comp. John xix. 3. with
the parallel places.

m κολαφίζειν, according to the
same writer, is colaphum incu-
tere, depalmar aliquem, i.e. pal-
ma percutere; and κολαφος is
plaga quae palma in maxillam
alicujus incutitur.

Our Lord uses the word ἐζητο-
σθηναι, Luke xviii. 32: “ἡμεῖς
δοῦν τῷ βασιλεῖ καὶ λυπήν, ἐὰς ὡς
ἀλογίαν ἐστὶν τῷ πάθειν: μὴ ἦν
τι γένεται αὕτη, ἢ ἦν γένετο, ἀλλ’
ἐναντὶ ἴσος ἔστην. Contumely is hurt-
ing and grieving in matters which
put the sufferer to shame; not
that any advantage may befall
the contumelious person, or that
any thing has befallen him al-
ready [which he thus revenges],
but that he may receive [a ma-
licious] pleasure. This passage
is ill-pointed and misunderstood
in the Cambridge ed. of Arist.
Rhet. 1737. See l.ii. c.ii. §3.

And Demosthenes uses the
word ἐζητεῖ, and says, that ἐς
σώμα ἐθρίξε, when, on a solemn
festival furnished by him, Midias
struck him with his hand.

n What a peculiar indignity
this was appears from bishop
Louth’s note on Is. 1. 6.
by them shall not be given; that of a temporal deli-
verance, of a conquering prince coming in the clouds
of heaven: though a victorious and triumphant one
shall be vouchsafed hereafter. To his disciples our Lord
said soon after the third passover, that he should be
raised again within three days. As he was descending
from the mount on which he was transfigured, he com-
manded Peter, James, and John to tell no man the
vision until the Son of man was risen from the dead.
While they continued in Galilee before the feast of
tabernacles, he taught his immediate followers that
after he was killed he should rise again the third day:
and as he was going up to the last passover he repeated
this prediction. At the paschal supper he declared that
after he was risen he would go before the apostles into
Galilee: and on the same night he alluded to his re-
surrection in these words, "Ye shall be sorrowful, but
your sorrow shall be turned into joy:" "I will see you
again, and your heart shall rejoice, and your joy no
man taketh from you."

Our Lord's ascension was foretold by him in the
three following places: "No man ascendeth up to
heaven," none of this generation giveth so illustrious
a proof of his divine mission, "but he that came down
from heaven, even the Son of man who was in heaven?"
And when his disciples thought his assertion, that he
was the bread which came down from heaven, a hard
saying, and murmured at it, he replied, "What and if
ye see the Son of man ascend up where he was be-
fore?" Accordingly we read that "while the apostles
beheld, Jesus was taken up, and a cloud received him
out of their sight." And once more: after his resur-
rection, when he appeared to Mary Magdalene, "Jesus

* John iii. 13. See bishop Pearce's note, and John v. 45. ¹ Tim. v. 5.
  ² See ² Cor. John ix. 25.
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said unto her, Touch me not;” pass not the present time in saluting and embracing me; “for I ascend not yet to my Father:” but shall converse with you on earth many days: “but go to my brethren, and say unto them, I ascend unto my Father and your Father, and unto my God and your God.”

Our Lord foretold the communication of spiritual gifts in his discourse with Nicodemus: “Unless a man be born of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God.” He also referred to the effusion of the Spirit when he first commissioned the twelve apostles: “When they shall deliver you up, take no thought how or what ye shall speak; for it shall be given you in that same hour what ye shall speak: for it is not ye that speak, but the Spirit of your Father which speaketh in you.” This is else-
where differently expressed. “The Holy Spirit shall teach you in the same hour what ye ought to say.” “I will give you a mouth and wisdom, which all your ad-

versaries shall not be able to gainsay nor resist.” “In the last day, that great day of the feast” of tabernacles, “Jesus stood and cried, saying, If any man thirst, let him come unto me, and drink. He that believeth on me, as the scripture hath said, out of his belly shall flow rivers of living water. But this he spake of the Spirit, which they that believe on him should receive.” On the night preceding his crucifixion he made these declarations to the eleven: “I will pray the Father, and he shall give you another Advocate, that he may abide with you always; even the Spirit of truth; whom the world cannot receive, because it seeth him


r See p. 84.
not, neither knowest him: but ye shall know him; for he shall dwell with you, and shall be\textsuperscript{1} in you.” “The Jo. xv. 26. Advocate, even the Holy Spirit, whom the Father will send in my name, he shall teach you all things, and bring all things to your remembrance, whatsoever I have said unto you.” “When the Advocate is come, \textsuperscript{ver. 26.} whom I will send unto you from the Father, even the Spirit of truth, which proceedeth from the Father, he shall testify of me.” “It is expedient for you that I Jo. xvi. 7. go away: for if I go not away, that Advocate will not come unto you; but if I depart, I will send him unto you.” “When he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will \textsuperscript{ver. 13.} guide you into all truth.” On the day of his resurrection he thus addressed the eleven: “Behold I send the \textsuperscript{Luk. xiv.} promise of my Father upon you: but tarry ye in the city of Jerusalem, until ye be endued with power from on high.” And on the day of his ascension, ten days before the feast of pentecost on which the apostles were filled with the Holy Spirit, our Lord was very express: “John truly baptized with water; but ye shall \textsuperscript{Act. i. 5.} be baptized with the Holy Spirit \textit{not many days hence}.”

Some extraordinary effects of this heavenly Guide, Enlightener, and Comforter, are mentioned in the prophecies already quoted: there are others which our Lord thus describes: “He will show you things to \textsuperscript{Jo. xvi. 13.} come.” “He that believeth on me, the works that I \textsuperscript{Jo. xiv. 12.} do shall he do also; and greater works than these shall he do.” One miracle is said to be greater than another, when it excites greater admiration, and seems to be a greater act of power. Thus raising the dead is called a superior miracle to restoring a man infirm in his \textsuperscript{Jo. v. 20.} limbs. It seems that even the shadow of Peter, \textsuperscript{Act. v. 15.} being by, healed some sick on whom it fell. “And God \textsuperscript{Act. xix. 11, \textsubscript{13.}}

\textsuperscript{1} The true reading seems to be \textit{is}. The present tense is twice used for the future. See bishop Pearce.
wrought special miracles by the hands of Paul: so that from his body were brought unto the sick handkerchiefs or aprons, and the diseases departed from them, and the evil spirits went out of them." Speaking with tongues was a splendid gift; and communicating miraculous powers by the imposition of hands, as the apostles did wherever they went, was a very high prerogative. Other wonderful effects of the Spirit our Lord thus recounts. "These signs shall follow them that believe; In my name shall they cast out demons; they shall speak with new tongues; they shall take up serpents; and if they drink any deadly thing, it shall not hurt them; they shall lay hands on the sick, and they shall recover." St. Paul shook off a viper which had fastened on his hand, and felt no harm. And as we know that all the other events here foretold were really accomplished among the first Christians, we may rest satisfied there were likewise instances of their safely drinking deadly poisons, though ecclesiastical history is silent on this point.

This seems the proper place to guard from misconstruction one of our Lord's prophetic assurances which repeatedly occurs: "All things, whatsoever ye shall ask in prayer believing, ye shall receive." This follows an exhortation to have faith in God, and a promise of its efficacy in working the greatest miracles. It relates therefore to the peculiar prevalence of the apostles' prayers in confirming the gospel by miraculous works.

"Again, verily I say unto you," they are the words of Christ to his apostles, "That if two of you shall agree on earth as touching any thing that they shall ask, it shall be done for them of my Father who is in heaven." The preceding verse is, "Verily I say unto you, What-

---

\[\text{Act. viii. 17.}\]
\[\text{Rom. i. 11.}\]
\[\text{Mar. xvi. 17, 18.}\]
\[\text{Act. xxviii. 3, 5.}\]
\[\text{Mat. xxv. 22.}\]
\[\text{and p.p.}\]
\[\text{Mar. xi. 22.}\]
\[\text{23.}\]

---

\[\text{\textsuperscript{a} Matt. xviii. 19. The true reading is Ἰδοὺ, δόλῳ κ. λ. See Wetstein.}\]
soever ye shall bind on earth shall be bound in heaven:
and whatsoever ye shall loose on earth shall be loosed
in heaven." This is best understood, according to the
context, of acquitting or condemning persons: and as
it is subjoined to three verses containing general rules,
it must, I think, be true of the church in all ages, pro-
ceeding in a manner conformable to scripture. Why
then is not the same general sense given to the words
before us? I answer, because reason and experience
forbid such an exposition. And therefore I refer them
to petitions offered up by the apostles for the power of
working miracles in confirmation of their decisions.

During our Lord's last affectionate discourse with
his disciples, he gave them this promise: "Whatso-
ever ye shall ask in my name, that will I do, that the
Father may be glorified in the Son. If ye shall ask
any thing in my name, I will do it." The foregoing
words are, "Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that be-
lieveth on me, the works that I do shall he do also,
and greater works than these shall he do; because I go
to the Father." The promise therefore of being always
heard in prayer naturally relates to such miraculous
works as our Lord did. And by this passage are to be
explained the other promises which occur in the same
discourse concerning prayer: "If ye abide in me, and
my words abide in you, ye shall ask what ye will; and

x *See here and Matt. xvi. 19.
may refer to persons as well as
wör, John vi. 37, 39: and then
these texts are equivalent to John
xx. 23. Others have understood
Matt. xvi. 19; xviii. 18, of de-
claring what actions are lawful,
unlawful, or indifferent; and par-
ticularly with respect to Mosaic
injunctions. In either sense the
words are applicable not only to
the inspired apostles, but to the
church, Matt. xviii. 17, acting
agreeably to the rules and decla-
Archbishop Secker indeed re-
strains them to the infliction or
removal of miraculous punish-
ed. Lond.

7 So Doddridge in loc.
Jo. xvi. 23. it shall be done unto you:" "Verily, verily, I say unto you, Whosoever ye shall ask the Father in my name, he will give it you."

When Simon was first brought to Jesus, our Lord said to him, "Thou art Simon the son of Jona: thou shalt be called Cephas, which is by interpretation Peter." The reason of this prophetical name is explained on another occasion: "I say unto thee that thou art Peter, and upon this Rock will I build my

* See a general instance of such prayer, Acts iv. 30, and a particular one, Acts ix. 40. Observe also the mention of faith, as availing to the performance of miracles, Acts vi. 8; 1 Cor. xiii. 2. The prayer of faith, which was to heal the sick, James v. 14, 15, was confined to the age of miracles: for it follows, "and the Lord shall raise him up, and though he have committed sins," occasioning the judicial infliction of the disease, 1 Cor. v. 5; xi. 30, even in this case, "they shall be forgiven him:" the immediate punishment of them shall be removed, and the guilt of them afterwards, on the gospel conditions. In St. John's first Epistle, ch. v. 14, 16, there is this remarkable passage: "This is the confidence that we have in him, that, if we ask anything according to his will, he heareth us." "If any man see his brother sin a sin which is not unto death, he shall ask, and he shall give him life for them that sin not unto death. There is a sin unto death: I do not say that he shall pray for it." The prayer for another, here mentioned, has justly been considered as a prayer offered up for one who, in consequence of sin, had been judicially visited with sickness; and the impulse of the Spirit, or human prudence, was to determine whether the sin was unto death. It should seem therefore that the confidence which those Christians had, that God heard their petitions which were according to his will, referred to working such a particular kind of miracles. And hence will be explained a parallel passage in the same Epistle, iii. 22: "Whosoever we ask, we receive of him, because we keep his commandments." It appears by ver. 24 that this was spoken of such as had the Spirit. When our Lord says, Matt. vii. 7, 8, "Ask, and it shall be given you; seek, and ye shall find; knock, and it shall be opened unto you: for every one that asketh receiveth; and he that seeketh findeth; and to him that knocketh it shall be opened:" he refers to spiritual blessings, and the good things of the gospel; not to every temporal blessing, but to what was profitable for salvation. Comp. ver. 11. and Luke xi. 13.

See θύρα, δορυ, μόνα, μόνο, πέτρα, petra. See μόν Lex. Syr. Schaaf.

b From the Greek πέτρα, a rock.
church.” It is true, that the church is “built on the Eph. ii. 20.
foundation of all the apostles and prophets, Jesus Christ
himself being the chief corner stone.” But Peter was
a foundation-stone in an eminent sense. After our
Lord’s resurrection, he first preached the gospel to the
Jews; and with such effect, that he converted no less
than three thousand in one day. And he was the apo-
istle who opened the door of faith to the Gentiles, by
Act. xiv. 27.
instructing in the truths of the gospel, and afterwards
Act. xiv. 37.
baptizing the Roman centurion Cornelius, together
with his kinsmen and near friends.

By his first preaching at Jerusalem Peter fulfilled
our Lord’s words, “that repentance and remission of
Luk. xxiv.
sins should be preached in his name among all nations,”
beginning at Jerusalem e.” Part of the apostle’s dis-
Act. xvii.
course was, “Repent d, and be baptized every one of you
Act. xiv. 7.
in the name of Jesus Christ, for the remission of sins.”
By the other preachers of the gospel “God commanded
Act. xxvi.
all men everywhere to repent:” and St. Paul “showed
Acts xxvi.
first unto them of Damascus, and at Jerusalem, and
throughout all the coasts of Judæa, and then to the
Gentiles, that they should repent and turn to God, and
do works meet for repentance.”

Our Lord also prophetically called James and John
Boanerges e; to presignify, says Lardner, “the resolu-
Act. ii. 38. See also ch. iii. 19.
tion and courage with which they would openly and
bodily announce the great truths of the gospel. How
John answered that character, we know from what is
said of him in the book of the Acts, from his own
writings, and from circumstances recorded of him in
ecclesiastical history. How well James, the other bro-
ther, deserved that strong eastern appellation, may be
concluded from his being beheaded by Herod Agrippa

---

\[c \text{ See Isa. ii. 3.} \]
\[e \text{ Syr. } \text{שָׁלוֹם} \text{ ́לָז or ́ל, son or} \]
\[d \text{ Acts ii. 38. See also ch. iii. 19.} \]
at Jerusalem, not many years after our Lord’s ascension: which, we cannot doubt, was owing to an open and steadfast testimony to the resurrection of Jesus, and to other services for the church; whereby he had greatly signalized himself in the short period after our Lord’s ascension. Grotius supposes a reference in this appellation to the words of Haggai h, “I will shake the heavens and the earth:” which denoted the introduction of a new dispensation, in the effecting of which great change the sons of Zebedee were to be eminently instrumental.

The conversion of the Gentiles was an event which our Lord repeatedly foretold. On healing the servant of a Roman centurion, he declared that “many should come from the east and west, and should sit down with Abraham, Isaac and Jacob in the kingdom of heaven.”

He said to the Pharisees, “Other sheep I have, which are not of this fold: them also must I bring, and they shall hear my voice; and there shall be one fold, and one shepherd.” He foreshadowed this gracious design of Providence in the parable of the prodigal son, whom his father so compassionately received. He thus concluded one of his parables to the Jewish rulers: “Therefore I say unto you, The kingdom of heaven shall be taken from you, and given to a nation bringing forth the fruits thereof.” And he addressed the parable of the marriage feast to the same hearers, in which the call of the Gentiles was thus intimated: “Go ye into the highways, and as many as ye shall find bid to the marriage feast.”

---

h Suppl. to cred. i. 321, 2.

k Matt. xxxi. 43. See also ver. 41, and p.p.

Our Lord implicitly asserted the universal preaching of the gospel after his unction by Mary: "Whereas—Mat. xxvi. 13. and p.p. ever this gospel shall be preached in the whole world, there shall also what this woman hath done be told, for a memorial of her." He taught his disciples after his resurrection, "that repentance and remission of sins must be preached in his name among all nations." 47. And when he predicted the destruction of Jerusalem, he added, that "the gospel must be first preached among all nations." Accordingly, his religion was very widely propagated before that period; as we learn from the history of the apostles, which, in St. Luke’s continuation of it, ends about seven years before the event referred to. Such expressions as in all the world and among all nations are not to be understood strictly. Learned men have involved themselves in needless and inextricable difficulties by rigorously interpreting popular language. A very extensive preaching of Christ is sufficient to fulfil the prediction. To this St. Paul appeals as a known fact: "Have they not heard? Yes verily, their sound has gone into all the earth, and their words unto the ends of the world." "The gospel is come unto you," Colossians, "as it is in all the world . . . . It has been preached to every creature under heaven." The epistles now extant were addressed to Christians at Rome and in various parts of Greece and Asia.

The hatred and persecution which his disciples should endure are foretold by Christ in various places. "Blessed are ye when men shall hate you, and when they shall separate you from their company, and shall reproach . . . . .

47. See Luke ii. 1, where the phrase means the Roman empire.

Mark xiii. 10. Matt. in the p.p. has in all the world, ch. xxiv.

Rom. x. 18. See also i. 8.
you, and cast out your name as evil, for the Son of
man's sake . . . . Beware of men o; for they will deliver
you up to the councils, and they will scourge you in
their synagogues: and ye shall be brought before go-
vernors and kings for my sake." The cruelties of the
Jews, here partly alluded to, are elsewhere particularly
foretold. The servants of the king who made a mar-
riage feast for his son were spitefully entreated and
slain. Our Lord said to the scribes and Pharisees,
"Behold, I send unto you prophets, and wise men, and
scribes: and some of them ye shall kill and crucify;
and some of them shall ye scourge in your synagogues,
and persecute them from city to city." And he thus
addressed his disciples: "They shall put you out of
the synagogues p: yea, the time cometh, that whoso-
ever killeth you will think that he doeth God service."

These cruelties began early; and they were greatly
heightened by the preaching of the gospel to the
Gentiles. Agreeably to these prophecies, Peter, John,
Stephen, and Paul were brought before the Jewish
council; the apostles and many others were beaten by
the Jews; Paul was five times scourged by the Jews,
and thrice beaten with rods; and he pleaded his cause
before the Roman governors Felix and Festus, and
before king Agrippa. We read in the Acts of the
Apostles that Stephen was stoned, and James slain with
the sword. The epistle to the Hebrews was written to
comfort Christians under persecution q; with accounts
of which the earliest ecclesiastical history every where
abounds.

The divisions which would be the consequence of our

9 Their former persecutions are referred to, ch. x. 32, 33: and
p John xvi. 2. See also ch. xv. their present, ver. 36. xii. 3–12.
20.
Lord's doctrine were emphatically foretold by him: "Think not that I came to send peace on earth: I came not to send peace, but a sword. For I came to set a man at variance against his father, and the daughter against her mother, and the daughter-in-law against her mother-in-law. And a man's foes shall be they of his own household." Religion engages our passions so strongly, that these are too naturally the effects of religious dissensions at all times. But such animosities were particularly apt to prevail among the Jews at that time, on account of their firm attachment to a former divine revelation, of their fierce and bigoted disposition, and of the vices and infatuation of their leaders.

Our Lord also prophesied that his disciples should be "hated of all nations for his name's sake." Accordingly, the Jews at Rome said, "As concerning this sect, we know that it is everywhere spoken against." Tacitus represents Christians as hateful on account of their flagitious conduct: and Pliny says, "I asked them whether they were Christians; if they confessed it, I asked them a second and a third time, threatening them with punishment; and those who persevered I commanded to be led away to death."

In particular, our Lord thus prophesied of James and John: "Ye shall indeed drink of my cup, and be baptized with the baptism that I am baptized with." To drink of our Lord's cup may very well signify to partake of afflictions and sufferings like him: and though Christ applies the image to his death, yet it is
a general one", applicable to every tribulation. Thus:

St. Paul says that the sufferings of Christ abounded in him; meaning sufferings which bore a general resemblance to those endured by Christ: and that he filled up what remained behind for his followers to undergo of the afflictions of Christ, or, such as Christ had undergone. It is true also that our Lord, speaking of his death, said, "I have a baptism to be baptized with: and how am I straitened till it be accomplished x!" But still such as were overwhelmed with great temporal evils might be said to be baptized with a like baptism. So that both the figurative modes of expression may be applied, not only to James whom Herod killed with the sword, but to John also: for though antiquity does not record of him that he died a violent death, yet he

x See Wetstein and Bp. Pearce in loc. One of the quotations is from Plautus. Aulul. ii. 3, 12. Nam, ecator, malum morerem metuo ne mistum bibam.

y See Bp. Pearce in loc. One of the references in Wesseling's note on Diodorus Siculus, p. 85, is, ἐν συμφωνίᾳ μαθητηρῶν. I have nothing to add to the references but Pindar Π. B. 145. Ἀπέπνευσεν εἰμι, φείδε ἰς, ἀγρόχ έρω τόμας. Immersabilis sum, suberis instar, Super septum maris-salsi. Upon which the Scho- liast says, οὕτω καὶ μάλα νῦν Ἄπόλλων καταγγέλει ἀπεπνευσεν εἰμι. Sic et ego aliorum accusationibus mergi non possum. And Horace may have imitated this passage, where he says that Ulysses aspera multa Pertulit, adversis rerum immersabilis undis.—Epist. l. ii. 21, 22.
was beaten by the command of the Jewish council, was Act. v. 41. banished into the isle of Patmos for the word of God Rev. i. 9. and for the testimony of Jesus Christ, and calls himself a companion with the Asiatic Christians in tribulation: and we may easily suppose that he suffered many things of the Jews and Gentiles which history has not transmitted to us.

On the night before his crucifixion our Lord said to Peter, “Whither I go thou canst not follow me now; Jo. xiii. 36. but thou shalt follow me hereafter.” And when he manifested himself to his disciples at the sea of Tiberias, he thus addressed the same apostle: “Verily, verily, I Jo. xxi. 18. say unto thee, When thou wast young, thou girdedst 19. thyself, and walkedst whither thou wouldest: but when thou art old, thou shalt stretch forth thy hands, and another shall gird thee, and carry thee whither thou wouldest not. This spake he, signifying by what death he should glorify God;” which required a divine foreknowledge, as such various kinds of death were inflicted by persecutors. Agreeably to this, antiquity informs us that St. Peter was crucified at Rome during the persecution raised by Nero *; and therefore, as our Lord’s words in another place imply, he did not tarry Jo. xxi. 23. on earth till the coming of Christ to destroy Jerusalem.

Christ foretold the incredulity of the Jews in two parables; where those who were bidden to a magnifi- Lak.xiv.18. cent entertainment, or to a marriage feast which a king Mast.xii.2. made for his son, alleged various pretences for their absence, or even contumeliously treated and slew the messengers. He may also be said to predict this event when he introduced Abraham as saying, “If they hear Lak.xvi.31. not Moses and the prophets, neither will they be persuaded though one rose from the dead.” Again he

* See Lardner, Suppl. to Cred. v. iii. c. xviii.
Of the prophecies uttered by our Lord,  

Luk. xviii. 8. asked, "Nevertheless when the Son of man cometh, shall he find faith in the land?" "The words," says bishop Berkely, "refer to the destruction of Jerusalem, and the obstinate blindness of the Jews; who even then, when they felt the hand of God, would not acknowledge it, or believe the Roman army to be the instrument of divine vengeance." Conformably to this, Rom. xi. 8. St. Paul describes the Jews as having the spirit of slumber; and, when he beautifully represents the Jewish nation under the image of an olive tree, he observes that its branches were broken off because of unbelief. The rejection of Christ by the gross body of the Jews appears from history and present experience.

Our Lord prophetically referred to the rejection and excision of the Jews in a variety of places. Having mentioned the future admission of the Gentiles under the idea of guests partaking of a banquet, he thus pursued the image: "But the children of the kingdom," the Jews, whose inheritance it was by God's promise to their progenitors, instead of being received into the illuminated guestchamber, "shall be cast into outer darkness: there shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth."

He also alluded to the punishment of the Jews in the parable of the fig tree, which had not borne fruit for three years; and which was to be cut down, if it continued barren after due culture.

Being asked by the Pharisees when the kingdom of

---

*a ἐκεῖ τῆς γῆς; Not in the earth.
c Jesus thus addressed the Jews, John viii. 28: "When ye have lifted up the Son of man, then shall ye know that I am he." That is, then shall ye have the strongest means of knowing this, by the miracles about the time of my death, by my resurrection, and by the effusion of the Spirit.
So, Rom. i. 21, the Gentiles are said to know God, because he discovered himself to them in his works of creation.
God should come, he took occasion to instruct his dis- 

ciples in some important circumstances which should 
attend his coming to punish the Jews. This prophecy 
was delivered about three months before the copious 
one on the same subject with which it coincides, and 
which will soon be particularly considered. It was fol-
lowed by the parable of the unjust judge, who yielded 
to importunity; and which was intended to show that 
the disciples "ought always to pray, and not to faint." 

"Hear," adds our Lord, "what the unjust judge saith. 
And shall not God avenge his own elect, who cry day 
and night unto him?" shall he not avenge them of 
those cruelties which the Jews are about to exercise 
against them? "and will he be long-suffering with re-
spect to them?"

In the parable of the ten servants, who were to 
traffick till their lord’s return from a far country, God’s 
vengeance on the Jews was thus foreshown: "But 
those mine enemies, who would not that I should reign 
over them, bring hither, and slay them before my face."

When our Lord was about to enter Jerusalem tri-
umphantlly, he thus described its impending calamities: 
"The days shall come upon thee, that thine enemies shall 
a cast a trench about thee, and compass thee round, and 
keep thee in on every side, and shall lay thee even 
with the ground, and thy children within thee; and 
they shall not leave in thee one stone upon another."

On the way between Bethany and Jerusalem, five 
days before the last passover, he symbolically foretold 
the curse of God on the wicked Jews by blasting the 
barren fig tree.

---

\( ^d \) V. p. 144. This is spoken prophetically. When the Chris-
tians were persecuted by the Jews, our Lord knew that they would 
be fervent in prayer to God for 
deliverance from their persecu-
tion.
In the parable of the wicked husbandmen, he represented the lord of the vineyard as about to come and destroy those husbandmen, and let out their vineyard to others. And he immediately proceeded to denounce their destruction in other words: “What is this that is written? The stone which the builders rejected, the same is become the head of the corner. Whosoever shall fall upon that stone, shall be broken:” opposition to my doctrine shall be vain, and shall be attended with calamity to its authors: “but on whomever it shall fall, it shall grind him to powder:” when I exert my vengeance, it shall be irresistible and exemplary.

In the parable of the marriage feast which a certain king made for his son, when those who were bidden made light of the invitation, and with the greatest indignity inflicted death on the servants sent to them, the king is described as moved with anger, and as commissioning his armies to destroy those murderers and to burn up their city.

In our Lord’s last severe reprehenison of the scribes and Pharisees, he declared “that upon the Jews should come all the righteous blood shed upon the earth, from the blood of righteous Abel unto the blood of Zacharias son of Barachias, whom they slew between the temple and the altar.” And he emphatically repeated his denunciation, and limited its period: “Verily I say unto you, All these things shall come upon this generation.” He then lamented the obstinacy of the Jews,

---

*e See Jortin’s List of Persecutors and their Fate. Eccl. Hist. ii. 308, &c.

f In Luke xi. 51. the words, son of Barachias, are omitted. So in two MSS. referred to by Wetstein. I think them a gloss, from Josephus, B. J. IV. v. 4; where the murder of Zacharias, son of Baruch, and throwing him from the temple into the valley, are recorded. Our Lord refers to 2 Chron. xxiv. 17-22. See Lardner’s Cred. V. ii. p. 903, &c.
and their completion.

and added, "Behold, your house is left unto you desolate; your habitation shall soon be laid even with the ground."

When Jesus took his final leave of the temple four days before his crucifixion, his disciples observed to him the beauty and magnitude of the stones with which it was built, and the magnificence of the donations with which it was enriched. Upon this our Lord replied, "See ye not all these things? There shall not be left here one stone upon another, that shall not be thrown down." And the same day, as he sat on the mount of Olives, which commanded a full view of the temple and of the whole city, a circumstance which added energy to a discourse in which their desolation was described and deplored, four of his apostles, Peter, James, John, and Andrew, said to him apart, "Master, tell us when these things will be, and what is the sign of thy coming" to effect this destruction, "and of the conclusion of the [Mosaic] age." By explaining our Lord's answer, as it stands in the three evangelists who record this famous prophecy, and by showing how each circumstance relating to the destruction of the Jews has been fulfilled, all the prophecies on the same subject, here ranged according to the time in which they were delivered, will be duly illustrated.

"See," said our Lord, "that none deceive you. For many will come in my name, saying, I am the Christ; and will deceive many: and the time approacheth: go ye not therefore after them." This prediction our Lord thus repeated: "Many false prophets will rise, and will

---

\[5 \text{'Arabijwara. Luke xxii. 5.}\]
\[\text{h Jos. Ant. XX. viii. 6. B. J.}\]
\[\text{Ptolemy had presented a table, II. xiii. 5.}\]
\[\text{Herod a golden vine, and Augustus and his friends many sumptuous ornaments. See B. J. V. xiii. 6.}\]

\[\text{A compound text is formed throughout from the three evangelists.}\]
Mat. xxiv. 23-26.

Of the prophecies uttered by our Lord,

PART I.

deceive many.” And again: “Then if any man shall say unto you, Lo, here is Christ, or there; believe it not. For there shall arise false Christs, and false prophets, and shall show great signs and wonders; inso-

k “Δόσως they will give, that is, appeal to, promise, or undertake to produce, such signs; using the very language of the Jewish legislator—who represents a prophet as giving. [Deut. xiii. 1. in the Septuagint,] that is, proposing and appealing to a sign or wonder, whether it did or did not come to pass.” Farmer on Miracles, p. 304. And again, p. 307, 8. “They shall give (or undertake to exhibit) great signs and wonders, says the prophecy: and the history relates the fact in perfectly corresponding language: they promised to show, or exhibit, evident wonders and signs. Jos. Ant. XX. viii. 6.” And this very learned and excellent writer thus explains Deut. xiii. 1: “To give a sign or wonder must mean, the proposing and appealing to any particular prodigy or portent, as a token or proof of a divine interposition, as a declaration of the decrees of the gods, and an indication of futurity.”

The sense of ἃρμα for giving out, whether truly or falsely, is established by this passage. It is equivalent to ἐστίν in the next verse: “and the sign or the wonder come to pass, whereof he spake unto thee.” And I think that Judges v. 11. the root is ἃρμα and not ἀρπά: “there shall they rehearse, or proclaim, the righteous acts of the Lord:” ἐστίν ἄρμα. But, as the passage in the Gospels is a very important one, the reader shall have his choice of another possible interpretation. The word ἃρμα is used for actually performing or exhibiting signs and wonders, and is translated by δεικνύω, Deut. vi. 22; Neh. ix. 10; Joel iii. 3, quoted Acts ii. 19. The Egyptian magicians are said to do in like manner with Moses, Ex. vii. 11, 22; viii. 7, though their acts were delusions, and miracles in appearance only and not in reality. And the spirits of demons which came out of the mouth of the false prophet, and also the false prophet himself, are said by St. John in the Apocalypse to work miracles, ch. xvi. 13, 14; xix. 20, though these were such lying ones as St. Paul elsewhere foretells, 2 Thess. ii. 9. See also Rev. xiii. 13, 14. The sense therefore of the two evangelists, Matt. xxiv. 24; Mark xiii. 22, may be: They shall perform signs and wonders, great in appearance, and such as may even shake the faith of my followers, though really effected “by the sleight of men, and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive.” Eph. iv. 14. The reader must judge whether Christians were more likely to be deceived by confident pretensions, or by artful and delusive feats.
much that, if it were possible, they shall deceive the very elect. Behold, I have told you before. Wherefore if they shall say unto you, Behold, he is in the desert, go not forth.” And he had before delivered it to his disciples, “They shall say unto you, See here; or, Luk. xvii. see there: go not after them, nor follow them.” And in the sermon on the mount: “Beware of false pro-
phets, who come to you in sheep’s clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves.” Vanini calls this a cunning and crafty prophecy\(^1\); as if our Lord designed not only to establish his own reputation, but to defeat the pretensions of rivals. But we shall soon see reason to be convinced that it was an affectionate and necessary admonition, intended to preserve his disciples and the Jews from the danger of following deceitful and seditious leaders; as both the deluders and the deluded often came to a miserable end.

Agreeably to his declaration on this particular subject, “The time approacheth,” events soon began to Luk. xxi. 8. verify our Lord’s words.

About a year after Jesus’s crucifixion\(^m\), Simon Magus gave out among the Samaritans “that he was some Act. viii. 9, great one: to whom they all gave heed, from the least to the greatest, saying, This man is the great power of God.” At the same time appeared Dositheus the Samaritan, whose disciple Simon Magus is called by the author of the Apostolical Constitutions\(^n\); and who, according to Origen\(^o\), endeavoured to persuade the Samaritans that he was the Messiah prophesied of by Moses.

About the fourth year after Christ’s death another

---

1 See Tillotson’s Sermons, ii. 566. fol.
2 See Usher’s Annals. Lat. Genev.
3 VI. 8.
Samaritan impostor arose, who asserted that he would show the people sacred utensils deposited by Moses in Gerizim, the holy mountain of the Samaritans. An armed multitude, assembled on this occasion, was defeated by Pilate, and their chiefs were taken captive and slain.

About eight years after this, Paul and Barnabas found in the island of Paphos a certain sorcerer, a Jewish false prophet, whose name was Barjesus, a man full of all subtility and all mischief; whom the apostle struck with blindness for endeavouring to turn away the Roman deputy from the Christian faith.

In the same year, "under the procuratorship of Cuspius Fadus, a certain impostor, named Theudas", persuaded a very great multitude to take with them what they possessed, and follow him to the river Jordan. For he asserted that he was a prophet, and promised that he would command the river to divide, and would give them an easy passage through it. And by making such pretensions he deceived many. However, Fadus did not long permit them to enjoy their folly; but sent out a troop of horse against them, which came on them unexpectedly, killed many, and made many prisoners. And they took Theudas himself, struck off his head, and sent it to Jerusalem."

---

† He had also the additional name of Elymas, from the Arab. ميلم, which in the sixth signification in Castel. Lex. signifies scius, sapiens, artifex, magus, scientia imbutus: and it is accordingly interpreted by Μαγὸς in the passage before us.

9 Jos. Ant. XX. v. 1.
* This a different Theudas from him mentioned Acts v. 36. For, after the Theudas mentioned by Gamaliel, Judas of Galilee rose up; and Judas is placed by Usher in the seventh year after the nativity of Christ; whereas Fadus's government began A.D. 44.
See Lardner's Cred. v. ii. c. vii. Usher, p. 624.

* See Mat. xxiv. 5, 11. Our Lord's words are πολλοὶ πλανητοὶ, Josephus's πολλοὶ ἐπάτησαν.
About the twenty-third year after our Lord’s death, Josephus\(^t\) writes that Judæa was again full of robbers, and impostors who deceived the multitude: and that Felix, the Roman governor, took many\(^u\) of these every day with the robbers, and destroyed them.

The historian goes on to observe\(^v\), that “impostors and deceivers persuaded the multitude” of Jerusalem “to follow them into the desert.” For they said that they would exhibit evident wonders and signs, effected by the interposition of the Deity. And many were persuaded and suffered the punishments due to their folly: for Felix brought them back, and punished them.”

This is elsewhere related in the following manner: “There was formed besides these” assassins “a body of other wicked men, of purer hands indeed but of more impious opinions; who destroyed the happiness of the city no less than the murderers themselves. For cheats and deceivers, who aimed at innovations and revolutions under pretence of divine impulse\(^z\), persuaded the people to act like madmen, and led them into the desert, on a promise that God would there show them signs of deliverance\(^a\). Against these Felix sent horse and foot; for it seemed to be the foundation of a revolt: and put to death a great multitude.”

Josephus then proceeds to relate the history of the Egyptian false prophet\(^b\), of whom he also gives an account in another part of his works. This deceiver came from Egypt to Jerusalem, gained the reputation of a prophet, and collected about thirty thousand deluded

\(^t\) Ant. XX. viii. 5. Usher’s Annals, p. 635.
\(^u\) Christ speaks of many deceivers and false prophets: Matt. xxiv. 5, 11.
\(^v\) Ant. XX. viii. 6.
\(^z\) B. J. II. xiii. 4.
\(^a\) They were therefore false prophets. Matt. xxiv. 24.
\(^b\) Ant. XX. viii. 6. B. J. II. xiii. 5.
followers. These he led from the desert to the mount of Olives, which was opposite to the city at the distance of five stadia. His design was to show them from this eminence, that at his command the walls of Jerusalem would fall to the ground: an event which would be succeeded by the conquest of the Roman garrison and an absolute sovereignty over the Jews. But Felix, with whom the Jewish nation cooperated, met him with a large body of horse and foot; a fight ensued, the Egyptian fled with a few, most of those with him were destroyed or taken, and the remaining multitude were scattered to their respective habitations, and concealed themselves from their pursuers.

This disturbance was no sooner composed, than, as it happens in a diseased body, the violence of the disorder showed itself in another part: for impostors and robbers collected themselves together, and induced many to revolt from the Romans.

St. Paul, in his second Epistle to the church of Corinth, written, as is generally supposed, about the twenty-fifth year after our Lord's death, mentions false apostles as existing at that time among the Corinthians, and characterizes them as deceitful workers, who transformed themselves into apostles of Christ.

\[a\] Τῶν ἰπουργῶν. See Matt. xxiv. 5, 11. This fact is alluded to, Acts xxi. 38: "Art not thou that Egyptian, who before these days madest an uproar, and leddest out into the wilderness four thousand men that were murderers?" And the numbers differing, some have supposed that a much smaller number originally stood in Josephus; others, that there were only 4000 sicarii; others, that they were at first 4000, and at length increased to 30,000; others, that 4000 were taken out of Jerusalem, who afterwards grew to 30,000. And Lardner thinks that the large number of 30,000 is inconsistent with what follows in Josephus himself: for it could scarcely be said that πλῆθος very many or most of this vast number were killed or taken, when the slain were only 400 and the captives 200. See Lardner Cred. II. 8.

\[b\] B. J. II. xiii. 6.
Portius Festus was appointed by Nero to succeed Felix in the course of the twenty-eighth year after Christ's death: and one of his first acts was to send a force of horse and foot against persons deceived by a certain impostor, who promised them deliverance and a cessation from their misfortunes, if they would follow him into the desert. And those who were sent destroyed the deceiver himself and his adherents.

St. Paul, in his second Epistle to Timothy, written about twenty-eight years after the death of Christ, speaks of teachers "whose words ate like a canker: of whom was Hymeneus and Philetus; who concerning the truth erred, saying that the resurrection was past already; and overthrew the faith of some."

I am inclined to place the writing of St. John's first Epistle a few years before the destruction of Jerusalem, though some learned men assign it a date long after that event. He speaks of many antichrists and of many false prophets that had gone out into the world.

Nor did these delusions cease, even after the Romans had actually taken possession of Jerusalem: and I do not pursue the subject lower. So true were our Lord's words, that they should not only precede, but accompany the overthrow of that devoted city.

妇女 and children of the people, and a large mixed multitude of six thousand, fled to a portico of

---

and their completion. 169

---

e Usher's Annals, p. 640.

f Grotius's note on ii. 18. is well worth considering. Ultima hora, i. e. ultimum tempus, ubi ad Judæos sermo est, significat tempus proximum excidio urbis ac templi et reipublicæ Judæorum. And the words, "whereby we know that it is the last time," have much force if we suppose that they refer to our Lord's prophecies of which we are now treating.

s Matt. xxiv. 23. Then if any man, &c.

h B. J. VI. v. 2.
the outer temple. But before Cæsar determined any thing concerning them, or issued his orders to the commanders, the enraged soldiers fired the portico. Thus it happened that some were destroyed by precipitating themselves out of the fire, that others perished in the flames, and that of so great a number none escaped. A certain false prophet caused the destruction of these; who proclaimed on that day in the city that God commanded them to go up to the temple, where they should receive signs of deliverance\textsuperscript{1}. And at this time there were many prophets suborned by the tyrannical rulers to assure the people of assistance from God; that they might be less inclined to desert, and that hope might prevent the flight of such as could not be retained by fear and custody. Men are soon persuaded in the midst of calamities: and when a deceiver\textsuperscript{k} adds a promise of freedom from the pressure of present dangers, the sufferer becomes all hope."

"At that time therefore deceivers\textsuperscript{l}, and pretenders to a divine commission, falsely and fatally persuaded the people."

Our Lord foretold to the Jews, that "if another came in his own name, him they would receive." We have seen that Dositheus actually assumed the character of the Messiah: and others did the same in effect. For they promised signs of deliverance, assistance from God, and a period to the evils suffered under the Roman yoke. And the received notion of the Messiah was, that he should redeem or deliver Israel\textsuperscript{m}. We have seen how well founded our Lord’s warning was, when he said, "Wherefore if they say unto you, Behold, he is in the desert, go not forth." And we may

\textsuperscript{1}See Matt. xvi. 1; Mark viii. 11. \textsuperscript{m}Luke xxiv. 21. Grot. in loc. \textsuperscript{k} κ ἐξισαρών. \textsuperscript{l} οἱ διασκεύασε. Ib. § 3.

\textsuperscript{k}Tillotson, vol. ii. Serm. cixxiv.
justly conclude that he had equal reason for adding, "If they say, Behold, he is in the secret chambers," in the closets of Jerusalem, or of any other city, collecting followers, "believe it not." We have read in Josephus that the Egyptian false prophet first came to Jerusalem: and the natural course of impostors is to increase their strength clandestinely at first; and the beginnings of those whose designs were never brought to maturity are too inconsiderable to be taken notice of in history.

Our Lord founds his caution against these dangerous deluders on the following reason. The appearance of the Son of man, to be expected at the time referred to, will not be confined to a particular place, and much less to a place of retirement and obscurity: it will be as extensive as the lightning which shineth from one extremity of the heaven to the other: for his vengeance will be proportioned to the guilt of the Jewish people; and wheresoever the carcass is, there will the eagles be assembled to partake of their prey. Accordingly Josephus informs us that "there was no part of Judæa which did not suffer destruction together with the chief city."

The next circumstances mentioned by our Lord as preceding the destruction of Jerusalem, though some of them not immediately, are "wars, rumours of wars, and commotions; the rising of nation against nation, and of kingdom against kingdom; great earthquakes, famines, pestilences, and tumults, in divers places; and fearful sights and great signs from heaven." I shall show the completion of these several particulars in the order of time.

a Besides the proverbial allusion to birds that sagaciously discover carcasses and greedily devour them, there seems likewise to be a beautiful reference to the eagle as the Roman standard.

o B. J. IV. vii. 2.
About the third year after our Lord’s death, Herod, tetrarch of Galilee, engaged in a war with Aretas, king of Arabia Petæa; and all the tetrarch’s army was cut off in battle, through the treachery of some deserters. This was a rising of kingdom against kingdom.

About the sixth year after the death of Christ, a strong rumour of a war with the Romans must have prevailed. For Caius Caligula, the Roman emperor, commanded Petronius to enter Judæa with a great body of men; and, if the Jews opposed the erection of his colossal statue in the temple, their obstinacy was to be subdued by force of arms. The inhabitants of the country so strongly expected hostilities, that they left their lands uncultivated; and though they disclaimed all design of war, for which they were wholly unprepared, yet all must have foreseen that persisting in the execution of this impious purpose, which the emperor’s death prevented, would have driven the Jews to the most desperate acts of resistance.

Near the same time, a great number of Jews, on account of a pestilence which raged in Babylon, removed from that city to Seleucia. This occasioned a dreadful commotion: for the Greeks and Syrians combined against the Jews, and slew of them above five myriads. Josephus mentions this calamity of his nation in strong terms; and says that the greatness of the slaughter was unparalleled in their former history.

On the death of Caligula, the Jews, who had been greatly oppressed at Alexandria during his reign, resumed courage, and took up arms. Upon which Clau-

---

P Ant. XVIII. v. 1.
q Ant. XVIII. viii. 2, 3, 4, 8, 9.
On this occasion Tacitus says, "Sane praebuerat Judæi speciem motas, orta seditione, &c." Ann. xii. 54. See Brotier’s note.

r The word ἀναστασία, used Luke xxii. 9, denotes an unsettled disturbed state, in opposition to what is καθεστῶν καὶ βίβασμον.

s Ant. XVIII. ix. 1, 8, 9.

t Ant. XIX. v. 2.
dius Caesar commanded the president of Egypt to compose that insurrection, and permitted the Jews to follow their own customs; that no tumult might happen after the publication of his edict.

Claudius began to reign in the eighth year after our Lord's death; and, according to Usher, there were famines in the second, fourth, and eleventh years of this emperor. Josephus says, "As a famine distressed Jerusalem at that time, and many died for want of provisions, Helena, queen of Adiabene, sent some of her attendants to Alexandria with a large sum for the purchase of corn; and others to Cyprus for a cargo of figs." And in another place: "In the procuratorships of Fadus and Alexander, the great famine happened throughout Judæa." And again: "A short time before the Roman war, under the emperor Claudius and Ishmael, the high priest, a famine oppressed our country; so that an assaron of corn was sold for five drachmas." This famine extended itself through Greece and Italy; and is the same with that predicted by the prophet Agabus.

About the eleventh year after the death of Christ, "when the procurator Fadus came to his province, he found the Jews who inhabited Perea in a state of com-

---

Tης στάσεως.  

<i>ταραχή</i>, as Mark xiii. 8. Ταραχή is joined with στάσεως, with ἀσαρκοσκορια, and with ἑπει. See Wetst. on Mark xiii. 8; Luke xxii. 9. Tumultus quum de multitudine hominum dicitur. H. Steph. The Romans used tumultus in a confined sense for disorders in Italy, or in Gaul which bordered on Italy: Cic. Phil. viii. 3. Servius Æn. vi. 898: and in Josephus it is often applied to disturbances in a particular city; Jerusalem, Alexandria, Cesarea, &c.

<sup>a</sup> Ann. A. D. 40–44.  
<sup>b</sup> Ant. XX. i. 6.  
<sup>c</sup> Ant. XX. v. 2.  
<sup>d</sup> Ant. III. xv. 3.  
<sup>e</sup> It contained seven Attic κορίδαι, Ant. III. vi. 6, or three pints and a half of our measure. And five drachmai amount to three shillings and two-pence three farthings. See Arbuthnot.

<sup>d</sup> Lardner's Testimonies, i. 55.  
<sup>e</sup> Ant. XX. i. 1.
motion with the Philadelphians about the borders of a city called Mis, which was full of warlike men. And the Pereans, who had taken up arms without the consent of the principal persons among them, slew many of the Philadelphians. When Fadus heard this, he was much exasperated; because they did not leave the decision to him, if they thought themselves injured by the Philadelphians; but rashly had recourse to arms. He therefore seized three of their leaders, the authors of the commotion, and commanded that they should be bound: afterwards he put to death one of them, whose name was Annibal; and banished the other two, Amaramus and Eleazar." As Philadelphia was a Syrian city, this was a rising of nation against nation.

Josephus says that under Cumanus, who succeeded Alexander in the administration of Judæa, about fifteen years after our Lord's death, disturbances arose, and a further destruction of the Jews happened. At the passover, an indignity was offered to the Jews within the precincts of the temple by a Roman soldier; and some rash young men, and the turbulent part of the people, had recourse to violence, and hurled stones at the soldiers. On the appearance of a greater force, the Jews fled so precipitately, that at least ten thousand were trodden under foot and perished.

Four years after this, a Galilean was murdered in Samaria, as he was going to keep the passover at Jerusalem: and, because Cumanus neglected the complaint which this act occasioned, the Jews rose without a regular leader, and ravaged the country. Against these ravagers Cumanus sent a body of horse, who took and slew many. And a great number of the dispersed Jews

---

*e στραγγαλάς.

f Τῆς στάσεως.

g B. J. II. xii. 1. Ant. XX. v. 3.

h Θόρυβος.

i B. J. II. xii. 3–6.
turned robbers; and throughout the whole country there were rapines, and risings of those whose dispositions were most daring.

Mutual plunderings, ambushes, and sometimes battles, continued between the two nations; and a war would have followed, if Quadratus, the governor of Syria, had not interposed.

Quadratus passed from Samaria to Caesarea, and thence to Lydda: in both which cities he punished some Jews with death, because he learnt that they were promoting revolts against the Romans.

About the twentieth year after the death of Christ, there was so great an earthquake at Apamea, a Syrian city in which many Jews dwelt, that the emperor Claudius remitted the tribute of that city for five years.

In the year after this, Claudius banished the Jews from Rome; because, says Suetonius, through the instigation of Chrestus, they were continually raising tumults. Whether these disturbances arose from the vehement zeal of Jewish Christians for their new religion, or of other Christians confounded under the notion of Jews; or from the persecutions raised by the Jews more on the subject of earthquakes in Grot. on Matt. xxiv. 7. in bishop Newton on the Prophecies, ii. 244. &c. and in Lardner's Testimonies, i. 56. The following passage is omitted by these learned writers: Plurimas per totum orbem civitates, terrae motu aut incendio affictas, restituit in melius. Sueton. in Vespas. 17.

P See Acts xviii. 2.

9 Judæos, impulsore Chresto assidue tumultuantes, Roma expulit. c. 25. Claud. Vit.
against the Christians; or from erroneous conceptions of Christian liberty; or whether they were falsely attributed to the Christians, when they were only the object of hatred and persecution on account of the proselytes which they made from Judaism and heathenism; what, I say, were the causes of the turbulent conduct mentioned, and in what degree it was groundlessly imputed, cannot now be determined. But I think that in the corrupted word Chrestus, which the historian supposed to be the same with χρηστός, there is a reference to Christ; of whom Suetonius had a general and indistinct knowledge as the head of a new religion, and therefore calls him the original author of the disorders mentioned.

During the next year*, deceivers and robbers threatened those who obeyed the Romans with death, divided themselves in bands about the country, plundered and slew men of power, and burnt whole towns: so that all Judæa was full of their madness: and this war was precipitately engaged in every day.

The Jewish historian immediately adds†, that another tumuli‡ arose in Cæsarea. The Jews and Syrians contended about the equality of their rights as citizens. From reproaches they proceeded to force. The Jews were superior: and when Felix could not persuade them to desist, he slew and took many, and permitted his soldiers to pillage some rich houses. Still however the disturbance continued§; and the contest of the two nations was referred to Nero.

About the same time there was a disturbance¶ in Jerusalem between the high priests on one side, and the priests and leaders of the people on the other.

* B. J. II. xiii. 6. Comp. Ant. XX. viii. 7.
† B. J. II. xiii. 7. ταραχή.
‡ μεμούσθη τῆς στάσεως.
§ Ant. XX. viii. 8.
¶ στάσεις.
Each party headed a band of bold and factious attendants. They reviled and cast stones at each other. Some of the priests, deprived of their offerings, perished with hunger. To such a degree, says the historian, did the violence of the turbulent* overcome all right.

When Festus came into Judæa, about twenty-nine years after our Lord's death, the province was overrun by robbers, and all the towns were burnt and plundered*. Among these robbers was a great number of assassinsb, who slew the high priest Jonathan, and spread such great terror throughout Jerusalem, that life became as uncertain as in the midst of war.

Albinus succeeded Festus in the province of Judæa: and because Jesus the son of Gamaliel superseded Jesus the son of Damneus in the high priesthoodc, turbulent oppositiond followed, bodies of the most daring men were collected, and the adverse parties often proceeded from reproaches to casting stones at each other.

Gessius Florus administered the affairs of Judæa after Albinus; and, about the thirty-third year after Christ's death, when Nero had determined against the Jews in the dispute at Cæsarea, a contest about a synagogue, and a contumacious act towards their religion, caused a risinge of the more hasty and turbulent part of the Jewish inhabitants, and a combat with their opponents. Hence, says the historian, arose the Roman war; the occasion of which was by no means proportioned to the ensuing calamities.

After this, Florus sent to the sacred treasury in Jerusalem, and robbed it of seventeen talentsf; which

---

*a τῶν στάρακτων.
*a Ant. XX. viii. 10. B. J. II. xiii. 3.
b Σωτήρ, Acts xxii. 38. from the sica, ἕκφιλον, or poniard, worn by them.
c Στάσις, B. J. II. xiv. 5.
d ἐκ τῶν εὑρήμων.
e Ant. XX. ix. 4.
f Ib. 6, 9.
caused an insurrection in that city. And the governor, when he ought to have extinguished the war which was kindling at Cæsarea, and to have removed the causes of the tumult, marched an army to Jerusalem, plundered the houses, slaughtered the inhabitants to the number of three thousand six hundred, and, with an unparalleled indignity and cruelty, scourged and crucified certain Jews advanced to the equestrian order.

The deaths of these were lamented by the Jewish multitude with the loudest clamours, and with invidious words against the governor; the tumult, however, was allayed by the interposition of the chief priests and leading citizens.

But Florus, intent on inflaming the people, required that they would show themselves well-affected by going out to meet some of his soldiers who were expected from Cæsarea; whom he perfidiously commanded not to return such salutations as they should receive, and to employ their arms if his conduct was reproached. The vile plot succeeded. The soldiers beat the Jews with clubs, and trod them under foot with their horses. There was a great throng at the gates, and a dreadful destruction of those who fell.

King Agrippa dissuaded the people from an immediate war with the Romans: but when he used persuasion with the multitude to obey Florus till Caesar appointed him a successor, they reviled him, forbade him their city, and cast stones at him.

About this time some of the Jews who most desired war seized on the fortress of Massada by stratagem, and slew the Roman garrison. And whereas the Jews

---

5 τῆς ταραχῆς.  
\[B. J. II. xv. 2, 3. ταραχῆς.\]  
1 Ib. 5.  
1 Ib. 2.  
\[Ib. xvii. 1.\]  
\[Ib. 2.\]
Of the prophecies uttered by our Lord,

rection against the sense of the people; and though Metilium, the Roman commander, had capitulated with Eleazar on the single condition that the lives of the Romans should be spared, the impious and infatuated Jews murdered the garrison on the sabbath, as soon as they had surrendered their shields and swords: a light calamity to the Roman empire, but to the Jews a prelude of national destruction.

On the same day, and at the same hour, the Syrian inhabitants of Cæsarea butchered above twenty thousand Jews; which cruelty the enraged Jews retaliated on the Syrian cities: and these in return slaughtered the Jews, both from a principle of national hatred and of self-preservation. So that that was a dreadful tumult throughout all Syria; every city was divided into two camps; it was the security of one party to anticipate the destruction of the other; and the whole province was full of unspeakable calamities.

When the Jews attacked Scythopolis, those of their own nation opposed them, and preferred safety to the tie of relationship. But their unnatural alacrity raised the suspicion of the Syrian inhabitants; and they were required to prove their fidelity by retiring with their families to a neighbouring grove, where above thirteen thousand of them were slain in the night.

Besides this slaughter in Scythopolis, the other cities of Syria rose against the Jewish inhabitants. At Ascalon two thousand five hundred were slain; at Ptolemais two thousand; and not a few were put in chains. The Tyrians also destroyed many, and threw more into pri-

*u στίχων.

*x B. J. II. xviii. 1, 2. That there were many Jews in Syria, see B. J. VII. iii. 3.

*γαλάνη τοποχή.

* B. J. II. xviii. 3.

* A city of Coele Syria.
son. Those likewise of Hippos and Gadara killed the
daring Jews, and kept the timid in custody: and the
rest of the Syrian cities acted in like manner, as they
were led by hatred or fear towards the Jews\(^b\). This was
therefore a remarkable rising of nation against nation.

\(^c\) While Agrippa was absent from his kingdom\(^d\), on a
visit to Cestius Gallus at Antioch, seventy of the noblest
and wisest citizens of Batanea came to Noarus, who
administered the king's affairs in his absence, and de-
sired a sufficient force to quash any disturbance which
might arise among them. The excessive avarice of the
governor induced him to send soldiers by night, and
put to the sword this whole deputation of his own
countrymen.

At this time\(^e\) the turbulent\(^f\) Jews seized the fortress
of Cyprus which stood above Jericho, destroyed the
garrison, and levelled the fortifications. They also per-
suaded the Roman soldiers to surrender the castle of
Macherus, of which they themselves took immediate
possession.

A disturbance\(^g\) at Alexandria, the consequence of a
jealousy which had long subsisted between the original
inhabitants and the Jews, caused a dreadful havoc of
the latter by the Roman soldiers, who spared neither
infants nor aged; so that the whole district occupied
by the Jews was deluged with blood, and heaps of dead
to the number of fifty thousand covered the ground.
And yet the people of Alexandria, through excess of
hatred, could with difficulty be restrained and dragged
away from the dead bodies. Here likewise was a rising
of nation against nation.

\(^b\) B. J. II. xviii. 5. \(^c\) Ib. 6. \(^d\) It consisted of the districts
of Iturea, Trachonitis, Batanea, and Abilene. Ant. XX. vii. 1.
\(^e\) B. J. II. xviii. 6. \(^f\) οἱ σωσισσιαλ. \(^g\) στόχυς. B. J. II. xviii. 7, 8.
At this time Cestius Gallus put himself in motion with a large army, and plundered and burnt the beautiful city of Zabulon in Galilee, the inhabitants of which fled to the mountains. After Cestius's march, the Jews rose on the inhabitants of Berytus, a Phoenician city, and slew about two thousand; which was also a rising of nation against nation.

Cestius treated the city of Joppa in the same manner with Zabulon, and the number of slain in it was eight thousand four hundred. He then laid waste the district of Narbatene in the neighbourhood of Cæsarea, destroyed many of the inhabitants, seized on their possessions, and burnt their towns. After this he sent an army into Galilee, which was received with acclamations by the citizens of Sepphoris, the strongest place in that country. But the seditious Jews fled to the mountain Asamon, the most central in Galilee, where above two thousand of them were cut to pieces by the Roman army.

Every thing being quiet in Galilee, Cestius returned to Cæsarea. The Jews fled before him from the tower of Aphecus; and at Lydda he destroyed only seventy, as the rest were keeping the feast of tabernacles at Jerusalem. He burnt the city of Lydda, marched upwards by Bethoron, and encamped at Gabao within fifty furlongs of Jerusalem.

The rage of the Jews enabled them to give the Roman army a slight check in the field; and when king Agrippa sent two ambassadors to propose a peace with the Romans, the same rage impelled them to kill one and wound the other. It is true, that many of the Jews were moved with indignation at this breach of
public faith; but the seditious part attacked such with stones and clubs.

ο This tumult among the Jews caused Cestius to pitch his camp within seven furlongs of Jerusalem: and he waited three days in that situation, expecting favourable measures from his friends within the walls. On the fourth day he entered the gates: the seditious retired to the inner parts and to the temple; and the Roman general burnt three divisions of the city, encamped opposite to the royal palace, and, if Florus's party had not dissuaded him, might then have put a period to the war; which from this neglect was protracted afterwards to so great a length, and brought incurable calamities on the Jews.

τ In the mean time many of the chief citizens assured Cestius of their readiness to open the gates of the inner city and temple: but they were discovered through the loftiness or distrust of the Roman commander. For five days the Romans in vain attempted to make an impression; on the sixth they attacked the northern part of the temple, and forming a tortoise with their shields, prepared to burn the gate. A great terror seized the seditious: and as these wicked men gave ground, the people prepared to receive Cestius as a benefactor. But he, unacquainted with these circumstances, suddenly called off his soldiers, relinquished his hopes of success without suffering any misfortune, and most unexpectedly evacuated the city.

Josephus says that "many had now fled from the city, as likely to be soon taken." And at this period it is probable that the Christians fled; reverencing our Lord's forewarning, but mistaking the time. Some of
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them might afterwards return; or go to Jerusalem on account of the passover in the year 70, and fly a second time from that devoted city.

Cestius was harassed and greatly endangered in his retreat; and, after this, it is observed by the historian, that many of the illustrious Jews swam from the city as from a sinking ship.

At this time the inhabitants of Damascus slew in one hour ten thousand unarmed Jews: and afterwards they destroyed eight thousand more, together with their wives and families.

The administration of Galilee was committed by the Jews to Josephus, who had full employment in quelling tumults and revolts: and three cities, Gischala, Sephoris, and Tiberias were reduced by him to obedience, and plundered by his soldiers. Thus were the commotions and civil disturbances in Galilee composed.

In the toparchy of Acrabatene much sedition and rapine prevailed at this time; and when Simon, the leader in those disturbances, was controlled by a force sent from Jerusalem, he took refuge in Masada, and from that fortress plundered Idumea; which was a rising of the Jewish nation against the Idumean.

The Jews, elated by their former slight success during the retreat of the Romans from Jerusalem, twice gave them battle near Ascalon, and were defeated with the loss of ten thousand men in the first engagement, and of eight thousand in the second. And soon
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\[ a \text{ B. J. II. xix. 7, 8, 9. and xx. 1.} \]
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\[ a \text{ καθήμενα.} \]
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\[ c \text{ Ib. III. xxii. 2.} \]
\[ d \text{ It bordered on Samaria. Ib. iii. 4.} \]
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after this Vespasian arrived in Galilee, sent by Nero to conduct the Jewish war.

The Romans had now garrisoned the strong city of Sepphoris, and Josephus was unable to repossess himself of it either by art or force: but he so irritated the Romans and Placidus their commander by his attempts, that they wasted and plundered the country, killed such as were able to bear arms, and enslaved such as were not; so that all Galilee was a scene of conflagration and carnage, and experienced every kind of calamity: nor was there any place of refuge but the cities which Josephus had fortified.

On Vespasian’s arrival he took the city Gadara at the first assault, put all the adults to the sword without pity for the extremest age, and set fire to the city and to the neighbouring towns and villages.

The Roman general then invested Jotapá, commanded by Josephus himself. There was a great slaughter of the besiegers and besieged. The city was taken after a most obstinate defence: the captives were one thousand two hundred; and the slain, in the taking of the city and in former conflicts, amounted to forty thousand.

While this siege was carrying on, Japha, another city of Galilee, was taken by Titus, fifteen thousand Jews perished, and more than two thousand were made prisoners.

Some of the Jews, who escaped destruction by the Syrians, rebuilt the city of Joppa, which Cestius had laid waste. Their frequent piracies turned on them the vengeance of Vespasian; and, to avoid his army, the
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\[f^{\text{1}}\] In the thirty-fourth year after Christ’s death, and about four years before the destruction of Jerusalem.
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miserable Jews betook themselves to their ships. But a violent storm arose, dashed the vessels against each other, and against the rocks of a bold coast, and over-set such as kept out to sea. In this distress many chose to perish by their own hands, many were swallowed up by the waves, many were crushed by the broken ships, and such as reached the shore were killed by the merciless Romans. The sea was for a long space discoloured with blood, four thousand two hundred dead bodies strew the coast, and not a messenger remained to report this great calamity at Jerusalem. So exactly was part of our Lord’s prophecy verified, that

Luk.xxxv.15. “there should be distress of nations with perplexity, the sea and the waves roaring.”

After this⁷, Tiberias surrendered to Titus, and was spared in gratification of king Agrippa. But the inhabitants of Tarichea gave Titus battle, and were defeated with much slaughter. Their city was distracted by intestine divisions and tumulis⁸, and was easily stormed by the Roman general with the destruction of many.

Great numbers who escaped to the lake of Gennesareth, on the shore of which their city was built, perished in a sea-fight with the Romans; and, together with those who fell in the city, six thousand five hundred Jews were destroyed⁹.

Nor did the calamities of this city end here⁷. For Vespasian inhumanly and perfidiously commanded twelve hundred of the aged and useless to be cut to pieces, sent six thousand chosen youths to Nero, and sold thirty thousand four hundred of the multitude.

The Romans next took Gamala⁷, a city placed on a rocky isthmus. The victorious army suffered loss: but in their last attack a violent storm favoured the flight

⁷ B. J. III. x. 3–5. ⁸ B. J. III. x. 9.
⁹ στάζω, γαραχή. ¹ Bb. 10. ¹ Ib. IV. i.
of their darts; and both obstructed the effect of the weapons used by the besieged, and made a precipice from which they defended themselves a station not to be maintained. Four thousand were slain by the Romans; and above five thousand, including women and children, were destroyed by precipitating themselves from the heights into a very deep valley: and many of the unarmed inhabitants had before perished by \textit{famine}.

The conquest of Galilee was completed by the surrender of a stronghold on mount Itabryrus, and of the city Gischala.

The Roman general then reduced the Syrian cities of Jamnia and Azotus, and accepted the submission of many. \textquotedblright{}But in each city \textit{tumult} and intestine \textit{war} arose; and such as breathed from the attacks of the Romans turned their force against each other. A severe contest subsisted between those who loved war and those who aimed at peace. Discord first appeared in families which were before alienated: afterwards divisions spread between \textit{nations} that had been the most friendly: each went over to his own party; and multitudes were drawn up in array against each other. \textit{Insurrection} prevailed every where; and the faction, prone to innovation and arms, overcame, by their juvenile ardour and daringness, those who exceeded them in years and wisdom. At the beginning each inhabitant was intent on plunder; then bodies were formed, and the province was ravaged: so that in cruel and lawless practices the very \textit{countrymen} of the Jews differed not from the Romans; nay, submission to an enemy seemed much lighter to the sufferers.\textquotedblright;
Of the prophecies uttered by our Lord, Part I.

When the leaders of these robbers had satiated themselves with wasting the country, they went in a body to Jerusalem, and thus contributed much to the sedition and famine which added such extraordinary horrors to the siege of that city. These robbers soon began open outrages, seized and put to death some chiefs of royal descent, elected high priests at their own will, and struck the people with the deepest dismay. They called themselves zealots, as if they had a zeal for the best instead of the worst purposes. Ananus, a very wise and venerable man among the chief priests, headed the people against these; a sharp conflict ensued; the temple was the scene of action, as the zealots, by the instigation of Eleazar, had possessed themselves of it; and Ananus recovered its porticos, and placed guards in them. John, who had escaped from the siege of Gischala that he might become God’s scourge to Jerusalem, was sent ambassador to the zealots, but betrayed his trust; and by intimating to them the necessity of foreign assistance, was the cause of their leaguing themselves with the Idumeans. Against these Ananus shut the gates of Jerusalem; so that the city had enemies both within and without.

The exclusion of the Idumeans caused them much distress. “For during the night a very heavy storm burst on them, and violent winds arose accompanied with the most excessive rains, with constant lightnings and dreadful thunderings, and with wonderful roarings of earthquakes. It seemed as if the system of the world had been confounded for the destruction of mankind: and one might well conjecture that these were signs of no mean events.” Phenomena of this kind
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*a* B. J. IV. iii. 4.  
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may be placed among what in St. Luke our Lord calls fearful sights and great signs from heaven. 

However, during the night the Idumeans made an irruption into the city; as Ananus’s army was remiss in guarding every post, and thus suffered the zealots to open one of the gates. The Idumeans indulged the cruelty of their nature, and spared none; so that the day saw eight thousand five hundred dead bodies. Not satisfied with this, they plundered every house, and killed all they met, in the course of a diligent search for the chief priests Ananus and Jesus: whom at length they not only destroyed, but insulted their dead bodies, and cast them forth unburied; a singular act of impiety among the Jews. Afterwards the zealots and Idumeans attacked and slaughtered the people, as unfeeling as if they had been a herd of the vilest beasts. The nobles they imprisoned in hopes of attaching them to their party; scourged and tortured them on their refusal; and at last vouchsafed to bestow death on them as a favour. Nor did any one dare to shed a tear or utter a groan openly; through apprehension of a like fate. Twelve thousand nobles perished in this wretched manner.

The outrages which were committed gave such offence even to the Idumeans, that they left Jerusalem: upon which, as if all restraint had been removed, the zealots perpetrated every act of injustice without distinction or deliberation; raging against the nobles through envy, and against the brave through fear. Pretences indeed were found to destroy every class of the people; death was the penalty of the heaviest and lightest accusations; and none escaped, but through obscurity of birth or extreme poverty.

\[\text{Luk. xxi. 11.}\]
\[\text{B. J. IV. v. 1-3.}\]
\[\text{Ib. 5. and vi. 1.}\]
Those who attempted to fly from these cruelties were intercepted: and such numbers of them were slain that their carcasses lay in heaps on all the public roads: nor were they allowed the rites of burial, as if the zealots had confederated together to annul the laws of nature as well as of their own country: all commiseration was extinguished; human authority was trodden under foot; and every thing sacred was held in derision.

John, who had as much ambition as activity and art, and therefore arrogated regal power, raised against himself a strong faction: the parties watched each other as enemies, and strove which should collect the greater share of plunder from the people: so that the city was overwhelmed by three of the greatest calamities, war, tyranny and insurrection.

1 The Jewish garrison at Masada began with overrunning the adjacent country for subsistence; but from the disturbances of the times they became more daring. They made an excursion into Engaddi, the inhabitants of which fled for the most part; but above seven hundred women and children were destroyed: all the neighbouring towns were pillaged by them, the whole country was wasted, and not a few were butchered every day.

In other parts of Judæa bands of robbers put themselves in motion, who had for some time remained quiet. These plundered the adjoining towns, and retired into the desert. United to each other by an oath, they attacked places of worship and cities, in bodies less than armies, and larger than pillaging companies. They spread every where all the evils of war; and there was no part of Judæa which was not in a

---

manner destroyed with its chief city. So that, says our author, Vespasion had the appearance of marching to besiege Jerusalem, but in truth he was about to relieve it from a siege.

The rich and powerful citizens of Gadara delivered up their city to the Roman commander: but those of a different party slew the chief promter of this measure, and fled on the approach of the Romans. Placidus pursued them to the walled town of Bethennabris; and defeated them in battle before that fortress, which he took, plundered, and burnt. The multitude perished; but the chief men fled towards Jericho, their last place of refuge. Placidus pursued them, and continually cut off some till he came to the river Jordan; which was so swelled with rains as to prevent the passage of the Jews, and compel them to give battle. Ten thousand five hundred of the Jews fell; and an immense number was driven into the river; insomuch that it was obstructed by dead bodies, and filled with them the lake Asphaltis, into which it flows.

Afterwards Placidus pushed his good fortune, took Abila, Julias, and Besimoth, furnished vessels for his soldiers to destroy such as had escaped to the Asphaltic lake, and subdued all Perea, as far as Macherus.

In the spring of the next year Vespasion marched from Cæsarea to Antipatris; and, when he had composed every thing there, in his progress laid waste and burnt the adjacent cities. In Idumea he spread fire through whole toparchies, and in two cities killed above ten thousand, and made more than a thousand prisoners. At length he joined Trajan at Jericho: whence he sent Annius to Gerasa, who easily took the place, destroyed a thousand youths, made many captives, and

- B. J. IV. vii. 1, 3.
- Ib. 3-5.
- P. Ib. viii. 1.
- q Ib. ix. 1.
enriched his soldiers with plunder. Then he proceeded to the next towns. The strong fled, the weak perished, every thing which was left behind was burnt, and the war was so divided between the mountainous and the plain country that the retreat of all in Jerusalem was intercepted: the zealots guarded such as aimed at defection; and the army, which every way surrounded the city, restrained such as were not yet friendly to the Romans.

However, Vespasian determined on a return to Cæsarea; and, as he was preparing an expedition to Jerusalem with all his forces, an account of Nero’s death reached him. Upon this he sent Titus to salute the new emperor, and to receive his orders respecting Judea; and, as the affairs of the whole empire were in this fluctuating state, the Jewish war was neglected.

At this time there were "wars, rumours of wars, and commotions" throughout the Roman empire. Four emperors, Nero, Galba, Otho, and Vitellius, suffered a violent death within the course of eighteen months: and, as Orosius expresses it, when that short but dark storm was dispelled, tranquillity was restored to the Romans under Vespasian.

It happened also that another war soon broke out in Jerusalem: it was occasioned by Simon of Gerasa, who joined himself to the robbers at Masada, and thence made wide excursions, overrunning Acrabatene and Idumea. The zealots feared some attempt on Jerusalem, and therefore undertook an expedition against him: but Simon engaged them, slew many, and drove the rest back to the city. He ravaged Idumea at the

† B. J. IV. ix. 2.
* In the thirty-sixth year after Christ’s death.
† Brevi illa quidem sed turbida tyrannorum tempestate discussa, tranquilla sub Vespasiano duceretina rediit. L. vii. c. 9.
* B. J. IV. ix. 3–8.
head of forty thousand men besides heavy-armed soldiers; and they wasted the country like a body of locusts. The zealots had surprised his wife and her attendants: upon which he approached the walls of Jerusalem, destroyed some of the unarmed and aged who were gathering herbs or fuel, maimed many, and sent them back with menaces, that his rage would make no distinction unless the persons seized were restored: and these he immediately recovered by thus striking the zealots with fear.

*Meanwhile Vespasian marched from Cæsarea, and conquered the yet unsubdued part of the country; destroying many and making many prisoners. Cerealis, one of his generals, wasted Upper Idumea, stormed Hebron near Jerusalem, slew all the adults, and burnt the city. As every place was now reduced but Herodion, Masada, and Macherus, which the robbers had occupied, Jerusalem became the grand object of the Romans.

*But Simon again surrounded Jerusalem, and destroyed whatever workmen he found in the country. Without the walls, he was more terrible to the people than the Romans; and within, the zealots excited more terror than either. The Galilean army, who had raised John to his height of power, were indulged by him in every act of the wildest riot and ferocity. They were effeminate and impure, and not to be satiated with plunder and bloodshed. They who fled from John exposed themselves to the more bloody Simon: and all possibility of revolting to the Romans was cut off.

*A further calamity was, that even the forces of John were divided among themselves. The Idumeans, who composed part of his army, rose against him through envy of his power and hatred of his cruelty. They slew

* B. J. IV. ix. 9. 7 Ib. 10. * Ib. 11, 12.
many of the zealots, drove the rest into the royal palace, and thence into the temple. The palace in which John had resided, and where the spoils of his tyranny were deposited, was plundered by them. John's party, which was scattered throughout the city, re-paired to him in the temple; and the inhabitants and Idumeans, who feared a nightly attack and a burning of the city, yielded to the persuasions of Matthias, one of the high priests, who had great sway among the people, and invited Simon and his army to their assistance. However, the zealots maintained their situation in the temple, and repelled Simon's attack on it, wounding and killing many of his army.

When the temple was thus occupied by the zealots, Eleazar, not brooking subjection to John, formed a party which seized its inner and higher precincts. The plenty of provisions found there, and the advantage of the ground, gave confidence to a comparatively small number of men. The rage of John prompted him to attack them incessantly; and their mutual sallies and hurling of darts polluted the temple every where with slaughter.

Simon possessed the higher city and much of the lower, and attacked John from below, while Eleazar galled him from above. The lower assailants were easily checked by the hands of the soldiers; but those on the higher ground could only be repressed by massive engines, of which John had great store. These destroyed many who brought sacrifices, for such were admitted after examination, and many of the priests themselves. The dead bodies of natives and foreigners, of worshippers and priests, were thus heaped together; and a lake of blood stagnated in the sacred courts.

* B. J. V. i. 2. In the thirty-seventh year after Christ's death.

b Ib. 3.
The occupiers of the inner temple had such plenty of subsistence, that their attacks on John were often interrupted by drunkenness as well as fatigue. At these times, John made fearless sallies on Simon; and, as far as he mastered the city, burnt houses full of provisions and of various necessaries. When John gave up his ground, Simon acted the same part; as if they studiously cooperated with the Romans in destroying supplies for a siege, and in cutting the nerves of their own strength. Many wished for the impending foreign war, to free them from their domestic evils; the Jews were filled with fear and astonishment; and there was no time for counsel, no hope of pacification, no means of flight. A ceaseless cry of combatants was heard day and night; and the lamentations of mourners were still more dreadful. Relations showed no reverence to the living, nor solicitude to bury them when dead. The seditious parties fought, treading on heaps of slain; a circumstance which heightened their savageness and madness: they were continually inventing methods of mutual destruction: they indulged their rage without restraint, and omitted no mode of indignity or cruelty.

In this state of affairs Titus advanced towards Jerusalem, and formed three camps within sight of the city, two on the north side, and one towards the east on mount Olivet: and, a few days after, the west side of the city was occupied by part of these bodies. The sight of this army reconciled the Jews for a time, and united them against so formidable an enemy.

Our Lord's admonition to his disciples was, "When ye see the abomination of desolation, spoken of by the prophet Daniel, standing in the holy place, where it ought not, (let him that readeth" the prophet Daniel

\[c \text{ B. J. V. i. 4. 5.} \quad d \text{ Ib. ii. 3; iii. 5.}\]
“understand,) and Jerusalem surrounded by armies\(^e\); then let those in Judæa flee” with the greatest haste “to the mountains\(^f\), and those who are in the midst of Jerusalem” precipitately “depart, and let not those who are in the country enter into her\(^g\). For these are the days of vengeance; that all things which are written” by Moses and the prophets, concerning the punishment of the Jews in case of disobedience, “should be fulfilled.”

Our Lord refers to the encampments of Titus. It is true that Cestius pitched his camp for three days on the very spot towards the north which was occupied by one division of Titus’s army. But he did not surround the city, nor cast a trench about it, like Titus. We have seen that the gates of the outer city were open to Cestius, and that numbers of the chief Jews would gladly have admitted him into the inner city\(^h\): so that he was considered rather as an ally to the sound and well-disposed Jews, than as an enemy to their place and nation.

The Roman armies not only spread great desolation before them, but were held in the utmost abhorrence by the Jews on account of the images of their gods and emperors which they carried in their standards\(^i\), by which they swore, and to which they sacrificed. The usual ornaments of these standards gave such offence
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\(^e\) Or camps, ὀπαρωτιδα. 
\(^f\) There were towns in the mountainous parts. Jos. B. J. IV. ix. 4. Judith xv. 7. 
\(^g\) This admonition was particularly necessary, because Titus sat down before the city when the feast of the passover was at hand. 
\(^h\) B. J. II. xix. 4–7. 
\(^i\) Tacitus calls them numina legionum, et bellorum dīī. Ter- tullian says, Religio tota castren- sia signa veneratur, signa jurat, signa omnibus diis praeponit. Apol. xvi. p. 162. When the Romans took possession of the Jewish temple, they carried their standards into it, placed them opposite to the eastern gate, and there sacrificed to them. B. J. VI. vi. 1.
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to the Jews, that in peaceable times the Romans entered Jerusalem without them: and Vitellius, at the request of some eminent Jews, humanely avoided marching his forces through Judæa on account of these ensigns. When therefore they were planted within sight of the city and temple, when they stood within the holy precincts of Jerusalem, rivalling, as it were, the God of Israel, this was a hostile contempt of the Jews, and is justly placed among the presages of their utter destruction.

Our Lord prophesied that the war against Jerusalem should be sudden; like the flood in the time of Noah, and the destruction of Sodom in the days of Lot: and his expressive words were, that it should come as a snare upon all that dwelt on the face of the whole land.

We have seen that, from the disordered state of the Roman empire, the Jewish war was suspended. But after the death of the emperor Vitellius, and the succession of Vespasian to the imperial dignity, Titus was sent from Alexandria with a select army to take Jerusalem; and from Egypt he made rapid marches by land to Cæsarea. At this time the seditious Jews were meditating destruction against each other; and how carelessly and dissolutely John's Galilean army lived Josephus has described in a lively manner. In another place he mentions that wood procured from Libanus of extraordinary straightness and size, designed for raising the temple twenty cubits higher, was employed by John in erecting towers against his enemies who possessed the inner temple. "And he thought to overcome his opponents by machines impiously
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2 Mac. x. 31. See Grot.  
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o Ib. ix. 10.  
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raised; but God made his labour useless, and brought the Romans on him before any one mounted the towers.” And when Titus appeared before the walls, he observes; “The foreign war, which suddenly came upon them in great force, then first occasioned a pause to the mutual contention of the parties that were incessantly attacking each other in the city.” So that though the Jewish war, while it was carrying on, threatened the metropolis in proportion as the rest of the country was subdued; yet, after it was interrupted, the attack on Jerusalem was a rapid and unexpected one.

The temporary concord among the Jews was followed by a repulse of the tenth legion, while the Romans were throwing up ramparts on the mount of Olives; and the legion was with difficulty preserved by the assistance and valour of Titus. In consequence of this the Roman war was remitted for a short space.

At this very period I apprehend that the Christians had an opportunity of escaping from Jerusalem, according to our Lord's solemn exhortation. For some time before this, flight was precluded; as it bore the appearance of a revolt to the Romans. And though, during the siege, some effected their escape to the Roman army, the Jewish commanders guarded against the desertion of these still more than against the admission of the Romans; and whoever gave the least shadow of suspicion was immediately massacred, nay, when the defection was discovered, it was cruelly revenged on the relations who remained behind. But at this time, as many had been admitted to celebrate the passover, the Christian inhabitants, who composed the lower classes, might mix with strangers, and would naturally be allowed to depart.

1 εἴς τήν πόλιν ἐπελθὼν. B. J. * Ib. IV. ix. 10. 4 Ib. V. x. 1.
V. ii. 4. 7 Ib. 4, 5. and iii. 1. 6 Ib. xi. 1. 8 Ib. iii. 1.
We must now resume the history, in order to explain the rest of our Lord’s prophecy.

No sooner had the Jews a short interval of quiet from their besiegers, than discord among themselves was renewed. As the passover approached, Eleazar and his party admitted worshippers into the temple: of which John possessed himself by the stratagem of sending many with arms concealed under their dress of peace. This caused much tumult, and the sacrifice of many to private resentment. A truce was made with Eleazar’s faction, which was thus abolished; and the turbulent Jews ranged themselves under two leaders only, John and Simon. The wretched people were the prize of the contending parties; and those who did not cooperate with them in their injustice were plundered by both. The midspace of the city between their districts was burnt, and became the scene of their hostilities: they annoyed each other in all respects agreeably to the wish of the besiegers: so that the Jews suffered nothing worse from the Romans than what they inflicted on themselves. But the strenuous attacks of the enemy again united the contending parties within the walls; who vigorously defended themselves, though the Romans, by their conduct, courage, and military engines, of admirable construction, possessed themselves of the first and second of the triple walls which encompassed the city.

It is not so much my object to enumerate the particulars of the siege, as to show the distresses of it. About the twentieth day after the city was invested, famine began to steal on the Jewish army. It had for some time oppressed the sound and peaceful part of the citizens; and many of these had already perished for want of necessaries. Soon after, the famine and

\[ B. J. V. iii. 1. \quad * \text{Ib. vi. i.} \quad * \text{Ib. viii. 2.} \]
the madness of the seditious increased together. Provisions were every where concealed: if they were found in houses, the inhabitants were punished for denying them; if they were not found, they were tortured for concealing them. The whole substance of the rich was sold for a measure of wheat; of the poor, for one of barley: and hunger pressed so severely, that the grain was eaten by many unprepared. Women forced food from their husbands, children from their fathers, and even mothers from their infants: they snatched it out of their very mouths: and while the sucking children were wasting away in their arms, they scrupled not to take from them the drops which supported their lives. So justly did our Lord pronounce a woe on them who gave suck in those days. If a house was shut, it was interpreted as a mark that food was deposited there. The doors were immediately burst open; no pity was shown to the aged or the infant; food was almost expressed from the throats of the eaters; and the most horrid tortures were inflicted for the discovery of one loaf or one handful of meal. If in the night any one gathered, without the walls, a few wild herbs at the hazard of his life, when he thought that he had escaped his enemies he was met by some from the city, who extorted from him his wretched subsistence, gave him no part though invoked by the dreadful name of Jehovah, but seemed to grant a favour by dismissing him with his life.

The Jews who were forcibly seized by the Romans without the walls, and who made the utmost resistance for fear of punishment, were scourged and crucified near the city. Famine made them so daring in these excursions, that five hundred, and sometimes more, suffered this dreadful death every day; and, on account

b B. J. V. 2, 3. c Ib. xi. 1, 2.
of the number, Josephus observes that space was wanted for the crosses, and crosses for the captives. And yet, contrary to Titus's intention, the seditious Jews were not disposed to a surrender by these horrid spectacles. They represented the sufferers as suppliants, and not as men taken after resistance: and thus checked desertion, till the truth was known. And yet some fell off to the besiegers, though they deemed capital punishment inevitable; and considered death by the hands of their enemies as a desirable refuge, when compared with the complicated distress which they endured. And though Titus mutilated many, and sent them to assure the people that voluntary deserters were well treated by him, and earnestly to recommend a surrender of the city; the Jews reviled Titus and his father from the walls, defied his menaces, and continued to defend the city by every method which stratagem, courage, and despair could suggest.

Thus they fulfilled another of our Lord's prophecies; that the enemies of Jerusalem should cast a trench about her, and compass her round, and keep her in on every side. For Titus, discouraged and exasperated by the repeated destruction of his engines and towers, undertook the arduous task of enclosing the city with a wall, notwithstanding its extent, and the difficulty of the ground: and such was the persevering spirit of the soldiers that in three days they shut up the city by a circumvallation of near five miles in circuit.

Upon this the famine extended itself, and devoured whole families. The tops of the houses, and the recesses of the city, were filled with the carcasses of women, children, and aged men. The young men appeared like spectres in the places of public resort, and

\[d\text{ B. J. V. xii. 2.}\]
\[e\text{ περικλείσας τῷ ἔδειξε τὴν πόλιν.}\]
\[f\text{ B. J. V. xii. 3, 4.}\]
fell where death seized them. The dead were too numerous to be interred; and many expired in the performance of this office. The public calamity was too great for lamentation. Silence, and, as it were, a black and deadly night overspread the city. But even such a scene could not awe the robbers: they spoiled the tombs, and stripped the dead of their grave-clothes, with an unfeeling and wild laughter. They tried the edges of their swords on the carcasses, and made the experiment on some who were still breathing: but the wretches who supplicated death at their hands, they proudly left to the rage of famine. So great was the number of dead bodies thrown over the walls, that Titus raised his hands to heaven, and called God to witness that this extreme misery was their own deed and not his.

At this time Simon charged Matthias with being a favourer of the Romans, condemned him to death with his three sons, and, when he requested to be killed before the execution of his children, as a benefit for opening the city to him, commanded the most cruel of his guards to despatch the father in the last place, and that in the sight of the Romans; insoltingly asking him, Whether those, to whom he thought of deserting, could afford him assistance?

This savageness led Judas, one of Simon’s commanders, and ten others, to meditate a design of betraying one of the towers to the Romans. But Simon discovered the plot as Titus was approaching the wall, slew the authors of it in sight of the Romans, and cast their mangled bodies over the battlements.

Nor did even desertion to the Romans, notwithstanding Titus’s clemency, prove the means of pre-
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\*\* B. J. V. xiii. 1. \*\*\* Ib. 3. See Matt. xxiv. 12. \*\*\*
serving the Jews. Satiety after hunger caused the destruction of great numbers; and as it was discovered that many had swallowed gold to conceal it from their own plunderers, the Arabs and Syrians ripped up the deserters, and, deaf to their supplications, destroyed with the most aggravated cruelty no less than two thousand in one night. Though Titus strove by every means to restrain this brutal avarice, it was still privately indulged: for God, says the historian, condemned the whole people, and turned every seeming way of safety to their destruction.

Manneus, a Jewish commander who had gone over to the Romans, informed Titus that from the fourteenth day of the sixth Macedonian month Xanthicus to the new moon of the ninth month Panemus, a hundred and ten thousand five hundred and eighty dead bodies had been carried out of the gate over which he presided; and that he knew the number thus precisely, because he was appointed to pay a public reward for this mode of clearing the city from the dead. And after this, many noble deserters affirmed that the bodies of the poor thrown out of the gates amounted to six hundred thousand, besides a number not to be ascertained. For when the Jews were no longer able to carry out the poor, they heaped together the carcasses in the largest houses. They added that a measure of wheat sold for a talent; and that, when herbs could be no longer gathered after the circumvallation of the city, they searched the sewers, and the old dung of oxen; and what was before intolerable to their sight became their food. The very report of this raised pity among the
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1 B. J. V. xiii. 4, 5.
2 B. J. V. xiii. 4, 7.
3 Some read ἀλέα ρυζός for ρυζός: i.e. somewhat more than four pecks.
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m The Syrian talent was 15 Attic minae of 3l. 42. 7d.; but the Attic talent was 80 minae. See Arbuthnot.
Romans; but the sight of it changed not the purpose of the seditious Jews.

The multitude of the dead was a horrid spectacle, and cast forth a pestilential smell. Yet the soldiers marched against the Romans over heaps of their own dead without horror or commiseration; and appeared to reproach the Deity, in the opinion of the Jewish historian, for his slowness in punishing them.

And now the Romans advanced their last engines against the walls; after having converted into a desert, for wood to construct them, a country well planted, and interspersed with gardens, for more than eleven miles round the city. These machines the dispirited and exhausted forces of the besieged endeavoured to burn with abated efforts, unworthy of their national valour; and the besiegers defended them with a vigour and bravery superior to their usual exertions. From the force of the battering rams a part of the wall was demolished, where John had formerly undermined it for the sake of an unexpected attack on the Roman works.

However, an inner wall had been raised by the Jews; but the Romans scaled it in the night, and possessed themselves of Antonia, after a sharp contest for possession of the temple itself. But even then neither the consequent number of deserters, the renewed exhortations of Josephus, nor the protestations of Titus himself, could induce John to surrender. A new attack was therefore made, which lasted from three in the morning till towards noon, and the victory remained doubtful: upon which the Romans with great labour raised four terraces against the temple.

At this time the Jews bravely and fiercely attacked
the Roman wall on mount Olivet, and were with difficulty repulsed*: and, as the war was advancing towards the temple, they themselves burnt the portico which joined it to Antonia†: which occasioned Titus to remark that they began to destroy with their own hands that magnificent edifice which he had preservedⅠ.

Meantime the famine increased in rage. The besieged were compelled to eat their belts, their shoes, the skins of their shields, and dried grassⅡ: and a small quantity of fibres sold for four Attic drachmsⅢ. Nay, a JewessⅣ, eminent for birth and opulence, harassed by the brutal robbers, who deprived her of subsistence but refused to deprive her of life, seized her son at the breast: "Come," said the frantic mother, "be food to me, a fury to the factious, and a tale to the world which will crown the sufferings of my country." With these words she slew her infant, prepared him for food, devoured half, and carefully concealed the rest. The hell-hounds, allured by the smell of food, threatened her with death if she did not discover it. She produced the remains of her son, and concealed them with horror at the sight. The relation of so abominable a fact shocked the whole city; those whom hunger pressed hastened to death as their proper goal; and congratulated such as died before they had heard and seen such great calamities. Justly therefore did our Lord thus address the women who lamented him as he went to crucifixion: "Daughters of Jerusalem, weep not for me, but weep for yourselves and for your children: for behold the days are coming in which they shall say, Blessed are the barren, and the wombs

* B. J. VI. i. 8. † B. J. 9. ‡ B. J. i. e. 28. 7d. Ⅰ B. J. VI. iii. 5. Ⅱ B. J. 3. Ⅲ B. J. VI. iii. 4.
that never bare, and the breasts which never gave suck a."

As Titus's battering rams made no impression on the temple, he ordered that the gates should be burnt b: and the fire spread itself to the adjoining porticos. The Roman commander had determined in council not to burn so great a work as the temple c; considering such an act as a loss to the Romans, and the existence of so proud a structure as an honour to himself. "But God had long since condemned it to the flames d;" and while the Jews were contending with the Romans who had been commanded to extinguish the fire of the outer temple, a soldier, neither receiving command from his leaders, nor himself struck with dread at such an attempt, urged as it were by a divine impulse, seized some of the burning materials, and, with the assistance of another soldier who raised him from the ground, threw it into the golden window of the temple towards the north. The flames increased; the Jews with a loud cry ran to extinguish them; and Titus himself rushed from Antonia, and ordered his soldiers to stop their progress e. The Romans pretended not to hear him, and exhorted the foremost ranks to spread the conflagration; the Jews were repulsed and slaughtered; the dead were heaped about the altar; and a stream of blood flowed at its steps. Still the flames had not reached the inner parts of the temple; which Titus entered, and viewed with admiration. He repeated his attempts to prevent its destruction; but nothing could overcome the warlike impulse of the soldiers, their avidity for plunder, and their hatred of the Jews. Thus was the temple consumed against the will of Cæsar, on
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a See Lev. xxvi. 29; Deut. xxviii. 56.  
b B. J. VI. iv. 1, 2.  
c 1b. 3.  
d 1b. 5. See Matt. xxiv. 2.  
e 1b. 6.
the anniversary of the very day when the Babylonians had burnt it before. So great a carnage followed of young and old, of people and priests, that the slain seemed too many for the slayers, the ground could not be seen for the dead, and the soldiers followed the fugitives over heaps of carcasses. Six thousand women, children, and a mixed multitude, were burnt in one portico; and not an individual of these escaped. It may be observed of the factious Jews who survived their temple, that they suffered a punishment resembling what they inflicted on Matthias, when they slew him after having slain his children before his face.

The priests continued on the walls of the temple, but through hunger surrendered themselves to Titus on the fifth day: and when they asked their lives, Titus answered that the time of pardon was past, and that it became them to perish with their sacred place.

The leaders of the Jews desired a conference with Titus, and he offered them their lives if they would surrender themselves: but they required to be dismissed into the desert with their wives and children; and added that they had sworn not to deliver up their persons to him on any conditions. Titus's indignation was raised that the vanquished should prescribe terms to him, and he ordered proclamation to be made that none should come over to him, or expect pardon, for the future: and his soldiers accordingly ravaged and burnt a great part of the city which was in their power.

The royal palace had been seized by the Romans; and as many Jews had lodged their possessions in it for security, the seditious attacked it, repulsed the Ro-
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f B. J. VI. iv. 7, 8.  
§ Ib. v. 1.  
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k B. J. VI. vi. 1.  
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mans, killed all their countrymen assembled there to the number of eight thousand four hundred, and plundered their property.

The Romans now became masters of the lower city, and the Jews fled to the higher \(^a\). Misfortunes wrought no change of mind in the faction; but they showed as much loftiness as in full prosperity. When Josephus upbraided them with their cruelty and impiety, they only mocked him. Their hands were so familiarized to slaughter, that among the ruins of their city they lay in ambush for deserters, took many, slew them, and cast their bodies to the dogs.

The seditious trusted to the subterraneous passages; where they hoped to lie concealed, and to escape after the Romans had taken and quitted the city: and they not only burnt more houses than the enemy, but most unfeelingly slew and plundered such as fled into the caverns from the flames \(^o\). The plunderers warred against each other in their ravages: if they found food, they devoured it mixed with the blood of the slain: and, unless the city had been soon taken, so excessive was their savageness and their distress, that they would have fed even on the dead bodies themselves.

The leaders of the Idumeans now began to treat with the Romans of a surrender, and sent five of their body to Titus \(^p\). His clemency induced him to receive them. But Simon discovered the design, slew the five when they returned, imprisoned the chiefs of that nation, and set a strict guard on the rest. Though the walls were more carefully watched than before, desertsions could not be prevented. Many were killed in attempting their escape, and many more effected it. An endless number of these was sold; such as seemed

\(^a\) B. J. VI. vii. 2. \(^o\) Ib. 3. \(^p\) Ib. viii. 2.
worthy of punishment suffered death; and above forty thousand were allowed to depart where they would.

And now the Romans had finished their works for the attack of the higher city⁹; and when their rams broke part of the wall, and made impression on some of the towers, the tyrants who were before elated and boastful in their impieties, were then humble and trembling and torpid through fear. When reports were spread that their walls were destroyed, and that the enemy approached, these once haughty chiefs fell on their faces, and deplored their infatuation; and, which showed the good fortune of the Romans, and the power of God against the unholy, they of themselves quitted towers impregnable to any engines, and betook themselves to the subterraneous passages. By thus “going into the holes of the rocks, and into the caves of the earth⁴,” they expressed the greatest consternation; and in effect “said to the mountains, Fall on us: and to the hills cover us.” And if the bravest were struck with such terror, what were the sensations of the most timid! The Romans stood amazed at their victory, slew many to satiety in the streets, obstructed them with dead, and drenched the whole city with blood. In the evening the slaughter ended, and the flames increased. The city, adds the historian, was undeserving of such great misfortunes in every other respect, but that it produced the race of men by whom it was overthrown⁶. When Titus viewed the strength of the works, he made use of these words*: “We have fought with the assistance of God: it was God who drove the Jews out of these fortifications: for what can the hands of men or the force of machines effect against these towers!” And when he utterly destroyed the rest of

the city, and dug up the walls, he left these towers as a monument of that fortune which confederated with him in possessing himself of strongholds not to be forced.

After this, Cæsar commanded that only those in arms, and who made resistance should be slain; but the soldiers indiscriminately slew the aged and infirm. The seditious and robbers, discovered by each other, were all punished with death: the tallest and most beautiful youths were reserved for Cæsar's triumph: the rest of the multitude, above seventeen years of age, were sent to the works in Egypt; or distributed as presents through the provinces, to perish by the sword and by wild beasts in the theatres. Those under the age of seventeen were sold. Eleven thousand perished by famine, while the Romans guarded them in one of the walled courts of the temple: some being refused food from the hatred borne to them by their keepers, and some refusing it when offered.

The number of all the captives taken throughout the whole war was ninety-seven thousand; and of those who were destroyed throughout the whole siege, one million one hundred thousand: and the greatest part of these were Jews of different countries: for they came from every quarter to the passover, and were suddenly shut up by the war: so that at first narrowness of habitation caused pestilential diseases, and afterwards famine of course advanced more rapidly. The whole nation was enclosed by fate as in a prison: and therefore "the number of those who perished exceeded all destruction which had been caused by God or man."

Those who had betaken themselves to the subterraneous recesses the Romans searched out, broke up the
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and their completion.

pavements, and killed such as they discovered. More than two thousand dead were found there, some destroyed by each other, some by their own hands, and more by famine. Many prisoners of the tyrants were set free: for neither in the greatest extremity did they cease from cruelty. John and his kinsmen, perishing with hunger in the caverns, supplicated that pardon from the Romans which they had so often disdained to receive. Simon, after striving much against necessity, thus surrendered himself: he had retreated to the subterraneous galleries with his most faithful friends, some workmen and tools, and provisions for many days: and his design was to secure his escape by continuing a passage through the caverns into the country. But as subsistence failed when little progress had been made, he showed himself above ground in a white tunic and purple coat, on the spot where the temple had stood; with the hope of astonishing and deceiving the Romans. But when the guards required him to declare who he was, he desired a conference with the commander Terentius Rufus, who put him in chains. Afterwards he was led in triumph at Rome; then, with a halter about him, dragged to that part of the city where malefactors were executed, and there scourged and slain. John was kept in perpetual imprisonment.

And now the Romans burnt the extremities of the city, and dug up the walls. Caesar commanded them to destroy the whole city and the temple; leaving only the highest towers, Phasaelus, Hippicus, and Mariamne, and a part of the wall which surrounded the city to the west: that the towers might show posterity how strong a city had yielded to the valour of the Romans, and that the wall might be a camp to the tenth legion.
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b Ib. v. 6.
c Ib. VI. ix. 4.
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Of the prophecies uttered by our Lord,

which he intended to leave as a garrison. Accordingly, the pioneers so levelled all the other circuit of the city, as not to leave those who approached it any proof that it had ever been inhabited. And it is recorded in the Talmud, and by Maimonides, that Terentius Rufus ploughed up the foundations of the temple. We also find that Eleazar is introduced by Josephus as addressing the garrison of Masada in these words: "Where is our great city which God was believed to inhabit? It is altogether rooted out and torn up from its foundations; and the only monument of it is the camp of its destroyers pitched in its relics." Thus were our Lord's prophecies fulfilled: "Thine enemies shall lay thee even with the ground;...and they shall not leave in thee one stone upon another." "Behold, your house is left unto you desolate." "Seest thou these great buildings" of the temple? "There shall not be left one stone upon another which shall not be thrown down." The obstinacy of the Jews led Titus to destroy the city and temple against his will, and contrary to the usual manner of the Romans in the course of their victories. Not leaving one stone on another is a proverbial and hyperbolical way of speaking, to denote very exemplary destruction. But with respect to the buildings of the temple, and the inhabited part of the city, our Lord's words were literally fulfilled: and they need not be strained so far as to be supposed applicable to the foundations of the city and temple, and the fragments of towers and walls.

On the reduction of Jerusalem Titus marched part of his army to Cæsarea, where he celebrated the birthday of his brother Domitian with great splendour; and, according to the cruel manner of those times, capitaly
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punished many Jews in honour of it. The number of those who were burnt, and who fell by fighting with wild beasts and by mutual combats, exceeded two thousand five hundred.

After this Herodium was taken by Bassus; and when the castle of Macherus surrendered to him, one thousand seven hundred Jews were killed; and the women and children were made slaves: and not less than three thousand, who had escaped from the sieges of Jerusalem and Macherus, were slain to a man at the wood Jardes.

When the Romans had made a practicable breach at Masada, and intended to storm it the next day, Eleazar the commander instigated the garrison to burn the valuable stores of the castle, and to destroy first their women and children, and then themselves. The whole number was nine hundred and sixty. Those who bore arms chose ten to execute this dreadful purpose: the rest sat on the ground with their wives and children; and, embracing them, stretched out their necks to the slaughter. When the executioners had proceeded thus far, they appointed one who was to destroy the nine and then himself. The survivor, when he had looked round to see that all were slain, set fire to the place, and plunged his sword into his own bosom. However, two women and five children escaped by hiding themselves while the rest were intent on slaughter. When the Romans advanced to the attack on the morning, one of the women distinctly related to them the whole transaction, and struck them with wonder at the contempt of death shown by their enemies.

Without this general view of the history, there are
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i B. J. VII. vi. 1, 4, 5.  
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expressions in our Lord's prophecies relating to it of which the reader could not have an adequate idea: and I have preferred this method of illustrating our Lord's words, to impress these instructive facts on the memory, and to diversify my manner from that of the eminent writers who have gone before me on this subject. I proceed to make remarks on such of these predictions as have not yet been sufficiently explained.

It was foretold by Christ, that "fearful sights and great signs from heaven" should precede the destruction of the Jewish polity. I have supposed that this prophecy was partly applicable to the tempest, attended with thunderings, lightnings, and earthquakes, which happened on the night when the Idumean army was admitted into Jerusalem. And Josephus says, in one of his addresses to the Jews, "The fountains flow copiously for Titus, which to you were dried up. For before he came, you know that both Siloam failed, and all without the city; so that water was bought by the amphorae. But now they are so abundant to your enemies as to suffice not only for themselves and their cattle, but also for their gardens. This wonder you also formerly experienced on the taking of your city, when the king of Babylon waged war against it."

In another place this historian relates some events or appearances which he calls wonders, signs, and divine forewarnings. He laments that his countrymen did not attend to clear prognostications of their destruction; "partly when a star like a sword stood over

---

1 See p. 139, note u.


3 p. 188.

4 B. J. V. ix. 4.

5 It contained about seven gallons.

6 τιραννία, B. J. VI. v. 3, line 4.

7 σημεῖα, ib. 4. last line of the page.

8 Tα τοῦ Θεοῦ κρίτημα, ib. 3, line 6: and 4, line 2.

9 τίραννα.
the city, and a comet which continued for a year; and partly when, before the revolt and the beginning of the war, as the people were assembling to keep the passover, about three in the morning on the eighth of Xanthicus, so great a light shone round the altar and temple, that it seemed to be clear day: and this phenomenon continued for the space of half an hour.

The politest nations weakly considered the appearance of comets as portentous. The time before the Jewish war may have been remarkable for them. Some have been denominated by astronomers from their resemblance to a sword: and as one could not appear during the whole time mentioned, I suppose that the historian speaks of more than one, which successively occupied a considerable space of the year spoken of.

The light in the temple I suppose to have been occasioned by a vived aurora borealis; which is an appearance more anciantly observed than has been commonly supposed.

The historian goes on to mention that a cow brought forth a lamb in the midst of the temple; and that "the eastern gate of the inner temple, made of brass, very heavy, with difficulty closed every evening by twenty men, supported by bars bound with iron, and fixed by
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\[ ^x \text{ So Virgil, speaking of the period preceding the death of Julius Cæsar, says,} \]

\begin{center}
\textit{Nec diri toties armae comete.}
\textit{Georg. i. 488.}
\end{center}

\[ ^y \text{ And Milton refers to the popular error, when he observes that a comet} \]

\begin{center}
\textit{And from his horrid hair Shakes pestilence and war.}
\textit{Par. Lost, i. 710.}
\end{center}

\[ ^y \text{ Nocturnaque faces caeli, sublime volantes,} \]

\[ \text{Nonne vides longos flammarum ducere tractus,} \]

\[ \text{In quascunque dedit partes natura materiam?} \]

\[ \text{Non cadere in terram stellas et sidera cernis?} \textit{Luca. ii. 206.} \]

Where the \textit{aurora} is described in the three first lines; and distinguised from what men vul-
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very deep bolts let into a pavement of continued stone, was seen at midnight opened of its own accord. The guards of the temple hastened to inform the curator; and when he came to give assistance it could scarcely be shut."

There may have been a monstrous birth with some of the circumstances mentioned; but partly mistaken at the time, or magnified afterwards. If the gate of the temple was not irregularly opened by human means, it may have been carelessly closed, and thrown open by a strong gust of wind, or by a slight earthquake. But I think with a judicious writer, who has considered these prodigies before me, that they are tales adapted to the taste of Josephus’s Roman readers, and that they resemble the legends of the Greek and Roman writers.

But to proceed. "Not many days after the feast, on the twenty-first of Artemisius, a certain divine appearance was seen exceeding belief. And I think that what I am about to relate might appear too wonderful; unless it had been told by spectators, and the sufferings which followed had been suitable to the signs of them. For before the setting of the sun there appeared aloft throughout the whole country chariots and armed phalanxes, running through the clouds and surrounding cities."

This might be a natural phenomenon, but for the words before the setting of the sun. I incline to think...
that the heavens wore a bloody threatening aspect before sunset, and that uncommon northern lights were seen afterwards. I shall quote a passage or two from Whitelocke’s Swedish embassy. “Many observed strange appearances in the sky, exceeding brightness in the night mingled with various colours, chiefly red, and swiftly passing from one part of the heaven to another, and one colour as it were opposing and encountering the other.” In the evening about nine “the flashes of lightning and clouds came swiftly one against another, as it were in charging; and made breaches where they went, and divided themselves as into bodies of pikes and musketeers: then the sky appeared some time all blood colour, afterwards green, yellow, and grey, then all black, and as it were a new battaile from the north to the south.”

As the clouds might give the general idea of an engagement, chariots, in use at Josephus’s time, are naturally introduced; and as the heavens might take various shapes which imagination could mould into any thing, I suppose that the surrounding of cities was mentioned from the event, the investing of Jerusalem by the Romans.

The Jewish historian proceeds: “And on the feast called Pentecost, when the priests entered the inner temple by night for the customary ministrations, they affirmed that they first perceived a motion and noise, and afterwards a voice as of many, Let us depart hence.”

It has been said that there was a lustration of the temple by night before great festivals. At this time it may be supposed that some panic seized the priests, which was afterwards exaggerated, and formed into the heathenish tale related by Josephus.

Josephus mentions as the last and most fearful omen,

* Vol. i. 455. 6.  
† See Tac. Hist. v. 13.
that, four years before the war, when the city was in a state of full peace and prosperity, Jesus the son of Ananus, a rustic of the lower class, suddenly proclaimed in the temple at the feast of tabernacles, "A voice from the east, a voice from the west, a voice from the four winds, a voice against Jerusalem and the temple, a voice against bridegrooms and brides, a voice against the whole people." These words he uttered day and night with a loud voice through all the streets of Jerusalem. The severest stripes caused no tear or supplication. Albinus the Roman governor could not obtain any answer to his interrogatories, or extort any by the most cruel scourges, but "Woe, woe to Jerusalem!" and therefore he at length dismissed him as a madman. He persevered in this denunciation for seven years and five months, neither execrating those who struck him nor blessing those who relieved him, but recurring to his usual exclamation without hoarseness or fatigue: till during the siege he added, "Woe to myself also;" and was killed by a stone from one of the Roman engines.

I suppose the groundwork of this relation true; that some enthusiast, instructed in our Lord's prophecy about the fate of Jerusalem, denounced it with a very alarming obstinacy; but that many embellishments are added, as report commonly makes tales more extraordinary: and I resolve all these instances into natural causes, still allowing the superintendence of God to awaken his people by some of these means.

Our Lord thus foretold the wickedness of the times when God inflicted his sore judgments on the Jewish people: "When the Son of man cometh, shall he find faith in the land?" "Because iniquity shall abound, the love of many shall wax cold." And again: "If they do these things in a green tree, what shall be done in
the dry?” that is, If the innocent suffer thus, what shall befall the guilty?

Josephus’s language, in a variety of places, corresponds to this part of our Lord’s predictions. He thinks that God, from hatred to the impiety of the robbers, rejected their city: and that he abhorred his own sacred ordinances on account of wicked men, and therefore prevented the conclusion of the war by Cestius’s retreat. He likewise says that God had darkened the minds of the Jews because of their crimes: that the seditious among them would have relented, unless, on account of the excessive evils inflicted by them on the people, they had despaired of pardon from their enemies: and that their ancestors, who were first subdued by the Romans, had not offended against their sanctuary and laws in the same atrocious manner with them. In his address to them he thus expresses himself: “What has been done by you of those actions on which your legislator has pronounced a blessing? and what has been omitted, of those against which he has denounced a curse? How much more impious are you than those who were soon subdued! You have not declined hidden crimes, such as thefts, snares, and adulteries; and at the same time you contend with each other in rapines and murders, and invent new ways of vice.—The king of Babylon burnt the city and temple of men who had by no means been guilty of impieties to be compared with yours.—You celebrate a kind of public show in your crimes, you daily contend which shall be worst, and are as ostentatious of wickedness as if it were virtue.” Speaking of the factions
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5 Those who are as fit for punishment as a dry tree is for the flames.

h Ant. XX. viii. 5.
under Simon and John, he says that there was a contest between them for dominion, but a concord in crimes. "To relate all their iniquity were impossible. It may be said in a word, that no other city suffered so great calamity, and that no generation was ever more fertile in wickedness." In another place he speaks thus: "I will not suppress what the strength of my feelings dictates. I think, if the Romans had delayed coming against these offenders, that the city would have been swallowed up by an earthquake, or overwhelmed by a deluge, or consumed by fire from heaven like Sodom: for it produced a much more impious race than those who were thus destroyed." There is also another very remarkable passage: "That period was fruitful in every kind of wickedness among the Jews; so that they left no evil work unpractised, and, if a man chose to exercise his imagination, he could not invent for them a new crime: to such a degree were they diseased privately and publicly, and so ambitiously did they contend to exceed each other in acts of impiety to God and of injustice to their neighbour."

Christ prophetically compared their wickedness to a maniacal disorder increasing in violence. They rejected, blasphemed, and crucified the Lord of life; they closed their eyes against the evidence of the Spirit which was so plentifully poured out after his ascension; and we learn from the strong expressions of their own historian that their progress in every species of guilt was as unexampled as their destruction. And whereas our Lord says that, because iniquity abounded, the love of many should wax cold; I must desire the reader to

9 B. J. V. x. 4, 5.
7 παρανομάω. The word, Matt. xxiv. 12, is ἀνομίαν.
* B. J. V. xiii. 6.
† Ib. VII. viii. 1. See four other places relating to this subject in Josephus, already quoted p. 183, note r, 209, note q, and 210, note u.
recollect the many and uncommon acts of cruelty which occur during this period of the Jewish history; and to observe Josephus's assertion, that "in the calamities of those times no good affection was so entirely lost as pity."

Our Lord foretold that wars, rumours of wars, and commotions, the rising of nation against nation, and of kingdom against kingdom, great earthquakes, famines, and tumults in divers places, should be "the beginning of sorrows." We have seen that these were heavy afflictions to the Jewish people; but that they were greatly exceeded by the evils which befell them during the siege of Jerusalem.

By reflecting on the dreadful state of the Jews before the Roman war, and in the course of it, we shall feel the truth of our Lord's prophetic words, "The days will come when ye will desire to see one of the days of the Son of man, and ye shall not see it." What a contrast between the tranquillity and the heavenly instruction and consolation enjoyed by our Lord's disciples before his death, and the persecutions and terrors of every kind which succeeded!

Our Lord assured his disciples that not a hair of their head should perish. It is plain that he was then speaking, not of persecutions in which some of them were to suffer death, but of the public calamities which were to affect the Jews. It is added, "By your patience preserve ye your lives:" which is equivalent to the declaration in another place, "He that endureth to the end, the same shall be preserved." He that patiently abideth in the faith, notwithstanding persecutions and impostures, and draweth not back at any

---

[a] B. J. IV. vi. 3.
[b] Luke xii. 7; Acts xxvii. 34; 1 Kin. i. 52.
time, shall escape with life, and even without the least hurt from the enemy; provided he observe my admonition, and fly when he seeth Jerusalem compassed with armies. We have learnt from Josephus\(^7\) that, after Cestius's attempt on the city, many fled from it: and Eusebius\(^8\) and Epiphanius\(^9\) relate that before the war the Christians retired to Pella, a city beyond Jordan, subject to king Agrippa\(^b\). I have also shown\(^c\) that their escape was possible soon after the appearance of Titus's army.

The disciples were to pray that their flight from Judea and Jerusalem might not be in the winter, neither on the sabbath. It is probable that their prayer in both respects was heard. We know that the siege of Jerusalem began on the fourteenth of the month Xanthicus, the very day of the passover\(^d\); and ended on the eighth of Gorpiæus, which Dion Cassius tells us was the sabbath\(^e\). Thus, according to Spanheim\(^f\), the fourteenth of Xanthicus happened on a Friday. But before this day, and, it is probable, during the same week, the Romans received a slight check from the Jews, and the gates of the city were opened to admit worshippers\(^g\); and of course to allow their return into the adjoining country.

\(^7\) B. J. II. xix. 6: xx. 1.
\(^8\) H. E. III. v.
\(^b\) For the character of this king, see Acts xxvi.
\(^c\) P. 199.
\(^d\) B. J. V. xiii. 7; VI. x. It lasted therefore from about the fourteenth of our April to about the eighth of our September.
\(^e\) L. lxvi. 7.

\(^g\) B. J. V. ii. 5; iii. 1. To reconcile Josephus with himself, B. J. V. iii. 1; xiii. 7, it may be supposed that the Romans began their camps before the fourteenth of Xanthicus, and completed them on that day: from which the beginning of the siege is therefore dated.
tion, and of vengeance: such as were not since the beginning of the world to that time; no, nor ever should be." The words must be applied to the particular people who were the objects of God's anger. The calamities undergone by them were unparalleled in their history; and will remain so. The many and great evils arising from their own distractions and intestine madness were peculiar to this time. And Josephus asserts in general "that no other city underwent such sufferings." In particular he says, that the number of captives throughout the whole war was ninety-seven thousand; and that one million one hundred thousand perished in the course of the siege. To these must be added 237,490, of whom express mention is made by this historian as being destroyed in other places: besides innumerable others, not subject to calculation, who were swept away by fatigue, famine, disease, and every kind of wretchedness and violence. Consequently the war under Adrian, dreadful as it was, yielded to this; for during the course of it Dion Cassius says that five hundred and eighty thousand were slain in excursions and battles, and that the number of those who died by hunger, sickness, and fire, could not be ascertained. And thus did the awakened vengeance of Heaven "require of that generation the blood of all the prophets which had been shed from the foundation of the world."

Our Lord adds, "And they shall fall by the edge of the sword, and shall be led away captive into all nations:" circumstances which the history of this period has abundantly verified: "and Jerusalem shall be

---

h Maimonides, Tainith. c. 5, quoted Whitby, gen. pref. p.xxvii. calls the day on which the city was taken the fatal day of vengeance. See Luke xxii. 22.

i B. J. V. x. 5.

k Ib. VI. ix. 3.

l See Usher's Annals, p. 652.

m L. lxix. 14.
trodden down of the Gentiles, until the times of the Gentiles be fulfilled.” This city has been subject to the Romans, the Saracens, the Egyptian Mameluks, the Franks, and the Turks. The Jews have never possessed it since its overthrow by Titus; but still remain dispersed among all nations, and yet a distinct people, ready to fulfill the prophecy of returning to their city “when the times of the Gentiles shall be fulfilled;” the times appointed by God for their continuing in the possession of Jerusalem; or for their overthrow in behalf of the Jews; or, rather, for their full conversion; agreeably to St. Paul’s words, “until the fulness of the Gentiles be come in.”

Rom. ix. 25.  
Mat. xxiv. 22, and p.p.  

“And unless those days should be shortened, no man should have been preserved; but for the sake of the elect whom he hath chosen, he hath shortened those days.” We have seen that Josephus in one place speaks of the war as protracted to a great length. It may be said to have lasted seven years, from the taking of Masada by the Jews in the year of Christ sixty-six, to the retaking of that fortress by the Romans in the year seventy-three. But our Lord here refers to the siege of Jerusalem, which did not last five months. The days mentioned by him are the particular period when the Roman standards appeared before Jerusalem; when the Christians were hastily to take refuge in the mountainous countries; when the great affliction, and death by the sword, and wide dispersion of the Jews, and total subversion of the Jewish state, were soon to follow. Titus himself vigorously pushed the siege, and rejected the advice of those who proposed the tedious way of compelling a surrender by famine; and the

---

B. J. II. xix. 4. See p. 183.  
q See bishop Newton, II. 277.  
O Ib. xvii. 2.  
&c.  
P Usher’s Annals.
Jews hastened their own ruin by their intestine divisions, by burning great quantities of their own provisions, and by so much remitting their usual ardour at the last attack of the higher city: so that Titus was astonished when he beheld the strength of the fortifications which he had reduced. And the providence of God so ordered events, that the city was besieged when it was crowded with inhabitants; many of whom seem to have continued in it, fatally confiding in its strength; and thus contributing to its reduction by the famine and pestilence which great numbers in such circumstances always occasion.

During the continuance of the siege, the whole country must have been in the greatest confusion and alarm; robbers and assassins must have spread terror every where; the lands must have remained in a great measure untilled; and the supply of provisions from any distant part must have been insecure. If therefore for the sake of Christ's disciples, the whole body of whom are called the elect of God, this calamitous period had not been providentially contracted, if winter had increased the distress of the exiles and fugitives, it would have been highly difficult for any to preserve their lives.

"And soon after the tribulation of those days, there shall be signs in the sun, which shall be darkened, and in the moon, which shall fall from heaven; and upon the land distress of nations and perplexity, the sea and the waves roaring; men's hearts failing them for fear, and expectation of the things which shall come upon the land: for the powers of the heavens shall be shaken."

NEWCOME. Hence it follows that the words cannot be applied to the day of judgment.
The style is here very eastern, and imports that the Jewish rulers and their church and nation should be involved in ruin: and that this should be effected soon after the commencement of the troubles alluded to; or in a time which, considering the difficulties of the undertaking, might properly be called short. It is the language of prophecy, to which the Jews were accustomed. The fall of Babylon is thus foretold by Isaiah: "The stars of heaven and the constellations thereof shall not give their light: the sun shall be darkened in his going forth, and the moon shall not cause her light to shine." And he speaks thus of Idumea: "All the host of heaven shall be dissolved, and the heavens shall be rolled together as a scroll: and all their host shall fall down, as the leaf falleth from the vine, and as a falling fig from the fig tree. For my sword shall be bathed in heaven: behold, it shall come down on Idumea," &c. Ezekiel thus expresses the destruction of Egypt: "When I shall put thee out, I will cover the heavens, and make the stars thereof dark; I will cover the sun with a cloud, and the moon shall not give her light. All the bright lights of heaven will I make dark over thee." Joel uses this language of the same event which our Lord predicts. "I will show wonders in the heavens and in the earth, blood, and fire, and pillars of smoke. The sun shall be turned into darkness, and the moon into blood, before the great and terrible day of Jehovah come." And God

* Isa. xiii. 10. where see bishop Lowth.

† Joel ii. 30, 31. See sir I. Newton's Obs. on Proph. p. i. c. ii; bishop Newton on the Prophecies, ii. 30; and bishop Pearce on Matt. xxiv. 29. Quando vaticinatur Esaias de gentis alicujus destructione, vel de populi alicujus magni interitu, ait stellas cecidisse, caelos interisse, et contremiscere solem obscuratum, terram vastatam et commotam esse. Maim. More Nev. 365. "*Ηλιος δὲμειρὸς, ἢ ἐφομνος, καταστρωτερ ητὶ γῆς οἱ δοτήρες, ἢ ἐφομνος ἐμου,
says in Haggai, "I will shake the heavens and the earth; and I will overthrow the throne of kingdoms; and I will destroy the strength of the kingdoms of the heathen." Our Lord adds that there should be straitness of calamity among those nations which rose against each other, with inextricable necessity, every object of terror striking them, every element seeming armed against them: men nearly expiring through fearful expectation of what impended over their country: for the whole frame of the Jewish constitution, both civil and religious, should totter to its foundation.

"And then shall appear the sign of the Son of man dwelling in heaven: and then shall all the tribes of the land mourn, and they shall see the Son of man coming in the clouds of heaven with power and great glory. And he shall send his angels with a trumpet of a great sound, and they shall gather together his elect from the four winds, from one end of the heaven to the other." At that time shall appear plain tokens of my coming to execute judgment on the Jews; which shall cause great lamentation to all the tribes of the land: and there shall be as clear a display of my coming, and of my glorious power, as if I had been seen riding on the clouds of heaven, and thus giving sensible evidence that the fearful punishment was inflicted by me. And I will employ such means to make disciples throughout the world, when the power of the Jews and their opposition to the gospel are at an end, [or to
preserve those from perishing with the Jews, who persevere in the faith, and remember my warnings,] as shall appear like sending heavenly messengers with the loud sound of the trumpet, to gather them from the four winds, from one extremity of the heaven to the other, or from the extremity of the earth to the extremity of the heaven.

Luk. xxii. 28.

"And when these things begin to come to pass, lift up yourselves and raise your heads; for your redemption approacheth:" your deliverance from the persecution of the Jews and from the calamities of war draweth nigh. "When ye see these things come to pass, know ye that the kingdom of God is nigh:" that the subjects of my heavenly kingdom will soon be greatly multiplied: [or, that the power of God over the wicked Jews is on the point of being displayed.]

Ver. 31.

Mat. xxiv. 34. and p. p.

"Verily I say unto you, This generation shall not pass away, till all these things be fulfilled." The words were spoken about thirty-seven years before the event; and therefore many of that generation lived to see their accomplishment.

"Then shall two be in the field; the one shall be taken, and the other left. Two women shall be grinding at the mill; the one shall be taken, and the other left." "I tell you, in that night there shall be two in one bed; the one shall be taken, and the other left." The providence of God over my disciples, and the effect of their attention to my forewarning, will then be remarkable: a distinction will take place between those whose external circumstances are alike: my dis-

Luk. xvii. 34.

\[\text{footnote: This was a familiar idea among the Jews, as the new moon was proclaimed by the trumpet, Ps. lxxxi. 3, and also the beginning and end of the sabbath. B. J. IV. ix. 12.}
\]

\[\text{footnote: Matt. xxiv. 40, 41. These words favour the second sense, between brackets, of Luke xxii. 31, and Matt. xxiv. 31.}\]
ciples will be preserved, and others will perish. The night mentioned in St. Luke’s Gospel was probably that which succeeded the appearance of Titus’s army, when the gates of Jerusalem were thrown open to such as came for the purpose of celebrating the passover; and therefore, it should seem, to such unarmed Jews as were willing to depart, as if to lodge in the country after the due legal observances of the day.

a B. J. V. iii. 1.
b After I had written this, I had the pleasure of reading a book called, Thoughts on the Nature of the grand Apostasy, &c. By Henry Taylor, &c. 1781. As I disagree with this very superior writer in interpreting Matt. xxiv, I have reviewed my interpretation with a reference to p. 50–56, 170–181, of his work; and submit the following remarks to his candour and judgment:

The destruction of the Jewish polity is expressed by the coming of Christ, his presence or ἐπιζήτησις, his day or days, his revelation of himself, in the following places: (1.) Matt. x. 23. “Ye shall not have gone over (or finished) the cities of Israel, till the Son of man come.” Our Lord had before confined this particular mission of the twelve to the lost sheep of the house of Israel; in opposition to the Gentiles, and to the Samaritans, a part of the Gentiles inhabiting the same country with the Jews. ver. 6. The gospel would not be particularly and fully preached to the cities of Israel “before the ruin of the Jewish state and Christ’s coming to take vengeance on it.” Bishop Pearce. (2.)

Matt. xvi. 28. “Verily I say unto you, There be some standing here, who shall not taste of death, till they see the Son of man coming in his kingdom,” or, “in his glory.” This cannot refer to the transfiguration; because it would be unworthy of Christ to prophesy that Peter, James, and John should not taste of death till an event had happened which was to take place six days after; and because at the transfiguration the kingdom of God did not come with power. Mark ix. 1. Thoughts, &c. p. 177, 8. (3.) St. Luke says, xvii. 24, “As the lightning, that lighteneth out of the one part under heaven, shineth unto the other part under heaven; so shall also the Son of man be in his day.” This cannot refer to the day of judgment; because it stands in immediate connexion with ver. 23, “And they shall say to you, See here; or, see there: go not after them, nor follow them.” For, &c. ver. 24. (4.) Our Lord describes the destruction of Jerusalem when he says, Luke xvii. 30, “Even thus shall it be in the day when the Son of man is revealed.” This is plain from what follows, ver. 31, 34, 35, 36. And therefore the
I now proceed to the remainder of our Lord's prophecies. He foretold that the apostle John should 

close of ver. 26 refers to the destruction of Jerusalem; "And as it was in the days of Noe, so shall it be also in the days of the Son of man." For the same event is compared to the days of Noah and to the days of Lot. (5.) We come next to Matt. xxiv. and the parallel places. I understand ταῦτα, Matt. xxiv. 3; Luke xxi. 7, and πάντα ταῦτα, Mark xiii. 4, as referring to the entire destruction of the temple, mentioned Matt. xxiv. 2 and the parallel places; and probably to other circumstances then foretold by our Lord which are not recorded. I cannot allow that Matt. xxiv. 3 contains "fresh questions and new subjects." Christ's presence and the consummation of the Jewish age coincided. I suppose that here, as in Mark and Luke, our Lord is asked about the time of the desolation and the signs of it. The presence, or παρουσία, of Christ is next mentioned Matt. xxiv. 27. And this verse gives a reason why Christ should not be looked for in the desert or in the secret chambers, ver. 26. These two verses are therefore parallel to Luke xviii. 23, 24. And since the latter part of Luke xvii. 37 refers to the destruction of Jerusalem, as appears by the three preceding verses, it is evident that Matt. xxiv. 28 admits of the same sense. And the connexion, from the beginning of ver. 23 to the end of ver. 28, restrains it to that sense. For ὅτα, ver. 26, refers to the three fore-going verses. The presence of the Son of man is next mentioned Matt. xxiv. 37. "As the days of Noe were, so shall also the coming," presence, παρουσία, "of the Son of man be." This is parallel to Luke xvii. 26, where the desolation by the Romans is spoken of; and it is restrained to that subject by ver. 40, 41. For the same reasons the presence of the Son of man, mentioned ver. 39, must be interpreted of that event. In Matt. xxiv. 42, the words "Ye know not what hour your Lord cometh," must be applied to the subversion of the Jewish state, because of the parallel passage Luke xxi. 36. "Were they to watch and pray, that they might escape the coming of the Son of man, and the end of the world?" Thoughts, &c. p. 52, 53. Consequently, ver. 44th of Matt. xxiv. and ver. 15th of Matt. xxv. refer to the same desolation. (6.) Jesus said to Peter, speaking of John, "If I will that he tarry till I come, what is that to thee?" i. e., says bishop Pearce, "live till I come to destroy the Jewish church and state." John xxi. 22.

I must make a few additional remarks:

(1.) Matt. xxiv. 34 and the parallel places naturally refer to all the events (not incidentally thrown in, as the latter part of Luke xxi. 24) which precede this assurance.

(2.) Christ nowhere says that his παρουσία would not be till
survive the destruction of Jerusalem: including him in the number of those who were not to "taste of death" (Matt. xvi. 28, and p.p. till they saw the Son of man coming in his kingdom."

For when Peter asked concerning John, "What shall this man do?" our Lord answered, "If I will that he tarry till I come, what is that to thee?" The fact is plain; as, according to Eusebius, John returned from his exile to Patmos, and took up his abode at Ephesus, after the death of the emperor Domitian. And to after the days of vengeance. Thoughts, &c. p. 52. It was to be at the very time when false prophets and false Christs arose, Matt. xxiv. 23–28, and when the Jews were to fly into the mountains, ver. 16; Luke xxi. 21, 22.

(3.) The general time of the desolation was revealed, that it would happen before that generation passed away; the particular and precise time was known to God only. Thoughts, &c. p. 52, § 7.

(4.) The parousia of Christ to destroy the Jews was a virtual and not a real one; and his coming was to be understood figuratively, not literally. See Ps. cxvi. 13, Isa. xxvi. 21, Micah i. 3.

(5.) The time of destroying Jerusalem is called ἐκκίνησις ἡ ἡμέρα, by way of eminence, as well as the day of judgment. See Luke xvii. 31. And in Mark xiii. where ver. 4 stands thus, "Tell us when these things shall be, and what is the sign when all these things shall be ready to be fulfilled," ἐκκίνησις ἡ ἡμέρα καὶ ἡ ἡμέρα, ver. 32, cannot refer to the day of judgment: about which not a word is said before.

(6.) The distinction of Christ's first coming in the flesh, of his second coming to overthrow the Jewish state, and of his third coming to the general judgment, is inconvenient language for divines: but it does not expressly occur in Scripture. The destruction of Jerusalem by Titus is emphatically called the coming of Christ: the spirit of prophecy speaks particularly of this, because the city and temple were then destroyed, and the civil and ecclesiastical state of the Jews subverted. The Jews also suffered very great calamities under Adrian; but not so great as those under Vespasian: and the desolation under Adrian is not particularly foretold. But I think that any signal interposition in behalf of his church or in the destruction of his enemies may be metaphorically called a coming, and a parousia of Christ. See 2 Thess. ii. 8, and compare Rev. xviii. 2, &c., whence it seems to follow that the destruction of the man of sin will happen before the final judgment. See also John xiv. 18; Rev. xxii. 20.

c H. E. iii. 20, 23.
da Domitian died A. D. 96.
predict fierce persecutions, and that an eminent apostle,

Mar. iii. 17. of distinguished zeal for the gospel, should survive that
most dangerous period when Jewish power and preju-
dice were at the height, is a remarkable instance of
our Lord's foreknowledge.

Our Lord foretold, not only that the gospel should
be extensively preached, but also that it should be
extensively received. The kingdom of God was like
leaven, which spreadeth through the whole lump. It
was like a grain of mustard seed, which, though the
smallest of all seeds, sprang up, became a tree, and
shot forth great branches; so that the fowls of the air
lodged under its shadow.

No noc longum tempus et ingens
Exiit ad oelum rami felicibus arbos.—Virg. Georg. ii. 81.

And in allusion to his own death, considered as an
attestation to the truth of his Gospel, our Lord says,

1 Cor. xv. 36. "Unless a grain of wheat fall on the ground, and" to
human appearance "die, it remaineth alone: but if it"
Jo. xii. 24. seem to "die" by being committed to the ground, "it
bringeth forth much fruit."

I have shown why our Lord, in the spirit of pro-
phesy, gave Simon the name of Peter. And he not
only foretold that this apostle should be in a peculiar
sense the rock on which he would build his church,
but also that "the gates of hell," the fear of death in
all the shapes which the most cruel persecutors could
device, "should not prevail against it." And accord-
ingly, though the infernal doors have so frequently
been opened to receive the disciples of Christ, the
terrors disclosed by them have never prevented the re-

\[e\ P. 152.\]
\[f\ Matt. xvi. 18; see Is. xxxviii.\]
\[10.\ The scholiast on Homer, II. i. 312, ἔχθρος γὰρ μοι ψφφος,
ομέν Ἀδαν πρὸς ἐστιν, has this note, τῆς θανάτου, περιπρατεινε.\]
ception, the extension, and the bold profession of the gospel.

Our Lord thus predicted the righteousness which his gospel would naturally tend, and actually contribute, to advance six; and the vices which it would abate or suppress: "I beheld Satan as lightning fall from heaven."—Luk. x. 18.

Mary, the sister of Lazarus, anointed Jesus with a precious ointment three [six] days before his crucifixion; probably thus intending to show her last act of respect to him, as he had foretold to his disciples, on that very day, that at the passover he should be delivered up to be crucified. When some murmured at this deed, our Lord commended it with the following prediction, "Wheresoever this gospel shall be preached in the whole world, there shall also what this woman hath done be spoken of as a memorial of her." Accordingly, three of the evangelists have transmitted down this fact to all ages of the church.

When Peter drew his sword in the garden of Gethsemane, "Jesus said to him, Put up again thy sword into its place: for all they that take the sword shall perish by the sword." Here the learned Dr. Priestley observes, that "this prophecy seems to have been verified whenever Christians have had recourse to arms in order to defend themselves from persecution; as in the case of the Hussites in Bohemia, and the Huguenots in France." We may perhaps apply the words to religious wars in general; and observe that they have been fulfilled by the unhappy events of the Crusades, and by the deaths of Zuingle in Switzerland, and of Gustavus Adolphus, the head of the evangelical union, in Germany. Christianity is very far from promising a

5 See Jortin on the Christian religion, p. 144, &c.
6 English Harmony, p. 227.
special protection to those who have recourse to violence and arms in support even of truth and right. They must expect to be involved in the natural consequences of such actions.

When our Lord assured his apostles, and their successors in the church, that "he would be with them always, even unto the end of the world," cooperating with their pious endeavours by his providence and by his Spirit, he in effect foretold that his religion would be a perpetual one.

When Peter observed to our Lord, "Behold, we have left all, and followed thee," Jesus replied, "Verily I say unto you," my disciples, "that ye who have followed me, in the future renovation of all things, when I the Son of man shall sit on my glorious throne, shall be eminently exalted, and shall become judges of the twelve tribes of Israel, condemning their unbelief by your faithful and upright conduct." What particular honour will be conferred on the apostles at the great day, the event only can show: but it does not appear necessary to understand the words as if they were to be assessors with Christ. It is plain that spiritual happiness and exaltation are elsewhere expressed under the ideas of temporal ones: "I appoint unto you a kingdom, as my Father hath appointed unto me: that ye may eat and drink at my table in my kingdom; and sit on thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel."

Our Lord added, and I shall not separate the clause, though with respect to the time of its accomplishment it is not rightly placed, "And every one, who hath left houses, or brethren, or sisters, or father, or mother, or wife, or children, or fields for my name's sake, and for the gospel, or the kingdom of God, shall receive many fold, or an hundredfold, in this present time, and in

\[\text{Par. of Matt. xix. 28.}\]
the world to come shall inherit everlasting life. Christ assures his disciples that their temporal losses, at that time, would be abundantly compensated by peace of mind, joy in the Holy Spirit, exultation and triumph in the discharge of their duty, good offices from the well-disposed, and, particularly, by their exemption from the fearful vengeance which impended over the unbelieving Jews.

Of the prophecies enumerated, those which refer to the propagation and perpetuity of the gospel, to its promotion of goodness and suppression of vice, to the captivity of the Jews, and to the wide fame of Mary’s respectful and pious act, are at this time accomplishing.

There is a difficulty in our Lord’s prophecy, uttered after his triumphant entry into Jerusalem, “Ye shall not see me henceforth, till ye shall say, Blessed is he that cometh in the name of the Lord.” The unbelieving Jews are addressed; to whom our Lord did not appear after his resurrection. But the Jews shall acknowledge and worship him, either at his future appearance when they are restored to their own land,

k Matt. xix. 29. and p.p. The passage in St. Mark seems interpolated. There is a needless repetition in διαμαρτήσαν, διαμαρτήσαν, διαμαρτήσαν, διαμαρτήσαν, διαμαρτήσαν, διαμαρτήσαν, for three readings occur in MSS., is a marginal gloss. But I could never acquiesce in any exposition of this passage, till I saw bishop Pearce’s curious remark, that the words ολίγας, καὶ ἁμαρτωλοί, καὶ ἁμαρτωλοί, καὶ ἁμαρτωλοί, καὶ μαρτύρα, καὶ μαρτύρα, for so some MSS. read, καὶ τίς, καὶ γύρω, are only a different reading of the same clauses in Mark x. 29. intended, as I conceive, to show that ολίγας was read plurally, and that in the other branches of the sentence καὶ occurred throughout for ἦ. So that St. Mark’s text will stand thus: “who will not receive an hundredfold now, and in the world to come everlasting life:” which will make him parallel to the other evangelists. See Dr. Owen on Mark x. 30; Bowyer's Conjectures, 4to, who is almost confident that the clause is an interpolation.
or when he sits on his glorious throne to judge the world.

Our Lord thus expressly prophesies of the general resurrection and judgment: "The hour cometh in which all that are in the graves shall hear his voice; and shall come forth; they that have done good, unto the resurrection of life; and they that have done evil, unto the resurrection of condemnation.... The Son of man shall come in the glory of his Father, with his angels: and then he shall reward every man according to his works." "Whosoever shall be ashamed of me, and of my words in this adulterous and sinful generation, of him also shall the Son of man be ashamed, when he cometh in the glory of his Father, with the holy angels." And again, in that awful and affecting passage which is thus introduced: "But when the Son of man shall come in his glory, and all the holy angels with him, then shall he sit on the throne of his glory: and before him shall be gathered all nations." As the rest of our Lord's predictions have been so exactly accomplished, and are even now accomplishing before our eyes, let us live as becomes those who believe that his prophecies relating to the future judgment will also be accomplished in their season.

I shall make a few short observations on our Lord's prophecies; and on the nature of the evidence for Christianity arising from them.

He left to his apostles the splendid office of foretelling many remote events of his church; and the world soon beheld the completion of his prophecies, either entirely or in part, except that of his coming to judge mankind.

Some of his prophecies are remarkable for precision in minute circumstances, and for proximity of event.

"The Son of man shall be mocked and spit on, and the
third day he shall rise again.” “All ye shall be offended Mat. xxvi.
because of me this night.” “This night, before the cock Mar.xiv.31.
crow twice, thou shalt deny me thrice.” “Ye shall be
baptized with the Holy Spirit not many days hence.” Act. i. 5.
“This generation shall not pass away, till all these things Mat. xxiv.
be fulfilled.” A false prophet would have spoken in

general terms, and of remote events.

Some of his prophecies relate to supernatural facts;
such as his resurrection, his ascension, and the effusion
of the Spirit. [Predictions of this kind must be uttered
under a consciousness of the divine cooperation. It is
inconceivable that a sober impostor would foretell mi-
raculous events, the failure of which would blast his
character; and at other times confidently assert that
his religion would be extensively received, and would
continue always, even to the end of the world. It
may be well argued here as with respect to Moses:
who, if he had not received a divine commission, would
have annexed other sanctions to the observance of his
laws than fruitful seasons, temporal prosperity, and vic-
tory over enemies.

Other facts foretold by our Lord, though within the
power of natural causes, were improbable in them-
selves: as the total destruction of Jerusalem and the
temple during that generation of men; and the exten-
sive conversion of the Gentiles to a religion which took
its rise from a despised and hated people, and contra-
dicted the prejudices and passions of mankind.

Though an impostor would not have prophesied of
events just at hand, that he might avoid a speedy
detection, before the worldly advantages proposed by
him could arise from his imposture; yet there may be
wise reasons why a true prophet chose to predict not

1 See more on this subject, m See Jortin on the Christian
only approaching but distant facts. Thus the evidence for his religion becomes a growing one: and it appears that the prophecies were inserted in the history before their completion. We have indeed the strongest proof from historical evidence, from internal marks, and from the character of the writers, that all our Lord’s prophecies were actually uttered at the very time represented by the evangelists: but when we know that some of them were accomplished after the existence of the four Gospels, and when we see them accomplishing at this day, we need no proof that the accomplishment is posterior to the time of the writer who records the prediction.

The clearness of our Lord’s prophecies is another point which deserves to be insisted on. They are generally delivered to his disciples in plain historical language. Where figures occur, which happens very rarely, they are such as the easterns were accustomed to in their discourse and sacred writings. There is nothing obscure or ambiguous, like the ancient oracles; except where he purposely concealed his meaning from the Jews under figure or parable. To his disciples he spake with great plainness and perspicuity.

What our Lord said to his immediate followers may well be considered as addressed to all mankind. “Now I have told you before it come to pass, that, when it is come to pass, ye might believe.” A wise man may foresee some events, relating to an individual or a nation, which depend on a formed character and a connected train of circumstances. But reason and experience show that there are likewise events of so contingent and improbable a nature, that the foresight of them exceeds the greatest human sagacity; and that

---

*a* As John ii. 19; vii. 38.

*b* John xiv. 29. See also ch. xiii. 19; xvi. 4.
it is infinitely above the knowledge of man to point out a variety of such facts, and the circumstances of them, whether near or distant, with a certainty which has not failed in a single instance. This belongs to God, and to those whom he inspires: and accordingly the great Searcher of hearts and Disposer of events thus challenged the false heathen deities by his prophet Isaiah: “Show the things which are to come hereafter, that we may know that ye are gods.”

Sect. II.—Of our Lord’s miracles: and of some other proofs that he was sent from God.

My subject now leads me to show how wisely our Lord’s miracles were circumstanced; and how convincing a proof of his divine mission arises from them.

The Jewish history is full of miracles from the time of Abraham to the Babylonish captivity. But, after the restoration of that people to the birth of Christ, there was an intermission of them for more than five centuries. John the Baptist was “a prophet, yea and more than a prophet;” but it is expressly said of him that he “wrought no miracle.” After so long an interval, it was reserved for our Lord himself to raise the attention of his people by miraculous operations: which, though at all times awful and astonishing, must have struck men with additional force by the novelty of their appearance.

Our Lord’s miracles were of various kinds. He converted water into wine: he made the blind to see,
the lame to walk, the deaf to hear, and the dumb to speak: he restored one who had been bent together, he cleansed lepers, made the maimed whole, and healed epilepsy, lunacy, madness, and every human malady: he communicated such miraculous powers to his disciples as filled them with exultation and astonishment: he blasted a fig tree by his word, caused astonishing draughts of fishes to be taken, fed thousands with the subsistence of a very few, walked on the waves, stilled the winds and the sea, and raised the dead.

And, under some of these kinds, his miracles were so many, that their number exceeds the sum of all which are recorded in the Hebrew scriptures as performed by God’s prophets. Besides those distinctly transmitted down to us, there are numerous acts of supernatural power which are referred to in general terms. At the first passover “many believed in Jesus’s name, when they saw the miracles which he did.” In his first circuit about Galilee, “he healed all manner of sickness and all manner of disease among the people. And his fame went throughout all Syria: and they brought unto him all sick people that were taken with divers diseases and torments, and those who were possessed with demons, and those who were lunatic, and those who had the palsy; and he healed them.” In Caper- naum “when the even” of the sabbath “was come,” for the Jews scrupled thus to employ the sabbath itself, “they brought unto him many that were possessed with demons; and he cast out the spirits with his word, and healed all that were sick.” Before he taught his disciples on the mount, “a great multitude of people came to be healed of their diseases; and they that were vexed

* Dr. Benson reckons about fifty places in the Gospels where we have a distinct account of different miracles. Life of Christ, p. 351.
with unclean spirits; and they were healed. And the whole multitude sought to touch him: for there went power out of him; and he healed them all." When two of John’s disciples came to him, “in that same hour he had cured many of their infirmities and plagues, and of evil spirits; and to many blind he had given sight.” He pronounced a woe on Chorazin and Bethsaida for remaining impenitent, notwithstanding “the mighty works which he had done in them:” and yet the evangelists are silent on the miracles performed in Chorazin, and record the performance of only a single miracle near Bethsaida. During his second perambulation of Galilee, he “healed every sickness and every disease among the people.” There were “many other women” besides Mary Magdalene, Joanna, and Susanna, who followed Jesus and “ministered to him of their substance, because they had been healed of evil spirits and infirmities.” Before he fed the five thousand, Jesus “was moved with compassion towards them, and healed their sick.” When he and his disciples came out of a ship into the land of Gennesaret, they “ran through that region round about, and began to carry about in beds those that were sick, where they heard he was. And whithersoever he entered, into villages or cities or country, they laid the sick in the streets, and besought him that they might touch if it were but the border of his garment: and as many as touched it were made whole.” On a mountain in Galilee, “great multitudes came unto him, having with them those that were lame, blind, dumb, maimed, and many others, and cast them down at Jesus’s feet, and he healed them.” And once more: after he had triumphantly entered Jerusalem, “the blind and the lame came to him in the temple, and he healed them.” We have also reason to think that

NEWCOMBE.
Jo. xx. 30. "Jesus did many other signs," of which the evangelists have given no hint in their proper place.

The effects of Christ's miraculous interpositions were distinguished from the progressive operations of nature by being immediate, and almost always instantaneous: they were also lasting, where the case admitted it, and subject to general and scrupulous examination. The blind man near Bethsaida recovered his sight gradually. On the first imposition of Christ's hands he saw obscurely; and on the second imposition of them, he saw clearly. Lucas, Brugensis, and Macknight have assigned some reasons for this gradual cure; which yet, in each of its stages, has marks of a preternatural rapidity. It is said that our Lord acted thus, because the faith of the blind man was imperfect, or to show that he had various modes of dispensing his miracles. Perhaps one reason was, that the blind man might be conscious of Christ's power by his repeated touch; the effect of his divine agency being thus sensibly impressed on him. But when Peter's wife's mother laboured under a great fever, and Jesus rebuked it, the fever left her, and she immediately arose and ministered to her guests. When he said to a leper, "Be thou clean; as soon as he had spoken, immediately the leprosy departed from him." When he commanded a man sick of the palsy to rise and take up his couch, "immediately he rose up before them." When "a woman, who had an issue

† It is well known that, since our Saviour's time, the Jews have imputed his miracles to a charm, or magical word. But the limited uniform and irresistible operation of a spell cannot be applied to a gradual miracle, to a miracle consequent on washing in the pool of Siloam, and to miracles wrought at a distance; of which we have instances, John iv. 46, &c.; Mark vii. 29, 30. Add to which, that the very words, uttered by our Lord when he exercised his wonderful power, are often related in the Gospels.
of blood twelve years, came in the press behind, and
touched his garment, immediately the fountain of her Mar. v. 29.
blood was dried up." When he said to "one who was
defa, and had an impediment in his speech, Be opened;
immediately his ears were opened, and the string of his Mar. vii. 35.
tongue was loosed, and he spake plain." When the de-
moniac, whom the apostles could not heal, was brought
to Jesus, "he rebuked the demon, and he departed out
of him: and the child was healed from that hour." It Mat. xvii.
is true that, at Jesus's word, "the impure spirit cried 18.
Mar. ix. 20, out, and rent him sore, and left him at it were dead." 26.
On the approach of Jesus, the disease had appeared
with a great degree of violence; and its extreme ma-
lignity was thus further evidenced to the spectators.
When our Lord blasted the barren fig tree, it "pre-
sently withered a way. And when the disciples saw it," 19, 20.
on the next morning, "they marvailed, saying, How Mar. xi. 20.
soon is the fig tree withered away?" Thus also, in the
instances of those whom he restored to life, the widow's Luk. vii. 15.
on of Nain raised himself from his bier, and began to
speak, and was delivered by Jesus to his mother. The
daughter of Jairus immediately arose, walked, and par-
took of food. Lazarus, though he had been dead four
years and had seen corruption, came forth from his Jo. xi. 44.
grave at the call of Jesus, and, on being loosed from
his grave clothes, departed to his house. When a mir-
acle of this kind was wrought by Elisha, the child 2 Kin. iv.
recovered by degrees: that in all things our Lord might
have the preeminence.

When I observe that the effects of our Lord's mira-
cles were permanent, I mean to show that in this respect
his selection of objects was a wise one. His stilling the
winds and walking on the waves, though it will appear
that these also were acts of wisdom, left no vestiges of
power, and may be called transient miracles: but the
blind and the deaf whom he restored to sight and hearing, the lepers whom he cleansed, and the dead whom he raised, retained lasting effects of his powerful word, which might be scrutinized by all. Read St. John's account of the blind man whom Jesus restored to sight at the feast of tabernacles. The more the miracle was inquired into, the more clearly at every step the certainty of it appeared. Miracles of this kind are very different from wonderful visions of the night or of the day; the reality of which rests entirely on the authority of the relator; and is wholly unsupported by the acknowledged cooperation of the Deity in other respects.

It is proper to make some further remarks on the objects of our Lord's miracles. It plainly appears from the evangelical history that they usually were accidental and indiscriminate. In particular there was no preference of the rich and powerful. The scribes and Pharisees were solemnly refused such a sign as they requested, though it is certain that many mighty works had been done in their presence: and Herod on his


Luk.xxviii.8, judgment seat in vain expected to see the performance of some miracle: but we read that Jesus, unsolicited,

Thus Mohammed asserts that he was transported by night from the sacred temple of Mecca to the temple of Jerusalem. Sale's Koran, xvii. 227. Philostratus relates that Achilles appeared to Apollonius while he was alone at that hero's tomb: lib. 4. § 11, 15, 16. ed. Olear.: and when lord Herbert was doubtful in his chamber whether he should publish his book De Veritate, and requested a sign from heaven to determine him, a loud but gentle noise which came forth from the heavens, the cause of which might be a natural one, was interpreted by him as an answer to his petition. See Leland's Deistical Writers, i. 470. London. 1754.

* It has been remarked that a sign from heaven would have been of a more equivocal nature than those which our Lord exhibited on earth; and would have been more speciously attributed by the Jews to the powerful prince of the air.

Philostratus says, that when Apollonius stood at Domitian's
restored to life the only son of a widow; and that he
was easily overcome by the supplications of two blind
men who asked alms by the way side.

I recollect no instance of miracles ultimately refused,
but those to which I have referred. When our Lord
visited Nazareth a second time, he did some mighty
works there, though not many: and the faith of the
Syrophænician woman was at length rewarded. It is
true that he did not descend from the cross, when the
Jewish rulers tauntingly required this of him. Such a
miracle would have been defeating the ends of provi-
dence. But he performed a greater miracle than this
when he rose from the dead: a fact of which he gave
his enemies the fullest moral evidence. In a word, the
power of Jesus was unlimited, except by his wisdom.

I believe that sometimes our Lord’s mighty works
were performed to reward faith and virtue. The cen-
turion, whose paralytic servant was healed, was worthy
of Christ’s favour, loved the Jews, and had built them
a synagogue. And the centurion’s good and compas-
sionate disposition seems to have been a principal rea-
son of our Lord’s readiness to heal his servant, who
was probably a Gentile. In like manner, when he said
to a paralytic, “Thy sins are forgiven thee;” to the
woman who was diseased with an issue of blood, “Thy
faith hath made thee whole;” to the woman of Canaan,
“O woman, great is thy faith;” to Bartimæus, “Thy
faith hath made thee whole;” and when he again used
tribunal, he thus addressed the
emperor: “Send some one to
seize my body; for it is impos-
sible to seize my soul. Nor
indeed can you apprehend my
body:

Thou canst not kill me; for I am
not mortal. II. xii. 13.
and, when he had said this, he
vanished from the tribunal.” Ed.
Olear. p. 336. Lardner’s Test. iii.
358. Πέμεν τὸν ληφθέντον μου τὸ
σῶμα, τὴν γὰρ ἰδιότητα ἄθωνοτος μᾶλ-
λαν δὲ οὐκ ἦν τὰ σῶμα τοῦ ὁμοῦ λάβουσ.
Οἱ γὰρ μὲ κρεναίαν, ἀπὸ ὅλου μῆ-
σημα εἶμι· καὶ, εἰςδὲ ταῖρα, ἀφανι-
σθη τοῦ δικαστηρίου.
Of our Lord’s miracles, and other proofs

this address to the Samaritan leper, he referred to that
good disposition of mind which his beneficent miracles
rewarded.

Our Lord not only performed real miracles, or works
which exceeded human power, but such as were great
in their kind. He walked on the waves, stilled the
winds and the sea, and raised the dead. The diseased,
who touched the hem of his garment, were made per-
fectly whole. His word healed the sick at a dis-
tance; and at the very time when it was spoken. Let
imagination try to form more astonishing miracles.
Philosophy has made very considerable discoveries as
to the extent of natural powers; and the result of
these investigations has confirmed the reality and great-
ness of our Lord’s miracles.

When our Lord seemingly used outward means in per-
forming his mighty works, they were wholly inadequate
to the effect which followed, and were not designed to
have any operation of themselves. Thus when the
Jews “brought to him a deaf man who had an impedi-
ment in his speech, and he took him aside from the
multitude, put his fingers into his ears, and spat, and
touched his tongue;” these were only natural actions
agreeable to the lively eastern manner, and significant
of what he was about to effect, the opening of the
man’s ears, and the loosening of his tongue. This ob-
servation may be extended to other instances; as when

Jesus spat on the eyes of a blind man near Bethsaida,
and laid his hands on him; and when, at the feast of

tabernacles, “he spat on the ground, made clay of the
spittle, anointed the eyes of the blind man with the
clay, and said to him, Go wash in the pool of Siloam.”

In this command he had probably a view to try the
man’s faith, as Elisha did that of Naaman. Physical
causes are too well understood, for any to suppose that
these actions had a real efficacy in producing the cures.

Neither was the effect of our Lord's miracles owing to the power of imagination. A considerable degree of force may be allowed to this cause in certain circumstances; when the body, as it often happens, is capable of being affected by the state of the mind. But it was never known that the most stubborn diseases were suddenly removed by it. Besides, our Lord restored the blind, the deaf, and the maimed; he healed some who conceived that he came to torment and not to relieve them; he raised the dead, and he exerted his power on the material world.

We should likewise observe that the most inveterate disorders instantly yielded to our Lord's power. He healed a woman who had been diseased with an issue of blood twelve years; he restored another woman whom Satan had bound for eighteen years; he strengthened the limbs of one who had been infirm in them thirty and eight years; and he gave sight to one blind from his birth.

His miracles were wrought, very many of them at least, in the most public manner. His first miracle of changing water into wine was performed at a marriage feast. He rebuked and cast out an unclean spirit in the synagogue of Capernaum, where the Jews were assembled for public worship. He healed a paralytic whose friends let him down through the roof of a house, because they could not approach him on account of the multitude. On the sabbath of a Jewish festival he commanded an infirm man to take up his bed and walk, who lay in the porches of Bethesda among "a great multitude of impotent folk, of blind, halt, and withered." In a synagogue he commanded a man to stretch forth his withered hand; "and he stretched it.
forth, and it was restored whole as the other." And again: in one of the synagogues he loosed a woman from her infirmity, who had been "bowed together, and could in no wise lift up herself." There were at one time about five thousand, and at another time four thousand, not including women and children in either number, whom our Lord miraculously fed with a few loaves and fishes. When the woman was healed of her issue of blood by touching Jesus, the multitude thronged and pressed him. When the widow of Nain's son was raised, there was much people of the city with her. When he cast out a dumb demoniac, "the people wondered." Soon after his transfiguration he healed another demoniac, in the midst of a great multitude. A great multitude followed him when he gave sight to two blind men near Jericho. When he called forth Lazarus from his grave, "the people stood by and saw what he did." And he performed miracles at the passover; and in the temple during the paschal week. It is true, however, that he is sometimes represented as withdrawing from the people on these occasions, and casting a veil over the splendour of his power. This conduct will be fully accounted for in its proper place. I have sufficiently shown that many of our Lord's mighty works were not done in a corner, but in places of resort and concourse.

We have seen that our Lord's miracles were sometimes recorded in general terms*: it is also true that they are sometimes related with many particulars*; and that the persons, places, and circumstances of the object are stated. Peter's wife's mother was healed of a fever at Capernaum. In the same city the young daughter of Jairus, a ruler of the synagogue, was raised from the dead. Mary Magdalene, Joanna, the wife of

Luk. xiii. 11. &c.
Mat. xiv. 21. xv. 38.
Mar. v. 31.
Luk. vii. 13.
Luk. xi. 14.
Mat. xx. 29.
Jo. xi. 44. 45.
Jo. ii. 23.
Mat. xxii. 14.

Chusa, Herod's steward, and Susanna, were among the women whom Jesus had healed of evil spirits and infirmities. Near Jericho he restored to sight blind Bar- Mar. x. 46. timeus. At Bethany he raised to life Lazarus, the Jo. xl. brother of Martha and Mary, after he had been dead four days. The duration and symptoms of disorders Mar.v.3-5; are occasionally mentioned b. And St. John gives such &c. large accounts of two miracles, the recovery of one blind from his birth, and the resurrection of Lazarus, that they occupy a considerable part of his Gospel. See ix. xi.

The miracles of Jesus were performed before enemies, as well as before indifferent spectators and friends: by which, and by many other circumstances, they are remarkably distinguished from the lying wonders of the Romish church. He performed many miracles of healing, and in particular he commanded a paralytic to walk, while "the Pharisees and teachers of the law Luk.v. 17, 18. were sitting by." He healed a dumb demoniac before the scribes and Pharisees, who attributed his supernatural power to Beelzebub. He so defended his conduct in loosing a woman from her infirmity on the sabbath, that he filled all his adversaries with shame. He Luk.xiii.17. restored a withered hand before those who sought matter of accusation against him, and who immediately Mar.iii.2,6, took counsel to destroy him. He healed the blind and the lame in the temple before the scribes and the Pha- Mat.xxii.14, risees, after they had determined to seize him and put 15. Jo. xi. 53. him to death. And many of his miracles were not only 57. wrought before enemies, but extorted their attestation c.

As our Lord's miracles were sensible operations, and deviated from that course of nature which fell under every one's observation and experience, the plainest men were competent judges of their reality at the time when

b P. 247.  
c See part ii. ch. 2.
they were performed. Let the philosopher read how they are circumstanced, and try whether he can resolve them into physical causes without forfeiting his character.

Our Lord himself appeared in an humble station: he had not where to lay his head: in the popular opinion he was a native of a mean and despised city: in the course of his ministry he exasperated the Jewish teachers and rulers by speaking and acting as became a prophet: and therefore a detection of imposture in his miracles was not only safe, but the way to rewards and honours. Whereas Le Clerc\(^d\) justly observes of the miracles attributed to Vespasian at Alexandria, that it was insecure to deny what made the Egyptians more obedient to the emperor, and the seeming truth of which promoted the interest and power of so great a man. It would have been highly imprudent to disclose the frauds of him who wished to deceive, and who was armed with all the forces of the empire.

There is great authority in our Lord's manner of working miracles. Diseases, unclean spirits, the winds, and the sea, were rebuked by him. He said to the sea, and to the three whom he raised from the dead his language was, "Young man, I say unto thee, Arise;" "Damsel, arise;" "Lazarus, come forth." This manner reminds us of God's creative power, and of his creative word, "Let there be light." Vespasian\(^e\) doubted of success, when he was called on to restore the blind and

---

\(^{d}\) Ann. Eccl. 138, n. iii.


the lame. In our Lord there always appears the confidence of one armed with omnipotence.

Yet his miracles were free from ostentation. The conclusion to be established by them was most important, and the blindness and incredulity of the Jews and of his own disciples were most astonishing. It was therefore fit that his mighty works should be placed before them in a strong light. On these accounts Jesus seems to have asked the name of the demoniac who called himself Legion, and to have questioned the father of another demoniac how long his son had been afflicted with his disease; to have occasioned a public acknowledgment of the cure wrought on the woman who laboured under an issue of blood; and to have commanded that the fragments should be gathered after his first miracle of feeding a great multitude. In particular, Christ displayed no needless exertion of power. When he came to Lazarus's tomb, which was a cave, at the mouth of which a stone was placed, he commanded those who stood by to take away the stone. And when he that had been dead came forth, bound hand and foot with grave clothes, and his face bound about with a napkin, Jesus said, "Loose him, and let him go." When after his resurrection our Lord miraculously produced a fire of coals, and fish laid thereon, and bread, he acted thus that he might eat with his disciples, prove the reality of his body, give them leisure to survey him attentively, and proceed to instruct them when their awe was abated.

There is also a remarkable sobriety, decorum, and dignity in our Lord's miracles and their circumstances. Josephus represents Eleazar as causing a demon to pass through the nostrils of a demoniac, by the appli-

---

1 Mark v. 9; where see Wolfius.  
2 Ant. VIII. ii. 5.
cation of a ring which contained a root pointed out by Solomon. Epiphanius relates that many rivers and fountains were turned into wine on the same day and at the same hour when Christ wrought his miracle at Cana in Galilee. In the spurious Gospel of Jesus's infancy many frivolous miracles are recorded; such as his imparting life to clay figures of animals which he made with the companions of his puerile years, his changing cloth to such colours as a dyer wished, and giving his father Joseph's work the requisite dimensions by stretching forth his hand towards it. Legendary miracles of this kind, many of which occur in the early Christian writers, and are falsely ranked among the miracles of the primitive church, form a striking contrast to the propriety and majesty of those with which our Lord sealed his doctrine.

Lardner has observed that there is no gradation in our Lord's miracles. Five thousand were fed by him on five loaves and two fishes; and after this four thousand were fed on seven loaves and a few small fishes. To which may be added, that in St. Luke's Gospel the raising of the widow's son near Nain precedes that of Jairus's daughter. And yet the widow's son was carrying out to burial, and Jairus's daughter had but just expired.

Our Lord's miracles were not wrought for his own ease or advantage. He relieved the hunger of others by supernatural means, but not his own. The learned and ingenious Mr. Farmer has endeavoured to show that

---


1 See Jones's Canon, ii. 253, 5.

k I propose it as question to this very able writer, and to such serious and thinking Christians as are disposed to admit his idea, whether the scheme of making the temptation a divine vision may not be better supported on the hypothesis that the vision begins at Matt. iv. 3, and that ἐπερνάω answers to Numb. xxii. 9. "And God came to Balaam:"
the temptations of his great spiritual adversary, whose kingdom he came to destroy, passed in a vision excited by the divine agency; that they had an instructive and beneficial design, and, "while they contained proposals of present and urgent temptations, were symbolical predictions and representations of such trials as he was to undergo in the course of his future ministry". And accordingly he commanded not stones to be made bread for his own use; but relied on the power and providence of God for his extraordinary support during his fast of forty days, and for his ordinary subsistence in the course of his ministry. It is true that he wrought a miracle to pay the tribute money: but he assigned the reason, that he might not offend the civil magistrate. He also repeatedly preserved his life by miracle; but he acted thus when it was endangered by the unreasonableness and passions of men in the prudent discharge of his duty, and that he might prolong his ministry to its due period, and answer all the great purposes to be served by it. He incurred not unnecessary danger, like casting himself from a pinnacle of the temple; he did not presumptuously tempt God, but he humbly relied on him. Nor, again, was his miraculous power subservient to secular or ambitious purposes. He sought not "the kingdoms of the world, and the glory of them:" on the contrary, he withdrew himself when the people would have made him a king, and declared that his kingdom was not of this world. We do not find that any of our Lord's miracles were mere acts of power, without a reference to some wise and good purpose. When he stilled the winds and the sea, and walked on the waves, he designed to confirm which is thus expressed ver. 20, 27. See Inquiry, &c. 3rd edit. "And God came to Balaam at night:" i.e. in a vision of the night.
the faith of his apostles, and to subdue their hardness of heart. One of these miracles was wrought immediately after feeding a great multitude. The apostles did not duly consider and understand our Lord's power in consequence of this latter miracle; but the evangelist labours for words to express their great and excessive amazement and wonder at the other. When our Lord thus described the effect of faith and full assurance in God, "If ye shall say to this mountain, Be thou removed, and be thou cast into the sea, it shall be done;" it was a proverbial manner of denoting great miracles. A miracle of this kind was never wrought by Christ or his apostles.

In general our Lord's miracles had a beneficial tendency, and were acts of goodness as well as of power. The instances in which he removed natural evils were numberless. But when his disciples requested him to call down fire from heaven on the Samaritans, he rebuked them. It is true that he immediately permitted a great herd of swine to be destroyed, and cooperated by his divine power with the subordinate cause of their destruction: for, without his miraculous agency, the attempt of the madmen to drive them into the lake would have been ineffectual. Wetstein suggests that

\[\text{Mar. vi. 32.}\]

\[\text{Mat. xxii. 11.}\]

\[\text{1Cor. xiii. 2.}\]

\[\text{Luk. ix. 55.}\]

\[\text{Mar. v. 13.}\]

\[\text{Mar. vi. 51.}\]

\[n\]

\[m\]

\[a\]

\[\text{From this action of the madmen, and the violence of the swine when they precipitated themselves into the sea, as if the madness had been transferred to them, the demons are said, in popular language, "to have gone out and entered into the swine." That the madness was actually transferred we need not assert. The physical manner in which a miracle was wrought is a needless subject of discussion. It must be observed that those who are called demoniace spake and acted according to their own ideas, as if they had been really possessed; as, in modern times, those who attributed natural diseases to the power of witchcraft supposed that the terrors of their minds, and the pains of their bodies, were caused by the immediate agency of persons who,}
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this herd might have been the property of many; a circumstance which would diminish the loss to individuals. Josephus informs us that many opulent persons inhabited Gadara; and a loss of this kind would be inconsiderable to such. It is mentioned by this historian among the Grecian cities. It had been destroyed; and Pompey restored it for the sake of his freedman Demetrius, a Gadarene. The Jews are said to have laid it waste as a Syrian city. However, Gabinius placed it in an aristocracy of Jews: and many Jews inhabited it during the war with the Romans; for the Gadarenes, as Syrians, killed the boldest of the Jews and imprisoned the dangerous: and when it was surrendered to Vespasian its walls were demolished. If we therefore say that these swine were kept by Jews, contrary to their law, the assertion is not improbable: and their breach of the law might justly incur a temporal punishment, which it every where denounces against those who violate it. There might also be injustice, or avarice, or a complication of vices, in the proprietors; which our Lord chose to punish in this manner, and thus to assert his authority as a great prophet and discernor of the heart. Again: if the Gadarenes were heathens, their city was the metropolis from the belief and prejudices of the age, were constantly haunting their imaginations.

It did not belong to our Lord's department as a religious instructor to correct the physical errors of the Jews; and therefore he used the common phraseology on the subject of demoniacs.

0 B. J. IV. vii. 3.

P Ant. XVII. xi. 4. That is, the Gentile or Syrian cities. After Alexander's conquests the Greeks became the most famous people, and the Jews called all nations by their name. Rom. i. 16; ii. 9; iii. 9, &c. Ἠλλην is often translated ירל, Αραμαeos, in the Syriac version. See Jones on the Canon, i. 129, &c.

# Ant. XIV. iv. 4. B. J. I. vii. 7.

* B. J. II. xviii. 1.

Ant. XIV. v. 4.

1 B. J. II. xviii. 5; IV. vii. 3.

= Tillotson calls the loss a reproof of a sordid temper. Sermons, ii. 539. fol.
of Peræa\textsuperscript{x}, a country inhabited by disciples of Moses; and their conduct, which was a contempt of the national religion founded on divine authority, afforded a just ground for punishment\textsuperscript{y}. On either supposition, our Lord displayed an eminent degree of zeal for his Father’s honour.

Another of our Lord’s miracles, represented as detrimental, is his blasting of the barren fig tree. “And he saw a fig tree afar off,” that is, at a moderate distance, “on the road, having leaves,” looking fair and fruitful to the eye; “and he came if haply he might find anything thereon:” which was probable, for it was not the time of gathering figs\textsuperscript{z}: “and when he came to it, he found nothing but leaves only”. And Jesus answered and said unto it,” with great calmness and majesty,

\textsuperscript{x} B. J. IV. viii. 3.
\textsuperscript{y} See instances of punitive miracles, or references to this kind of miracle, Acts v. 5, 10; xiii. 11; 1 Cor. v. 5; 1 Tim. i. 20; 1 John v. 16; Luke i. 20. And many occur in the Old Testament.
\textsuperscript{z} See Matt. xxi. 34, 41; Luke xx. 10. Possibly thus: he found nothing but leaves; for it was not the season of ripe figs; and therefore only figs of the former season could be expected on it, which used to hang long. Still the tree might be barren. See Shaw’s Travels, p. 343, 4to. But its barrenness will clearly appear, if we observe, with Wetstein in locum, that, according to Pliny, N. H. xvi. 26, the fig shows its leaf after its fruit; and that, on a tree which had leaves, our Lord naturally looked for unripe figs, with which, though a mean food, he might have satisfied his hunger. If the tree had not been barren, the \textit{אֲרֻם}, Rev. vi. 13, grossi, or unripe figs, must have been found on it. Whereas, if it had been the season when figs are usually gathered and eaten, it seems probable that none would have remained on a fruitful tree in so public a situation. Thus the notation of time, thrown in by St. Mark, illustrates the whole history.

\textsuperscript{a} This clause, Mark xi. 13, may be placed in a parenthesis. See a like trajectory, Mark xvi. 4. See for this remark, and the interpretation given in the text, Kidder’s Boyle’s Lectures, fol. i. 119; Benson’s Life of Christ, p. 660; and bishop Pearce to whom may be added Dr. Lucas and bishop Lloyd; as bishop Pearce informs us, Comm. ii. 364. 4to.
that he was sent from God.

"Let no one eat fruit of thee hereafter for ever. And the fig tree immediately dried up." This was a symbolical or prophetic action, intended to show that unfruitfulness in the Jewish nation who rejected the gospel, and in all mere outward professors of it, should share a like fate. Christ also made another use of it, to teach his disciples the power of faith in working miracles. The tree was a very inconsiderable loss in a country where it so much abounded, and probably it was no man's private property: indeed, if it was barren, it could not be called a loss. But the moral was of advantage at the time, and is of perpetual advantage: for the destruction of the barren fig tree becomes a spiritual benefit to mankind wherever the gospel is preached. Supposing the tree fruitful, the fact amounts to this, that God, the Author and Giver of all things, resumed a very slight part of his manifold gifts, for wise and excellent purposes.

But to proceed. It must be further observed of our Lord's miracles, that they were wrought to serve the greatest purposes; to establish reasonable, useful, and important doctrines, relating to God, to our duty, and to a future state; to counteract error and vice; to root out the worst and most dangerous prejudices and practices; and to erect a kingdom of truth and righteousness. There is no difficulty in admitting that miracles were wrought for ends which are so worthy of the divine interposition.

Our Lord's important miracles were accordingly the subject of prophecy. "In that day shall the deaf hear Isaiah 35:18.

b Peter afterwards calls our Lord's act cursing the tree: that is, devoting it to destruction or ביזון: an act of authority consistent with the most perfect sedateness. See Deut. 28:18;

c Bethphage, near which place the fig tree stood, is probably derived from בֵית פָּרֶה, domus ficum.
the words of the book; and the eyes of the blind shall
Is. xxxv. 5, see out of obscurity and out of darkness.” “Then the
6. eyes of the blind shall be opened, and the ears of the
deaf shall be unstopped. Then shall the lame man
Is. liii. 4 leap as an hart, and the tongue of the dumb sing.”
Mat. viii. 17.

“Surely he bare away our griefs, and carried our sor-
rows.” Thus no circumstance was wanting to usher in
the great Prophet and universal Lawgiver with suitable
magnificence.

It must be added that our Lord made direct and
frequent appeals to his miracles, and affirmed that God
Jo. v. 36. was the author of them. “The works which the Father
Jo. x. 25. hath given me to perform, the same works which I do
Ver. 37, 38. bear witness of me that the Father hath sent me.”
Jo. xiv. 11. “The works which I do in my Father’s name, they bear
Ver. 10. witness of me.” “If I do not the works of my Father,
Jo. xi. 41. believe me not: but if I do, though ye believe not me,
believe the works.” “Believe me that I am in the
Father, and the Father in me: or else believe me for
the very works’ sake.” “The Father, who dwelleth in
me, he doeth the works.” “Father, I thank thee that
thou hast heard me.” And when John sent two of his
disciples to ask him, “Art thou he that should come?”
his answer was, “Go and show John again those things
which ye hear and see. The blind receive their sight,
and the lame walk; the lepers are cleansed, and the
deaf hear; the dead are raised up.”

Miracles and prophecies are the grand proofs of our
Lord’s mission. But of miracles, as well as of prop-
hecies, it must be remembered that our Lord was the
subject as well as the author of them. A number of
miracles accompanied the time of his birth; visions of
the day and of the night; the supernatural depriva-

Luk. i. 31,
36; Mat. i,
20; ii. 12,
19.

d Matt. xi. 4, 5. Some of these characteristics of our Lord’s mi-
Eccl. Hist. ii. 4, 5, &c.

racles may be seen in Jortin’s
tion and recovery of Zacharias's speech; ministration of angels, individually and in great numbers; the com-

unication of the prophetic spirit; his own miraculous conception, and that of his forerunner; and the ap-
pearance of a temporary star to the Arabian magi.

At his baptism the Spirit of God descended on him in a bodily shape, like a dove, or with a dovewhite motion:

and a voice from heaven bare him witness, "This is Mat. iii. 17.

my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased." At his temptation and agony angels ministered to him. He was transfigured before three of his apostles; his face shone as the sun, and his garments became white as the light; Moses, the great Jewish lawgiver, and Elias, the greatest of the Jewish prophets, appeared to him in glory, and conversed with him of his sufferings; a cloud surrounded him and his disciples, and behold a voice from the cloud, saying, "This is my beloved Son, Mat. xvii. 5.

in whom I am well pleased: hear ye him:" upon which transacted St. Peter thus observes, "We have not fol-

lowed cunningly devised fables, when we made known unto you the power and coming of our Lord Jesus Christ, but were eyewitnesses of his majesty." At another time, when Jesus, referring to his death, said with great devotion and resignation, "Father, glorify thy Jo. xii. 28-

name; there came a voice from heaven, I have both glorified it, and will glorify it again. The multitude therefore, that stood by and heard it, said that it thun-
dered: others said, An angel spake to him. Jesus answered and said, This voice came not because of me, but for your sakes." At the time of our Lord's appre-
hension, it seems not an immediate exertion of his own power, but the act of God in attestation to him, that those who came to seize him retired back and fell on Jo. xviii. 6.

the ground. The dream of Pilate's wife seems a divine Mat. xxvii.
testimony to our Lord's innocence; as it is probable 19.
that, before this extraordinary impression on her mind, she was either unacquainted with his character or perfectly indifferent to it. At the crucifixion of Jesus an astonishing scene of miracles was disclosed. There was darkness over the whole land of Judæa from the sixth to the ninth hour. The veil of the temple was rent in twain from the top to the bottom, in token that the Gentiles were to be admitted into the church; the earth was shaken, the rocks were rent, and the graves were opened. Again: he was raised from the dead by the power of God; "and many bodies of the saints who slept arose, and came out of the graves after his resurrection, and went into the holy city, and appeared unto many." We read also that the ministration of angels was repeatedly employed, on that great occasion

e That is, a sensible diminution of the sun's light. Lardner has well observed that these miracles were not of a destructive nature. "The evidences were affecting, forcible, and convincing; yet mild and beneficent." Sermons, V. ii. 96, 97.

f Matt. xxvii. 52, 53. See on this subject Lardner's Sermons, V. ii: a dissertation in Benson's Life of Christ: and Tillemont, Hist. Eccl. I. note xxxvi. The persons raised were saints, or disciples of Christ. They are said to have gone into the holy city, or Jerusalem, because it was the custom of the Jews to bury in the precincts of their cities. After Christ's resurrection, they appeared to many with whom they had conversed on earth, whether believers or unbelievers. Numerous appearances of this kind forcibly tended to raise attention and astonishment: and particularly they served to confirm the belief of Christ's resurrection, of his power to open the prison of the grave, and of a future general resurrection. It has been said that these holy men no more saw corruption, and even that they ascended into heaven together with Christ. Those who do not see reason to allow them so glorious a pre-eminence, or even a second natural life on earth, may well suppose that their bodies were again consigned to the grave, very soon after they had answered the great ends of Providence in multiplying miracles about the time of Christ's death, 1 John v. 8, after an undoubted and perhaps a personal knowledge of their Lord's triumphant resurrection, and after a lively anticipation of their own future and lasting triumph.
when "God raised him up, and loosed the pains of death, because it was not possible that he should be holden of it." And, once more, on the mount of Olives, as the apostles "beheld him as he was taken up, and a cloud received him out of their sight;" and two angels in human form prophesied of his second coming.

Such is the proof that "all power was given to our Lord in heaven and in earth." And we may well address unbelievers in the language of the prophet Isaiah to king Ahaz:

"Ask thee a sign of Jehovah thy God: Go deep to the grave, or go high above." Is. vii. 11.

The graves of the dead shall satisfy the former demand, and the voices from heaven the latter.

What lord Bolingbroke has somewhere observed of the miracles throughout the Old Testament, is true of the evangelical ones; that they cannot be separated from the history, like those of Livy, or any other heathen writer, so as to leave the narration entire without them; but are interwoven into the substance of it, and make an essential part.

I have stated our Lord's knowledge of men's secret actions, words, and thoughts, as one proof of his divine mission. He himself appealed to the testimony of John the Baptist; "Ye sent unto John, and he bare witness unto the truth;...but I have greater witness than that of John:" to the purity of his doctrine; "If I speak the truth, why do ye not believe me?" to the sacred records; "Search the scriptures;...they testify of me:" to his unspotted life; "Which of you convinceth me of sin?" to his prophetic spirit; "I tell you before it come to pass, that, when it is come to pass, ye may believe that I am he:" and to the effusion of

---

5 Quarto, iii. 279, 280.  b Part I. cap. ii. sect. 7.
the Spirit; "When the Advocate is come, whom I will
send unto you from the Father, even the Spirit of
truth which proceedeth from the Father, he shall tes-
tify of me."

On these several proofs, as on a rock, our Lord rests
his heavenly authority.

I cannot close this chapter without subjoining some
general remarks on miracles, and on the nature of the
proof afforded by them.

Miracles were never wrought but by the immediate
agency of God, or by a superior being whom he ap-
pointed for the special purpose of supernaturally inter-
fering in this lower world. Angels may work what to
men appears a miracle by their own proper power; but
I am persuaded that on these occasions they have been
always ministering spirits, fulfilling God's word. When
men work a miracle, it is plain that they are only visible
instruments in the hand of the great invisible Cause.
There have been surprising effects among men, not im-
mediately or mediatelty produced by the Deity, which
have carried the appearance of miracles; but these are
resolvable into natural causes, and have been deemed
supernatural through mistake or delusion.

Undoubted miracles are therefore the seal of Heaven;
and are immediate and striking proofs of the divine
cooperation. It is likewise evident that they indi-
directly and ultimately prove the truth of the doctrines
delivered by a prophet who thus demonstrates his com-

---

1 We may see what were the
natural effects of miracles, John
ii. 23; iii. 2; Mark i. 27; Luke
vii. 16; viii. 25. and p.p.; Mark
vi. 51; Matt. xv. 31; Luke ix.
43; John ix. 30; x. 21; xii. 11;
Matt. xii. 23; Act. viii. 6, 13.

The emperor of China said to
the missionaries, "Do some mi-
rracle that may warrant the truth
of your religion, and I will pass
my word for the sincerity of our
faith." Le Compte, p. 419, 8vo.
Engl. trans.
mission from above. It is only taking another step in the argument, and saying; The miracles wrought by
the prophet show that he is sent from God: but God
is a God of truth; and therefore the words spoken by
his messenger, as such, must be true. And there is
almost as little reason to question the fidelity of the
messenger as the veracity of God. It cannot be sup-
posed that God, who knows his instruments, would im-
port miraculous powers to a founder of a religion capa-
ble of perverting the truths which he was commissioned
to deliver: and such as were delegated by the great
Author of our religion were chosen by the infallible
Spirit of God to answer the several ends for which they
were called, and would instantly have been deprived of
the supernatural power communicated to them, if they
had attempted an undue use of it. They could do
nothing against the truth, but for the truth. This was
a general maxim in the age of miracles; and we must
likewise observe, that if any who partook of the Spirit
during that period had attempted to propagate a false-
hood as a revealed truth, they would have extinguished
the heavenly light, and, in cases of sufficient impor-
tance, a prophet, assisted by the Spirit and power of
God, would have refuted and, perhaps, judicially pu-
nished them. It must also be further suggested on this
subject, that doctrines advanced by a heavenly teacher
will always prove themselves to be worthy of God, and
suitable to the nature or peculiar circumstances of those
who are required to admit them as a divine law.

The most probable account of the duration of mira-
cles after our Lord’s time is, that, as the apostles alone
had the high privilege of conferring spiritual gifts,

k See Mr. Farmer’s most ex-
cellent Dissertation on Miracles,
particularly c. v.

l See Benson’s Life of Christ,
c. vi. sect. vi.
among which was the power of working miracles, these gradually ceased, as that generation became extinct to which the apostles had communicated this power.

Miracles are as capable of being supported by proper testimony as any other actions of which men are eyewitnesses. To say that we will not believe them, because we have not seen them ourselves, is making our own personal experience the test of all possible facts: it is saying that, because there is an ordinary and established course of nature, this cannot be set aside by the omnipotent Being who first arranged it, though for moral and religious purposes, the greatest and the most worthy of his benevolent interposition which can be conceived.

Act. ii. 22. The miracles of Christ were publicly appealed to by his apostles a few days after his ascension; they are transmitted down to us by eyewitnesses and contemporary writers in well authenticated books; and they are supported by the most credible testimony, that of a number of plain honest men, who sacrificed all worldly advantages, and life itself, in attestation of what they advanced. And we are to consider in these witnesses their competency to judge of the facts, their integrity, and their benevolence to mankind; not their learning, station, or opulence.

Upon the whole, the miracles of Jesus prove Jo. xvi. 31. St. John’s conclusion, that he was the Christ, the Son of God.

Sect. III.—Why our Lord sometimes concealed his Messiahship, and the mighty works which proved it.

A candid and attentive reader of the Gospels will be convinced that our Lord’s Messiahship was sufficiently promulgated to the Jews; and that the display of his miracles, one great proof of his prophetic cha-
racter, was sufficiently illustrious to create attention and conviction.

When the angel Gabriel announced the birth of John the Baptist, he foretold to Zacharias that his son should be "great before the Lord;—and should go before him in the power and spirit of Elias."

When the same angel was sent to Mary, he described her promised Son in the following terms: "He shall be \( \text{ver. 32, 33}. \) great, and shall be called the Son of the Most High; and the Lord God shall give unto him the throne of his father David. And he shall reign over the house of Jacob for ever; and of his kingdom there shall be no end." "The Holy Spirit shall come upon thee, and the power of the Most High shall overshadow thee: therefore also the holy child who shall be born of thee shall be called the Son of God."

Mary herself was inspired with this language: "He \( \text{ver. 54, 55}. \) hath holpen his servant Israel, that he might remember his mercy (as he spake unto our fathers) to Abraham and to his seed for ever." And Zacharias represented God as "visiting and redeeming his people, according \( \text{ver. 68, 73}. \) to the oath which he sware unto Abraham."

When the angel appeared to Joseph, an intimation was given that Jesus should be a king: "Thou shalt call his name Jesus; for he shall save his people from their sins."

Immediately after Jesus's birth at Bethlehem, an angel used very express terms to the shepherds, "Unto \( \text{Luk. ii. 11}. \) you is born this day in the city of David a Saviour, who is Christ the Lord."

\(^m\) His spiritual kingdom on earth shall last as long as the world itself, and for ever in heaven. Prophecy seems to point out a very glorious manifestation of this kingdom here below. \( \text{Dan. ii. 44; vii. 14, 27; Rom. xi. 12, 15, 25, 26; Rev. xi. 15; xx. 4; xxi. 10, 23, 24.} \)

\(^a\) \( \text{Matt. i. 21. Locke's Reas. of Christianity, p. 50. 8vo. 1736.} \)
It was revealed to Simeon that he should not see
death before he had seen the Lord’s Christ: and ac-
cordingly Simeon called him “God’s salvation;” “a
light to lighten the Gentiles;” and “the glory of Israel,”
on account of his birth and appearance among that
people.

At the same time Anna, a prophetess, spake of the
child Jesus to all those who looked for redemption in
Jerusalem.

When the magi came to Jerusalem, and asked,
“Where is he that is born King of the Jews?” Herod
immediately inquired of the chief priests and scribes
where Christ was to be born.

We see then what expectation was raised of Jesus
at his coming into the world, and how directly and in-
directly, but in language well understood by the Jews,
his peculiar office was declared.

About thirty years after this, “when the people were
in expectation, and all men reasoned in their hearts of
John, whether he were the Christ or not,” John dis-
claimed that character, and described a much greater
person who was to succeed him.

At Jesus’s baptism, a voice from heaven proclaimed,
and probably in the hearing of the multitude, “Thou
art my beloved Son; in thee I am well pleased.”

When the Jews sent a solemn embassy of priests
and Levites from Jerusalem, for the purpose of asking
John, “Who art thou?” he confessed that he was not
the Christ, and asserted that there was one among
them whom they knew not, who came after him, but
was before him, and for whom he was not worthy to
perform the meanest offices. Again: he called Jesus,
“the Lamb of God, who took away the sins of the
world:” and he bare witness to the miracle at his bap-
tism; and in effect asserted his Messiahship, by acknow-
ledging him to be the Son of God. And how this language, repeatedly used by John, was understood among the Jews, may hence appear very plainly; that one of the Baptist's disciples, who heard it, said to his brother Simon, "We have found the Messiah." Nay, the Baptist himself puts the meaning of his declarations beyond a doubt, when he says, "Ye yourselves bear me witness, that I said, I am not the Christ, but that I am sent before him."

At the first passover Jesus indirectly affirmed that he was the Messiah, when he said, "Make not My Father's house a house of merchandise." And to Nicodemus he expressed himself very remarkably: "No man ascended up to heaven, but he who descended from heaven, even the Son of man, who was in heaven:" and he styled himself "the Son of God," and even "only begotten Son, in whom whosoever believeth should have everlasting life."

The Baptist's last testimony to Jesus was, "He that cometh from above is above all." "What he hath seen and heard, that he testifieth." "He whom God hath sent speaketh the words of God." "The Father loveth the Son, and hath given all things into his hand." "He that believeth on the Son hath everlasting life."

Soon after this our Lord unreservedly declared to the woman of Samaria, "I that speak to thee am the Christ."

John the Baptist, our Lord himself, the twelve, and the seventy, preached that the kingdom of heaven was at hand.

It is my opinion that demoniacs were supernaturally influenced to proclaim, as they often do, "I know thee who thou art, the Holy One of God:" "Thou art the Son of God:" "What have I to do with thee, Jesus,"
Luk. iv. 41. thou Son of the most high God?" "Thou art Christ, the Son of God." This testimony was given to Christ, that those who heard it might be led to a due consideration of his character. From the same cause, and for a like reason, a damsel, possessed with a spirit of

Act. xvi. 16, divination, cried after Paul and his attendants, "These men are the servants of the most high God, who show unto us the way of salvation:" and the evil spirit an-

Act. xix. 15. answered the Jewish exorcists, "Jesus I know, and Paul I know; but who are ye?"

At the second passover, when the Jews sought to kill Jesus for performing a miracle on the sabbath, he answered, "My Father worketh hitherto, and I work."

And though the Jews considered this as blasphemous language, he persisted in it; and asserted that the

Jo. v. 17. Father loved him, committed all judgment to him, and bare witness of him by the works which he enabled him to perform.

When he vindicated his disciples for plucking ears of corn on the sabbath, he called himself one "greater than the temple," and "Lord of the sabbath."

Mat. xii. 6, 8. In his sermon on the mount he improved on the law, placed his own authority in opposition to it, and declared that whoever heard his words built on a rock.

Mat. v. 28, 31; vii. 24. When John sent to him from his prison, saying,

Mat. xi. 3, 5. "Art thou he that should come, or do we look for another?" he plainly, though indirectly, asserted his high office by appealing to his miracles and conduct.

In the hearing of a very great multitude he declared to his disciples, "Whosoever shall confess me before men, him shall the Son of man also confess before the angels of God: but he that denieth me before men shall be denied before the angels of God."

After he had walked on the waves, he received the
worship of the disciples, and their acknowledgment, “Of a truth thou art the Son of God.”

Soon after this, he asserted in the presence of the multitude that God had sent him, that he was the bread of life, and that every one who believed in him had everlasting life. And when Simon Peter said to him in the name of the twelve, “Thou hast the words of eternal life; and we believe and know that thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God;” he did not then enjoin a concealment of this truth, but he only intimated that, though the twelve acquiesced in Peter’s confession, yet one of them would betray him.

On another occasion, he led his apostles into a profession of his Messiahship; and pronounced a blessedness on Peter for his second explicit declaration, “Thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God.”

At the feast of tabernacles, six months before his crucifixion, Jesus taught in the temple, and said, “My doctrine is not mine, but his that sent me:” and his divine instructions and mighty works occasioned a division in the sentiments of men, whether he were the Christ. During this festival he called himself “the light of the world;” appealed to his Father’s testimony, meaning the works which he performed; when asked, “Who art thou?” answered, “The same that I said unto you at the beginning” of my ministry; observed that Abraham saw his day and was glad; and solemnly affirmed that he existed before Abraham. He also expressly said to the blind man, whom he healed at this festival, and who asked him who the Son of God was, “Thou hast both seen him, and he that talketh with thee is he.”

At the feast of dedication, three months before his death, on being directly asked, whether he were the
Christ, he answered, "I told you, and ye believed not: the works which I do in my Father's name bear witness of me." And afterwards, in vindication of his high title implied in calling God his Father, he asserted that his Father had sanctified him and sent him into the world.

Near Bethany Martha declared her belief before the twelve, that he was the Christ, the Son of God, who should come into the world.

He was repeatedly called the Son of David, a title equivalent to the Messiah.

When he publicly entered Jerusalem, the acclamations of the multitude were, "Hosanna to the Son of David: Blessed is he that cometh in the name of the Lord; Hosanna in the highest."

It is expressly said that even of the Jewish rulers many believed on him; or were persuaded that he was the Messiah.

When he foretold the destruction of Jerusalem to four of his apostles, part of his prophecy was, "Many shall come in my name, saying, I am Christ."

Before Caiaphas he acknowledged that he was the Christ, the Son of the blessed God. And before Pilate he bare a like testimony to the same truth proposed in an invidious form, agreeably to the suggestion of his enemies; and, as St. Paul observes, witnessed this good confession, that he was the King of the Jews.

Let us now examine the instances of our Lord's reserve on this subject, and assign the probable reasons for it.

When Jesus healed a demoniac in the synagogue of

ο ὅσραματ, a Syriac word from νῦν ὅσραμα, Ps. cxviii. 25. Serva queso, or serva nume: we address this to the Son of David:

save, we beseech thee, in the highest heaven, where thou hast favour with God.
Capernaum, the man cried out, "I know who thou art, the Holy One of God. And Jesus rebuked him, saying, Hold thy peace and come out of him." And on the evening of the same day "he cast out many demons, and suffered not the demons to speak; for they knew him to be the Christ." Our Lord had proclaimed the approach of the kingdom of heaven in Galilee, a remote province: he had also called some of his disciples to follow him statedly: these, and some other of his words and actions previous to these, were intimations, or explicit declarations, of his high character: but he declined the extraordinary and awakening attestations now afforded him, not only from humility, but, as will soon appear, from the dictates of consummate prudence: and therefore it may be observed, as we pass on, that they served both to excite the attention of the Jews and to display our Lord's eminent virtues.

During the second paschal festival in the course of our Lord's ministry, he healed an infirm man on the sabbath. For this the Jews sought to kill him; and when, in vindication of himself, he called God his Father, their rage was still more inflamed against him. It must be well observed that this conduct furnished an additional reason for Jesus's caution on future occasions: as when, immediately before the appointment of the twelve, he rebuked the impure spirits in many words; that they should not make him known: because, as soon as they saw him, they "fell down before him, and cried, saying, Thou art the Son of God." It appears that the leading Jews were uniformly jealous of our Lord's growing reputation, and attentive to suppress the opinion of his Messiahship as it occasionally started up in the minds of the people. When

---

8 Mark i. 34. See var. lect. 7 Mark iii. 11, 12. Here ob- serve well ver. 6.
9 See p. 267, 268.
all the people were amazed at his healing a blind and
dumb demoniac, and said, “Is not this the Son of Da-
vid?” the language of the Pharisees was, “This man
doth not cast out demons but by Beelzebub the prince
of the demons.” And when, on occasion of a like mi-
acle, “the multitude marvelled, saying, It was never
so seen in Israel;” the Pharisees strove to disparage it
by the same blasphemous insinuation. At the feast of
tabernacles, six months before our Lord’s crucifixion,
many of the people believed on him, and said, When
Christ cometh, will he do more miracles than these
which this man hath done?” and because “the people
murmured such things concerning him, the Pharisees
and chief priests sent officers to take him.” When he
asserted his existence before Abraham, the Jews “took
up stones to cast at him.” When he affirmed that he
and his Father were one, they “again took up stones
to stone him.” And on account of his many miracles,
and particularly that illustrious one of raising Lazarus
from the dead, the chief priests and Pharisees convened
an assembly, and “from that day forth took counsel
together to kill him.”

From this disposition of the Jews we may collect the reason why, after Peter’s acknowledgment that Je-
was the Christ, the apostles were so “strictly charged
and commanded to tell no man:” why, when our Lord
was asked at the feast of tabernacles, “Who art thou?”
he gave this indirect answer, “Even the same that I
said unto you at the beginning:” why, when the Jews
said to him at the succeeding feast of dedication, “If
thou be the Christ, tell us plainly,” he again obliquely
replied, “I told you, and ye believed not: the works
which I do in my Father’s name, these bear witness of
me:” and why, during the last passover, he wholly
evaded the question put to him by the chief priests and
elders, “By what authority doest thou these things? Mat. xxii. 23.
and who gave thee this authority?”

Upon the whole: the unbelieving Jews, and their
blasphemous and murderous rulers, were on many oc-
casions unworthy of explicit declarations on the subject
of our Lord’s Messiahship; from the motive of humility
he might sometimes decline or forbid the publication
of it; an uniform and direct avowal of it, by one whose
appearance was so obscure and deportment so unam-
bitious, would have led the powerful and secular Jews
to obstruct his ministry by an early apprehension and
accusation of him; and publicly assuming this charac-
ter might have induced the Jews to rebel against the See Jo. vi.
Romans in support of a temporal prince; and might
15. have awakened the jealousy of the Roman governor, See Jo. xi.
especially under so cruel and suspicious an emperor as xvii. 7.
Tiberius.

To confirm some of these remarks it must be recol-
lected, that, notwithstanding our Lord’s caution and
prudence, he was thrice compelled to preserve his life Luk. iv. 30;
by miracle: and that, after he had fed five thousand Jo. viii. 59;
x. 39.
with five loaves and two fishes, the multitudes were
about “to take him by force and make him a king.” Jo. vi. 15.
upon which he retired to a mountain, and on his return
from solitude taught with unusual obscurity, purposely Ver. 32–58.
lessening the number of his followers, and sifting (as it Ver. 60, 66.
were) the chaff from the wheat.

As our Lord’s miracles tended to induce a persua-
sion that he was the Christ, it may justly be supposed
that he occasionally concealed them for the same gene-
ral reasons. However, as they were designed to prove
his prophetic character, very many of them were wrought
in the most public manner¹. But let us observe in

¹ See Locke’s Reasonableness of Christianity, p. 526, 8, 9, vol. ii.
fol. 1759.

¹ See p. 247.
what particular instances our Lord withheld his miracles from the notice of the Jews.

When he had cleansed a leper in a certain city, he straitly charged him not to proclaim it, instantly sent him away from following him, and said to him, “See thou say nothing to any man: but go thy way, show thyself to the priest, and offer for thy cleansing those things which Moses commanded, for a testimony unto them;” that is, that this miracle may be hereafter a witness and proof to them of my divine power, they themselves allowing the cure to be perfect before they learn in what manner it was effected. The inconvenience which arose from the publication of this miracle, contrary to our Lord’s injunction, sufficiently explains his present conduct: though I allow that other reasons may have concurred. The leper “went out, and began to publish it much, and to blaze abroad the matter, insomuch that Jesus could no more openly enter into the city, but was without in desert places.”

On another occasion “great multitudes followed him, and he healed them all, and charged them that they should not make him known.” Here St. Matthew furnishes one reason. This charge of silence was partly owing to our Lord’s meekness and humility. To which may be added from the context, that, immediately before, the Pharisees and Herodians had taken counsel against him that they might destroy him.

When he had raised Jairus’s daughter, he charged her parents in many words to tell no one what had been done. Yet at this very time he wrought, before a large multitude, the miracle of healing a woman who had been diseased with an issue of blood for twelve years: which was an astonishing instance of knowledge and power. Still he commanded that the greater miracle of restoring life to one dead should not be
divulged. At the time of performing this miracle, the scribes and Pharisees had murmured at his eating with publicans and sinners: and soon after the performance of it, they a second time imputed his miracles to Satan. This disposition of the Jewish rulers was neither to be trusted nor rewarded with illustrious evidence. It has been conjectured by Lardner and Benson, that our Lord enjoined secrecy to prevent inconveniences to Jairus’s family: and it is certain that, from the fame of Lazarus’s restoration to life, the chief priests endeavoured to destroy both him and Jesus; because many crowded to see him, and by reason of him fell off and believed on Jesus. Perhaps, as the scribes and Pharisees were so watchful of our Lord’s conduct, he partly intended to strike them with an idea of his lowliness when the whole transaction came to their knowledge; and thus to disarm their malice, and work their conviction. Perhaps also the publication of such a miracle tended to spread his fame too extensively, to interrupt his ministry as to the great business of instruction, and to excite undue expectations among his friends and a dangerous alarm among his enemies.

As Jesus departed from the house of Jairus in Caper- naum, he opened the eyes of two blind men, “and straitly charged them, saying, See that no man know it.” It is observable too that he restored their sight, not publicly in the way, but privately in the house where he dwelt. As this miracle stands in immediate connexion with the foregoing, the same reasons for the designed concealment of it must be supposed, except equal apprehension of dangerous consequences to the objects of it.

When Jesus had departed from the coasts of Tyre and Sidon, he was entreated to put his hands on one

---

* Resurrection Miracles, p. 67.  
* Life of Christ, p. 343, 344.
that was deaf and had an impediment in his speech: “and he took him aside from the multitude,” restored him to hearing and speech, and charged the witnesses of the miracle “that they should tell no man.” We must observe that our Lord did not attend the passover which preceded this event, “because the Jews sought to kill him;” and that scribes and Pharisees from Jerusalem, who probably came to watch his conduct, had been lately offended at his doctrines and at the freedom of his expostulations. It seems probable that on this account he departed into the coasts of Tyre and Sidon: and that, when he returned thence into Galilee, he was willing, by a concealment of this miracle, to prevent an immediate concourse of people about him. How-

Ver. 29, 30, ever, soon afterwards “he went up into a mountain: and great multitudes came to him, having with them those that were lame, blind, dumb, maimed, and many others, and cast them down at Jesus's feet; and he Ver. 32, &c. healed them.” And in the desert, he compassionately fed more than four thousand with seven loaves and a few small fishes. It is possible that the difference of the scene might occasion a fitness in this different conduct.

When Jesus healed a blind man near Bethsaida, he sent him to his house, saying, “Neither go into the town, nor tell any one of the town.” It should seem, as Benson suggests, that the inhabitants of that place, in which our Lord had wrought many mighty works, were deemed unworthy to have further means of conviction granted them.

Lastly: we read that Jesus commanded Peter, James, and John not to disclose his transfiguration, and the

* Life of Christ, p. 347.

* Our Lord had three chosen witnesses to this extraordinary fact. It is said of Apollonius that Achilles appeared to him, and conversed with him: (Vit,
wonders which passed at it, till he rose from the dead. Mar. ix. 9.
Besides the general reasons already mentioned, our Lord might choose to distinguish these three apostles by a special manifestation of his glory, to try their fidelity towards him; and, during his continuance on earth, he might wisely rest the truth of his heavenly mission on more public transactions.

We may therefore conclude that Jesus's conduct, respecting his reserve about his Messiahship and the occasional concealment of his miracles, is so far from affording any just ground for objection, that it appears amiable, wise, and necessary; that it furnished an example of prudence and humility to his immediate followers in the exercise of their miraculous powers; and was remarkably opposite to the ostentatious manner of an impostor. *

From some of the reasons assigned to show the fitness of our Lord's behaviour in these particulars, we may partly learn with what wisdom it was ordered that his birth and appearance should be humble; since acknowledged splendour of descent, and a display of worldly grandeur, would have suited the secular notions of the Jews about their Messiah, and would naturally have stirred up a people to sedition who were remarkable for their impatience of a foreign yoke, from the very prejudice which worldly magnificence in Jesus's appearance would have confirmed.

Apoll. a Philostrato, l. iv. ch. 15, 16, ed. Lips. 1709. fol.) But he sent his companions to their ship; and went alone to the tomb of Achilles, ib. ch. 11. See Lardner's Testimonies, iii. 357. * See how our Lord's lowly manner of working miracles, Mark v. 37, 39, is imitated by St. Peter, Acts ix. 40, and by St. Paul, Acts xx. 10.

END OF THE FIRST PART.
PART II.

OBSERVATIONS
ON THE EXCELLENCE OF
OUR LORD'S MORAL CHARACTER.

........................Propter amorem,
Quod te imitari avo.

LUCR. III. 5.

CHAPTER I.

Sect. I.—Of our Lord's piety.

Having shown what was the subject-matter of our Lord's teaching, in what manner he delivered his instructions, what proofs he gave of his divine mission, and how he circumstanced those proofs; I go on to show that he was not like the Jewish teachers, who said and did not, but exhibited a perfect pattern of Mat. xxiii. 3. perfect instructions.

In recounting our Lord's virtues, we shall naturally give the chief place to his piety. The first words attributed to him in the Gospels contain a pious sentiment. When he was twelve years of age, he accompanied his parents to the passover; remained behind them in Jerusalem, penetrated with the love of God's worship and of religious wisdom; and was found of them in the temple, hearing the teachers of the law and asking
them questions. And when his mother said, "Behold, thy father and I have sought thee sorrowing," his reply was, "How is it that ye sought me? Knew ye not that I must needs be" found "in my Father's house*?" that the temple, dedicated to my God and Father, was naturally and necessarily the place of my resort?

We may observe him referring every thing to his Father, his mission, his doctrine, his mighty works, all his actions, his sufferings, his resurrection. It was the whole bent of his mind, his labour and his delight, "his meat" and drink, "to do the will of him that sent him." God was in all his thoughts. When he thus exhorted his disciples, "Let your light so shine before men, that they may see your good works;" what does he subjoin? not, and honour you or your teacher; but he shows his predominant idea by adding, "and glorify your Father who is in heaven."

Luk. iv. 16. It was his custom, says St. Luke, to go into the synagogue on the sabbath day. In those places of public worship the people wondered at his gracious words, and were struck with astonishment at his wisdom.

In attending the synagogue worship, and the feast of dedication, our Lord showed his deference to human authority in religious matters; when it is wisely exercised in the modification of God's ordinances, or in new appointments consistent with the divine law, and of a manifest tendency to promote piety and virtue.

Deut. vi. 7. For though Moses required a diligent teaching of the law, yet he did not enjoin a public reading and explanation of it on the sabbath. Christians indeed have apostolical authority for reading the scriptures in their religious assemblies; and our Lord's example enforces a compliance with other injunctions which are orderly

and edifying in the church; such as instruction of Christian congregations by the minister. And the other instance as fully justifies new institutions. For it was Judas's dedication of the temple, after it had been profaned by the command of Antiochus, which gave rise to an additional solemn assembly, besides the three which Moses commanded; like our present appointments of certain sacred seasons, besides the day on which we are taught to commemorate our Lord's resurrection. The principle which regulated the conduct of our divine Master in this respect was inculcated by him, when he received John's baptism, in honour of that prophet and of a rite which he himself designed to institute, and also that he might set a decent example by a solemn initiation into his ministry: "Thus it becometh us to fulfil all righteousness;" to perform all religious duties of a positive as well as of a moral nature. And yet our divine Instructor well knew the different value of these duties; and has led his followers to a just estimation of them by a repeated reference to God's declaration in Hosea, "I will have mercy, and not sacrifice."

Here we must observe, that the compass of our Lord's religious duties was much wider and more difficult than that of his disciples in after-ages. "He was made under the law," as St. Paul expresses it; and lived in conformity to all its burdensome rites, except only where the great ends of his mission interfered: for, that he might protract his ministry to its due period, he intermitted his attendance on some of the Jewish festivals. And in the observance of the law his part was peculiarly arduous. For many human doc-

b Ablution was used under the law, when the priests and Levites were set apart to their office.
trines had been blended with it. These our Lord carefully separated, though with extreme danger to himself; teaching, and exemplifying by his conduct, that

Mat. xii. 12. “it was lawful to do good on the sabbath;” omitting and reproving Pharisaical ablutions; condemning religious vows inconsistent with natural duties solemnly enjoined by God himself; confuting idle distinctions of oaths; and cautioning his disciples and the multitude against that external parade of religion, and those ostentatious almsgivings, fastings, and prayers, which distinguished the leading and most powerful sect of his times.

Our Lord’s pious disposition further appeared in a most earnest zeal for his Father’s honour. At the first passover which he celebrated during his ministry, he found in the third or outward court of the temple, called the court of the Gentiles, sellers of oxen, sheep, and doves for sacrifices; and persons who for gain exchanged foreign coin into the half shekel which by the law was annually paid into the sacred treasury. “And when he had made a scourge of cords” which had been used in tying the cattle, or of rushes found on the spot, “he cast all out of the temple, and the sheep and oxen, and poured out the changers’ money, and overthrew the tables:” and commanded those who sold doves to remove the enclosures which contained them, saying to them with indignation, “Take these things hence; make not my Father’s house an house of merchandise.”

And vindicating the temple from desecration “was with our blessed Saviour Alpha and Omega, the first and last of his care.” The vile abuse being continued,

\[c\] Lightfoot, i. 551.
\[d\] Bp. Hurd’s Sermons, i. 256. H. Stephens in voc. See Acts xxvii. 32. \[e\] J. Mede ubi supr.
the act of reformation was repeated; with greater strict-
ness, as our Lord "would not suffer any to carry a ves-
sel through the temple;" with a more direct intimation
of his regard to the Gentiles, as he said, "'My house
shall be called the house of prayer to all the Gentiles;"
and with more indignant language, as he now added to
his former reproof these severe words, "But ye have
made it a den of thieves."

If any ask why, on these occasions, the effect so
much exceeded the natural means in the expulsion of
such numbers; I answer, that it may be ascribed partly
to the cooperation of God, partly to a consciousness
that by such practices the temple was profaned, and
partly to the authority which accompanied our Lord's
words and actions. For even at the first passover his
authority was greatly strengthened by the testimony of
John the Baptist; by the miraculous attestations at his
baptism; by his reputation among the Galileans, to
whom he had "manifested forth his glory;" and, I
Jo. ii. 11.
think, by miracles previously wrought at that very fes-
tival.

If the causes of our Lord's conduct are inquired
into, the following may be assigned. Knowing that
he should be accused as a violator of the law and a
blasphemer, he thus reproved the profane indifference,
hypocrisy, and avarice of the Jewish rulers; for it is
highly probable that they who cavilled at his miracles
on the sabbath, and wondered at his eating with un-
washen hands, not only calmly beheld this abuse of the
temple, but made it an occasion of gain: he publicly
assumed the character of a prophet, and of the Son of
God in an eminent sense; for the Jews perceived that

\[\text{Matt. xxi. 13. and parallel the margin of the English trans-
places.}\]

\[\text{See J. Mede, bp. Hurd, and}\]
this was a prophetic act, as appears by their question, "What sign showest thou unto us, since thou doest these things?" and by calling God his Father he gave a strong intimation of his Messiahship: he vindicated the court of the Gentiles from profanation, and signified his further favour towards them: and, which the history particularly points out, he asserted the sacredness of the temple; his zeal for God's house consumed him, and was in his breast as a devouring fire bursting forth irresistibly.

We may likewise remark with what a warmth of zeal our Lord reproved and admonished the scribes and Pharisees, when they blasphemed the Holy Spirit. He compared them to corrupt trees bearing corrupt fruit; he called them evil men, bringing forth evil things out of the evil treasure of their heart; he declared that they should give account for their words in the day of judgment; he characterised them as an evil and adulterous generation, a race of subtle and pernicious vipers: and his sharp address to them, and stern denunciation of woes against them, which St. Luke has recorded on occasion of his sitting at meat with a Pharisee, stand in immediate connexion with this blasphemy as their proper cause. Thus did he fulfil the words of the Psalmist, "The reproaches of them that reproached thee are fallen upon me:" he felt, reprehended and refuted them: nay, they affected him much more deeply and intimately than if he had been their object, and he declared that they had a more heinous and even an inexpiable guilt.

The piety of Jesus was also evidenced by expressions of the highest honour for God's word. He read and explained it in the synagogue: he repeatedly argued from it, and once with this remarkable assertion, The

b J. Mede, disc. xi.; Bp. Hurd's Sermons.
scripture cannot be broken. He exhorted the Jews to search the scriptures: he introduced Abraham as Jo. v. 39, prophetically observing, that those who heard not Moses Luk.xvi.31, and the prophets would not be persuaded even if one rose from the dead: he interpreted throughout all the Luk.xxiv. scriptures the things concerning himself: he twice affirmed in the most emphatical terms that not one jot or Mat.v.18; tittle of the law should pass away: he so disposed events 17. that he was crucified on the paschal feast, breathed out 1 Cor. v. 7. his soul at the hour of evening sacrifice, rested in Jo. iii.14; the grave on the Jewish sabbath, and rose from the dead Bph.v.2; on the day when the sheaf of first fruits was waved; Ex.xxix. 39; Acts iii.1; Mat. xxvii.46, 50. he placed the completion of the prophecies among the 1 Cor. xv. reasons for his submititng to death; and during his 20; Lev. pains on the cross he was intent on accomplishing one xxii.11. of them: for, “that the scripture might be fulfilled, he Mat.xxvi. said, I thirst.” 54.

Jo. xix. 28.

There is not, I suppose, any conceivable way of testifying a pious frame of mind which may not be discerned in our Lord’s conduct. He taught his disciples to pray, and made these the introductory petitions in the concisie form which he prescribed; that his Father’s name might be sanctified, that his kingdom might come, and that his will might be done on earth as by the angels in heaven: it is recorded of him on no less than six occasions1 that he gave thanks to God on partaking and distributing food: he constantly expressed a deep and awful sense of God’s perfections2: he did always those Jo.viii.29. things which were pleasing to the Father, and obeyed Jo. xiv.31; Phil. ii. 8. his will even to the death of the cross: and he gave the most exemplary proofs of that habitual and lively

---

1 Matt. xiv. 19; xv. 36; xxvi. 37. xiv. 22. with Luk. xxii. 19, and 26, 27; Luk. xxiii. 17; xxiv. 30. 1 Cor. xi. 24.

Compare Matt. xiv. 19. with Jo. vi. 11, and Matt. xxvi. 26; Mar.

2 See part I. c. i. sect. 1.
devotion which calls into act our inward love and veneration for the Deity.

It is wonderful how fraught our Lord's conduct is with instruction in almost all its circumstances, when we view it with due attention. This remark will be illustrated, if we consider on what occasions it is recorded of him that he prayed. The evangelists mention this on solemn occurrences in his life. St. Luke informs us that when he was baptized he prayed; that, before he chose his twelve apostles, he went out into a mountain to pray; and that, immediately before his transfiguration, he took Peter, James, and John apart into a high mountain for the same holy exercise. We read also that "he lifted up his eyes to heaven," and fervently addressed God in the presence of his apostles, on the night when Judas betrayed him. Three of the evangelists have recorded his prayer during his agony in the garden of Gethsemane. He bent his knees, he prostrated himself in the eastern manner, he prayed with uncommon intenseness and perseverance, thrice repeating the substance of the same words. Again: while they were fixing him to the cross, he prayed for his murderers: and he expired with a devout commendation of his spirit to God.

It may also be remarked that our Lord offered up his devotions after signal displays of his miraculous power. When he had wrought a great number of cures publicly for the first time, he rose in the morning while much of the night remained, "went into a desert place, and prayed." When he had healed great multitudes, and particularly a leper, who, though enjoined secrecy, so spread abroad the matter that very many came together to hear him, and to be cured of their infirmities, "he retired into desert places and prayed."

1 Matt. xxvi. 39. and parallel places.
Of our Lord's piety.

When he had fed five thousand with five loaves and two fishes, he dismissed the multitudes, and went up into a mountain apart to pray.

Our Lord's intercession for Peter, that his faith might not fail in the hour of trial, was at once an instance of his piety and benevolence.

The occasions of our Lord's prayers being often recorded, we are assisted in forming a judgment of their matter, as arising out of those occasions: such as supplications for God's blessing on important undertakings, and humble acknowledgments of the power which God had imparted.

But sometimes we have the advantage of knowing the substance, or the very words, of the prayers offered up by him. Having upbraided Chorasin, Bethsaida, and Capernaum for not repenting in consequence of his mighty works, and at another time the seventy having reported to him the mighty works done by them, "I thank thee, said he, O Father, Lord of heaven and earth, that thou hast hidden these things from the wise and prudent," events having been so disposed by thy providence that the proud scribe and disputer of this world are not among my disciples; "and hast revealed them unto babes," unto such plain and un-educated but humble and well-disposed men as my present followers. "Be it even so, Father; for so hath it seemed good in thy sight."

When Jesus came to the grave of Lazarus, knowing by a divine impulse that he had the power of restoring him to life, "he lifted up his eyes, and said, Father, I Jo. xi. 41, thank thee that thou hast now "heard me: and I knew that thou hearest me always: but because of the multitude which stand by I have" thus "spoken, that they may believe that thou hast sent me."

m After Jo. vi. 15. Beza's MS. adds κείμενον προσηγέτερον.
When certain Gentile proselytes to Judaism desired to see Jesus at his last passover, he corrected their false ideas by clear intimations of his death, and thus addressed God; "Father, glorify thy name," by my sufferings, and by the supernatural circumstances which will attend them.

The solemnities of his last supper were closed by him with a devout and affecting prayer, uttered in the presence of his disciples.

I shall subjoin a paraphrase of it; which, like all paraphrases, will be found greatly to enervate the force of the original.

Jo. xii. 28. 1. Father, the time of my departure is come; glorify thy Son by his death and by the wonders which will accompany and follow it, that thy Son may also glorify thee by turning many to righteousness: 2. according to the spiritual dominion which thou hast bestowed on him, that through him all the righteous subjects of his kingdom should inherit everlasting life. 3. And this is the way to everlasting life; the knowledge of thee, the only true God, and of him whom thou hast sent to declare thy will. 4. I have spread abroad thy glory on earth by my doctrine and miracles, and by discharging thus far the office assigned me: 5. and now, O Father, do thou make me perfect through sufferings, and glorify me at thy right hand with the heavenly glory which I possessed with thee before the creation of the world. 6. I have manifested thy perfections and will to the disciples whom thou hast given me out of this evil world: they were thy creatures, and believers in thee, and thou hast given them to me as Messiah and King, and they have kept thy word which I have taught them. 7. Now at length have they

n I think that the words Ἰησοῦς Χριστὸς are a gloss; though they are found, I believe, in every MS. and version.
known and professed that thou hast delegated to me every part of the office which I execute: 8. for in truth what I have taught them is thy doctrine: and they have embraced it; and have been fully convinced of my heavenly mission. 9. I pray for them; I pray not for the world, for the wicked Jews who reject thee, See Jo. xvi. 30. and me, and who have too much hardened their hearts to be capable of believing and acting as they ought: but I pray for those disciples whom thou hast given me: and their relation to thee, as thy servants, is one ground of my supplication: for though thou hast given them to me, as subjects of my mediatorial kingdom, they are still thine: 10. and whatever is mine is thine, as the original giver; and whatever is thine is mine, by thy unbounded communications; and by these thy gifts I am glorified as Messiah. 11. And since I can be no longer in the world to instruct and support my disciples, and these will remain exposed to its trials, and I depart to thee; I beseech thee, holy Father, to keep in the profession and practice of thy religion those whom thou hast given me, that they may be one in cooperation and affection, as we are one. 12. While I was with them in the world, I kept them in thy true religion: I preserved those whom thou gavest me, and none of them has fallen from duty so as to be ever-

o See c. xvi. 30.

P Observe here the parallelism so common in the Hebrew writers—And have known of a truth that I came forth from thee; And have believed that thou hast sent me.

9 Jer. vii. 16; xi. 14; xiv. 11. Christ prayed, Luke xxiii. 34. that God would forgive his murderers: and here he prays for all future believers, ver. 20, and that the world might believe that God had sent him, ver. 21. So that this passage does not argue a want of benevolence in our Lord; but is an evidence of his resignation, and knowledge of human nature.

* If we read διδάσκα μου, the sense will be: Keep them in the profession of my Messiahship, that name, derived from thee, which thou hast given me.
lasting destroyed*, but Judas, who deservedly inherits

destruction, and whose conduct has fulfilled the script-
ture, "He that eateth bread with me hath lifted up
his heel against me." 13. But now I leave them and
depart to thee; and I offer up this prayer in their pre-
sence, that I may give them abundant joy on account
of my departure to thee, who wilt grant my petitions.
14. I have taught them thy doctrine; and the evil
world hath hated them, because, like me, they are not
of the world, nor conformed to it. 15. I pray not that
thou wouldest remove them out of the world¹; but
that thou wouldest make them instruments of the
greatest good to mankind, and heirs of salvation, by
preserving them from the power of the evil one.
16. Grant that they may persevere in their present dis-
positions: for now they are not of this evil world, even
as I am not of this evil world. 17. Sanctify their minds
by thy true doctrine: for thy word, as delivered by me,
is true doctrine. 18. They are commissioned by me,
as I was by thee: 19. and for their benefit I offer my-
self a holy sacrifice, that they also may be holy by my
doctrine thus enforced. 20. But I pray not for these
only: I pray also for all believers in me through their
doctrine: 21. that they may be all united in love and
good works; as thou, Father, art united with me, and
I with thee: that they, being thus in union with us,
may be also in union among themselves, and may con-
firm men in the belief of my divine mission. 22. And
I have given to them the glory of spiritual gifts, of that
preternatural knowledge and power which thou hast

* There is much more elegance in the Greek: ἐκ αὐτῶν ἀλη-
θείας, εἰ μή δὲ υἱὸς τῆς ἀνθρώπων, than in the English version. None of
them is lost, but the son of per-
dition.

¹ He guards against a miscon-
ception of ἐν τού ἑσύχου in the
preceding verse. See Grot.
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communicated to me, that they may be united, as we are; 23. I dwelling in them, and thou in me: that, I say, they may be in perfect union; and that mankind may know that thou hast sent me, and hast shown them the distinguished love which thou hast shown me. 24. O Father, I desire of thee that these whom thou hast given me may be with me in heaven, to see my glory which thou hast bestowed on me; for thou lovedst me of old before the foundation of the world. 25. O righteous Father, the world hath not known thee; but I have known thee, and these also have known that thou hast sent me. 26. And I have made known unto them thy perfections and thy will, and shall further make them known by the effusion of the Spirit; that thy love towards me may rest on them, and that I may be always with them.

The prayer used by our Lord during his agony is recorded by three of the evangelists*: "O my Father, all things that are fit and right are possible with thee: if it be agreeable to thy will and wisdom, remove from me this bitter and deadly cup...Nevertheless, not my will, but thine be done. O my Father, if this cup may not pass from me, but that I drink it, thy will be done."

While the nails were piercing Jesus’s hands and feet, he thus prayed for his crucifiers: “Father, forgive them; for they know not what they do.”

And on the cross he thus addressed God with a loud voice, immediately before he expired: “Father, into thy hands I commend my spirit.”

Our Lord’s perseverance in prayer is observable. St. Luke records of him that, before he chose the

*u Matt. xxvi. 39, &c. and parallel places.
*x Luke xxiii. 46. Παραθένωμεν

is the future for the present, in the Hebrew manner.
Luk. vi. 12. twelve, he "went out into a mountain to pray, and continued all night in prayer to God." And when he had sent away a great multitude whom he had fed with a few loaves and fishes, we likewise read that he retired to a mountain, and continued in prayer from the evening to the fourth watch of the night. Thus did our Lord converse with his heavenly Father when he could no longer converse with men, when he had no infirmities to heal, no errors to correct, no vices to reprove; and make an entire dedication of himself to the service of God and of man, by gloriously running an unwearied course of piety and benevolence.

Chiefly occupying such large portions of time in prayer were acts of the holy and heavenly Founder of our religion in extraordinary and peculiar circumstances; and can very rarely be the duty of his disciples. In general, as the pious and admirable bishop Taylor justly observes, his piety was "without affrightment of precedent, or prodigious instances of actions greater than the imitation of men."—The instances of

7 It is shown by J. Mede, Disc. xviii, that ἐρωτεύεται is sometimes used for λέγεις Ἰουδαεῶν ἢ εἰράντω, as the Scholiast on Juv. iii. 296 explains it. But I think that the word has not this signification Acts xvi. 13, or elsewhere in the New Testament. I doubt whether a proseucha would have been erected on a mountain; because it could not conveniently be frequented in such a situation, and because high places were formerly infamous for idolatrous rites. Προς-εῦληθεπός as easily signifies prayer to God, as πιστεύεται Χριστίον faith in Jesus Christ. Rom. iii. 22, 26; Gal. ii. 16; iii. 22, or πιστεύεται Θεοῦ, faith in God, Mark xi. 22.

2 That is, from between our sixth and ninth hour of the night, to between the third and sixth hour of the morning.

a Life of Christ, Introd. p. iii. iv.

b "We read of his retiring into the wilderness, and abiding there forty days. But this was once for all, by an extraordinary impulse of that Spirit which had newly anointed him to his high office, and in order to the preparing of him for the due discharge of it; and therefore, as in this instance he is not proposed to us as our pattern, so,
it were actions of a very holy but of an ordinary life; and we may observe this difference in the story of Jesus from ecclesiastical writings of certain beatified persons, whose life is told rather to amaze us, and to create scruples, than to lead us in the evenness and security of a holy conscience."

I shall conclude this subject with observing that our Lord's life not only furnishes an eminent example of piety, but a great incitement to it. Signal instances of divine interpositions were vouchsafed to him during the time of prayer. At his baptism, as he prayed the heavens were opened, the Spirit visibly descended on him, and a voice from heaven pronounced him the beloved Son of God, in whom he was well pleased. And on mount Tabor, while he prayed, his countenance was changed and shone as the sun, Moses and Elias appeared to him, and a voice from the excellent glory again bare him testimony. And when he prayed, "Father, glorify thy name;" there came a voice from heaven, "I have both glorified it, and will glorify it again."

SECT. II.—Of our Lord's benevolence.

TILLOTSON has justly observed that "our Lord was a pattern both of the contemplative and active life, shows us how to mix these to the greatest advantage, and by his own example teaches us that we cannot serve God better than by doing good to men." His benevolence, which is the second virtue in rank after piety, was wonderful and unexampled. Though he was rich in the glories of his divine nature, yet for our...
sakes he became poor, and clothed himself with human infirmities, sin only excepted. During his abode on earth, we have constantly occasion to admire his assiduous and laborious beneficence, his gentle, benign, and affectionate disposition and conduct. The severity of the Baptist’s appearance, of his manner of life and address, was wisely intended to raise the attention of the Jews, and to remind them of their ancient prophets; particularly of Elijah, the next in eminence to their great lawgiver Moses. And this rigour of character served as a shade to place our Lord’s amiable qualities in a stronger light. There is a true simplicity and force in St. Peter’s description of Jesus, that he “went about doing good.” He was always dispensing good, not only to the bodies of men, which is here particularly meant, but also to their souls. And he is with equal justness and sublimity represented by the prophet Malachi as “the sun of righteousness with healing in his wings.” The evils of pain and disease, of ignorance and vice, fled before him, as the sun dispels darkness.

But let us illustrate this part of our Lord’s character by facts recorded in the Gospels.

St. Matthew repeatedly asserts of Jesus that he healed “all manner of disease and all manner of sickness among the people” and the disorders which, in the opinion of the Jews, were most afflictive and dreadful, seem to have been the special objects of his miraculous power.

Those whom he raised from the dead were the only

---

*He was ἐν ἀνεύς, 2 Cor. x. i.
*Malania is used by o’ for words translated sickness and pain; from ἁλανία, agrotere, and ἄνυ, dolere.

*Matt. x. 1; Acts x. 38. See some miracles, excepted against as not having a beneficial tendency, explained ch. iii. sect. 2. p. 232.
son of a widow, the only daughter of Jairus, and Lazarus, the friend of his immediate and constant followers.

There are some secondary circumstances in his benevolent miracles which well deserve our notice. When a blind man was brought to him at Bethsaida, he did not delegate the humane office to one of his disciples, but himself took him by the hand, and led him out of the city. When he healed a demoniac, an only son, he lifted him from the ground where he lay as dead, and restored him to his father. And, with the same un-speakable benignity, he not only raised the widow of Nain's son, but himself delivered him into her hands.

Such was his attention to those who most wanted instruction and reformation, that his enemies invidiously characterised him as the "friend of publicans and sinners." His address to the Jews was truly affectionate: "Come unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden" with the grievous yoke of the law, "and I will give you rest."

It is often recorded of him that he sat at meat with Pharisees, though perhaps at the very time his conduct was watched by them with a jealous enmity: and, no doubt, he was at all times intent on softening and subduing the prejudices of that haughty and worldly sect.

He showed great benignity to a woman who had been a sinner, by not disdainfully spurning her from him like a proud Pharisee; but by permitting her to anoint his feet, by commending her faith, and by re-assuring her of forgiveness.

He performed three miracles on Gentiles; and often foretold, both in direct terms and in parables, their admission into his church and into his kingdom of glory.

The Samaritans were a people against whom the

See ch. iii. sect. i. p. 140.
Jews entertained the strongest prejudices, and the sharpest religious enmity. But our Lord's mind was so far from being tinctured with hatred towards them, that he showed them the greatest humanity. At the well of Jacob he instructed a woman of Samaria, and unreservedly declared to her his Messiahship: he spake of the Samaritans as a part of his spiritual harvest; at their request he remained in their city two days; and during that interval gave them ample reason to conclude from his divine instructions that he was the Saviour of the world.

When he related the beautiful parable of the wounded Jewish traveller, a Samaritan was represented as softening and binding up his wounds, and taking the most tender care of him; and was accordingly proposed as a perfect example of compassion and beneficence to his hearers, and to his disciples in all ages.

When the Samaritans refused to receive him and his apostles, because they were journeying to Jerusalem, and James and John, full of natural animosity towards them, asked permission to consume them by fire from heaven; our Lord reproved their intemperate zeal in these instructive words, "Ye know not what manner of spirit ye are of: for the Son of man is not come to destroy men's lives, but to save them."

When the Samaritan leper "glorified God with a loud voice" for his recovery, "and fell down on his face at Jesus's feet, giving him thanks," our Lord placed his gratitude in the fullest light: "Were there not ten cleansed? but where are the nine? There are not found that returned to give glory to God, save this stranger."

And though, when our Lord sent out the twelve, he

---

\textsuperscript{b} John iv. 42: \textit{συντρυπάτωσε} seems MSS. and versions; and Mill a gloss. It is omitted in some approves of the omission.
forbad them to go into the way of the Gentiles, or to 
enter into a city of the Samaritans, who were of Gentile origin; it being the privilege of the Jews that the gospel should be first preached to them; yet he showed how superior he was to the malevolence of the times, and how attentive to remove it from others, when, immediately before his ascension, he thus addressed the apostles: “Ye shall be witnesses unto me, both in Jeru-

8.

salem, and in all Judæa, and in Samaria, and to the ends of the earth.”

And at another time, when he commanded that an offender, who despised public and private admonition, should be to his disciples as a heathen and a publican, he meant to explain the manner in which a Christian brother was to be avoided on such occasions, and adapted his language to Jewish customs and ideas.

After Jesus had wrought miracles both in Jerusalem and in Galilee, and his fame as a prophet had been spread abroad, he went to Nazareth, his place of abode from his second to about his thirtieth year. Here he raised the wonder of the Nazarenes at his gracious words, and declared himself a prophet; censuring at the same time their prejudices against him on account of his humble descent, and intimating, with much dignity, that they were as unworthy of him as their forefathers had been of the great prophets Elijah and Elisah. At this they were so enraged, that he was compelled to preserve his life by miracle.

When he had still further excited attention, and exalted his character, by working numberless miracles in Galilee, by displaying his power to the Jewish rulers and to the whole people at another passover, by calling the twelve, by the wonderful wisdom and authority of his copious instructions on the mount, and even by
raising the dead, probably after the interval of more than a year he graciously vouchsafed to revisit the place of his education. His countrymen were again astonished at his wisdom; and also expressed their admiration at his mighty works. But still they were offended at the obscurity of his birth. And, on account of their unbelief, he did not deem it wise and fit to do many miracles among them, “save that he laid his hands on a few sick, and healed them.”

I consider both these visits as affectionate, and particularly the second\textsuperscript{1}: they prove that our Lord could not be deterred by fear of contempt, by ingratitude or danger, from prosecuting his gracious design towards his countrymen.

There is a branch of benevolence which consists in relieving poverty from our worldly substance: and our Lord, well knowing the great importance of this virtue, has given it the weight of his example: he, I say, who wrought a miracle to pay the tribute-money, and who had not where to lay his head, has recommended it by his own practice. This we learn, as we do many shining parts of our Lord’s character, from an incidental circumstance. When he said to the traitor Judas, “What thou doest, do quickly;” some of his disciples understood him as commanding that he who kept the bag “should give something to the poor:” whence we may infer that this was our Lord’s custom. And perhaps we may observe, without refining, that it was Christ’s manner to convey such injunctions secretly; his disciples putting this sense on indirect and doubtful

\textsuperscript{1} Jesus, cum jam ante annum Nazarethae per breve duntaxat tempus fuisset, quod eum populares e rupe precipitem dare conati essent; sedata, spatio temporis, hominum ira et comparata tot miraculis postea editis fama, in patriam redire statuit, ut eos ad meliorem frugem iterum vocaret. Le Clerc, Hist. Eccl. 264.
words, as if he was wont to express himself covertly on like occasions.

There is often a mixture of benevolence and authority in our Lord's form of address. When a paralytic was let down through the roof of a house, where Jesus was instructing and healing many, he thus removed all fear of impropriety and intrusion, "Take courage, son; thy sins are forgiven thee." He used the same appellation to his disciples, "Sons, how hard is it for those who trust in riches to enter into the kingdom of God!" When the woman who had an issue of blood, incurable by natural means, came behind Jesus in the press, touched his garment, and immediately perceived that she was healed, Jesus "looked round about to see her who had done this thing." And when the woman, fearing and trembling through uncertainty what construction might be put on her conduct, told him the whole truth, he thus graciously dispelled her fears: "Take courage, daughter; thy faith has restored thee to health: go in peace, and be healed of thy disease." And probably on many occasions, though two only are recorded, our Lord used a language which St. John in his venerable old age seems to have imitated, calling on his disciples by the endearing name of children.

How open our Lord was to the kind affections, appears from his sensations towards the young man who had kept the commandments from his youth: "Jesus beheld him and loved him."

Observe how he acted when "some brought to him little children, that he might lay his hands on them

\[ k \text{ Matt. ix. 22, and parallel places.}\]
\[ 1 \text{ Gr. scourge.}\]
\[ = \text{ John xiii. 33; xxii. 5; 1 John ii. 1, 12, 18, 28, &c. }\]
\[ = \text{ Grots's note on John xiii. 33 is, "Christus in morte sua illud pereriebat tristissimum, quod gravissimo m}]
\[ \text{ orre suo esset affectura: itaque omnia illis solatia adhibet, etiam verborum blandimenta."} \]
and pray." "The disciples rebuked those who brought them," as if such objects were unworthy of his attention. "But when Jesus saw it, he had indignation, called them to him," exhorted men to imitate their amiable qualities, "took them up in his arms, put his hands upon them, and blessed them." Every heart must be warmed by the plain recital of such a conduct, and every tongue must praise it.

His precepts of benevolence afford a striking picture of his benevolent mind. "Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself," is the commandment to which he assigned the second rank. Nay, he enjoined the love of our enemies; and declared that the love of those who love us is thankless and unrewardable. We are to make mutual love our distinguishing mark as Christians; and his new commandment is, that we love one another according to that eminent degree of love with which he loved us.

Thus did he strive to prevent the train of evils accidentally occasioned by religion, which inflames men's passions in proportion to its importance. And he directly condemned persecution in those memorable words, "Ye know not what manner of spirit ye are of; for the Son of man is not come to destroy men's lives, but to save them:" and again in the parable, where, under the character of an husbandman who sowed good seed in his field, he forbad gathering together the tares, or destroying the sons of the evil one who gave offence by pernicious doctrines or by unrighteous lives, lest at the same time the wheat should be rooted up with them: lest good men, whose interests were interwoven with them, should partake of their sufferings; lest the principle supposed to justify the extermination of such should sometimes involve the good; and lest the in-
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terest of the gospel itself should be impaired, when its professors, like wild beasts, worried and devoured each other. In the time of harvest, at the last day, our Lord will say to his reapers, the angels, “Gather ye together first the tares, and bind them in bundles to burn them; but gather the wheat into my garner.” And if men extirpate each other for speculative errors in religion, or for such iniquitous practices as do not endanger society, they boldly invade his province who alone can infallibly discern truth from falsehood, and know the various aggravations or alleviations of wrong conduct. The compulsion which should be used by Christ’s followers is reason and argument; as he himself compelled the Gentiles to receive his gospel by enduing the preachers of it with wisdom and power from on high: he laid them under a moral necessity of believing, by furnishing the fullest means of conviction.

The manner in which our Lord prepared the apostles for his departure, and the consolation which he administered to them, were in the highest degree affectionate. He often foretold his death, and called their attention to it in the strongest terms: “Let these words sink down into your ears: for the Son of man is about to be delivered into the hands of men, and they shall kill him; and after he is killed, he shall rise again the third day.” And on the evening before his death he calmed their minds with the utmost delicacy of address, and with the greatest enlargement of affection towards them: “Let not your heart be troubled: Jo. xiv. 1, 2.

---

* See Luke xiv. 23 compared with Matt. xxii. 9. That ἀνεγερ- σάζεσθαι, ἀνέγερθαι, and παραδέσθαι are used for cogent persuasion and argument, see Matt. xiv. 22; Mark vi. 45; Luke xiv. 18; xxiv. 39; Acts xvi. 15; 2 Cor. xii. 11; Gal. ii. 3, 14; vi. 12; Jude 3; and instances from other writers in Wolfius, Elsner, Krebsius, Wetstein, and bishop Pearce, in loc.

ye believe in God, believe also in me. In my Father's house are many mansions:...I go to prepare a place for you.” “I will pray the Father, and he shall give you another Advocate, that he may abide with you always.”

Ver. 27. “Peace I leave with you, my peace I give unto you: not as the world giveth, give I unto you. Let not your heart be troubled, neither let it be afraid.” “Father, I will that they also, whom thou hast given me, be with me where I am; that they may behold my glory, which thou hast given me.”

Such was the sensibility of his disposition, and his benevolent horror at Judas’s ingratitude and guilt, that he could not mention his traitorous design at the paschal supper without great emotion: “he was troubled in spirit, and testified, and said, Verily, verily, I say unto you, that one of you shall betray me.”

His ignominious and painful death was the greatest act of benevolence to the whole world. “Greater love than this hath no man, that a man lay down his life for his friends.” “But God commendeth his love toward us, in that, while we were yet sinners, and enemies, Christ died for us.”

After our Lord’s resurrection, his benevolence shone with the same degree of mild lustre. We have remarkable proofs of it in his conduct to St. Peter. One of the angels who appeared to the women at his sepulchre thus addressed them, and, no doubt, in conformity to our Lord’s command: “Depart, say to his disciples and to Peter, He goeth before you into Galilee.” And two of the sacred writers have recorded his separate appearance to this apostle on the day of his resurrection. He appeared not apart to St. John, his beloved...
disciple; but to him who had thrice denied him, who had bitterly bewailed his crime, and whose mind stood in need of healing: and with the same kind attention our Lord afterwards afforded Peter an occasion of expiating, as it were, his three denials of him by thrice Jo. xxi. declaring his love.

With an admirable consistency, our gracious Saviour ended as he began. He was taken up to heaven in the Luk. xxiv. very act of lifting up his hands on his disciples and blessing them.

Nor must we stop here. He is now our intercessor Rom. viii. at God’s right hand, and will hereafter be the dispenser 34; Heb. vii. 25.
Rom. vi. 23; Jo. xvii. 2.
Mat. xxv.
of eternal life to the righteous: and he has taught us to anticipate his conduct on that day; when he will allot so high a rank to the virtue of benevolence as to place actions arising from it among our leading and essential duties, and will show so intimate a concern and affection for his disciples as to regard acts of humanity done to the meanest of them as done to himself.

Sect. III.—Of our Lord’s compassion.

In those dispositions which are eminently benevolent we may justly expect to find the most lively sensibility and compassion: for compassion is a benevolent sensation towards the miserable; it is that humane uneasiness which is excited by the evils of human life, in proportion to their degree and to the merit of the sufferer. Our Lord has expressly enjoined this virtue,*

We have therefore another proof, besides 2 Pet. iii. 15, that no jealousy subsisted between these two great apostles after their variance. Gal. ii. 11.

* The remark is Chrysostom’s. See his comment on 1 Cor. xiv. 8. Beausobre refers to it, and in ex-
plaining it beautifully adds; “Jesus showed Peter that, though he had forgotten his Lord in the time of his humiliation, his Lord did not forget him after his exaltation. Remarques Historiques, &c. 1 Cor. xv. 5.

* Aristotle calls it an affection
Luk. vi. 36. “Be ye merciful, as your Father also is merciful:” and he has annexed to it a special blessedness: “Blessed are the merciful; for they shall obtain mercy.”

He has recommended it to our practice in the parable of the good Samaritan; and he has enforced it by the example of God himself, both under the similitude of a king who took account with his servants, and under that of a father receiving into favour a wasteful and unworthy son.

The three parables referred to are remarkably affecting. Nothing can more forcibly inculcate commiseration than the example of the Samaritan, who, though estranged from the Jews by every circumstance most apt to inflame the human mind with hatred, yet showed mercy to the wounded Jewish traveller, the sight of whose distress moved not a priest and a Levite, who were of his own nation, and employed in the sacred offices of his own religion. Nothing can be more strongly contrasted and condemned than the merciless behaviour of him who was inexorable to his fellow-servant, took him by the throat, and rigidly exacted a debt of an hundred pence, when his lord had forgiven him ten thousand talents. “His lord was wroth, and delivered him to the tormentors, till he should pay all that was due unto him.” Nothing can represent in a more lively manner the compassion of God to the Gentile world in particular, and to repenting sinners in general, than the image of a father, who, when he saw yet a great way off his son returning to him after he had wasted his substance with riotous living, had compassion, and ran, and fell on his neck, and kissed him,

and rejoiced as though he had received him again from the dead.

The images with which these parables abound show an overflowing tenderness and humanity: and our Divine Instructor seems to have peculiarly delighted and excelled, if I may so speak, in delivering lessons of this kind.

In his own life he has given us a bright example of this virtue. He deeply compassionated the spiritual and temporal wants of mankind. “When he saw the multitudes, he was moved with compassion on them,” because they were wearied” with following him for the benefit of his miracles and instructions, “and scattered abroad as sheep having no shepherd. Then saith he unto his disciples, The harvest truly is plenteous, but the labourers are few; pray ye therefore the Lord of the harvest, that he will send forth labourers into his harvest.” On another occasion also, when he saw much people he had compassion on them, and “began to teach them many things.” He miraculously fed them in the desert from the same principle; and prompted by this amiable virtue healed a leper, restored the sight of two blind men near Jericho, and when a dead man was carried out of Nain, the only son of his mother; and she a widow, generously overcome by her distress, he said to her, Weep not, and raised her son to life.

So justly may our Lord be described in the language of the prophet Isaiah, as binding up the broken hearted, as feeding his flock like a shepherd, as gathering up the lambs in his arm, as carrying them in his bosom, and gently leading those that were with young.

It must be further observed that our compassionate Lord was no stranger to the most sensible emotions

\[\text{The true reading is } \text{δειλαμείνος, of which } \text{δειλαμείνος seems a marginal explanation crept into the text.}\]
of the human heart, and to the strongest outward expression of them. It is thrice recorded of him that he wept. Once indeed his own sufferings were the cause, "when he offered up prayers and supplications, with a strong cry and tears, unto him that was able to save him from death, and was heard" from the filial reverence with which he prayed, an angel being sent from heaven to comfort him.

Another occasion of his tears was his prophetic foresight of the destruction which impended over Jerusalem, and of the complicated and unexampled calamities which would attend it. "When he approached, he beheld the city, and wept over it, saying: "If even thou," who hast killed so many prophets, "hadst known, at least," after so many calls to repentance, "in this thy day" of merciful and final trial, "the things which belong unto thy peace! but now they are hidden from thine eyes.""

It is also recorded of Jesus that he wept, in St. John's simple and beautiful account of his raising Lazarus from the dead. Some think it was not unworthy of our Lord to weep from a sympathy observable in the best minds, because he "saw Mary weeping, and the Jews also weeping who came with her." Generous dispositions are overcome by the distress of others; and particularly by the anguish of friends. Others suppose

---

* Luke xix. 41, 42. Kypke and others say that el should here be translated utinam: and archbishop Secker says that we should rather render the passage, "O that thou hadst known!" Nine Sermons: 1758, p. 199. But I find that el yap is used for elte, and not el alone. I therefore prefer the aposiopesis, as a natural expression of grief. Est interrupta locutio doloris turbati. Servius, Æn. ix. 427. Nimius affectus defectus orationis amat. Donatus. Ter. Eun. v. ix. 20. So in Homer's Hymn to Apollo, after

'ἈΛΛ' ἕ μοι γλαύκα γε, Θεέ, μη γαρ

ἥκων ἑκένω, κ. λ.

Ernestus says that we should supply, tum ego utique te libenter acceperim. l. 79, &c.
that reflections on the malignant effects of sin, which introduced misery and death, might draw from him this expression of his grief for the calamities of human life. It has likewise been suggested that Christ might lament the inefficacy of his heavenly lessons in comforting his disciples under the temporary loss of those who were most dear to them. But the principal cause of his tears seems to have been, his experience of unbelief in the Jews and in his disciples. On other occasions, he was grieved at hardness of heart; he sighed deeply in spirit when the Pharisees sought of him a sign from heaven, tempting him; and he expressed himself pathetically at want of rational faith in that power which he so wonderfully displayed: "O faithless and perverted generation, how long shall I be with you? how long shall I suffer you?" alluding to the original want of faith which he immediately perceived in the father of the demoniac, and which the man soon expressed when he said, "But if thou canst do any thing, help us:" and also referring to the want of confidence in God's assistance betrayed by his disciples, who, not having attained that entire belief in God which was the result of prayer and fasting, were unable to cast out the demon. Thus in the passage before us, Jesus seems to have groaned in spirit, to have been troubled, and to have wept, chiefly because his former power, repeatedly evidenced in raising the dead, had so little effect on the Jews, on the sisters of Lazarus, and on his apostles. This is intimated to us by the evangelist. Some of the Jews said, "Could not he, who opened the eyes of the blind, have caused that this man also should not have died?" On which St. John observes, "Jesus therefore, again groaning in himself, cometh to the grave."

1 Mark ix. 19, &c. and parallel places.
SECT. IV.—Of our Lord’s justice.

When St. Peter calls our Lord the Just One, and when that title is elsewhere attributed to him, it is meant that he was universally righteous and good. It is no where said of him that he was just in the usual sense of the word justice, as it consists in rendering to all men their due. Indeed justice, as defined by moral writers, is a virtue in our intercourse with others which is the lowest part of a great character. Our Lord was more than just; he rendered to men more than their due, and overflowed to them in an unbounded degree of love.

Nor has he delivered direct and special precepts on this subject: though he commended just servants and stewards; though judgment, which may well import a public and private dispensing of justice, is ranked by him with that primary duty the love of God; and the same virtue and fidelity in our words and actions are elsewhere mentioned by him among the weightier matters of the law: and though he condemned the opposite vice, when he described an unjust judge who feared not God nor regarded man, when he taught that moral pollution was contracted by theft and deceit, and when he denounced a woe on the scribes and Pharisees for cleansing the outside of the cup and dish when within they were full from rapine and injustice.

In the parable of the steward who was accused to

---

\[ u \text{ See Matt. iii. 15; i. 19; ix. 13; xxv. 46; Luke xxiii. 50; and Rom. v. 7, where the latter part of the verse is a correction of the former: } "\text{Yet I will allow that for a good or righteous man [the words } \text{of } \text{being equivalent] some will even dare to die.}" \text{ See also Eurip.} \]

\[ \text{Iph. in Aul. i. 1034:} \]

\[ \text{El } \text{eloi } \text{thel, } \text{doulos } \text{en } \text{apot } \text{se } \text{'Eroth } \text{mophos.} \]

\[ \text{If there be gods, you, as an upright man, Will gain a happy lot.} \]

\[ x \text{ Matt. xxiii. 25. The true reading is } \text{doulos, not } \text{doprias.} \]
the rich man his master as wasting his substance, our Lord selected a single circumstance from his conduct, which he proposed to the imitation of his disciples in prosecuting their great end, the attainment of an eternal reward. In the course of the narration the steward is expressly called unjust; and his injustice was branded Luk. xvi. 8; by his dismissal. What his master praised was his wisdom and foresight, his subtle and ingenious management, in securing to himself friends. He punished his dishonesty, but acknowledged his art and cunning. What our Lord himself observed on this parable was, that in general worldly men were wiser in conducting their temporal affairs than good men with respect to their spiritual concerns: in which remark he has ranked the unjust steward among those whose views began and ended with this life; and has opposed his character to that of men whose actions will bear the light, and who will inherit the glorious reward of the saints in light, Col. i. 12. And his exhortation to his disciples was, that they would make a prudent use of riches, which generally administered to unrighteousness, and deserved not the name of a true and solid acquisition; and would be faithful stewards of them, so as finally to gain the friendship of God by rightly dispensing them. Thus, Luk. xviii. 1-8. on another occasion, our Lord did not require of his followers that they should imitate the impious, unjust, and shameless judge described by him, or that they should be overcome by mere importunity; but the moral of his parable was, that men should pray always and not faint; particularly in those times of persecution. And it is very observable that the application of these parables is made for us; and that our Lord is

"There is an opposition between the beginning of ver. 9. and that of ver. 8. in Luke xvi."
unusually large in explaining the uses of the former, and thus guarding it from misconstruction.

Sect. V.—*Of our Lord's temperance.*

It is observable of Christ that he did not aim at striking the people by an austerity of appearance and conduct. He came “eating and drinking”; in contradiction to the solitariness and abstinence of his harbinger, John the Baptist: a conduct on which the Jews put a perverse interpretation, and were neither pleased with the rigour of the Baptist, nor with our Lord's familiar and conversible manner; though the wisdom of such a deportment, in him who “came to seek and to save that which was lost,” carried its own justification with it to the well-disposed and considerate. The calumny advanced by the inconsistent Jews of our Lord's time was a general one, confuted by facts: it was as groundless as their assertion, that he was a friend to publicans and sinners; when he conversed with them, only to reclaim them. It was truly remarked by Origen*, that, amidst numberless accusations and falsehoods, none could object to him the least act of casual self-indulgence. He appeared in a state of poverty, and endured the inconveniences incident to it: some of his followers ministered to him of their substance; and he had not where to lay his head.

We read that Jesus was present at a marriage-feast very early in his ministry; and on this occasion some objectors to his conduct have alleged that he contributed to intemperance by the first miracle which he wrought.


*a Contra Cels. l. 3. §. 36. ὡς μὴ δὲ οἱ μῶρα κατηγορήσατε, καὶ ἰσχυρότερον νοσίματα, δε- δώσω καταγείρειν, ὡς καὶ τὸ τυχόν ἀκολουθίας καὶ ἐν ἐπώλησι δειναμισθέντων.*
But it is probable that the guests at this feast were many: a supposition which seems agreeable to the custom of the Jews; to the appointment of a governor, as bishop Pearce has well observed; and to the circumstance that “both Jesus was called, and his disciples, to the marriage-feast:” for what was the number of his converts at that time cannot be determined.

Neither can it be ascertained during how many days the marriage-feast continued. We read of some which lasted seven days: and thus, if Jesus was present on the third day of the feast, it might have been protracted, and much frequented, for four days onwards.

Nor is it easy to fix what was the quantity of wine produced. Our translation may give too definite an idea to a word signifying any capacious vessel in general; or if a determinate measure is meant, which I think most agreeable to the evangelist’s mode of expression, it may be the Syrian *metretes*, and not the Attic or Roman.

It has also been very properly suggested that great part of the wine provided might have been considered as a benevolent nuptial present, acceptable to persons

---

*b* Some have inferred from John i. 37–51, that they were only four: but during the journey into Galilee, and in that country, it is natural to think that they were increased.

c Judges xiv. 12; Tobit xi. 19; Gen. xxix. 27. Schoettgen, i. 338. Selden’s Works, fol. ii. 629: where Rabbi Eliezer says, Quemadmodum rex utitur splendidis vestimentis, ita et sponsa etiam per septem convivii nuptialis dies.

d See bishop Pearce on John ii. 1. But the best interpretation of this passage is, “on the third day” after Jesus’s arrival in Galilee: which may have been the first day of the feast.

e See H. Stevens voc. *μετρετής*. The word is used largely by כ, for the Hebrew *bath*, which contained more than seven gallons, and for the *seah*, which was one third of the *bath*. 2 Chron. iv. 15; 1 Kings xviii. 32.

f This, according to bishop Cumberland, contained seven pints and one eighth.

*g* See bishop Pearce’s comment, ii. 374. Doddridge and Macknight in loc.
who were probably in an humble station, and to be partly reserved for other occasions; and that "the quantity furnished, supposing it as great as any objector has stated, was both an act of exuberant goodness, and gave such magnificence to the miracle as removed it beyond all possibility of fraud." Abundance administers to the debasing and brutal act of intemperance only among the vicious; and the religious awe impressed by the miracle naturally tended to prevent it.

It must likewise be observed that a lighter wine might be in ordinary use among the Jews: and we know that the wines, now made from the grapes of Lebanon and Hermon, are not of an intoxicating quality when taken freely.

Nor do the words used by the governor of the feast import that the guests had been guilty of excess at that particular time. According to the usual custom, “every man at first setteth on good wine, and, when men have drunk fully, then that which is worse: thou hast kept the good wine until now;” until the present day, and the feast itself, are far advanced: in which circumstance alone, I mean the lateness of time when the good wine was given, the parallel may hold, and not further: though indeed the expression, ἄταν μεθυστῶς, does not necessarily imply intoxication, but may be understood of that abundance which temperate men on some occasions innocently partake of.

It should be remarked of our Lord’s attendance and

---

h Sir Edward Barry on Wines, 40. 144.

i See in the Greek version Gen. xlii. 33 or 34. Cautic. v. 1. Haggai i. 6; Ecclus. i. 16; Ps. xxiii. 5; Heb. xxii. 5. d. ed. Breit. xxxvi. 8; Heb. xxxv. 9. ed. Breit.; Jer. xxxi. 14, 25; Heb. xxxviii. ed. Breit.; Herod. 1. §. 133, ed. Wes.; Hippocrates de victus ratione, l. iii. p. 375. Genev. 1657. See these two latter passages, and some others, referred to and discussed in Merrick’s Annotations on St. John. See also bishop Pearce on 1 Cor. xi. 21.
conduct at this marriage, that they were a testimony from the great Founder of our religion in favour of that state as a pure and honourable one. And though he himself led a life of celibacy, he mentioned marriage as a divine institution, subjected it to wise regulations, \textit{Mat.} xix. 4, 5, 6; \textit{v. 32.}

and expected, even at that peculiar time, that only a few would detach themselves from domestic ties “on account of the kingdom of heaven,” then to be extended by their means. Nor did he subject these to any ensnaring restraint, but made their own prudence the rule of their conduct.

Thus did our Lord display a prophetic foresight and a divine wisdom. For ecclesiastical history informs us that, from the infancy of the gospel, heretics of various denominations arose, who asserted the unlawfulness of marriage. Such were the Marcionites, the Gnostics, the Manichees, the Apostolics, and Origenians\(^{1}\). The early heretic Saturninus said that marriage was of Satan\(^{1}\): Theodoret has recorded that Cerdon, as well as Marcion, enjoined the law of virginity\(^{m}\); and we learn from Epiphanius that marriage was also rejected by the heresiarch Lucian or Lucius, from the horrid principle of hatred to the Creator and his works\(^{n}\). We likewise know that the church of Rome forbids marriage to one order at this day; notwithstanding the apostle’s very remarkable manner of expressing himself, that it is “honourable \textit{in all men};” and notwithstanding \textit{Heb.} xiii. 4.

\(\text{ing an overseer of Christ’s flock is described as “the husband of one wife”}; and one that ruled well his own house, having his children in subjection with all gravity?”

\(^{1}\) See Jones’s Canon, i. 183, 258, 259. \textit{Lardner on Heretics}, p. 238.

\(^{m}\) Ibid. p. 196.

\(^{n}\) Ibid. p. 283. These three lived about A. D. 140.

\(^{o}\) i. e. not guilty of polygamy or of causeless divorce. \textit{1 Tim. iii. 2, 4.}

\(\text{P Ζωονόμος here denotes the mean between rigour and fami-}
We may likewise discern a wisdom in the specific miracle performed at Cana. For the use of wine was forbidden by the Marcionites and Manichees among the Christians\(^4\); and afterwards by the successful Arabian impostor\(^5\).

On this occasion too, and on many others, our Lord showed that his religion was not a morose and unsociable one; and he discountenanced by his example that course of rigid abstinence and mortification by which some, who would be thought his most perfect disciples, have disgraced the gospel. When “one of the Pharisees desired him that he would eat with him, he went into the Pharisee’s house, and sat down to meat” with other guests. He showed a like condescension to another of that sect, immediately after some of them had ascribed his miracles to Satan. When Matthew, who from a publican was called to be an apostle, made a great feast, Jesus and his disciples partook of it in company with many publicans and sinners\(^6\). He ate bread on the sabbath day with a ruler of the Pharisees; thus teaching that even the strictness of the Jewish sabbath did not exclude this kind of social intercourse, much less the day on which his followers were to commemorate his own resurrection. We also read that he was guest to Zacchæus, a chief of the publicans, and therefore of a profession most hateful to the Jews: but he disregarded the invidiousness of the action on account of the man’s personal virtues; and exhibited the character of a perfect teacher, despising that of a popular one. Again: we find him partaking

\(^4\) Aristot. Magna Moralia, i. § 29. And I think that the word is used in this sense Phil. iv. 8. The \(σευδά\) is equally remote from pride and flattery; he maintains not intimacy with all, but with the worthy; and


\(^6\) Jones’s Canon, i. 183.

\(^7\) Sale’s Koran, 25. 94.

\(^8\) Luke v. 29, and parallel places.
of a supper at Bethany, in the house of Simon, whom he had probably healed of a leprosy. This was on the third day before his crucifixion; so sedate and composed was his mind; and this supper was attended with a more splendid and more magnificent circumstance than the feasts of kings ever presented: Lazarus, whom our Lord raised from the dead after he had seen corruption, "was one of those who sat at meat," and thus graced the triumph of this conqueror of sin and death.

The temptation of Jesus was preceded by a fast of forty days, resembling in its duration and miraculous nature the fasts of Moses and Elias. As he entered on his ministry immediately afterwards, he thus taught us a general lesson, that we should fast on solemn occasions: a duty practised by the prophets and teachers who separated Paul and Barnabas to the work of the ministry; and by Paul and Barnabas themselves, when they ordained elders in the churches of Asia, and commended their converts to the Lord in whom they believed. We have not even an intimation in the Gospels that our Lord fasted at other times. In one place indeed he regulated fasting as an acknowledged duty, and carefully removed from it all ostentation: and in another, he observed to his apostles that great acts of faith were not to be exerted in performing the most signal miracles, but by that higher degree of goodness to the attainment of which prayer and fasting contributed. But it is plain that he seemed remarkable to the disciples of John and of the Pharisees for not exercising his disciples in acts of abstinence. When questioned about this, he assigned two reasons: that he would not anticipate the days of fasting which his afflicted and persecuted disciples would observe after his departure from them; and that he would not discou-

---

1 Matt. xxvi. 6, and parallel places.
rage new converts by requiring of them those severer duties which belonged to persons of full growth in religion, and capable of going on to perfection. This latter argument was not directly stated by him, but delicately insinuated by the following similitudes. The conduct which is expected from me would be like adding a piece of new and unwrought cloth to an old garment: or like putting new wine into old bottles of leather, which would burst them by its fermentation: or like setting new wine before one who had immediately partaken of old wine. And as our Lord was so cautious not to give his religion a disgusting appearance, its true friends should follow his example; not expecting in any man a deadness to human society and human enjoyments; not exacting austerities which God has not commanded; not laying a great stress on indifferent or frivolous observances, which serve only to burden and disquiet men; not prescribing a particular kind of food, on certain days and at certain seasons, to all Christians in all places; but for the most part leaving to general rules and to private discretion the total and partial, the stated and occasional abstinence from lawful gratifications of our natural appetites. Our

Luk. ix. 23. Lord's strongest precept is, "If any man be willing to come after me, let him deny himself, and take up his cross daily, and follow me:" whoever desires to be my follower at this particular time must undergo a peculiar degree of self-denial, and must expose his life daily. The true self-denial of Christians in these ages is abstinence from vice: though all must allow that prudential acts of self-denial, in matters of an indifferent nature, are incumbent on them, by way of moral discipline, and of subserviency to the habit of self-government.

Our Lord has abolished the Mosaic distinction of
meats by the following solemn decision: "Hear and understand: Not that which entereth into the mouth defileth a man:" meaning that the kind of food did not defile him, though the excessive degree might. The defilement which his disciples should avoid is that of the mind and conscience, by evil thoughts, words, and actions.

- I do not find that the virtue of temperance is any where expressly inculcated by our Lord; though I need not say that it is repeatedly enjoined in the writings of his apostles. Purity of heart, and a strict command of the eye, that it wander not to illicit objects, are taught and enforced by him; and impurity is censured and forbidden. However, there are not wanting passages in our Lord’s short instructions where excess is strongly condemned. "Take heed lest at any time your hearts be overcharged with surfeiting and drunkenness." And sudden and final destruction is twice denounced against the evil servant who shall say in his heart, My Lord delayeth his coming; and shall eat and drink and be drunken.

Sect. VI.—Of our Lord’s meekness.

The regulation of anger is an important part of self-government: and this virtue was eminently displayed by our Lord on many occasions. He has also both made it the subject of a precept, and pronounced a blessing on it: "Blessed are the meek; for they shall inherit the earth:" they shall enjoy quiet, conciliate friends, and escape injuries. And he has forewarned us that improper anger will incur punishment: "Whoever is angry with his brother without a cause shall be in danger of the judgment." In another place he finely reproved the unjust anger of the Jews against

\[ u \text{ Matt. v. 22. } \] See p. 20.
the Gentiles, on account of their admission into the same external privileges with themselves under the Christian covenant. The parable to which I allude prophetically represents the elder brother as angry, and refusing to partake of the feast which his compassionate father had prepared on the younger brother’s return.

In our Lord’s conduct towards his disciples there appears as much mildness and gentleness, as there was dulness and unbelief among them. When they contended which should be the greatest, he gave them the softest reproof by setting a child before them, and teaching them that Christian greatness was to be obtained by imitating such lowliness. When the resentment of James and John would have led them to consume the Samaritans by fire from heaven for their inhospitality, there was a quickness in his manner suited to the occasion; but the censurer wore a benign aspect, and suggested a palliation of their conduct in their ignorance: “He turned and rebuked them, and said, Ye know not what manner of spirit ye are of: for the Son of man is not come to destroy men’s lives, but to save them.” When the twelve so unseasonably contended for superiority on the night of the paschal supper, there was much kindness in his manner of correcting their false ideas. Having observed the difference between his kingdom and worldly ones, exaltation among his followers depending on their humility; he proposed to them his own example during his intercourse with them, turned their views to future greatness in his spiritual kingdom, and enforced his gracious words by a most eminent act of humility in washing their feet; an office of condescension which he exhorted them to imitate in their general conduct towards each other. When, during his agony in the

garden of Gethsemane, Peter, James, and John, though commanded to watch and pray, slept through sorrow; though himself in an afflicted state of mind, which is most prone to impatience, and though not insensible of his slighted authority, of their culpable security in the midst of danger, and of their unconcern at his own anguish of soul, yet he softened his expostulation by an excuse, "The spirit indeed is willing, but the flesh is weak."

There was great moderation in our Lord's behaviour towards Judas. When this apostle murmured against Mary for anointing Jesus with precious ointment, pretending that the price might have been given to the poor, but in fact regretting that he lost an opportunity of partly converting it to his own use, our Lord enlarged on the piety of Mary's deed, not on the hypocrisie and avarice of the man who was on the point of betraying him. "Let her alone: she hath wrought a good work on me. For ye have the poor with you always, and whenever ye will ye can do them good: but me ye have not always. She hath done what she had in her power: she hath aforehand anointed my body to the embalming." Grotius has observed, that when Jesus, at the paschal supper, privately marked out the traitor to John by giving him a portion of the food before them, he showed his very great lenity, and even at that time provoked him to repentance by an act which was one way of testifying affection. We may add, that immediately before he had condescended to wash Judas's feet in common with the other apostles; not angrily repelling him, but obscurely intimating his intended perfidy, "Ye are clean, but not all." And in the very execution of his treason he thus ad-

* Matt. xxvi. 41. See Tillot-
dressed Judas: "Companion, wherefore art thou come?" What end canst thou propose to thyself? and how hath my conduct deserved this from thee? And when, notwithstanding this gentleness, Judas "drew near to him and kissed him," 'making an emblem of homage and love the signal of scorn and contempt, as well as of treachery and villainy,' our Lord's words were, "Judas, betrayest thou the Son of man with a kiss?" He gave him no upbraiding appellation; but simply declared his knowledge that this seeming mark of benevolence was used for the purpose of discriminating him to those whom his relentless enemies sent to apprehend him.

Observe the mildness of our Lord's expostulation when the Jews were about to stone him. "Many good works have I shown you from my Father: for which of those works do ye stone me?" When the high priest Ananias commanded Paul to be smitten, this great apostle betrayed a warmth which, on recollection, he becomingly retracted; "God shall smite thee, thou whitened wall: for sittest thou to judge me after the law, and commandest me to be smitten contrary to the law?" But with what composure does the meek Jesus address the officer who struck him at the same tribunal! "If," in the course of my preaching, "I have spoken evil, bear witness of the evil: but if well, why smitest thou me?"

Our Lord's meek and placable disposition appeared in the strongest light when he interceded for his murderers, not on a calm foresight of his sufferings, nor "upon cool consideration after the injury was done," but at the very time when they were nailing him to the cross, and probably in the midst of their insults; when a sense of the wrong and indignity might natu-

---

*a* Hale's Contemplations, p. 60.  
*b* Tillotson on Matt. v. 44.  
*Lardner's Sermons,* ii. 55, &c.
rally have been expressed, and assertions of innocence might naturally have been uttered\(^c\).

There are occasions indeed when our Lord showed a becoming sensibility of wrong conduct.

When his disciples rebuked those who brought to him young children, that he might lay his hands on them, and pray for them, he was moved with indignation that the piety of those who brought them, and his own act of benevolence, should be thus interrupted.

When watched by the scribes and Pharisees, that they might find matter of accusation against him if he restored a man’s withered hand on the sabbath, we read that he looked round on them with anger: but it was an anger mixed with grief and compassion for their hardness of heart; it was a just and generous resentment of their dissembled malevolence, who could think the sabbath profaned by an act of humanity, and sanctified by compassing the death of him who performed it.

There are also instances in our Lord’s parables where anger is not only mentioned as a natural and justifiable effect in the circumstances supposed to exist among men, but is ultimately ascribed to God himself. The king of the wicked servant was reasonably moved with anger against him, because he had no compassion on his fellow-servant who owed him an hundred pence,\(^{34}\) when his lord had forgiven him ten thousand talents. The rejection of the gospel by the Jews was foretold under the following parables: one, of a certain man who made a great supper, and bade many, and who

\(^{c}\) Οὐ μὴν ἐγνέοις σίδας, ἀ νάρων
Ἄληθα τοῦ δῆμος τοῦ ἄνθρωπος,
'Ερώτησαι μ’ ἐν ἑδύτα κάνεις; ἈΘΛΙΟΥ Προμέθη. 1991.
Seest thou this, awful Themis, and thou Aether,
Through whose pure azure floats the general stream
Of liquid light, seest thou what wrongs I suffer? Potter.

NEWCOME.
Lak. xiv. 11. was angry at the rude excuses which all unanimously sent him: another, of a king who made a marriage-feast for his son; and, when he not only suffered the indignity of neglect, but the outrage of having his servants contumeliously treated and slain, was prompted Mat. xxii. 7. by a just anger to send forth his armies and destroy those murderers.

When our Lord blasted the barren fig tree, he pronounced the words, “Let no man eat fruit of thee for ever,” with his usual majesty and sedateness. His action was not the result of disappointment, because he hungered; but it arose from his fixed attention to convey important truths in the most lively manner. And when Peter observed on the morrow that the fig tree which Jesus had cursed was withered away, he probably used a Hebrew-Syriac phrase, signifying that the tree had been destroyed by Jesus’s powerful word.

Our Lord used severe language for the first time, after the scribes and Pharisees had attributed his miracles to Satan. But he did not call them evil men, a race of vipers, an evil and adulterous generation, till he had first confuted their blasphemy with great composure and irresistible strength of argument. Then indeed he boldly rebuked them, formidable as their power and authority were. But that his reproofs were not dictated by a sense of personal wrong appears from his own words: “Whosoever speaketh a word against the Son of man, it shall be forgiven him.”

Lak. xi. 39–52. It must also be remarked that our Lord’s sharp language to the Pharisees, scribes, and teachers of the law, while he sat at meat with one of them, was owing to their blasphemy of the Holy Spirit, which had immediately preceded. Upon this account he reproved their

\[d\] Had become שור. Mark xi. 14, 21. See Heb. vi. 8; Deut. xxviii. 18.
Of our Lord’s meekness.

rapacious, wicked, and senseless conduct; their neglect of justice and the love of God; their pride and hypocrisy; their violation of the law which they taught; their flagrant inconsistency; and their obstinate rejection of his kingdom, in which a perfect knowledge of salvation was to be obtained, and their strenuous endeavours to exclude others from the glorious privileges offered them.

Let us observe how different his manner of expressing himself was before the Jews extorted from him this asperity. A woman who had been a sinner anointed Jesus’s feet while he was eating bread in the house of a Pharisee. “And when the Pharisee who had bidden him saw it, he spake within himself, saying, This man, if he were a prophet, would have known who and what manner of woman this is that toucheth him: for she is a sinner.” Questioning Jesus’s knowledge may well seem, to those who consider it apart from the series of the history, a stronger reason for reproof than wondering, as in the last instance, that he did not wash before he partook of food. But observe the contrast. At this time he thus engaged attention to a mild vindication of himself: “Simon, I have somewhat to say unto thee.” And when he assured the woman of forgiveness, “and they that sat at meat with him began to say within themselves, Who is this that even forgiveth sins?” he was silent on the disparaging idea, and said to the woman, “Thy faith hath saved thee” from the guilt and punishment of thy sins: “go in peace.” When he was secretly charged with blasphemy for pronouncing forgiveness of sins to a paralytic, his mild expostulation was, “Wherefore think ye evil in your hearts?” When the Jews sought to kill him for healing on the sabbath, and calling God his
Jo. v. 38, 44. Father, his strongest language to them was, “Ye have not God’s word abiding in you.” “How can ye believe, who receive honour one of another, and seek not the honour which cometh from the only God?” When his disciples were censured by the Pharisees for rubbing and eating ears of corn on the sabbath, he contented himself with showing by examples and arguments that they condemned the guiltless. And at another time, when the scribes and Pharisees insidiously watched his conduct, in order to arraign it if he healed on the sabbath, an indignant look and a firm perseverance in his benevolent purpose sufficed him. In his sermon on the mount he only once named them, when he asserted, with a dispassionate and becoming authority, that greater righteousness than theirs was necessary in his disciples: and in other places of that discourse he avoided express mention of them, when his subject led him to censure their vices.

I observe a difference in the conduct of John the Baptist, who called the Pharisees and Sadducees a race of vipers, when he saw many of them coming to his baptism. He used this form of address as a prophet who had authority from above; and he began with it in a way suited to the austerity of his character. But to proceed.

When a ruler of the synagogue had indignation because Jesus healed on the sabbath, our Lord, knowing his heart, charged him with an hypocritical zeal for the sabbath, while his object was to stir up the people against Jesus. The man was an enemy to Jesus and his doctrine: but Jesus’s reply made him and all his adversaries ashamed.

Luk. xiii. 15, 17. The charge of hypocrisy was often brought by our Lord against the Jewish rulers: but not till they had
filled up the measure of their unbelief and impiety. Then he who knew the recesses of their hearts freely exposed the pollution that dwelt there.

At the feast of tabernacles, six months before Jesus's death, the Pharisees and chief priests sent officers to Jo. vii. 32. apprehend him. The day following they artfully attempted to set him at variance with Moses, when they Jo. viii. 5. placed before him a woman taken in adultery. And when these intentional murderers claimed God for ver. 37, 41. their Father, the falsehood of the pretension seems to have animated his zeal like the profanation of the temple; and he answered, “Ye are not of God,” “Ye ver. 44. are of your father the devil,” and in seeking my life ye are desirous to execute such devices as your father always conceived. For “he was a murderer from the beginning,” and, to compass his purpose on the first progenitors of the human race, “abode not in the truth,” but seduced them by falsehoods. And when the Jews thus replied, “Say we not well that thou art ver. 48, 52. a Samaritan, and hast a demon?” and again, “Now we know that thou hast a demon;” it was in reference to these words that he said, “Ye know not the Father, but I know him: and if I should say that I know him not, I should speak falsely like you.”

In our Lord's last address to the scribes and Pharisees, every one must be struck with the severity of his reproofs. He called them hypocrites, fools, and blind; serpents, a race of vipers, and sons of hell: their ostentation, pride, love of spiritual dominion, extortion, and murderous disposition, were represented by him in the keenest terms and with the liveliest energy. But his reprehensions were, if I may so speak, commissioned lightning of heaven*, directed against the worst of men masked under the appearance of superior sanctity.

* Πηγάζεται, Ἕβρων, συνεκίη τοῖς ποιητάσσου.
Christ was an inspired prophet, and a searcher of the reins and heart: and those whom he reproved sough to murder him; and blasphemed the Holy Spirit, contrary to intuitive evidence. His rebukes were stern, but just; indignant, but dictated by virtuous indignation; earnest and vehement, but the grave language of insulted majesty, of perfect goodness detesting vice, and of perfect knowledge penetrating all its disguises and aggravations. The men and their vices were distinguished; and their punishment was tenderly deplored while it was denounced.

Let us now observe how our Lord's censures of his disciples were circumstanced. When Peter, in the name of the other apostles, asked him about the position which he had advanced, "Not that which entereth into the mouth defileth a man, but that which goeth out of the mouth;" he replied, "Are ye also still without understanding," after so long an intercourse with me, and such repeated instructions? It was expedient to quicken their attention; lest, like the Jews, hearing they should not hear, and seeing they should not see. When our Lord foretold his many sufferings, and Peter rebuked him, Jesus turned himself about, and when he had surveyed his disciples in such a manner as to fix their attention, he thus sharply animadverted on Peter, Remove from my sight, thou adversary of God and man: thou art an impediment to the reception of my religion: for thy conceptions are not suitable to the plan of God's providence, but to the expectations of worldly-minded men. It was necessary to teach the apostles not to be ashamed of a crucified Saviour, to correct their prejudices in an awakening manner, and to check the ambitious desire of secular preeminence which clave to them so strongly. But this was an

\(^1\) Possibly, Thou raisest mine anger. See Matt. xv. 12.
exercise of authority without anger: for, six days after, Jesus distinguished Peter by admitting him among the witnesses of his transfiguration, and of the voice which addressed him from the excellent glory. Again: on the evening of his resurrection our Lord appeared to the eleven, and "upbraided them with their unbelief and hardness of heart, because they believed not those who had seen him after he was risen." And he thus began his reply to the two disciples who went to Emmaus on the day of his resurrection: "O inconsiderate, and slow of heart to believe all which the prophets have spoken!" But our Lord's censures of his friends and of his enemies were wholesome admonitions or authoritative corrections; and were uttered by one who had a supernatural knowledge that they were deserved. A prophet is sent for reproof as well as instruction, and can alone give both unerringly.

SECT. VII.—Of our Lord's humility.

"He that humbleth himself shall be exalted," is among our Lord's favourite maxims: and he has declared that "whosoever shall humble himself as a little child, the same is greatest in the kingdom of heaven."

We shall obtain a right idea of Christian humility by observing that the sacred writers oppose it to pride and vainglory; that it consists in not thinking more highly of ourselves than we ought to think, and disposes us to prefer one another in honour, and to maintain such sobriety in our self-love, and such candour towards others, as readily to esteem wise and good men wiser and better than ourselves.

6 Luke xxiv. 25. Tillotson renders ἄρχων by unwise, Serm. cxxxvi. p. 230: and our transla-
This eminent Christian virtue is rarely recommended in heathen writers, though its opposite vices are often condemned. Our Lord was himself an illustrious example of it. And "let the same mind be in us which was also in Christ Jesus: who, having been" originally "in the form of God, did not covet to be like God," and seize on the divine resemblance as a prey: "but emptied himself" of his heavenly glory, "and took on him the form of a servant, and was made in the likeness of man; and, when found in fashion as a man, humbled himself" still more, "and became obedient unto death, even the death of the cross." And in another place our Lord's act of humiliation in leaving the bosom of his Father is thus beautifully used as an argument for a liberal relief of the Jewish Christians.

---

b Ea omnia quae proborum, demissorum, non acerium, non pertinentium, non illicitissorum, non acerborum sunt, valde benevolentiam conciliant. Cic. de Ora-

Nobiscum vivit, multum demissus homo? Illi
Tardo ac cognomen pingui damus.

See Bentley and Sanadon. Dacier in his Life of Plato has referred us to the following passage, as a proof that the heathens knew this virtue:

'He (ὁ λεγόμενος) ὃ μὲν εἴδαμνόν οὖν μέλλων ἐξάλησεν, ξυνίστατα ταπεινός καὶ κενωμενός' ὃ δὲ τις ἑαυτῷ ἐπὶ μεγαλαυχία, ἥ χρήματα ἐπικράτειμος, ἥ τιμία, ἢ καὶ σόφος εὐ-μορφὸς,—καταλείπεται ἦρμος Θεοῦ.

"He who would be happy adheres to justice, and follows her humble and composed: but he who is elated by pride, or elevated by wealth, honour, or beauty, —is left destitute of the Deity."

And humility is in fact the virtue described by Aristotle, as rightly disposing us with respect to inferior honours; and which he praises as sober, manly, and elegant. Its extremes are an undue love of such honours as are dispensed in common life, and an undue neglect of them: and he observes that it had no name. Tαπεινός and its derivatives denoted at that time an abject mind, not a lowly one. See Eth. Nicom. l. ii. ch. 7; l. iv. ch. 4.
by the church of Corinth: "Ye know the" abundant 2 Cor. viii. 9.
"bounty" and munificence "of our Lord Jesus Christ, that, though he was rich" in his preexisting state, "yet for your sakes he became poor, that ye through his poverty might be rich."

But let us particularly consider our Lord's humility, after that wonderful instance of it which he gave by his assumption of human nature.

Though a descendant of king David, he was born of obscure parents; and though the place of his nativity was Bethlehem, the city of David, yet he dwelt so long in Nazareth as to be called the inhabitant of a city Mar. xiv. 67. which was held in mean estimation, and was in a province out of which arose no prophet. "He grew up as Is. liii. 2. a root out of a dry ground; he had no form nor comeliness, that we should behold him; nor appearance, that we should desire him."

When he entered on his ministry, "he chose the foolish things of the world to confound wise men, and the weak things of the world to confound the things which were mighty." His apostles were men of inferior birth and occupations. And for this choice many wise reasons are discoverable: but at present I mean only to observe that it illustrates our Lord's lowliness of mind; who thus characterised his gospel, that it was preached to the poor; and who repeatedly made it a Matt. xi. 5. ground of thanksgiving to God, that his converts were men of an humble class; not scribes and teachers of the law, who were wise and prudent in their own con-

---

Of our Lord's humility.

And how did our Lord conduct himself to these obscure men whom he chose? He was among them as one that ministered. He set them an example of condescension by performing the menial office of washing their feet; agreeably to the manner in which he speaks of himself, that he "came not to be ministered unto, but to minister;" and to his exhortation, "He that is greatest among you, let him be as the younger: and he that is chief, as he that ministereth." "

There was also the greatest condescension in his general behaviour. We read that he instructed a woman of Samaria, and at the request of the Samaritans remained two days in one of their cities; that he said to the messengers of a Roman centurion whose servant lay sick at a distance, "I will come and heal him;" that he sat at meat in Levi's house with many publicans and sinners; that he ate bread at different times with Pharisees; that he thus addressed Zacchaeus, a chief of the publicans, "This day I must abide in thy house;" and that he took young children in his arms, laid his hands on them, and blessed them.

His mind was not raised by the power of working miracles. The idea of the glory which redounded to himself from so splendid a gift seemed to be wholly banished. When of ten lepers cleansed by him, only one turned back, and with a loud voice glorified God, and also fell down on his face at Jesus's feet, giving him thanks; his remark was, "There are not found that returned to give glory to God, save this stranger. He loses all consideration of himself at such seasons.

k Luke xxii. 26. I think that this verse and ver. 27 refer to the act of washing the feet of the disciples.
"Return to thine house," said he to the demoniac of Luk. viii. Gadara, "and relate what things God hath done unto thee."

At another time we find him hiding the lustre of his own infinite power; and, when he came to the house where Jairus's daughter lay dead, expressing himself in the following unostentatious figure; "The damsel is Mar. v. 39. not dead, but sleepeh." There was the same humility in his manner on a like occasion: "Our friend Lazarus sleepeh; but I go that I may awake him out of sleep!"

It has already been observed how often the most illustrious displays of his miraculous power were followed by the humblest acts of devotion. It is also well worthy of our attention, that after his transfiguration, and that astonishing miracle of healing the demoniac whom the disciples could not heal, while all wondered at all the things which Jesus did, he said to his apostles, as if to soften the dazzling manifestation of his glory, "Let these words sink down into your ears; for the Son of man is about to be betrayed into the hands of men."

I have also before remarked that there was no superfluous display of power in our Lord's miracles; that he sometimes forbade divulging them partly from the principle of humility; and that he refused the personal attendance of some who had been healed by him, rejecting such a proud attestation to his mighty

---

1 John xi. 11. St. Paul seems to imitate our Lord's manner of not sounding a trumpet before him on performing a great miracle. When he restored Eutychus to life, who had fallen from the third loft and was taken up dead, "he went down, and fell on him, and embracing him, said, Trouble not yourselves, for his life is in him." Acts xx. 10. See p. 276.

m P. 286, part II. ch. i.


o Ibid. sect. 3, p. 273.
works. Indeed, during one of his progresses about Galilee, certain women accompanied him who had been healed of evil spirits and infirmities; but a reason is assigned, that they ministered to our Lord and to his apostles of their substance.

He did not attract the notice of him who presided at the marriage feast, and of the guests, when he wrought his first miracle in Cana of Galilee. The servants only, who drew the water, were immediate witnesses to its miraculous change into wine. The miracle was left to proclaim itself.

When he restored the lame man at the pool of Bethsaida, he immediately withdrew himself, a multitude being in the place.

When he raised Jairus’s daughter, he suffered none to enter into the house but Peter, James, and John, and the father and mother of the damsel.

When two blind men followed him as he was passing from Jairus’s house in Capernaum, he restored not their sight publicly in the city, but delayed touching their eyes till he entered into Matthew’s house.

When he opened the ears and loosed the tongue of one that was deaf and had an impediment in his speech, he took him aside from the multitude.

He charged Peter, James, and John not to disclose his transfiguration to any one till he should rise from the dead: and afterwards he made these very apostles, who saw his majesty on the holy mountain, the sole witnesses of his agony in the garden of Gethsemane.

At another time he hastened to heal a demoniac dreadfully afflicted, because he saw that the multitude was running together.
Of our Lord's humility.

When ten lepers implored his compassion, he said to them, "Go show yourselves unto the priests:" an indirect and humble way of pronouncing them whole.

Knowing, however, that miracles were the seal of heaven to his divine mission, he sometimes brought them forth to view, that they might work a proper degree of conviction. When the woman who had laboured under an issue of blood twelve years came behind, while the multitude was thronging and pressing him, and touched the hem of his garment, under a full persuasion that by this act she should be healed, and instantly perceived her recovery; Jesus, who knew within himself the power which had proceeded from him, consigned not the wonderful transaction to silence and oblivion, but caused the woman to discover the whole of it to his disciples and to the multitude. Again: when he fed about five thousand men, besides women and children, with five loaves and two fishes, he said to his disciples, "Gather together the fragments which remain, that nothing be lost. They therefore gathered them together, and filled twelve baskets with the fragments of the five barley loaves which remained unto them that had eaten." And besides the distribution of these fragments among the multitude to serve them on the way, which seems to be the force of the reason given for collecting them, our Lord might further design to impress on his disciples the reality and greatness of the miracle; a conduct which their hardened heart made too necessary.

7 When the emperor's ambassadors had an audience of Selymus II, A.D. 1567, a Turk standing at the sultan's right side, with all reverence taking up the hem of his garment, gave it them in their hands to kiss. Knolles, p. 834, ed. 1603, fol. So p. 564: The ambassadors at Constantinople kiss the hem of the basha's garment. "Our Aga kissed the hem of the basha's garment." Ives's India, p. 362.
And I conjecture that our Lord inquired the name of the Gadarene demoniac, knowing that he would answer, “My name is Legion, for we are many;” and designing to illustrate the greatness of his power in healing so malignant a disorder.

We must also remark that our Lord willingly yielded the superiority to his disciples in the magnitude and splendour of their respective miracles; not speaking with tongues as they did, nor communicating spiritual gifts so largely and generally. “He that believeth on me, the works that I do shall he do also; and greater works than these shall he do, because I go to my Father.”

We must further observe with regard to his miracles, that he humbly ascribed them to his Father. “The works which the Father hath given me to finish, ... bear witness of me.” “The Father, who dwelleth in me, he doeth the works.”

I have already enumerated the occasions on which our Lord chose rather to benefit his hearers than to display his boundless knowledge*. And though his prophecies were so many and so precise as fully to prove his divine mission, yet were they introduced in the most natural manner; and, as I have before observed†, many important events relating to his church were left to be foretold by his apostles after the effusion of the Spirit. That most remarkable prophecy, in which the destruction of Jerusalem was so circumstantially foretold, was delivered to Peter, James, John, and Andrew apart on the mount of Olives.

There is also much humility in our Lord’s manner of referring his doctrine to his Father. “My doctrine is not mine, but his that sent me.” “As my Father hath taught me, I speak these things.” “The word

Mar. v. 9.
Jo. xiv. 12.
Jo. v. 36.
Jo. xiv. 10.
Mar. xiii. 3.
Jo. vii. 16.
Jo. viii. 28.
Jo. xiv. 24.
See ch. xii.
49, 50; xiv.
* Part I. ch. ii. sect. 9.
† Ibid. ch. iii. sect. 1, p. 236.
which ye hear is not mine, but the Father's who sent me."

When he had miraculously fed a great multitude, and their language was, "This is truly that prophet who should come into the world;" he constrained his disciples, by uncommon earnestness of persuasion, to enter into a ship and go before him to the other side of the lake: and it is probable that the prejudices of the disciples made them unwilling to comply, when they had heard from so many an acknowledgment of their master's prophetic character, and perhaps expected from them the strongest marks of their attachment. The reason of our Lord's conduct is manifest. He knew that the people were about to come and take him by force to make him a king"; and therefore he dismissed his apostles, commanded the multitude to disperse, and retired to a mountain himself alone.

Our Lord sought not his own glory, but his that sent him. He received not testimony or honour from men; but deemed them of no account when compared with the witness and approbation of God. He particularly taught his followers to avoid ostentation in religious duties; and assured them that if, in the performance of such actions, their end was the praise of men, they had their reward. His exhortation with the Jewish rulers was, "How can ye believe who receive honour one of another, and seek not the honour which cometh from the only God?" Their crime consisted in their undue estimation of human honour, and in their comparative disregard to so high and noble an object as the praise of God. They did "all their works to be seen of men;" and "sought the praise of men more than the praise of God." But our Lord sometimes mentioned the praise of men as a natural and desirable

^ John vi. 15. See Benson's Life of Christ, p. 295.
Of our Lord’s humility.

attendant on right actions: for he knew that we could not be insensible to worldly reputation, and that our pursuit of it was to be wisely regulated, and not forbidden. He placed it among the motives to humility; “When thou art bidden to a marriage feast, go and sit down in the lowest place; that when he that bade thee cometh, he may say unto thee, Friend, go up higher: then shalt thou have honour in the presence of them that sit at meat with thee.” And when Mary anointed him with very precious ointment, he not only called it a good work, but added, “Verily I say unto you, wheresoever this gospel shall be preached in the whole world, there shall also that, which this woman hath done, be told for a memorial of her.” He taught us the value of just praise by bestowing it on the faith of the Samaritan leper, of the blind man near Jericho, of the centurion, of the woman who had been a sinner, of the Syrophœnician woman, and of her who was persuaded that she should be healed if she only touched the hem of his garment: by commending and distinguishing Peter for confessing him to be the Christ, by extolling the charity of the widow who cast two mites into the treasury, and by passing a direct and high encomium on the character of Nathanael, “Behold an Israelite indeed, in whom there is no guile!”

When our Lord spake of himself, there is often a striking delicacy. “I have sent you to reap that whereon ye have not laboured: others have laboured, and ye are entered into their labours.” “I say unto you, That in this place is somewhat greater than the temple.” Substitute the first person instead of the humble periphrasis in the following passages among many other,

See Prov. xxii. 1; Eccles. vii. 1; Rom. xiv. 18; Phil. iv. 8; 1 Tim. iii. 7.
Luk. xiv. 10.

Mat. xxvi. 10, 13.

Luk. xvii. 18.
Luk. xviii. 42.
Mat. viii. 10.
Luk. vii. 50.
Mat. xv. 28.
Mar. v. 34.
Mat. xvi. 16.
Mar. xii. 43, 44.
Jo. iv. 38.

x John i. 47. See Tillotson’s Sermon on the last text, fol. p. 8.
7 Matt. xii. 6. μὲν οὖν is the true reading. So our Lord uses πλείων ἵνα καὶ πλείως παραλάβωσι, when he speaks of himself, ver. 41, 42.
and the difference will be very perceptible: "Hereafter Jo. i. 51.
ye shall see heaven opened, and the angels of God asc-
cending and descending on the Son of man." "The Mat. xii. 8.
Son of man is Lord even of the sabbath day." "The Mat.xiii.41.
Son of man shall send forth his angels." "The Son of 
man shall come in the glory of his Father, with his 
angels."

It was humility as well as piety, that led him to 
make and to repeat the following remark: "Whoso-
ever shall speak a word against the Son of man, it 
shall be forgiven him: but unto him that blasphemeth 
against the Holy Spirit, it shall not be forgiven." And, 
acted by the same principles, when one asked him, 
"Good master, what shall I do that I may inherit 
eternal life?" he thus introduced his reply: "Why 
callest thou me good? there is none good but one, that 
is, God."

The learned Dr. Priestley* has ingeniously suggested 
that the mission of the seventy took place shortly be-
fore the feast of tabernacles; and that "perhaps our 
Lord dismissed his train partly with a view to travel 
with less appearance of ostentation." When, on the 
return of the seventy, he had occasion to speak magni-
cficently of the gospel, "he turned him to the disciples, 
and said privately, Blessed are the eyes which see the 
things that ye see: for I tell you that many prophets 
and kings have desired to see those things which ye 
see, and have not seen them; and to hear those things 
which ye hear, and have not heard them."

Once indeed he intimated to Peter his royal descent 
from David. This circumstance was well known to the 
apostles and to other Jews; for he was often addressed 
by the appellation of the Son of David. And I think

that our Lord alludes to the fact on account of the
prophecies relating to it.

There are times also when he asserts his high and
inconceivable dignity; though he humbly left the full
discovery of it to his disciples. "Dost thou believe on
the Son of God?" "The hour is coming, and now is,
when the dead shall hear the voice of the Son of
God\textsuperscript{a}; and they that hear shall live." With respect to
assertions of this kind, it is sufficient to say that our

Lord came into the world that he might bear witness
to the truth; however he might be blasphemed and
persecuted for advancing it. He likewise appealed to
the excellence of his moral character. "Learn of me;
for I am meek and lowly in heart." "I do always
those things that please the Father." "Which of you
convinceth me of sin?" "I am the good shepherd."

Here we must observe that one design of Jesus's com-
ing into the world was to set men a perfect example.
It was therefore suitable to his peculiar circumstances
as a prophet, to turn the eyes of men towards his dis-
position and actions. The yoke of the law was so gall-
ing and grievous, that his pure and spiritual religion
might well be called light and easy: and the Jewish
teachers were so severe and morose, and accordingly
imposed on men such intolerable burdens, that our
Lord's meekness and lowliness, which formed a strong
contrast to their sternness and pride, was a most aus-
picious omen to his followers. And he promoted the
reception of his most useful lessons, by observing that
what he taught was not ideal perfection, but was ex-
emplified in his own life\textsuperscript{b}. The three last passages

\textsuperscript{a} John v. 25. See also ch. x.
\textsuperscript{b} The following passage occurs
36; xi. 4. See more on this subject, p. 1. ch. i. sect. 2.
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occur immediately after our Lord, notwithstanding his many great and good actions, had been traduced as an impostor and demoniac, and the Jewish rulers had sought his life. In such circumstances a vindication of himself was just, and showed a becoming magnanimity and sense of dignity. But we should remember his own words, that, if he had borne witness of himself Jo. v. 31. without further witness, his witness would not have been sufficient and admissible. Whereas, though he bare witness of himself, his witness was true; because he had an heavenly embassy to announce, and because the Father who sent him bare witness of him. Ver. 18.

But when our Lord spake of his personal dignity in the most exalted language, and called God his Father, Jo. v. 17, 19. he added, "The Son can do nothing of himself:" and when, immediately afterwards, he foretold that all who were in the graves should hear his voice and should come forth, he repeated the humble acknowledgment, "I can of mine own self do nothing." Ver. 28, 29.

Nor does this humility forsake him at any time.

any one praises himself to exhort his hearers, and to raise in them emulation and a desire to excel. —For the exhortation which comprehends both actions and words, and an example and incitement of its own, is an animated one; and moves and urges us on, and impels and determines the will, while it inspires hope of an end which is attainable and not impossible." p. 544, ed. Paris. 1624.

c Plutarch justifies self-praise in case of calumny or accusation, or censure of right conduct, and says that it proves elevation of mind and superior virtue. Ibid. p. 540, 541.
After his resurrection, when the glories of heaven were directly in his view, he used this condescending language, "Go to my brethren, and say unto them, I ascend unto my Father and your Father, and unto my God and your God."

When he appeared from heaven to St. Paul, he thus addressed him, "I am Jesus of Nazareth, whom thou persecutest."

And what are his words when he describes himself on the throne of his glory, and surrounded by all his holy angels, at the day of judgment? "Inasmuch as ye have done" a beneficent action "unto one of the least of these my brethren, ye have done it unto me."

What astonishing condescension also will he show in heaven itself! Those who watchfully prepare themselves for the final appearance of their Lord, which is represented to be as uncertain and sudden as the return of a Jewish bridegroom was from a marriage, will receive from him distinguished marks of honour, thus figuratively expressed: "He will gird himself, and make them place themselves at table, and will come and serve them."

**Sect. VIII. — Of our Lord's fortitude.**

Our Lord exhorted his apostles not to fear their persecutors, who killed the body, and could not kill the soul; but rather to fear him who was able to destroy both body and soul in hell. This was an exhortation to fortitude in professing and propagating the true religion. His example taught this duty in its whole extent.

He showed a noble contempt of worldly greatness by appearing in a low condition of life. During his public ministry he had not where to lay his head, some of his pious attendants ministered to him of their sub-
stance, and he paid the tribute money by miracle. He Mat. xvii.
suffered hunger, thirst, and weariness; he was ever "contending
with the dulness of his disciples, the incredulity of his kinsfolk,
and the reproaches and injuries of the Jews. And he "pleased not
himself;" but sub-Rom. xv. 3.
mitted to many and great evils, that he might please
God and benefit mankind.

Let us observe in particular instances what "contra- Heb. xii. 3.
diction of sinners" he endured, and what greatness of
mind he displayed.

When he had pronounced forgiveness of sins to a
paralytic, some of the scribes and Pharisees charged
him with blasphemy for invading God's prerogative.
But they made the accusation in the reasonings of their
hearts, and did not avow it openly. Notwithstanding
this, Jesus, unawed by their authority, firmly but
Mar. ii. 6-
calmly expostulated with them for their evil thought;
and argued that the discernment of a man's moral
state might justly be allowed to him whom God had
vested with the power of working miracles.

Having healed a man on the sabbath, who had la-
boured under an infirmity for thirty and eight years,
the Jews persecuted him and sought to kill him. Jesus
answered, "My Father worketh hitherto, and I work:" Jo. v. 17.
my Father preserves, governs, and benefits the world
without distinction of days; and therefore I also ex-
tend good to men on the sabbath. This mode of ex-
pressing himself furnished the Jews with an additional
reason for seeking his life. Observe now, throughout
the whole of the discourse immediately following, with
what magnanimity our Lord perseveres in the same
language. "The Son can do nothing of himself, but Ver. 19.
what he seeth the Father do." "The Father loveth Ver. 20.
the Son, and sheweth him all things which he himself
doeth." "The Father judgeth no man, but hath com-
mitted all judgment unto the Son, that all men should honour the Son, even as they honour the Father."

Probably on the sabbath after he had restored the lame man at the pool of Bethesda, our Lord intrepidly 

Luk. vi. 1–4. vindicated his disciples against the Pharisees, who had censured them for plucking and eating ears of corn on that day. And, thinking it expedient to wean the Jews from their excessive veneration for the law which he was about to abolish, on the sabbath which next succeeded, though the scribes and Pharisees watched him, he healed a man with a withered hand publicly in the synagogue. This filled them with madness; and they took counsel how they might destroy him.

Ver. 6–11. Afterwards, as he was teaching in one of the synagogues on the sabbath, he restored a woman who had been bowed together eighteen years, confuted the ruler of the synagogue, who with indignation restrained the people from coming to be healed on the sabbath, reproved his hypocrisy, as he concealed many vices under this semblance of piety, and made all his adversaries ashamed.

Luk. xiii. 10–17. Again: as he was eating bread with a ruler of the Pharisees on the sabbath, and those of that powerful sect insidiously observed his conduct, a man with a dropsy stood before them. Jesus said to the teachers of the law and the Pharisees, Is it lawful to heal on the sabbath day? Knowing how invincibly he reasoned on this point, they kept silence. But Jesus “took him, and healed him, and sent him away.” Conscious of his rectitude, he was fearless of their power.

Luk. xiv. 1–6. Once more: at the feast of tabernacles, though it was the sabbath, Jesus made clay and opened the eyes of one blind from his birth: and he wrought this miracle immediately after the Jews had taken up stones to cast at him, and had sent officers to apprehend him.
I do not find in the history of the apostles that they had the disengagement from prejudice, and the courage, to imitate this part of our Lord’s conduct.

There are other instances which show that Jesus paid no deference to the wrong notions of the leading Jews. The scribes and Pharisees murmured because he ate with publicans and sinners in the house of Matthew the publican. This censure did not deter him from saying to Zacchæus, a chief of the publicans, at a time when multitudes surrounded him, “This day I must abide in thine house.”

When the scribes and Pharisees from Jerusalem asked him why his “disciples walked not according to the tradition of the elders, but ate bread with unwashed hands;” he expostulated with them for their hypocrisy, proved to them that they made void the commandment of God by their tradition, characterised them as blind leaders of the blind, and thus introduced his explanation of moral defilement: “He called unto him all the multitude, and said unto them, Hearken unto me, all of you, and understand.”

Another proof of our Lord’s fortitude was, that, although his first preaching at Nazareth had exposed his life to danger, the unbelief, the ingratitude, the outrage and violence of his countrymen, could not divert him from attempting their conversion a second time.

We have seen how undauntedly he reproved his enemies on just occasions; and these were often the Jewish rulers who had his life in their power.

He met death for the wisest and best ends, the glory of God and the salvation of mankind. He astonished his timid disciples by the readiness with which he went before them in the way to Jerusalem, on the approach

---

\[ \text{Part II. sect. 6, p. 322, &c.} \]
Of our Lord's fortitude.

of the passover at which he suffered, when they all knew that his enemies were conspiring against his life; and he himself knew that he should suffer a most painful and ignominious death: he entered the city in a kind of public triumph: in the hearing of the multitude he reproved the vices of the scribes and Pharisees to their face, with unequalled energy, and with words "quick and powerful, and sharper than a two-edged sword:" when Judas rose from the paschal supper to betray him, he said to his disciples with wonderful composure, "Now is the Son of man glorified, and God is glorified through him:"

Heb. iv. 12. It was witnessed, before the high priest and before Pontius Pilate, a good confession; and showed that he voluntarily submitted to death, because he had miraculously preserved his life at the preceding feasts of tabernacles and dedication.

It is natural to object that our Lord's agony was inconsistent with the fortitude which some good men have actually displayed.

I shall give this objection its full force; and shall consider it with the attention which it demands.

We read that our Lord often foretold his sufferings, and many particulars of them; that he most sharply rebuked Peter for wishing them far from him; and that when Moses and Elias appeared to him at his transfiguration, they spake of his departure which he was about to accomplish at Jerusalem. He likewise knew that, according to the ancient prophecies, the Messiah ought to suffer what the Jews inflicted, and to enter into his glory: and accordingly he predicted his resurrection on the third day, his ascension into heaven, and his elevation to his glorious throne. It

---

\[\text{Celsus thus states it, Orig. 1. 2, §. 24. Τῷ οὖν πονηρῷ καὶ ἐξεταὶ παραδραμεῖν, λέγειν ἢδε πνεύμα.} \]

\[\text{O πάτερ κ. λ.} \]
must be added, that his preexisting and divine state
gave him a large and perfect view of this and every
other plan of God's moral government.

On the other hand, we must consider that our Lord
was perfect man, and left men an example that they
should follow his steps. He partook of flesh and blood,
like the children given him by the common Father of
all. "In all things it behoved him to be made like
unto his brethren; that he might be a merciful and
faithful high priest." He said to his apostles, "Ye are
they who have continued with me in my temptations." 18.
"He was in all points tempted like as we are, yet with-
out sin;" that he might be touched with the feeling of
our infirmities. He himself was "compassed with in-
firmity;" that he might pardon the ignorant and erro-
neous, and be moderately and not rigorously affected
towards them.

We must also carefully remark of him, that he pos-
sessed the most exquisite feelings of human nature in
the highest degree. He was susceptible of joy, which
instantly burst forth in devout thanksgiving. He was
prone to compassion, and repeatedly melted into tears.
The innocence of children engaged his affection; his
heart was open to the impressions of friendship; and
when he saw any degree of virtue, he loved it. He
was grieved at unbelief, and had a generous indigna-
tion against vice; and we find him touched with the
quickest sense of his own wrongs: "Are ye come out
as against a thief, with swords and staves, to take
me?"

Sometimes he spake of his sufferings with the great-
est sensibility. "I have a baptism to be baptized with: Luk.xii.50.
and how am I straitened till it be accomplished!"
"Now is my soul troubled: and what shall I say? Fa-
Jo. xii. 27.

ther, save me from this hour. But for this cause came I unto this hour."

Mar.viii.32. It is true that he frequently foretold his death with much composure; and that he sternly reprehended Peter, when, from worldly views, that apostle began to rebuke him for uttering one of these predictions.

The horror of the sharpest sufferings which can be undergone will sometimes be greater, and sometimes less, in the firmest and best minds; as the evil is considered in its own nature, or under the idea of duty and resignation to God. The contest between reason and religion, and the natural dread of the greatest evils, must subsist when the most perfect virtue is called on to suffer them: and where it ends in a becoming resolution, and a pious submission to the wise and great Disposer of all events, the character is a consummate one in a moral and religious view.

Luk. xxii. 25, &c. Let us now turn our eyes to our Lord's conduct on the night before his crucifixion. Nothing can exceed the sedateness, the wisdom, and benevolence, which appear throughout the whole of it at the celebration of the paschal supper. He first gently censured the contention for superiority which had arisen among the apostles. He then illustrated his doctrine of humility by an example of it, in washing their feet. He proceeded to declare with much emotion his knowledge of Judas's ungrateful and perfidious intention: he men-

Jo. xiii. 21. 

§ I gnominias cruciatus et mortis horrorem in Christi carne modo majorem modo minorem fussisse apparat. Grot. in Matt. xvi. 23.

a Aristotle thus describes the man of fortitude: δει φοβερθαν μὴν, ἵππομενε δέ. "Evils must be feared by him, and yet undergone." Magna Mor. p. 160, ed.

du Val. So Eth. Nicom. III. vii. 1. φοβησθαι μὲν οὖν καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα: ὥσ μὲν δὲ, καὶ ὡς λόγος, ὑπομενεῖ, τοῦ καλοῦ ἔννοια. "The man of fortitude will fear human evils; but will undergo them as he ought, and as reason prescribes, for the sake of what is becoming and right."
tioned the aggravations and the dreadful consequences of his guilt; but described the traitor covertly, and addressed him obscurely, till compelled by Judas’s own question to point him out publicly. He exhorted his disciples to mutual love with a paternal affection. In Jo. xiii. 34. consequence of Peter’s declared self-confidence, he foretold his fall; but when Peter vehemently repeated his Mat. xxvi. asseveration, our Lord did not repeat his prediction. 35.

He instituted a most simple, expressive, and useful rite in commemoration of his death; instructed, advised, and comforted his disciples with the most unbounded affection; and closed with a solemn act of piety as Jo. xvii. striking a scene as imagination can conceive of lowliness and benignity, of prudence and wisdom, of decorum and majesty, of composure and resignation.

He then resorted to his accustomed place of retirement, and where he knew that Judas would execute his treason: for he knew all things which should befall Jo. xviii. 4. him.

I shall now inquire what were the causes of that agony

---

1 The word δύνασθαι, Luke xxii. 44, has not so strong a sense as the corresponding one in our language. It properly signifies the fear which men have when they are about to contend with an antagonist: and in this sense is opposed to great fear. When Hector was on the point of engaging with Ajax, the Trojans feared greatly; but Hector only ἤδυνα. See Dionysius Hal. in Clarke’s note on II. vii. 216. Aristotle describes it to be fear at the beginning of an undertaking: φοβεῖν τινα πρὸς δρᾶσιν ἔχον. Probl. ii. 31, p. 692, ed. Du Val. The Stoics defined it to be the fear of an uncertain event: φόβος ἀδύνατον πράγματος. Diog. Laert. Zeno, vii. § 113. p. 435, ed. Amst. 4º. It is twice used by Diodorus Siculo for the anxiety of the Egyptians while the Nile was rising, ed. Wess. p. 44. And an opposite passage is quoted by Lardner on the Logos, p. 7, from Nic. Damascen. apud Vales. excerpt. p. 841, where all are said to be δυναμεῖσθαι, and Julius Caesar to be μετὰ δύνασθαι, while Octavius’s life was in danger from illness. Per catastresin ponitur pro quovis timore, says H. Stephens in voc., and accordingly in Syr. δύνασθαι is rendered by fear, from בְּרֵא timut. See Wetstein in loc.
and deadly sorrow\textsuperscript{k}, of that sore amazement and heavy anguish\textsuperscript{l}, which seized him on the approach of his sufferings; and which drew from him such intense and persevering supplications that God would avert them.

I cannot suppose that he was penetrated with a sense of God's indignation at this time. That is the portion of those only who do evil. A voice from heaven repeatedly pronounced our Lord the beloved Son of God, in whom he was well pleased. And he was now about to evidence his obedience and love to his Father in a most illustrious manner. He was also about to sanctify himself for the sake of his disciples, and of all mankind. And what are his own words? "Therefore doth my Father love me, because I lay down my life that I may take it again."

Nor was Christ at this time under the immediate power of Satan. In the concluding scenes of his life the evil one might be said to "bruise his heel," because he afflicted him by his instruments. After the temptation the devil is said to depart from him "for a season." If the phrase implies that he returned during our Lord's agony and sufferings, what his emissaries and imitators did may be attributed to his agency. When our Lord said to his apostles at the paschal supper, his death was to be the occasion of the victory of God.

\textsuperscript{k} H. Stephens translates the word \textit{ἐκαθαμβώθησαν}, \textit{stupore attonito percellor}, \textit{pavore attonito perturbarer}. He derives it from \textit{θωρ}, \textit{stupeo}. It denotes wonder: see Mark x. 32; Luke iv. 36; v. 9; Acts iii. 10, 11; ix. 6. It also denotes that fear which often accompanies wonder. Compare Mark xvi. 5, 6, with Luke xxiv. 5; Matt. xxviii. 5. The word \textit{ἄδημωσθαι}, \textit{III. i. 199, is explained by Didymus, ἕφωθοθη, ἐκπέλεγη. See Pearson on the Creed, Article Suffered.}

\textsuperscript{l} \textit{Ἄδημωσθαι}, whence \textit{ἄδημωσία}, is derived from \textit{ἄδεω}, \textit{tedio affectio}, \textit{proprie praefatigatione}. "\textit{Alas} signifies satietas; defatigatio, \textit{qua est laboris velut satietas. And Eustathius defines ἄδημωσθαι, one who fails, (animo concidit,) as it were from a satiety of sorrow. 'Ο ἐκ λύπης, ὁς γὰρ καὶ τοὺς κόρου, (ὅτε ἄδεος λέγεται,) διαστρεμένος. See H. Stephens: Reimar's Dion Cassius, p. 924, note, §. 215. Wetstein in loc. Phil. ii. 26.
"The prince of this world cometh," the meaning is, Jo. xiv. 30. that he was coming by those unjust and violent men who resembled him. And again, when Jesus said to the Jewish rulers, "This is your hour, and the power Luk. xxii. of darkness;" he meant the power of wickedness, of men who hated the light, and came not to it lest their deeds should be reproved. But that the mind of Christ was now disquieted and harassed by Satan himself, is a horrid idea, the dictate of gloomy minds, and wholly inconsistent with God's goodness to the Son of Col. i. 13. his love.

Nor was he oppressed and overcome by the sense that he was to bear the sins of mankind in his own body on the tree; and to redeem us from the curse of Gal. iii. 13. the law, being made a curse for us. A foresight of conferring unspeakable benefits on the human race would tend to alleviate, and not to imbitter, the sufferings of the benevolent Jesus: unless at this time he was [judicially] stricken, smitten of God, and afflicted; Is. liii. 4. an idea which the prophet excludes, and which his own sinless rectitude and God's perfect goodness exclude. Though God had wise reasons for not restraining those who afflicted our Lord, yet he was so far from heightening his afflictions above their natural course, that he sent an angel from heaven to strengthen him. Jesus suffered by the wickedness of men; but he was not punished by the hand of God. Nor should his death, and the bitter circumstances preceding it, be considered as a full compensation to strict justice; but

m Luke xxii. 43. That some omitted this part of the history, see Lardner's Cred. vol. III. part ii. 142. Hist. of Heretics, 252, and Grotius's note in loc., who says, Illudabilis fuit et supersticio et tenebras illorum qui hanc particular et sequente de sudore delevere.——Christus destitutus divinitatis in se habitatia virtute, humanæque naturæ relictus, — opus habuit angelorum solatio.
as God's merciful and gracious method of reconciling man to himself.

Those divines entertain the most just and rational notions who do not think that our Lord's broken and dejected spirit was a trial supernaturally induced; but assign natural causes for the feelings which shook his inmost frame. He felt for the wickedness and madness of those who persecuted him in so unrelenting a manner, notwithstanding his beneficent conduct, his laborious and admirable instructions, and the convincing evidences of his divine mission; for the irresolution, timidity, and despondency of his friends, and for the ingratitude, perfidy, and guilt of the wretched and devoted Judas. He foresaw the unjust offence which his death on the cross would give both to Jews and Gentiles; the exemplary destruction of his country; the spirit of hatred and persecution which would arise against his church, and even among those who were called by his name; and the unbelief and sins of mankind, which exposed them to such a weight of punishment here and hereafter. And these and such like painful sensations and gloomy prospects made the deepest impression at a time when he had a lively view of the immediate indignities and insults, of the disgrace and horrid pains of death, which awaited him during the long and sharp trial of his wisdom and goodness a.

When he came to the place where a follower and friend was to betray him, and where the Jews were ignominiously to seize and bind him as a malefactor, the scene excited a perturbation of mind, and he was depressed by sorrow and anguish proportioned to his

a See most of these causes well enlarged on in Dr. Harwood's Dissertation on our 'Saviour's Agony.
exquisite sensibility, the consciousness of his wrongs, and his extensive foresight.

And how did our Lord act under the extreme sorrow which overwhelmed him? He offered up the following prayer to his Father⁰: "My Father, all things which are fit and right are possible with thee: if it be possible, if the wise plan of thy moral government admit of it, let this bitter and deadly cup pass from me: nevertheless, not as I will, but as thou wilt. If this cup of pain and torture cannot pass from me, but that I drink it, thy will be done." He thrice addressed himself to his Father in words of the same import. And being in an agony, having the prospect of an excruciating death immediately before him, he prayed the more intensely: and his body was so affected by the state of his mind, that drops exuded from him, the copiousness of which bore resemblance to drops of blood⁹. The author of the Epistle to the Hebrews observes, that he "offered up prayers and supplications Heb. v. 7. to him who was able to save him from death, with a strong cry and with tears; and was heard" from the Luk. xxii. filial reverence with which he prayed. God adminis-
tered to him extraordinary consolation. But thus far Mat. xxvi. ⁴³.

⁰ Jortin says, after Grotius on Matt. xxvi. 39, "We must ob-
serve that our Lord was made like unto us in all things, sin ex-
cepted; and that, upon this and other occasions, he experienced in himself what we also frequently find within us, two contrary wills, or, to speak more accurately, a strife between inclination and rea-
son; in which cases, though rea-
son gets the better of inclination, we may be said to do a thing willingly, yet with an unwilling mind." Vol. IV. sermon. iii. p. 42. The whole discourse should be attended to by those who study this subject. I likewise recommend a careful perusal of Lard-
ner's Sermons on our Lord's suf-
ferings.

⁴³ P Matt. xxvi. 39, &c. and pa-
rallel places.

⁹ Τὸ δὲ ποιεῖ ἐκεῖνος, καὶ παρα-
only his supplications availed. For the cup of death was not removed from him.

Of this scene our Lord intended to make three of his apostles witnesses: for he advanced only a small distance from them, and the moon was full. But they slept through sorrow; contrary to their Master’s commands, ever given for the gravest reasons, and which should have been particularly obeyed in such circumstances. At the close of it he said, The design for which I separated you from my other disciples being ended, “sleep on now, and take your rest.” On uttering these words, he heard the approach of those who came to apprehend him, and immediately added, “It is enough: the hour is come: behold, the Son of man is betrayed into the hand of sinners: rise, let us advance: behold, he who betrayeth me is at hand.”

Here some observations are necessary.

Heb. ii. 10. The Captain of our salvation, who was made perfect through sufferings, set a most useful example to his followers who were doomed to undergo the same fiery trial. He gave them no lesson of a proud and stoical insensibility. The natural evils of life he treated as evils*; and a violent death by lingering torture, as the greatest natural evil.

He foresaw that some of his disciples would madly

— Mark xiv. 41. The word ἀνέκαθιν, which Hesychius explains by ἀνέχασθαι ἀνέκαθιν, seems a retracting of what he had just allowed. “But enough of sleep.” He is represented as speaking to the instant.

* With a view to the evils which are thick sown in life, or, perhaps, to the persecutions of his followers, he observed, that “sufficient unto the day was the evil thereof.” Matt. vi. 34. He spoke the language of nature, when he called the temporal advantages of riches good things, and Lazarus’s pain and poverty evil things; Luke xvi. 25: and again, when he thus foretold Peter’s crucifixion, that another should gird him, and carry him whither he would not. Jo. xxii. 18.
Of our Lord's fortitude.

1. S. court persecution. But he gave no sanction to such enthusiasm by his own conduct. He had before taught them to use prudence in avoiding persecution; and he now taught them to pray against it with perseverance and earnestness, but at the same time with the most entire resignation. And this is true constancy in a Christian martyr, if he first fervently prays against sufferings which every man must abhor, and then firmly undergoes them, if it is God's will not to avert them from him. It was fit that our Lord's example in this respect should be openly proposed to the world; and I believe that every sober and pious Christian, of the greatest constitutional fortitude, has publicly or secretly followed it, from the irresistible bent of human nature.

Our Lord also taught Christians in all ages, what the depravity of the world made it necessary for many to bear in mind, that a state of the sharpest sufferings was consistent with the favour of God; and that the most perfect innocence, and the brightest prospect of future glory, could not overcome the natural horror of them. To prevent despair in any, he made himself a pattern to the weakest and tenderest of mankind. "He sanctified the passion of fear, and hallowed natural sadnesses, that we might not think the infelicities of our nature and the calamities of our temporal condition to become criminal, so long as they make us not to omit a duty.—He that fears death, and trembles at the approximation of it, and yet had rather die again than sin once, hath not sinned in his fear: Christ hath hallowed it, and the necessitous condition of his nature is his excuse."

---

1 Lardner's Testimonies ii. 174. 358; iii. 349, 351. On Heretics, p. 238.


---

x Bishop Taylor's Life of Christ, p. 488.
I have supposed that our Lord prayed against his death, and not against his dejection of mind; agreeably to his words in another place, where his crucifixion must be meant: "Shall I not drink of the cup which my Father hath given me?" I do not else see how the apostle’s words have due force, where he observes that our Lord prayed to him who was able to save him from death. I cannot else understand St. Matthew’s words, "O my Father, if this cup may not pass from me unless I drink it, thy will be done:" which must refer to a future cup of suffering, and not to one which he had already drunk. Nor do the strong expressions used by our Lord admit of the other supposition. He could not doubt whether it were possible that God could remove from him his discomposure and dismay.

I say then that our Lord prayed against his death:

“My Father, if it be possible, let this cup pass from me.” “Father, all things are possible with thee: remove this cup from me.” “Father, if thou be willing to remove from me this cup, well.” However, he immediately added words to this effect: "nevertheless, not my will, but thine, be done." But how could he pray against an event which he himself and so many prophets had foretold? Lardner has answered, that, notwithstanding predictions, good and evil will influence the mind; and we should perform our duty suitably to our circumstances. "Our Lord," says he, "foretold the fall of Peter, the treachery of Judas, and the destruction of Jerusalem; and yet used the natural means to prevent them." What this judicious writer has suggested may be strengthened by observing that many of God’s commands and predictions, though ex-

\^ Sermons, vol. ii. p. 70.
pressed absolutely, appear in the history of his providence to have been conditional and revokable. Abraham was commanded to sacrifice his son; and God recalled the command, when he had proved his faith and obedience. David besought God for his child with fasting and tears, after Nathan had foretold his death: for he said, "Who can tell whether God will be gracious unto me, that the child may live?" Jonah was sent to prophesy against the inhabitants of Nineveh, that their city should be overthrown in forty days: and yet God spared them on their humiliation and repentance. God said to Ahab by the prophet Elijah, "Behold, I will bring evil upon thee:" and yet the sentence was remitted in part; for God afterwards declared that, because Ahab humbled himself, he would not bring the evil in his days; but in his son's days would be bring the evil on his house. And though, in Hezekiah's sickness, God said to him by Isaiah, "Give charge concerning thy family; for thou shalt die, and not live;" yet, in consequence of his fervent supplication, God healed him on the third day, and added to his life fifteen years.

But why were not the prayers offered up by our Lord effectual; since he said to Peter very soon afterwards, "Thinkest thou that I cannot now pray to my Father, and he shall give me at hand more than twelve legions of angels?" I answer, Because our Lord prayed with resignation to his Father's will, and not absolutely. "None took his life from him, but he laid it down of himself. He had power to lay it down, and he had power to take it again." He submitted to death from a conviction of its fitness. When his anguish of mind was allayed, and his commotion natural

* 2 Kings xx. Here see 1 Sam. xxxii. 12; Jer. xviii. 7, 8; xxxviii. 17.
to man subsided, his language was, "Shall I not drink the cup which my Father hath given me?" "How" else "shall the scriptures be fulfilled, that thus it must be?" as if this particular reason for his death had been recollected by him, or had been recalled to his mind by the angel who appeared to him.

But it may be urged that he, who had a glory with the Father before the world was, must have known the necessity of that event against which he prayed.

I answer, that to assert the strict and absolute necessity of Christ's death becomes not us who know so little of God's unsearchable ways: that we do not understand the manner in which the divine and human natures were united in Christ, and therefore may doubt whether the superior nature did not sometimes forsake the inferior, and withhold its communications from it: and that the wise providence of God might so order events as they would most benefit the world in a moral view; and therefore might exhibit our Lord in such circumstances as furnished most instruction and consolation to his persecuted followers.

-- See Ben Mordecai, letter vi. 85. p. 748, &c. 8vo.

b See Ben Mordecai, vi. 89. "As to the objection that the weakness of the flesh was absorbed in the divinity, it may just as safely be asserted that the power of the divinity was absorbed in the flesh: for as to the consequence of the conjunction of the Angel of the covenant with the flesh in which he was incarnate; or in what degree the temptations of Christ might affect him; that is, how easy or how difficult it might be for Christ to resist them; I presume we are entirely ignorant: and have no right to argue from our ignorance against the fact itself." And Grotius and Tillotson say that the Divine Wisdom communicated itself to Christ's human soul according to his pleasure, and as circumstances required. Grot. on Mark xiii. 32. Tillotson, vol. ix. p. 273. Beza also says, Imo et ipsa δικαιος plenitudo sese, prout et quatenus ipsi libuit, humanitati assumptae insinusavit. On Luke ii. 52. These three last authorities are quoted by Mr. Farmer on the Temptation, p. 130. See Mark iii. 9; Luke ix. 52; Mark xi. 13; xiii. 32; Matt. xxiv. 20.
I now proceed to show our Lord's composure of mind, after he had thus strongly expressed the perturbation which had been raised in him by his foreknowledge of the many dark events which awaited him, and particularly by his abhorrence of a violent and excruciating death.

He went forth to meet the traitor, and the officers Jo. xviii. 4. sent to apprehend him; he discovered himself to them; Ver. 5. and when God had struck them with such a miraculous awe that they fell on the ground, and had thus Ver. 6. demonstrated Jesus's power of restraining their violence, our Lord made them this wise and benevolent request, "If ye seek me, let these" my attendants "de Ver. 8. part." He mildly addressed the perfidious Judas: he Mat. xxvi. was so collected as instantly to perceive the necessity Luk. xxii. of working a miracle to prevent the ill consequences 48. Ver. 51. of Peter's affectionate but rash violence; and he forewarned that apostle, and all mankind, that drawing the Mat. xxvi. sword in the cause of his religion would involve the 52. good and bad, the persecuted and persecutor, in undistinguished destruction: he declared his readiness to Ver. 54. fulfil the scriptures by his death: he meekly expostu- Ver. 55. lated with the people for their violent and disgraceful manner of apprehending him: while he stood before Caiaphas, he showed a composed attention to Peter's Luk. xxii. irresolution and timidity, and penetrated him with a sense of them by the majesty of his eye: at the same time, he replied with the most exemplary self-com- Jo.xviii. 23. mand to the officer who struck him for answering the high priest in a manner full of reason and dignity: before Caiaphas, and the whole council of the chief priests, elders, and scribes, he entered into no vindication of himself, no explanation of his perverted expressions, against the false witnesses suborned to accuse
Of our Lord's fortitude.

him: but, when adjured by the living God to say whether he was the Christ the Son of the blessed God, he answered, I am; though he knew that they would impute it to him as blasphemy, a crime which by the law of Moses was punishable with death.

Fortitude under actual sufferings is patience; and submission to them because they are the will of God, is resignation.

How did Jesus act, when those who held him spat in his face; when they blindfolded him, and smote him on the face with the palms of their hands, or struck him with their staves; when they derided his prophetic spirit and Messiahship in this taunting language, "Prophecy, who is that smote thee?" Under all these circumstances of indignity, "he opened not his mouth, like a lamb led to the slaughter."

When he stood before Pilate, he astonished him by not seeking to avert death in the usual way of defending himself against the accusations of his enemies: and as before the Jewish high priest and council he acknowledged himself to be the Christ the Son of God, which had the appearance of blasphemy; so before the Roman governor he confessed that he was a King, which had the appearance of sedition.

* Matt. xxvi. 67, 68. and parallel places. What a very strong mark of contempt spitting on a person is accounted in the east, see in Bishop Lowth on Isa. l. 6. Demosthenes closes the aggravating circumstances of a striker in this manner, ἀπευξήσας, άποφθεγμα, "when with the hand, when on the cheek;" he adds, "these circumstances καὶ καὶ ἀπευξήσας, move and transport with rage;" and in the same oration he observes, σολε νοτί τετράχων ἐπίθεσις καὶ ἀπευξήσεις, "of all things there is nothing more intolerable than petulant and insolent injury." In Midian. So Quinct. lib. vi. ch. 1. Plurimum asserit atrocioris modus, si contumeliosae ut Demosthenes ex parte percussi corporis invidiam Midiam quaerit.
Before Herod he conducted himself with the same majesty, the same patient endurance of wrongs, and the same resolution to decline the means of self-preservation which became his peculiar circumstances. He refused to gratify the idle curiosity of the tetrarch by working a miracle, and to give that account of his life and ministry which might have been credited on the authority of others: for which Herod and his soldiers treated him with contempt and scorn, and sent him back to Pilate arrayed in a gorgeous robe, in derision of his claim as a king.

When our Lord was again brought before Pilate, a robber and a murderer was preferred to him by that very multitude who had heard his divine instructions, and seen, or perhaps experienced, his beneficial power: nor did even this vile indignity extort from the meek Jesus a word of expostulation.

Then Pilate commanded that Jesus should be scourged; after which severe and ignominious punishment the whole band of the Roman soldiers made him their sport, crowned him with thorns, clothed him in purple, delivered him a mock sceptre, paid him mock adoration, addressed him with mock titles of royalty, spat on him, and smote him on the head.

The sight of Jesus, thus derided and afflicted, did not satiate the fury of his enemies; but after they had afforded him a further opportunity of displaying his dignity, and resolution to meet death, by giving no

---

d Matt. xxvii. 20. and parallel places.

e Josephus, speaking of the Pharisees, says, τοσαύτην δὲ ζητοῦσι τήν λοχίαν παρὰ τῷ πλήθει, ἢ καὶ κατὰ βασιλέως τῇ λέγοντες, καὶ κατὰ ἀρχείους, εὐθεῖα πιστίνισθαι. Ant. XIII. x. 5. Quoted by Harwood

on John ii. 24. "They have so much power with the people that even if they allege any thing against the king or high priest, they are immediately believed."

f John xix. 1-3. and parallel places.
Jo. xix. 9. answer to Pilate’s question, “Whence art thou?” they exorted the condemnation of him from his worldly-minded judge by their loud and artful solicitations 5.

Then was Jesus led away to be crucified: his cross, or part of it, was laid on him, as the manner was; and he bare it till his exhausted strength sunk under it: “and two others also, who were malefactors, were led with him to be put to death” b.

Luk. xiii. 27-31.

On the way, a great multitude of women bewailed and lamented him: but he turned about to them, and, with a heart full of commiseration, bade them deplore their own impending sufferings, and not his; declaring at the same time, but in figurative and covert language, that, if the innocent suffered such calamities, much greater would befall those whose crimes made them ripe for destruction:

“If they do these things in the green tree, what shall be done in the dry?”

When he came to the place of crucifixion, he was offered wine mingled with myrrh, which was designed to blunt the sense of pain by inducing a state of stupefaction; but he received it not: he declined this office of humanity, that he might show himself unappalled by the horrors of instant crucifixion; and that he might fully possess his reason, and thus display the virtues suitable to his high character in the season of so severe a trial.

A title, deriding his royal descent and dignity, was placed on the cross to which he was fixed. He was crucified between two malefactors; and, probably while the nails were piercing his hands and feet, when the

5 We may account for Pilate’s conduct from his knowledge of Tiberius’s extreme jealousy and cruelty,

b So Luke xiii. 32. should be translated and pointed. Sed oblitus sum Lucæ xxiii. 32. in ütrinque hypostigmen notare; says H. Stephens, in his curious preface to his Greek Testament, 120. 1576.
sense and feeling of his ignominious sufferings were strongest, he thus prayed and pleaded for his murderers; “Father, forgive them; for they know not what they do.”

In this situation, which might have excited the pity of the most unfeeling spectator, and of the bitterest enemy, our Lord was reviled and mocked, his power was questioned, his prophecies perverted, and his dignity blasphemed, by the Jewish people, by the Roman soldiers, and by the chief priests, scribes, and elders; the rulers mixing themselves with the throng, to feast their eyes with his sufferings, and to insult him under them.

But such conduct served only to display the greatness of the sufferer. The patience of Jesus remained unmoved. Here, as when he stood before his judges, he left his life and doctrine, his prophecies and miracles, the supernatural knowledge displayed by him, and the voices from heaven which bare him witness, to speak for him a stronger language than words could convey. As Origen observes, his silence, under all the indignities and reproaches which he met with, showed more fortitude and patience than any thing said by the Greeks under their sufferings.

And again, when one of the malefactors reproached him, he answered him not: but when the other said, “Lord, remember me when thou comest into thy kingdom,” he thus acknowledged himself to be a king, and one who had the keys of heaven and hell, “Verily I say unto thee, To day shalt thou be with me in para-

---

i Θέαμα 8 ειλήφη τίχα
Τοιούτων ολος καί στυγαίνη εντυίσαιν.
Ed. Tvr. 1295.
Such a sight
Might raise compassion in an enemy.

k Lib. vii. §. 54–56, p. 368, 369 Lardner’s Test. ii. 317.
dise;" in the state of those who are separated, as in a garden of delight, for God's acceptance.

It is a remarkable instance of our Lord's composure, that, in the midst of his exquisite pains, he recommended his mother to that most benevolent apostle St. John.

The next circumstance in the order of events is, that about the ninth hour our Lord cried with a loud voice, "My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?" As the words in the original Psalm do not import a dereliction of the Deity, they cannot be thus understood when used by our Lord. In this strong language the Psalmist described imminent distress and danger from the sword of scornful and mighty enemies. He did not mean that he was totally forsaken by Jehovah, whom he afterwards entreated not to be far from him, whom he called his strength, whom he characterised as not hiding his face from the afflicted, and to whom he promised praise and thanksgiving in return for the mercies which he implored. In the same terms our Lord expressed the greatness of his anguish; when, in the prophetic words of the Psalm, which is sometimes applicable to David and sometimes to the Messiah, "he was poured out like water, his bones were separated from each other, his heart was like wax, it was melted within him." Our Lord's language, I say, was dictated by extreme suffering, and not by distrust. In the style of the Hebrew scriptures, when God permitted individuals or nations to be oppressed and afflicted, he was said to hide his face from them, to forget, reject, or forsake them. Our Lord could not suppose that God had cast him off, because immediately before and after these words he reposed an entire confidence in him. During his crucifixion he twice called God his Father, he declared his assurance that he should enter into a
state of happiness, and accordingly he resigned his de-
parting spirit into his Father's hands. He likewise
saw, during the space of three hours before he expired,
that God miraculously interposed in his behalf, by
diminishing the light of the sun and shedding a com-
parative darkness over the whole land, or at least that
part of it which was adjacent to Jerusalem. When
Jesus had thus poured forth his sorrows, in the words
of a sacred hymn which foretold many circumstances
of his death, God, who had, as it were, hidden his face
from him for a moment, had mercy on him with ever-
lasting kindness, and speedily closed the scene of his
sufferings. For immediately after this, "Jesus, know-
ing that all things were now accomplished, that the
scripture might be fulfilled, saith, I thirst." This thirst
was the natural consequence of his pains, and of that
effusion of blood which was occasioned by piercing his
hands and his feet. But, unless it had remained that
the prophecy of the Psalmist should receive its full
completion, it was a circumstance on which he would
have observed a majestic silence: such was his com-
mand over himself, and so attentive was he that not
one jot or tittle of the prophets should pass away.
"Now there was set a vessel full of vinegar;" the
mean drink of the Roman soldiers; and one of the
bystanders filled a sponge with vinegar, and placed it
upon a bunch of hyssop, and by means of a reed ad-
vanced it to his mouth: "When Jesus therefore had received the vinegar, he said, It is finished:" the pro-
phesies concerning me, antecedently to my death, have
had their accomplishment: I have finished my labo-
rious and painful course: I have thus far performed

---

k See Lardner's Test. ii. 303, § 24, where Origen objects that Jesus was unable patiently to endure thirst.

1 John xix. 29. and parallel places.
thy will, O God. Immediately after this, he expired, with words expressive of a perfect reliance on God, and a firm persuasion of his acceptance: "Father, into thy hands I commend my spirit."

Thus did our Lord appear as great in his sufferings as in his actions, in his death as in his life; and thus did he exhibit a wonderful example of forgiveness and composure, of magnanimity and conscious dignity, of filial love and pious resignation, in the midst of the most horrid tortures that human nature is capable of sustaining.

**Sect. IX.—Of our Lord's veracity.**

**Jo. i. 14.** When Jesus is said to have "dwelt among us full of grace and truth," the evangelist may either mean to characterise him as sincere, faithful, and true, or to oppose the solid and substantial truths of his doctrine to the types and shadows of the law.

**Jo. viii. 40.** Most justly did our Lord say of himself that "he told the Jews the truth which he had heard from God;" and that, "because he told them the truth," however contradictory to their prejudices, "they did not believe him." He paid a constant regard to the virtue of veracity; it was a prevailing principle with him, and a constituent part of his character: and therefore, when he had fearlessly acknowledged his regal dignity to Pilate,

**Jo. xviii. 37.** he added, "For this cause was I born, and for this cause came I into the world, that I should bear witness to the truth:" that in fit circumstances I should acknowledge my high office, and should preach and confirm the religion which I am sent to found, whatever danger I may incur by such a conduct.

Suitably to this character, Jesus plainly reproved the faults of his friends and enemies; warned the rich of their extreme danger; foretold the persecutions of his
church in all ages; taught that taking up the cross was the consequence of becoming his disciple in the earliest age of the church; and proclaimed, not to a few, but to multitudes which followed him, that, before they became his disciples in those days of fierce opposition to the gospel, they must count the cost, and forsake all that they had.

In like manner, when James and John, full of worldly ideas, preferred their ambitious request, that in our Lord's kingdom one might sit on his right hand and the other on his left, the reply was an open and upright one, "To sit on my right hand and on my left is not mine to give; but it shall be given to them for whom it is prepared of my Father." And when our Lord was asked by four of the apostles at what time the destruction of the temple should happen, he premised a particular and descriptive prophecy, explained the preceding signs of the events foretold, and assured them that many who were then living should see the accomplishment of his predictions. This might have sufficed; and, no doubt, would have appeared satisfactory: but the admirable rectitude and simplicity of his mind led him to add, that the spirit of prophecy afforded not an useless gratification to over-curious men by exactly specifying this awful period: "Of that day and season none knoweth"; neither the angels who are in heaven, nor the Son, but the Father only." And, again, in the garden of Gethsemane he began with declaring to the chosen witnesses of his agony, that his "soul was exceeding sorrowful even unto death;" and he then commanded them to "remain in that place, and watch with him." He might have

---

m Compounded of Matt. xxiv. n Compare Matt. xxiv. 42 ; 36, Mark xiii. 32. Mark xiii. 33.
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drawn a veil over the whole scene: but he was at an infinite distance from dissimulation and disguise.

Nothing can more strongly illustrate our Lord's ingenuousness and sincerity in his intercourse with his disciples, than those striking words, "In my Father's house are many mansions: if it were not so, I would have told you." My Father's heavenly residence may be compared to a glorious and spacious palace: it is indeed infinite in extent as well as glory: if it had been incapable of admitting you, I would have plainly told you so, as my manner is; I would not have fed you with vain expectations.

In regulating the common discourse of Christians, our Lord's precept is, "Swear not at all:...but let your communication be Yea, yea; Nay, nay: for whatsoever is more than these cometh of evil." Common swearing leads to perjury, and is highly irreverent towards God. Every mode of vehement asseveration, in the usual intercourse of men with each other, proceeds from mutual distrust: but plain affirmation and denial argue a sacred and habitual attention to truth.

When our Lord commissioned the twelve apostles to preach the gospel, this was part of his instructions; "Behold I send you forth as sheep in the midst of wolves: be ye therefore wise as serpents, and simple as doves?" He recommended to them a prudent simplicity and sincerity: and taught that the mind of a Christian must have no foreign and base mixture of cunning, hypocrisy, or falsehood.

That false words must be ranked in the class of idle

---

* See Grotius in loc. and Harwood's Life of Christ, p. 179, &c.
  p. Matt. x. 16. Bochart thinks that our Lord had in view Gen. iii. 1; Hos. vii. 11. He observes a like opposition, Rom. xvi. 19, and that the Hebrew word יִלָּשֶׁן, simpler, is used in a good sense, Ps. cxvi. 6. De Animal. p. ii. 19, &c.
words condemned by our Lord, is what every judicious critic will allow: and it is also plain that he brands falsehood when he says, "If I should say that I know not the Father, I should speak falsely, like you." 

There are some parts of our Lord's conduct, connected with the present subject, which have been usefully discussed by critics and moralists. After Jesus had cleansed the temple at the first passover, the Jews said, "What sign showest thou unto us, since thou doest these things? Jesus answered and said unto them, Destroy this temple, and in three days I will raise it up." His hearers understood this literally: but our Lord alluded to the temple of his body; and probably intimated his true meaning by the action of pointing to himself. Here the words would be explained by the event: and their intended obscurity subjected them to examination, and impressed them on the memory. Veracity and every virtue must be governed by prudence. A plain reference to his death and speedy resurrection would have been unwise and dangerous before malignant hearers. Had he directly asserted to them, as he afterwards did to his disciples, that he should be put to death and be raised again on the third day, we have reason to think that he must instantly have preserved his life by miracle.

There is no difficulty in the passage which Grotius next alleges: "Our friend Lazarus sleepest, but I go that I may awake him out of sleep." Our Lord used an easy unostentatious figure; and, when his disciples misinterpreted his words, he said to them plainly, "Lazarus is dead."

Other instances, referred to by the same learned writer, are the following: When Jesus said to the

9 John viii. 55. Ἰωάννης 5:5. Jure Belli et Pacis, lib. iii. ch. 1,
7 See Grot. in loc. and De § 6. x. 2.
apostles, “I appoint unto you a kingdom, as my Father hath appointed unto me; that ye may eat and drink at my table in my kingdom, and sit on thrones judging the twelve tribes of Israel;” and when he said soon afterwards, “I will not drink henceforth of this fruit of the vine, until that day when I shall drink it new with you in my Father’s kingdom;” he seems to have sufficiently known that they would apply his words to some kingdom of this life, the hope of which they entertained to the very moment of his ascension.

In the former of these places, our Lord certainly described heavenly preeminence under earthly ideas. But he had told his disciples immediately before, that they did not resemble kings and potentates of this world. It was plain therefore that his kingdom was not a temporal one. On another occasion he had promised them honour; but it was in the regeneration, when he should sit on his glorious throne. And what was the time of this event? The final judgment; when all nations should be gathered before him. And even during the paschal supper he explained how he would manifest himself to those who loved him: his Father and he would come, and make their abode with them by the Spirit. Teachable and attentive minds might therefore have understood him as speaking of that honour and power which he was to bestow on the apostles in his future spiritual kingdom.

And when he asserted that “he should not drink thenceforth of the fruit of the vine, until that day when he should drink it new with his apostles in his Father’s kingdom,” or, in other words, “until the kingdom of God came;” they might have recollected that he had often foretold his resurrection on the third day, and had assured them at the very time, that after he was risen, he would go before them into Galilee. And
Of our Lord's veracity.

therefore whatever ideas they first conceived, they saw this prediction fulfilled when they ate and drank with him "after he had been declared the Son of God with power by his resurrection from the dead," and had entered upon his mediatorial kingdom. And it should be well observed with respect to both these instances, that, shortly after, the Spirit of truth guided the apostles into all truth, and not only brought to their remembrance all our Lord's words, but enabled them to understand their true meaning.

Our Lord’s reasons for using parables have been given at large. They covertly expressed truths which it was not wise to deliver directly and publicly; or which could be more forcibly delivered under that form. And in private our Lord was open and explicit, as his nature led him to be; “and explained every thing to his disciples.”

To this mode of instruction, and to the strong figures which often occur in the scripture, the observation of moral writers is applicable, that nothing is advanced which is designed to mislead, and which differs from the intention of the speaker. They are subject to known laws of interpretation, according to which they are true; and we should always recollect that the easterns delighted in the parabolical and figurative style.

Puffendorf has observed, that it is quite unexceptionable to address those ambiguously or obscurely whom we wish to instruct, or whose proficiency we mean to discover. And he has illustrated his assertion by our Lord’s words to Philip, “Whence shall we buy bread, that these may eat?” Which he spake, as the evangelist observes, to try what degree of faith his former miracles had produced in Philip: “for he himself knew what he would do.”

6 De Jure Nat. l. iv. c. 1. § 13. 40.

NEWCOMBE.
When our Lord walked on the sea of Galilee, and seemed willing to pass the ship in which the disciples were tossed by the waves, he designed to evidence his power by showing himself distinctly to all. When he said, "It is I, be not afraid," still Peter answered, "Lord, if it be thou, bid me come unto thee on the water." Our Lord also convinced them that he could have passed to the other side of the lake without their assistance: a circumstance which must have added to the exceeding great wonder and astonishment which this miracle was designed to impress on their insensible minds. And Grotius remarks \( b \), that it might have been his actual intention to cross the lake in this manner, unless he had been earnestly desired to enter the ship.

There is a circumstance in our Lord's conduct somewhat similar to this, when the two disciples, to whom he joined himself on the way to Emmaus, came near to the village, and Jesus "made as though he would have gone further." He might do this partly to try their benevolence and hospitality, and to promote the exercise of these virtues\( ^1 \): and, as Grotius says\( ^{1} \), he might have previously determined to proceed, if he had not been detained by strong persuasion. It may be added, that this mark of respect was due from them to one whose discourse showed him to be an extraordinary person; and had so much truth and energy in it, that their hearts burned within them while they heard it. The action of passing onwards derived its quality simply from the intention of the agent, which was here a good and upright one\( ^{k} \).

---

\( ^{b} \) L. iii. c. 1. § viii. 3.

\( ^{1} \) Heb. xiii. 2. See a parallel instance Gen. xix. 2, 3.

\( ^{i} \) On Luke xxiv. 28. and De Jure, &c. iii. 1: viii. 3.

\( ^{k} \) Puffendorf says, Circa exemplum Lucæ xxiv. 28. res plana est; nam talem gestum præ se ferre eujusvis in arbitrio est: et nihil frequentius in vita communi
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When the feast of tabernacles approached, Jesus’s relations said to him, Go into Judæa. He answered, “I go not up yet to this feast, because my time is not yet fulfilled.” I am not yet to die at Jerusalem; and therefore I shall not go thither in company with you, and with multitudes whom the fame of my miracles may draw together. I shall absent myself during the beginning of the feast, that I may not too much attract the notice of my enemies or of my friends. And though our Lord exercised his prophetical office during the feast, both by teaching in the temple and by working a signal miracle; yet, from circumstances unknown to us, there might be much wisdom in his going up to it later than his brethren, and “not openly, but as it were in secret.”

My present reason for mentioning this transaction is on account of the various reading, “I go not up to this feast:” which seems to set our Lord’s words and conduct at variance. It is observable, however, that Porphyry does not found on this passage a charge of falsehood, but of inconstancy and change of purpose: and Whitby, who suggests this observation, thinks that we should retain the reading” in our version, which is supported by the greatest weight of authority. It is reasonable to suppose that our Lord’s uniform intention was to attend the feast privately, and at a certain time; which removes the charge of levity and mutability: and even if we read, “I go not up to this

quam discessum simulare, ut constet, gratine simus futuri hospites. Nam, ni enixe rogemur, serio nobis est propositum abire. iv. 1. 12. 4°.


οὖν ἀναβάσαι. See his note in loc. and Examen Millii.

V b 2
feast,” the sense may be, I go not up now; which invalidates the accusation of falsehood.

When the Jews insidiously asked Jesus by what authority he acted, and who gave him that authority, they were pursuing their intention of destroying him. But he, being determined to fulfil the law by suffering on the day of the paschal solemnity, baffled their immediate design with admirable wisdom, by asking them a question relative to the baptism of John, which they durst not answer. Upon which Jesus said to them, “Neither do I tell you by what authority I do these things.” He had repeatedly assured them of his divine commission; that he spake as his Father taught him; that he proceeded forth and came from God; that the Father had sanctified him, and sent him into the world. But for weighty reasons he suppressed a like declaration at that time. And an occasional concealment of the truth, justified by prudence, is very different from a transgression of it.

Upon the whole there was no guile in our Lord’s words or actions. There is no vice more frequently condemned by him than hypocrisy, by which the outward appearance of men belies their inward sentiments; and he observed of the evil spirit that, when he spake a lie, he spake of his own; for he was a liar, and the father, or first forger, of this abject and hateful crime; the introducer of evil and falsehood into God’s creation, which before was all goodness and truth.

The original eastern verb might have been used in the participial form, non ascendens sum; which is very different from the future, non ascendam.

See Lardner’s Test. iii. 172.

See Puffendorf, De Officio Hominis et Civis, i. 10. 4. 8vo.: Patet—recte tacendo dissimulare me posse, utut maxime interroger, qua ut ex me aciat alter jus non habet, neque ad id aliqua obligatione ego teneor.
Sect. X.—Of our Lord’s natural affection.

The ends of society and the good of mankind require that, in the nearest human relations, nature should strongly incite to the performance of beneficent offices. St. Paul condemns the heathens for being without natural affection; which particularly appeared in the cruel practice of exposing their children: and, in prophetically describing the corrupt ages of Christianity, he represents men as disobedient to parents, and without natural affection. On each of these occasions it is observable that he enumerates the blackest crimes which disgrace human nature.

The amiable and useful principle of natural affection is supposed in many parts of our Lord’s discourses: “If a son shall ask bread of any of you that is a father,” &c. &c. &c. “If a son shall ask bread of any of you that is a father, will he give him a stone?” To “leave brethren, or sisters, or father, or mother, or wife, or children, for his sake and the gospel’s,” Christ pronounced to be greatly rewardable in this life and the next; though we see, by the restraining clause, that he required this desertion of them only when higher duties would else be violated. He also foretold, as a wonderful and horrid effect of religious hatred, that in times of persecution “the brother should deliver up the brother to death, and the father the child; and that the children should rise up against their parents, and cause them to be put to death.”

The Jews made void many of God’s commandments by their traditions. The instance which our Lord selected was this: “God commanded, saying, Honour thy father and mother: and, He that curseth father or mother, let him surely die. But ye say, Whosoever shall say to his father or his mother, That wherein thou mightest be profited by me is a gift”—
actually or intentionally devoted to the service of God—"and shall not honour his father or his mother, well." The selection of the example shows the stress which he laid on the precept.

Let us now observe our Lord's own conduct. The Gospels record only one circumstance in his behaviour during his childhood: which some of the ancients thought a deficiency, and therefore supplied it by many idle relations; as if on purpose to contrast the dignity of scripture, and of Christ's real character, with their own unbecoming and wild conceits. When he was twelve years of age, a time when the mind becomes sensible of religious impressions, he accompanied his parents to Jerusalem for the purpose of celebrating the most solemn Jewish festival. On their return "he tarried behind, and after three days was found in the temple, sitting among the teachers of the law, both hearing them and asking them questions. And his mother said unto him; Son, why hast thou thus dealt with us? behold, thy father and I have sought thee sorrowing." He replied, "How is it that ye sought me? knew ye not that I MUST NEEDS be in my Father's house?" The wonders and the prophetic declarations at his birth might have led his parents to conclude that he was sent into the world for great religious purposes: and his early piety and wisdom might have confirmed them in this conclusion. The reply made by him on this occasion intimated circumstances in his character which made his case a peculiar one. After this Jesus went down to Nazareth with his parents, "and was subject unto them." It has been generally thought that he set an example of industry and humility, by working with his hands at his father's occupation. a.

a Apud Marcum vi. 3. ipse Christus faber appellatur: ut con-
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When our Lord after his baptism returned into Galilee, and was called, together with his disciples, to a marriage feast; the wine prepared on that occasion failing, his mother said to him, "They have no wine." Some think that she had before seen miracles wrought by him; an opinion inconsistent with the words, "This beginning of miracles did Jesus in Cana of Galilee:" which exclude private as well as public miracles, and confute the accounts of supernatural works imputed to him in the spurious Gospel of his Infancy; and indeed these are sufficiently extravagant to confute themselves. Why then is Jesus's miraculous power implied in this address? At his baptism he had received recent attestations from God and man; he had intimated to Philip and Nathanael his future intercourse with heaven; and his mother kept in her heart every extraordinary circumstance relating to him: nay, he himself might have informed her that, as he had now begun his public ministry, he should soon prove his divine mission by wonderful works. Our Lord's answer is remarkable: "Woman, what have I to do with thee? mine hour is not yet come." That the appellation was a respectful and honourable one, none can doubt who observes that our Lord used the same form of address on the cross, "Woman, behold thy son:" and that, in Jo. xix. 26. ancient writers, persons of rank are frequently thus addressed by their equals or inferiors. The remaining

sentaneum sit eum aliquandiu
manibus laborasse. Erasmus on
Matt. xiii. 55, who observes from
Suidas, that τιτων signifies sacer
apedarius vel lignarius: λινγεδος,
ετος ουτως ειδησιων. That Jews,
even of some substance and edu-
cation, learnt manual arts, see
Grot. on Matt. xiii. 55; Acts
xviii. 3.

b John ii. 4. Ti emoi kal soi,
sc. koumē eπη; Bos Ellips. Ti soi
kal eπηγη; 2 Kings ix. 19. Ti
πανδεσοι παμοι; Anacr. xvii.
c II. iii. 204, Odysse. xix. 221,
555, Sophocl. Trachin. 233, and
numberless other places, parti-
cularly in Xen. Cyrop.
words contain a mild admonition; for which a reason is assigned. "Why dost thou interfere in my conduct as a prophet? What attention do I owe thee in that respect? The Spirit of God is my guide in matters of this nature. And my time of attracting the notice of the Jews by a series of public miracles is not yet come." It is possible that our Lord's mother might be induced by curiosity, or vanity, to insinuate a wish that he would work a miracle on that occasion: and his knowledge of the heart enabled him to penetrate any oblique motive. But when he had given this gentle reproof with the authority of a prophet sent from God, and with a design to prevent a like interference for the future, he suffered her request to sway with him; and, I think, made the first display of his glorious power partly in deference to her.

When our Lord had refuted and reproved the scribes and Pharisees with irresistible weight of argument and the most commanding authority, a certain woman thus naturally expressed her admiration of his

Luk. xi. 27, character, "Blessed is the womb that bare thee, and the breasts which thou hast sucked. But he said, Yea rather, blessed are they that hear the word of God and keep it." The mother of Jesus was justly hailed by the

Luk. i. 28. angel Gabriel as highly favoured, or gratuitously accepted, of God; and as blessed among women. And again; Elizabeth, speaking by the Holy Spirit, called her blessed among women, and happy in that she had believed. Mary also truly prophesied of herself, that

Ver. 48, 49. all generations should call her happy. God regarded her low estate, and did great things to her, by giving her a son whose example and instructions were the

d Bishop Pearce's Vindication.
e Vult, Deum pro sua gratuita bonitate gratam illam et accep-

source of abundant advantage to her; by appointing her the instrument of conveying inestimable benefits to mankind; and by distinguishing and dignifying her above all women, in her becoming, by a singular miracle, the virgin mother of a holy offspring who was the Son of God. This kind of blessedness our Lord allowed to be real, though he could not assign it the highest rank: it consisted in an external privilege: it was the natural means of that true and solid blessedness which arose from knowing and observing the divine commands.

At the same time, while he remained in the house at Capernaum where he cast out a demon, and where he confuted and censured the blasphemy of the Jewish rulers, his mother and his brethren came to him, and could not approach him because of the multitude. It was told him therefore, “Thy mother and thy brethren stand without desiring to speak with thee.” But he answered, “Who is my mother, and who are my brethren? And he looked round on his disciples, stretched forth his hand towards them, and said, “Behold my mother and my brethren. For whosoever shall do the will of my Father who is in heaven, the same is my brother and sister and mother.” It must be well observed, that immediately before this and the preceding transaction, when from the unremitted attention which Jesus paid to his ministry, he and his disciples had not time even to partake of such refreshments as nature Mar. iii. 20. required, his kinsmen went out to restrain him: for they said, He is beside himself; he neglects his health, exposes himself to danger, and assumes a prophetic character to which he is not entitled, with a degree of zeal bordering on insanity. “For neither did his bre- Jo. vii. 5. thren believe in him.” And it is probable that his mother’s tenderness was alarmed by his unwearyed la-

§ See Syr.
bours, and by his free rebukes of the Jewish rulers: and she might design to interpose her request, that he would pay more regard to his ease and safety in the future course of his ministry. Our Lord therefore meant to show his relations that, in the discharge of his high office, he was sole and sufficient judge, and laid no stress on their interference; but should consider every hearer and doer of God’s word as standing in the nearest relation to him, treating them with that very personal affection which he owed and paid to such as were most closely connected with him by the ties of affinity and blood. And we may well suppose that our Lord more readily embraced these proper occasions of disparaging his relationship to his mother, on account of the extravagant honours which he foreknew would be paid to her for that very reason by a corrupt part of his church: “diminishing,” as Dr. Clarke observes, “expressly and in particular, that which is the whole foundation of the Romish superstition and will-worship” to this great object of them.

When one of Jesus’s disciples, whom he called to be his immediate follower, said to him, “Lord, suffer me first to go and bury my father;” meaning that, after the death of his aged father, he should have leisure from his secular affairs to give Jesus constant attendance; our Lord answered, Let the spiritually dead, thy kinsfolk, perform this office: “do thou go and preach the kingdom of God,” as I now call thee. This present opportunity is the fit one for that purpose, while I continue on earth to afford thee instruction and support, and to prepare thee for the times of persecution which will soon follow. Let therefore the superior duty take place of the subordinate.

Luk. ix. 59, 60. and p. p.

b Serm. cxxxv. See also Tillotson on 1 Pet. ii. 21. Serm. cxxxvi. vol. ii. fol. 240.
When another disciple and occasional follower was called to a strict personal attendance, he answered, I will follow thee, Lord; but suffer me first to employ some space of time in bidding farewell to those of my house. Jesus answered, No man who has engaged in the ministry, as thou hast, and again desires to pursue his secular concerns, is a fit instrument for propagating my gospel. The present season requires that my followers should detach themselves from worldly and domestic objects, if they aim at perfection. Whoever among them casts a longing eye on these, is like one who puts his hand to the plough and looks back, neglecting the work on which he should be intent.

When our Lord commissioned the twelve to preach, he foretold that his doctrine would create divisions, and that these divisions would subsist even among the nearest relations. Upon which he added, “He that loveth father or mother more than me, is not worthy of me; and he that loveth son or daughter more than me, is not worthy of me.” He mentions these as naturally entitled to our affection in the highest degree; and observes that even these must yield to love of him, to zeal for his gospel, and to the hope of the heavenly reward which was set before his disciples.

The same sentiment is more strongly expressed by him in another place. “If any man come to me, and hate not his father and mother, and wife and children,

---

1 See Hesiod, Opera et Dies, ii. 61–63, who says that a good ploughman is one

Os κ' ἔργον μελετῶν ἵδιαν ἀδικεὶ ἑλάνοι,
Μηδέν πανταίνω μεθ' ὁμήρους, ἀλλ' ἐν ἔργῳ
Θυμῶν ἔχων.
Who, careful of his work, draws a straight furrow;
Nor looks around among his friends, but keeps
His mind upon his work.

See Bos, Elaner, Le Clerc.
and brethren and sisters, yea and his own life also; he cannot be my disciple k." If any man hazard not the loss of these, postpone not the consideration of them, love them not in a less degree than the favour of God and the interests of my religion; let him not be one of my followers, who are the salt of the earth, who must benefit others by their instruction and example, and who, if they are offended in the approaching time of trial, will fall away to perdition. In this passage life alone is supposed to be dearer than the closest human relations.

Though our Lord was a prophet mighty in word and deed, he was not ashamed of his mean kinsfolk at Nazareth: and though his fellow-citizens rejected him, and even compelled him to preserve his life by miracle, yet he a second time attempted their conversion: such attention did he show not only to the great object of his ministry in general, but to the ties of consanguinity in particular.

When Jesus declined attending the feast of tabernacles in company with his brethren, no part of the transaction shows want of mutual love. Though his kinsmen withheld their belief from him, they acknowledged his miraculous power, and exhorted him to display it still more publicly at Jerusalem. But in the work of his ministry, he disregarded their suggestion, acted as prudence required, and mildly assigned the reasons of his conduct.

The last act of natural affection in Jesus's life is the most striking. While he hung on the cross, his mother, in company with some other women, stood near it, and fulfilled Simeon's prophecy that a sword should pierce through her soul. At this time, when his injunction would be most deeply impressed, he showed true filial

Of our Lord’s friendships.

piety by saying to the disciple whom he loved for his peculiar sweetness and benignity of disposition, “Be- Jo. xii. 27. hold thy mother;” one whom thou art to treat with the same honour and tenderness as if she really stood in that relation to thee.

SECT. XI.—Of our Lord’s friendships.

In such a disposition as our Lord possessed, we may naturally expect to find a readiness to approve and love the amiable and excellent qualities of others. A heart in which there was so much sensibility and affection could not but be susceptible of friendship.

Every man is more or less inclined to this pleasing and generous attachment, which many moralists have placed in the number of virtuous habits. And it is very observable throughout our Lord’s discourses, that he always supposes human nature to be what we actually find it. In the following words a love of preference is mentioned as common among mankind. “If Matt. v. 46, you love them who love you, what reward have ye?” And if you salute your friends only, what do ye more than others? do not even the publicans the same? And if you salute your friends only, what do ye more than others? do not even the publicans so?” “Which of you shall have a friend, and shall go unto him at midnight, and say unto him, Friend, lend me three loaves: for a friend of mine on a journey is come unto me, and I have nothing to set before him?” In another place the order of enumeration is remarkable: “Call not thy Luk.xiv. 12. friends, nor thy brethren, nor thy kinsmen, nor thy


m φιλον, which explains ver. 46, is the true reading, and not δικλημον, which seems a correction from the Vulgate. Cod. Brix. in Blanchini has amicos.

n Luke xi. 5, 6. See also ch. xv. 6, 9, 29.

o ἐγὼ τοὺς συγγενεῖς σου is wanting in Beza’s MS. and Codd. Lat. See also Cod. Vercell. in Blanchini. It seems a gloss on the foregoing clause. But see Luke xxi. 16.
rich neighbours." It is likewise mentioned by Christ as a very aggravating circumstance, that, in the season of persecution, his disciples should be betrayed both by relations and friends. Again: his remark is, "Greater love than this hath no man, that a man lay down his life for his friends." It must be allowed that in these passages the existence of friendship, and the disposition of men towards it, are plainly supposed.

But it is expressly mentioned that friendship had a place in our Lord's breast, where every thing resided that was truly laudable and good. Lazarus and his two sisters, Martha and Mary, lived at Bethany near Jerusalem. The circumstances concerning them, related in the Gospels, are worthy of our attention. On one occasion, Martha was commendably intent on a hospitable reception of our Lord; but Mary was still more commendably employed in sitting at Jesus's feet and hearing his words. After Lazarus's death, we read that many of the Jews affectionately visited these sisters to comfort them for the loss of their brother. On Jesus's approach to Bethany, Martha respectfully went forth to meet him; and, a fit occasion offering, expressed a firm belief in his divine power, and explicitly acknowledged his high character as the Messiah. Mary seems to have been more overwhelmed with grief; but when Jesus called for her, she also showed him honour by rising hastily and coming to him. Her words showed a like persuasion of our Lord's supernatural power; and yet that he would restore one to life who had lain in the grave during four days, and had seen corruption, was too great and stupendous a miracle to enter their imaginations. We also read that, when Simon the leper received Jesus at Bethany, Lazarus sat at meat, Martha served, and Mary anointed our Lord with very precious ointment, and even wiped his feet with her
hair: which act of respect, and of gratitude for raising her brother from the dead, our Lord not only graciously received, but extolled, and expressed a lively sense of it. The evangelist St. John informs us that towards these three disciples Jesus had conceived a Jo. xi. 3, 5. friendship; which was founded, no doubt, on their eminently good qualities. His regard for Lazarus appears to have been well known: for, when he wept as he was going to Lazarus's tomb, the Jews mistook the cause of his tears, and said, "Behold how he loved Ver. 36. him."

Our Lord's benevolent disciple St. John, whose writings breathe so remarkable a spirit of love, has five times mentioned himself under the description of "the Jo. xiii. 23; disciple whom Jesus loved;" and has thrice recorded the circumstance that he reclined on the bosom of Je- Jo. xiii. 23, sus at the last supper. Such was his sense of this Jo. xix. 26; xx. 2; xxii. eminent distinction; such was his gratitude for it; and with such tenderness did he recollect every circumstance by which it was expressed. And we may observe that, when our Lord's mother was committed to his care, duty and friendship seem to have jointly operated on his mind: for "from that hour," in the day Jo. xix. 27. of his Lord's abasement, and before the triumph of his resurrection, this "disciple," as he humbly styles himself, "took her to his own home."

Worthiness of character always struck and engaged our Lord. When a person, whom St. Matthew twice Mat. xix. 20, calls a youth, declared that he had kept the command-ments from his earliest years, we read that Jesus be- Mar. x. 21. held and loved him.

Our Lord acted towards all his immediate followers as his friends: "I say unto you my friends, Be not Lk. xii. 4. afraid of them that kill the body." And particularly his language of comfort and support at leaving them
was the language of friendship: "Ye are my friends, if ye do whatever I command you." Though I am your Lord and Master, and my disciples may be properly called my servants and God's; if ye obey me "I no longer call you servants; for the servant knoweth not what his master doeth: but I call you friends: for all things [expedient for you] which I have heard of my Father, I have made known unto you." 

**SECT. XII.—OF OUR LORD'S CONDUCT TOWARDS THOSE IN AUTHORITY, AND TOWARDS HIS COUNTRY.**

After having viewed our Lord in his domestic and private connexions; let us now consider him as a member of civil society, and as a part of a great community together with his other countrymen the Jews.

And here we may observe, that he did not exceed the limits of his commission as a prophet and religious teacher. When one said to him "Lord, command my brother to divide with me the inheritance;" his reply was, "Man, who appointed me a judge or a divider over you?" And he proceeded, as his proper province required, to deliver precepts against covetousness, and against anxiety in worldly affairs. Again: when an adulteress was brought to him in the temple *, and the Pharisees thus addressed him, "Moses in the law commanded us that such should be stoned; but what sayest thou?" he wisely answered them, "Let him that is without sin among you first cast a stone at her." And though he did not condemn the woman judicially, which would have been involving himself in civil affairs, he directly condemned her as a divine teacher, "Go, and sin no more."

---

* See some further remarks on this subject, part I. ch. i. sect. x. ch. ii. sect. 8. p. 103, 104. p. 38, 39. 

---

*a* John viii. 1–11. See part I.
When the didrachm for the service of the temple was required of him under the authority of the Jewish rulers, though he might have pleaded an exemption as the lineal descendant of king David, yet not to offend them, as irreverent towards the temple, either by this plea, or by that of poverty and his want of a settled habitation, he wrought a miracle to pay the accustomed tribute money. On another occasion, when he was questioned about the lawfulness of paying tribute to Cæsar, he raised the wonder of those who asked him by the fitness of his reply: "Render to Cæsar the things that are Cæsar's, and to God the things that are God's."

With respect to religious teachers, though as a prophet he very freely reproved those among the Jews who were unworthy of that character, yet he enforced the proper kind of respect due even to such: "The scribes and Pharisees sit in Moses' chair: all things therefore whatsoever they command you to observe," meaning such things as were agreeable to the law of Moses, "those things observe and do."

But though our Lord's conduct was reverent to all in authority, it was not slavish. While he was in Galilee, a province under Herod's jurisdiction, some Pharisees said to him, "Depart and go hence; for Herod seeketh to kill thee. And he said unto them, Go and tell that fox," that crafty and cruel prince, "Behold I cast out demons, and I do cures, to day and to morrow; and the third day I shall be perfected."

When "the high priest asked Jesus of his disciples and of his doctrine, Jesus answered him, I spake openly to the world; I always taught in the synagogue and in the temple, whither the Jews always resort; and in secret have I said nothing. Why askest thou me? ask them who heard me what I spake unto them; behold
they know what I have said.” This is the language of prophetic authority, of conscious innocence, and of just wonder that his judge made no regular appeal to the testimony of witnesses: and throughout the whole of his trial we must bear in mind that, agreeably to the singular circumstances in which he was placed, he had previously determined not to use the natural means of averting death. When he was afterwards adjured by the living God to say whether he were the Christ or not, he answered with dignity as a prophet, “If I tell you, ye will not believe; and if I also ask you” such questions, as should lead you to a conviction of my Messiahship, “ye will not answer me, nor release me.” He then added, in deference to the high priest’s adjuration, “I am: and hereafter ye shall see the Son of man sitting on the right hand of the powerful God, and coming in the clouds of heaven.” When Pilate asked him, “Art thou the King of the Jews?” conscious that the most vigilant governor could not have discovered any thing seditious in his conduct, he first observed that the suggestion came from his accusers, and that his kingdom was of a spiritual nature: he then confessed that he was a King, and added with great dignity, “For this end was I born, and for this end came I into the world, that I might bear witness unto the truth: every one that is of the truth heareth my voice.” Again: when Herod “questioned him in many words, he answered him nothing;” he entered not into a history of his conduct, or a defence against his enemies, like one intent on obtaining a powerful interposition in his favour; much less did he gratify Herod’s expectation by working a miracle before him: but he appeared at his tribunal with the majesty of a judge, and not with the abjectness of a blasphemer and mower of sedition.
We are next to observe what our Lord’s conduct was towards his country.

His miracles and instructions, during the course of a laborious ministry, were almost all of them dispensed to the Jews. Considering the great number of his useful lessons and mighty works, the exceptions are very few. As he passed through Samaria in his way to Galilee, he instructed and converted many of the Samaritans. He wrought miracles on the servant of a Roman centurion, on a Samaritan leper, and on the daughter of a Syrophœnician woman. However, when he commissioned the twelve, he gave them this injunction, “Go not into the way of the Gentiles, and into any city of the Samaritans enter ye not: but go ye rather to the lost sheep of the house of Israel.” This was the affectionate language of the good Shepherd, who cared for the sheep, and laid down his life for them. Jesus conducted himself as “a minister of the circumcision, to confirm the promises made to the fathers:” he preached first and chiefly to the heirs of the Abrahamic covenant: but as it was originally designed that the gospel should also be preached to the Gentiles, he incidentally afforded them a specimen of his future benevolence.

Our Lord repeatedly forewarned his disciples of their impending danger from the Romans, and taught them serious and attentive among them in what manner to avoid destruction. But “there was nothing covered, that was not to be revealed; nor hidden, that was not to be known. What Jesus said to them in darkness, they were to speak in the light; and what they heard in the ear, that were they to preach on the house

b Matt. x. 26, 27. The parallelism, like that in the Hebrew poetry, should here be observed.
Of our Lord’s prudence.

tops.” He likewise prophesied of the same event to the Jews, both by parable and in direct terms.

He twice addressed the following affectionate and pathetic words to the Jews; “O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, which killest the prophets, and stonest them that are sent unto thee; how often would I have gathered thy children together, as a hen gathereth her brood under her wings, and ye would not!” And when from the surrounding hills he beheld that once holy and favoured city, and in spirit foresaw her desolation, he lamented and wept over her with great emotion; and the abrupt manner in which he expressed himself was an indication of genuine sorrow in his heart: “If even thou hadst known, at least in this thy day, the things which belong unto thy peace—But now they are hidden from thine eyes.”

Christ’s love for his country most eminently appeared in his dying for it. He died for the Jewish nation; and not for that nation only, but for all the true sons of God wherever dispersed throughout the world.

Sect. XIII.—Of our Lord’s prudence.

The great and singular assemblage of virtues in our Lord’s character was ever guided by consummate prudence; which presided over his conduct, and gave a peculiar aptness and force to his words and actions.

In his discourses there are express and implied precepts on the subject of this virtue. His disciples were not to lavish and hazard instruction and reproof on the obstinate and incorrigible. When he sent forth the


d Even thou who hast so often offended, and abused such means of knowledge and reformation.

*e At length, however, in this thy awful and final day of trial.

twelve, he recommended to them the wisdom of the Serpent. He taught his hearers to resemble the wise man who built his house on a rock. He proposed to his disciples the example of faithful and wise stewards and servants. He instructed them to be as provident in their spiritual affairs as bad men were in their secular. He inculcated a watchful expectation of his coming by the parable of the wise virgins, who took oil in their vessels when they went forth to meet the bridegroom.

But let us observe the prudence of his own conduct. We have seen with what wisdom and discrimination of circumstances he concealed or declared his high office of Messiah; and enjoined silence on his miracles, or permitted them to be published. It has been shown how superior he was to every difficult situation, and to every snare which the subtle malice of his enemies spread for him. It has also been observed with what remarkable fitness he sometimes prophesied under the veil of parables; and that he predicted events to his disciples in proper and perspicuous terms, and to his enemies in figurative and obscure ones. I have further enumerated instances where he declined a proud display of his boundless knowledge.

Many other similar examples of prudence will suggest themselves to such as attentively consider his conduct.

He did not tempt God by exposing himself to needless danger at any time. He absented himself from many of the national feasts at Jerusalem; partly that he might prolong his ministry to its due period without being constantly compelled to preserve his life by miracle.
The general course of his ministry is a strong illustration of the most perfect prudence. After his baptism and the heavenly testimony which he received at it, he retired into the desert to prayer and fasting. He then departed to Bethabara where John was baptizing, received illustrious attestations from the Baptist, called Philip to follow him into Galilee, converted Nathanael by showing a knowledge of his most secret actions, and made other disciples in a manner not related; but he wrought no miracle till he came to Cana in Galilee, and there a single miracle, performed at the request of his mother, sufficed him; so distant was his manner from a forward and unseasonable display of his miraculous power. At the first passover, which he attended

Ver. 15, 16, 23. soon afterwards, he exercised an act of high prophetic authority, indirectly called himself the Son of God, and converted many by public miracles: all which may be deemed a promulgation, as it were, of the evangelical law to the whole Jewish people. After this he tarried for some time in Judæa, and used another method of raising attention to himself as a prophet, by baptizing great numbers: a circumstance which, from the prevailing ideas of the Jews, could not fail to attract their notice. When he knew that the Pharisees were acquainted with this part of his conduct, and had heard that he made and baptized more disciples than John, he retired again into Galilee, either because he chose not to inflame their jealousy, or because he had sufficiently declared to them his sacred character. This remote province he chose for the scene of his more solemn and public preaching, accompanied with a series

Ver. 26. of astonishing miracles. Having called five of those whom he afterwards named apostles to attend him statedly, he resorted to the second passover at Jeru-

Mat. iv. 18, 21; ix. 9. See ch. i. 25.
salem. Having there exposed his life to danger by calling God his Father, and by healing on the sabbath, he returned to Galilee: where, designing to absent himself from Jerusalem during eighteen months, he taught largely and extensively; wrought the most signal miracles, such as raising persons from the dead, and the most public ones, such as repeatedly feeding many thousands with a few loaves and fishes; not only appointed twelve apostles to attend him, but sent them forth to proclaim the approach of God's kingdom, and to work miracles; and, towards the feast of tabernacles, about six months before his death, commissioned no less than seventy for the same great purposes. 

Having attended the feast of tabernacles, he revisited Galilee for the last time before his crucifixion: for, after keeping the feast of dedication about three months before he suffered, he remained in the confines of Judæa, and raised Lazarus from the dead in the very neighbourhood of Jerusalem; as a kind of last effort to work conviction in the minds of the Jews.

It is very observable that, though he himself performed many wonderful works in Jerusalem, and taught and reasoned publicly with the Jewish rulers, he delegated not this arduous part to any of his disciples till after the full effusion of the Spirit.

His conduct during the last paschal week, when he was ready to be offered, was marked by a peculiar freedom and fortitude. He entered Jerusalem in a kind of public triumph: at the first passover he had cleansed the temple, as one way of proclaiming his exalted character, while the Jews were yet in suspense whether he was their expected temporal Prince or not; he omitted this pious office during the intermediate festivals which he attended, because of the declared malignity of the Jews against him; but now, when his
time was fulfilled, we find him resuming this extraordinary act of authority: and, when he took his final leave of the temple and of publicly instructing the people, he pronounced a copious and keen reprobation of the scribes and Pharisees in the audience of his disciples and of the multitude.

I am persuaded that his mighty works were frequently timed with a peculiar fitness. To give an instance. After he had sat at meat in Matthew's house with many publicans and sinners, he immediately healed a woman with an issue of blood, raised from the dead Jairus's daughter, gave sight to two blind men, and cast out a dumb spirit: as if to justify Matthew in the sight of his former companions and friends for leaving all to follow such a Master.

The two distinguishing rites of his religion derived additional weight from the time of their institution. The perpetual commemoration of his death was an appointment which had the nature of a dying injunction: and the mode of admission into his church was not commanded till he had been vested with the highest degree of authority by his resurrection from the dead.

He himself observes that he did not disclose many things to his disciples, because they were not able to bear them. I have been often struck with admiration at his conduct with respect to some particular points.

The excision of his countrymen was a topic of great delicacy: and therefore in the course of his ministry he foretold it to the unbelieving Jews by parables; or, if he employed direct terms, they were very concise and general. Even as he was led out to be crucified, he used the same generality of expression on this sub-

{o See another instance, Mark vi. 51, 52. part I. ch. iii. sect. 2, p. 253.
ject\textsuperscript{1}. An explicit and full prophecy of this event to the ruling Jews, like what he delivered to his disciples, Luke xvii. 22, and Matthew xxiv. 4, &c. and Acts vi. 13, could not have borne a prediction that they should fall by the hands of the heathen, instead of having dominion over them.

Another point, to be treated with much nicety, was the abolition of the Mosaic ritual. Accordingly our Lord says that the great moral maxim, of acting towards others as we desire that they should act towards us, was the sum and substance, the scope and completion, of “the law and the prophets;” he lays great stress on the words of Hosea, “I will have mercy, and not sacrifice;” he places judgment, mercy, and fidelity among the weightier matters of the law: he teaches that on the two commandments which enjoined the love of God and of our neighbour all the law and the prophets depended\textsuperscript{k}, as leading principles. This was indirectly abating the reverence of the Jews for the ceremonial part of their law: which our Lord may further be supposed to have intended, by healing on the sabbath, by vindicating his disciples for plucking ears of corn on the sabbath, by commanding the infirm man to take up his bed on that day, and by absenting himself from many of the legal feasts. It would have been matter of great offence to the Jews if he had not been born under the law, and if he had not conformed to it in general. In many places he mentions the observance of it as a duty. “If thou bring thy gift to the altar,? 23. 24.

\textsuperscript{1} Luke xxiii. 28–31. It may well be supposed that the words, Luke xix. 42–44, were spoken in the hearing of Jesus’s disciples only.

\textsuperscript{k} Matthew xxiii. 40. γραν τεσσαρων καὶ τὸ, Μηδὲν ὅσαν ἐκ τοῦτον γὰρ ἑργασάται τὰ λογρὰ πάσα. Know thyself: and, Do nothing to excess: for on these every thing else depends. Plut. quoted by Wetstein in loc.
and there remember that thy brother hath aught against thee; leave there thy gift before the altar, and go thy way; first be reconciled to thy brother, and then come and offer thy gift.” His words to one whom he had healed of a leprosy were, “Go thy way, show thyself to the priest, and offer the gift that Moses commanded.” And this was his general exhortation to the people:

“Whosoever the scribes and Pharisees command you to observe, that observe and do.” There are also many occasions on which he speaks honourably of the law. “Think not that I am come to destroy the law and the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfil.” I am not come to abrogate it prematurely and unnaturally; but to accomplish its typical and prophetic declarations, and to supersede it by a law of grace and truth. “It is easier for heaven and earth to pass away than one tittle of the law to fail.” “What is written in the law? how readest thou?” However, he plainly intimates the superior excellence of the gospel covenant. “Among those that are born of women there is not a greater prophet than John the Baptist: but he that is least in the kingdom of God is greater that he.” When he observes that “all the prophets and the law prophesied until John,” he asserts their subserviency to the gospel covenant. And when he says to the Pharisees in another place, “The law and the prophets were until John;” there is a very remarkable implication that the ceremonial law subsisted no longer to the members of the kingdom of heaven. This was one of the truths which his disciples could not bear. Stephen was arraigned before the Jewish council for being supposed to advance it. But after Christ’s death the Spirit clearly revealed that the Mosaical law was not obligatory on Christians; and the Epistle to the Hebrews

1 Luke x. 26. See also Mat. xii. 5.
was written to show the superiority of the Christian law above the Jewish.

Another subject, occasionally introduced by our Lord with the greatest wisdom, was the admission of the Gentiles into the church of God. This part of the divine counsels is early mentioned in the Gospels, to show that it was not an afterthought on the rejection of Christ by the Jews. It was referred to by Simeon, Luk. ii. 31, when the child Jesus was presented in the temple. The appearance of the star to the Arabian Magi showed that the Gentiles had an interest in the birth of Christ: and John the Baptist alluded to the conversion of the heathen, when he taught the Pharisees and Sadducees that God was able of the very stones to raise up children unto Abraham. Our Lord's prophecies of this event both by parable and in express terms are elsewhere enumerated. The most distinct of them was Mat. iii. 9 addressed to the Jews during the last week of his life.

To his disciples he was more explicit; and especially after his resurrection. It was made the subject of a vision to Peter, when he had received the Spirit. We see what a tumult was raised, when St. Paul represented Christ as saying, "I will send thee far hence unto the Gentiles." To this apostle the gospel of the uncircumcision was committed; and he expressly assures us that the call of the Gentiles was God's eternal purpose.

It must be observed also that the wise and lowly Jesus was not full and explicit on the subject of his own glorious nature and exalted offices. This light was too strong to be admitted at once. But after his resurrection and ascension and the descent of the Holy Spirit, after a gradual preparation of men for such magnificent truths, it was revealed by his apostles that 1Jo. i. 1.

m Part I. ch. iii. sect. i. p. 154.
he was from the beginning, that he was the Word of
God, that by him all things were created, that he was
the image and representative of the invisible God, and
that he was over all God blessed for ever.

Sect. XIV.—A recapitulation of our Lord’s character.

Upon the whole: when our Lord is considered as a
teacher, we find him delivering the justest and most
sublime truths with respect to the divine nature, the
duties of mankind, and a future state of existence;
agreeable in every particular to reason, and to the
wisest maxims of the wisest philosophers; without any
mixture of that alloy which so often debased their most
perfect productions; and excellently adapted to man-
kind in general, by suggesting circumstances and par-
ticular images on the most awful and interesting sub-
jects.

We find him filling, and as it were overpowering
our minds with the grandest ideas of his own nature;
representing himself as appointed by his Father to be
our Instructor, our Redeemer, our Judge, and our King;
and showing that he lived and died for the most be-
nevolent and important purposes conceivable.

He does not labour to support the greatest and most
magnificent of all characters; but it is perfectly easy
and natural to him. He makes no display of the high
and heavenly truths which he utters; but speaks of
them with a graceful and wonderful simplicity and ma-
jesty. Supernatural truths are as familiar to his mind,
as the common affairs of life to other men.

He takes human nature as it came from the hands
of its Creator; and does not, like the Stoics, attempt
to fashion it anew, except as far as man had corrupted
it. He revives the moral law, carries it to perfection,
and enforces it by peculiar and animating motives: but
he enjoins nothing new besides praying in his name, and observing two simple and significant positive laws which serve to promote the practice of the moral law. All his precepts, when rightly explained, are reasonable in themselves and useful in their tendency: and their compass is very great, considering that he was an occasional teacher, and not a systematical one.

If from the matter of his instructions we pass on to the manner in which they were delivered, we find our Lord usually speaking as an authoritative teacher; though sometimes justly limiting his precepts, and sometimes assigning the reasons of them. He presupposes the law of reason, and addresses men as rational creatures. From the greatness of his mind, and the greatness of his subjects, he is often sublime; and the beauties interspersed throughout his discourses are equally natural and striking. He is remarkable for an easy and graceful manner of introducing the best lessons from incidental objects and occasions. The human heart is naked and open to him; and he addresses the thoughts of men, as others do the emotions of their countenance or their bodily actions. Difficult situations, and sudden questions of the most artful and ensnaring kind, serve only to display his superior wisdom, and to confound and astonish all his adversaries. Instead of showing his boundless knowledge on every occasion, he checks and restrains it, and prefers utility to the glare of ostentation. He teaches directly and obliquely, plainly and covertly, as wisdom points out occasions. He knows the inmost character, every prejudice and every feeling, of his hearers; and accordingly uses parables to conceal or to enforce his lessons: and he powerfully impresses them by the significant language of actions. He gives proofs of his mission
from above by his knowledge of the heart, by a chain of prophecies, and by a variety of mighty works.

He sets an example of the most perfect piety to God, and of the most extensive benevolence and the most tender compassion to men. He does not merely exhibit a life of strict justice, but of overflowing benignity. His temperance has not the dark shades of austerity; his meekness does not degenerate into apathy. His humility is signal, amidst a splendour of qualities more than human. His fortitude is eminent and exemplary, in enduring the most formidable external evils and the sharpest actual sufferings: his patience is invincible; his resignation entire and absolute. Truth and sincerity shine throughout his whole conduct. Though of heavenly descent, he shows obedience and affection to his earthly parents. He approves, loves, and attaches himself to amiable qualities in the human race. He respects authority religious and civil; and he evidences his regard for his country by promoting its most essential good in a painful ministry dedicated to its service, by deploring its calamities, and by laying down his life for its benefit. Every one of his eminent virtues is regulated by consummate prudence; and he both wins the love of his friends, and extorts the approbation and wonder of his enemies.

Never was a character at the same time so commanding and natural, so resplendent and pleasing, so amiable and venerable. There is a peculiar contrast in it between an awful greatness, dignity, and majesty, and the most conciliating loveliness, tenderness, and softness. He now converses with prophets, lawgivers, and angels; and the next instant he meekly endures the dulness of his disciples, and the blasphemies and rage

\[ \text{Heb. ii. 4.} \]
of the multitude. He now calls himself greater than Solomon, one who can command legions of angels, the giver of life to whomsoever he pleaseth, the Son of God who shall sit on his glorious throne to judge the world. At other times we find him embracing young children, not lifting up his voice in the streets, not breaking the bruised reed nor quenching the smoking flax; calling his disciples, not servants, but friends and brethren, and comforting them with an exuberant and parental affection. Let us pause an instant, and fill our minds with the idea of one who knew all things heavenly and earthly, searched and laid open the inmost recesses of the heart, rectified every prejudice and removed every mistake of a moral and religious kind, by a word exercised a sovereignty over all nature, penetrated the hidden events of futurity, gave promises of admission into a happy immortality, had the keys of life and death, claimed an union with the Father; and yet was pious, mild, gentle, humble, affable, social, benevolent, friendly, affectionate. Such a character is fairer than the morning star. Each separate virtue is made stronger by opposition and contrast; and the union of so many virtues forms a brightness which fitly represents the glory of that God "who inhabith light inaccessible."

Such a character must have been a real one. There is something so extraordinary, so perfect, and so godlike in it, that it could not have been thus supported throughout by the utmost stretch of human art, much less by men confessedly unlearned and obscure.
CHAPTER II.

SECT. I.—Of the testimony which has been borne to our Lord's character by enemies.

The last section fitly concludes the subject which I undertook to discuss. But there are some topics so nearly connected with my design in this work, that I cannot leave them untouched.

In the first place, it will be curious and useful to observe what concessions our Lord's adversaries have made in favour of the great and glorious character which I have attempted to delineate.

When the chief priests and Pharisees sent officers to apprehend Jesus, fear of offending their rulers did not deter them from acknowledging that "never man spake like him."

When our Lord made an appeal to the perfect rectitude of his life, which no other man could have made at any time, "Which of you convinceth me of sin?" the Jews had recourse to calumny instead of facts, "Say we not well that thou art a Samaritan, and hast a demon?"

When he argued against the Sadducees on the subject of the resurrection, some of the scribes said, "Master, thou hast spoken well." And when he answered a teacher of the law, who tried his wisdom by asking him which was the first and great precept of the law, the scribe himself made this acknowledgment, "Of a truth, Master, thou hast spoken well."

When he questioned the chief priests, scribes, and elders whether the baptism of John was of heaven or of men, they durst not assert that it was of men, because they feared the people, all of whom held John to be a prophet indeed. This corroborates the signal attestations given by the Baptist to our Lord.
The testimony of Judas clearly shows that our Lord uniformly led that humble, unambitious life which the evangelists describe; and which cut off all expectations from one who, like him, entertained nothing but sordid and corrupt views. It was with a divine wisdom that our Lord, though he knew every dark purpose of the traitor's heart, yet admitted him among the number of his immediate followers. For thus an enemy became acquainted with the most private transactions of our Lord's life, and yet had no seditious or secular design to disclose, no accusation of any kind to allege which might sully the lustre of his character. On the contrary, when awakened to a sense of his guilt, his public declaration, in an hour when insincerity cannot be supposed, was this: "I have sinned, in that I have betrayed the innocent blood."

Again: when the Jewish rulers were determined to compass our Lord's death, we have in effect an attestation to his upright and irreproachable life from these his most implacable enemies. After diligent inquiry, they could bring no testimonies against him but frivolous or false ones; nay, one of their allegations serves to prove that he uttered a remarkable prophecy: nor could they have any advantage over him but what his own uniform and unshaken virtue afforded them, when before the high priest and the Roman governor he witnessed a good confession.

We have a like testimony to our Lord's innocence from the crafty and malevolent Herod; who, when our Lord was brought before him, held him indeed in derision, and contemned his meekness, like one whose mind was incapable of perceiving in what true dignity con-

---

a See a pamphlet "On the Conduct and Character of Judas Iscariot." Edinburgh, 1751.

b Comp. Matt. xxvi. 61; John ii. 19.
sisted; yet found no fault in him respecting those things of which he was vehemently accused, though a short time before, probably because he suspected him to be a mover of sedition, he had sought occasion to take away his life.

Herod had also a full persuasion of our Lord's supernatural power. When he heard of all his actions, he concluded that he was John the Baptist, raised from the dead by the divine interposition, and enabled to work miracles in vindication of his innocence: and he was rejoiced to see Jesus at his tribunal; for he hoped that some miracle would have been performed in his presence.

The Jews, when they absurdly and blasphemously ascribed our Lord's miracles to Satan, by that very calumny, of resolving them into the power of a superior evil being, allowed their reality and greatness. "So weak a subterfuge for evading the evidence of their senses probably satisfied neither others nor themselves: otherwise this accusation of sorcery (being capital by their law and also by the Roman) would have been heard of when they were so much to seek for crimes wherewith to charge him on his trial."  

"What do we? for this man doeth many miracles;" were the words of the chief priests and Pharisees, when they took counsel together against Jesus on occasion of his raising Lazarus from the dead.

Nay, the rulers of the Jews by their very derision of our Lord on the cross confirm our faith in him.

"He saved others," they confess; though they inconsistently add, "Himself he cannot save."

The Pharisees, by narrowly examining into the miracle performed on the man who had been blind from his birth, and by reviling him and casting him out of  
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the synagogue for persisting in the truth, served more and more to establish the fact in every step taken by them.

I do not insist on Pilate's repeated assertions of our Lord's innocence; nor on the centurion's words, "Certainly this was a righteous man:" because, however remarkable these declarations are, as proceeding from unprejudiced persons, or rather from men whose prepossessions were unfavourable to one treated as a malefactor, yet they cannot strictly be accounted concessions of enemies.

The conduct of enemies is much to the advantage of Christianity with respect to the resurrection of our Lord, that great fact on which our religion rests. They confessed before Pilate that our Lord "said while he was yet alive, After three days I will rise again." They found it necessary to corrupt the guards placed at the sepulchre, that they might suppress the truth. And when, a short time after the death of Christ, Peter and John were convened before the Jewish council, and preached to them Jesus risen from the dead, as they had before done to the people; the Jews, far from questioning the reality of the fact, afforded a strong presumption that they had not truth on their side by employing force, and by authoritatively commanding the apostles "not to speak at all nor to teach in the name of Jesus."

Sharpe, Lardner, and others, have furnished us with a great number of Jewish and heathen testimonies, which illustrate and confirm the Gospel history: but they have omitted the testimony of enemies as it stands recorded in the sacred writings themselves, probably because it supposes their authenticity. As it seems useful to show in what a variety of lights the truth of our religion may be placed, and as this topic has not, as
far as I know, been professedly treated of, I will suggest a few observations more, which have not an immediate reference to our Lord himself, though they serve to show that he was a divine teacher.

The acknowledgment of the Jewish council, that Peter and John wrought a signal miracle on the lame man at the gate of the temple, is very full and express:

_act. iv. 16._ "What shall we do to these men? for that a known miracle has been done by them is manifest to all that dwell in Jerusalem; and we cannot deny it."

We have also a very remarkable proof, in the temporary conviction of that grand opposer of Christianity, Simon Magus, that Philip exerted a truly divine power among the Samaritans. Versed in the whole compass of imposture, and well acquainted with the powers of natural causes, Simon had long deluded the people with lying wonders. But he saw and confessed the hand of God in the mighty works which Philip wrought: for he believed and was baptized, and continued with Philip, and was astonished when he beheld the signs and great miracles which were done. Nor is his testimony less worthy of notice to that great prerogative of the apostles, the power of communicating spiritual gifts. For "he offered money to Peter and John, saying, Give me also this power; that, on whomsoever I lay my hands, he may receive the Holy Spirit."

_that St. Paul had been a blasphemer and persecutor of the Christian name, is a fact which strengthens his testimony to his miraculous conversion; and we are naturally led to think that evidence irresistible which caused him, with such unwearied zeal, and with such a noble contempt of death itself, to preach that faith which once he destroyed.

It is likewise a remark highly deserving our atten-

d See Bishop Pearce on Acts viii. 9.
tion, that the existence of enemies to St. Paul in the church of Corinth is a circumstance which furnishes after-ages with strong reasons for conviction. For the apostle says, that when he comes among the Corinthians, he will know, not the speech of such, but the power: he threatens an offender, supported by his factious opponents, with his apostolical rod, or with the infliction of diseases on him*: he admonishes such as had sinned before, and all others, that, if he returned, he would not spare: which passages afford a plain proof that he was vested with extraordinary authority from above. He refers to and enumerates the miraculous gifts, which were some of the spiritual things that he had sown to his converts; and thus leaves no reasonable doubt but that there really was in those ages, and in that particular church, a plentiful effusion of the Spirit; whence arises a most powerful argument for the truth of our religion. He appeals to the miracles which he wrought among the Corinthians, sometimes obliquely, and once in direct terms; for he was compelled to assert his apostleship, which some of that church had questioned. With like advantage to the Christian cause, he affirms his superior excellence in the gift of tongues, humbly making it a ground of thanksgiving: “I thank my God, I speak with tongues:” more than you all.” And who does not see that, unless St. Paul had really “approved himself a minister of Christ by the Holy Spirit and by the power of God,” unless the proofs of an apostle” had been really displayed by him among the Corinthians “in signs and wonders and mighty deeds,” his character and doctrine, from the falsehood of these assertions, must have fallen to the ground.

We may argue in the same manner from any of the

* See the contents of ch. iv. 21, &c. in Locke’s Comment.
epistolary writings which mention the exercise of miraculous powers, or of other spiritual gifts, in those churches where it appears that enemies had arisen.

Gal. i. 7. Thus, though among the Galatians there were Judaizers who troubled them, and endeavoured to pervert the gospel of Christ, yet St. Paul mentions it as an undoubted fact that they had received the Spirit by the hearing of faith, and with the most amiable delicacy insinuates that himself had imparted it to them, and had wrought miracles among them.

But I shall not do justice to this argument, unless I state it in the words of the very superior writer from whom it is borrowed. "St. Paul declares that he was endued with a power of working miracles, as what was publicly known to the Corinthians; speaks of frequent and great variety of miraculous gifts as then subsisting in those very churches to which he was writing, which he was reproving for several irregularities, and where he had personal opposers: he mentions these gifts incidentally, in the most easy manner, and without effort; by way of reproof to those who had them, for their indecent use of them; and by way of depreciating them, in comparison of moral virtues: in short, he speaks to these churches of these miraculous powers, in the manner any one would speak to another of a thing that was as familiar, and as much known in common to them both, as any thing in the world."

But I return to my immediate subject.

When the later Jews attribute our Lord's miracles to his stealth of a secret name deposited in the temple, or to magical arts brought out of Egypt, they grant that miracles were actually wrought by him.

---

351, 352. Grot. de Ver. v. 4. Sharpe's Test. i. 194. The Mishna, from

---

Argument from the Concessions of Adversaries, p. 32.

Butler's Analogy, 3d edit. Grot. ibid. § 3. Lardner's

Gal. iii. 5. See Locke.
Celsus "acknowledges in some measure the wonderful works which Jesus did, by which he persuaded many to follow him as the Christ, but he is willing to calumniate them as performed by magic, and not by a divine power: for he says, that being an illegitimate offspring, he was brought up and served for hire in Egypt; and that, when he had made trial of certain powers, he returned thence, and proclaimed himself a god on account of those powers k."

In another place Origen says 1: "Celsus, being now unable to contradict the miracles which Jesus is recorded to have done, often calumniates them as the effect of magic."

As I am persuaded that the work called "The Philosophy of Oracles" is not Porphyry's m, I lay no stress on Eusebius's words, that in this book Porphyry and his gods impute not to our Saviour imposture, but piety and wisdom, and ascent to heaven.

Hierocles, not the Platonic philosopher, who wrote a comment on Pythagoras's golden verses, but the governor of Bithynia and prefect of Alexandria, composed a work against the Christians early in the fourth century n. Lactantius, speaking of this writer, says, that he attempted to overthrow Christ's wonderful works, but did not deny them o; and aimed at showing that equal or greater things were done by Apollonius. Writing of Apollonius, Hierocles observes, "They are everywhere boasting, and magnifying Jesus, as having given sight to the blind, and done other wonderful

which this reference is taken, is said to have been written towards the close of the second century.

k Orig. contra Cels. p. 30. in Sharpe. Lib. i. § 28, p. 22 in Lardner, Test. ii. 287.


m Ib. iii. c. xxxvii.

n About A. D. 303.

o Lard. Test. iii. 241, 249; ibid. 234.
works of a like nature. But let us consider for how much better and wiser reasons we admit such things; and what opinion we have of famous men.” “We do not esteem him who did such things a god, but a man favoured by the gods; whereas they for a few lying wonders proclaim Jesus a god.”

Julian lived about the middle of the fourth century. In Cyril’s work against him the following remarkable passage occurs 4. “But Jesus, having persuaded the worst part of men among you, is spoken of after a few more than three hundred years, though he did nothing worthy of remembrance while he lived: unless any one thinks it among the greatest works to heal the lame and blind, and to exorcise demoniacs, in the villages of Bethsaida and Bethany.” There is another passage not so directly to the purpose, because it may only relate to the assertions of such as believed in Christ. “Jesus, who rebuked the winds, and walked on the seas, and cast out demons, and, as you will have it, made the heaven and the earth,—could not order his designs so as to save his friends and relations.”

I shall close this head with two sketches of our Lord’s character given us by deistical writers.

“In Christ we have an example of a quiet and peaceable spirit, of a becoming modesty and sobriety: just and honest, upright and sincere; and above all, of a most gracious and benevolent temper and behaviour. One who did no wrong, no injury, to any man; in whose mouth was no guile; who went about doing good, not only by his preaching and ministry, but also in curing all manner of diseases among the people. His life was a beautiful picture of human nature, when in its native purity and simplicity; and showed at once what excel-

p Lardner, Test. iii. 237.  
q L. vi. 191.  
r Ib. p. 213.
lent creatures men would be, when under the influence and power of that gospel he preached unto them."

"I confess," says Rousseau, "that the majesty of the scriptures astonishes me, that the sanctity of the gospel speaks to my heart. View the books of the philosophers with all their pomp: what a littleness have they when compared with this! Is it possible that a book, at once so sublime and simple, should be the work of men? Is it possible that he, whose history it records, should be himself a mere man? Is this the style of an enthusiast, or of an ambitious sectary? What sweetness, what purity, in his manners! what affecting grace in his instructions! what elevation in his maxims! what profound wisdom in his discourses! what presence of mind, what delicacy, and what justness, in his replies! what empire over his passions! Where is the man, where is the philosopher, who knows how to act, to suffer, and to die without weakness and without ostentation? When Plato paints his imaginary just man, covered with all the ignominy of guilt, and deserving all the honours of virtue, he paints Jesus Christ in every stroke of his pencil: the resemblance is so strong that all the fathers have perceived it, and that it is not possible to mistake it. What prejudices, what blindness must they have, who dare to draw a comparison between the son of Sophroniscus and the son of Mary! What distance is there between the one and the other! As Socrates died without pain and without disgrace, he found no difficulty in supporting his character to the end; and, if this easy death had not shed a lustre on his life, we might have doubted

* Chubb's True Gospel of Jesus Christ, sect. 8. p. 55, 56.
† Emile, iii. 179. Amst. 1762.
whether Socrates, with all his genius, was any thing but a sophist. They say that he invented morality. Others before him had practised it: he only said what they had done, he only read lessons on their examples. Aristides had been just, before Socrates explained the nature of justice: Leonidas had died for his country, before Socrates made it the duty of men to love their country; Sparta had been temperate, before Socrates praised temperance; Greece had abounded in virtuous men, before he defined virtue. But where could Jesus have taken among his countrymen that elevated and pure morality, of which he alone furnished both the precepts and the example? The most lofty wisdom was heard from the bosom of the most furious fanaticism; and the simplicity of the most heroic virtues honoured the vilest of all people. The death of Socrates, serenely philosophising with his friends, is the most gentle that one can desire; that of Jesus expiring in torments, injured, derided, reviled by a whole people, is the most horrible that one can fear. When Socrates takes the poisoned cup, he blesses him who presents it and who at the same time weeps: Jesus in the midst of a horrid punishment prays for his enraged executioners. Yes: if the life and death of Socrates are those of a philosopher, the life and death of Jesus Christ are those of a God. Shall we say that the history of the gospel is invented at pleasure? My friend, it is not thus that men invent; and the actions of Socrates, concerning which no one doubts, are less attested than those of Jesus Christ. After all, this is shifting the difficulty instead of solving it: for it would be more inconceivable that a number of men should forge this book in concert, than that one should furnish the subject of it. Jewish authors would never have devised such a manner, and such morality; and the gospel has characters
of truth so great, so striking, so perfectly inimitable that its inventor would be still more astonishing than its hero."

CHAPTER III.

Of the manner in which the evangelists delineate our Lord's character.

I have drawn an argument for the reality of our Lord's character from its perfection. I shall now endeavour to assist my reader in judging how widely our Lord's historians differ from writers who frame a fictitious relation.

Nothing can be more simple and artless than the manner in which this consummate character is drawn. It arises from facts, and often from slight incidents: and, in many places, it is so finely interwoven with the plainest narrative, that it can only be traced by a curious and attentive eye.

The evangelists most impartially relate whatever seems to diminish our Lord's character in the estimation of prejudiced and worldly-minded men; such as the poverty and low station of his parents, his unlearned education in the despised town of Nazareth; and the humble occupation of his youth in working with his own hands. When he entered on his ministry, they record with the same strict impartiality his rejection by his countrymen of Nazareth, and their rage against him even to an attempt on his life; the general infidelity of his near kinsfolk, and their most disgraceful reflections on his conduct; his assertion that he had not where to lay his head; his payment of the tribute-money by miracle; his subsisting on the liberality of others; the defection of many disciples; the fierce opposition of the Jewish rulers; his being called a glut-
ton and wine-bibber, a friend of publicans and sinners, a Samaritan, a demoniac, a confederate with Beelzebub: and they as circumstantially relate the private scene of his humiliation in the garden of Gethsemane, as his public crucifixion on Mount Calvary.

Our Lord’s mighty works are no where magnified. They are often told with a variety of circumstances; which is natural where an historian writes from personal knowledge, or from faithful information: but many of them are also mentioned in general terms, which is equally natural when the power of performing them is manifestly great, and the writer is conscious that it does not require to be extolled. Nay, sometimes a special exertion of our Lord’s miraculous power is left to be inferred from the relation: as when he is said to sit at meat in the house of Simon the leper, it is probable that Simon was showing an act of gratitude to Jesus for healing him of his leprosy.

Important circumstances in parallel histories are often suggested by a single evangelist. Mark and Luke record that one demoniac was healed at Gadara: from Matthew alone we learn that there were two. Matthew alone informs us that two blind men were restored to sight near Jericho: in the Gospels of Mark and Luke there is the sole mention of blind Bartimæus. Again: the feeding of a great multitude with five loaves and two fishes is related by all the evangelists; but Matthew alone adds that they were about five thousand, besides women and children.

We may extend the remark to incidents which affect the superior excellence of our Lord’s character. Mark alone mentions that, after he had healed a great number in Capernaum, he retired to a desert place, and 
Luk. v. 16. THERE PRAYED: and Luke alone records a like exercise of devotion after he had restored a leper. Nay,
from this evangelist alone we learn that he prayed at Luke vii. 21.
his baptism, at his solemn appointment of the twelve, Luke vi. 12.
at his transfiguration, and for the pardon of his mur-
derers at his crucifixion; and that he expired in the
act of commending his spirit into the hands of his
Father. The repentance of Judas, and his testimony
to our Lord's innocence, are also very remarkable; and
yet they occur only in St. Matthew's Gospel, though Mark xxvii.
the three other evangelists have recorded his treachery,
with which these consequences, so honourable to Christ,
are naturally connected.

It is plain from these instances, and from many
others which will present themselves to an accurate
observer, that not one of the evangelists aimed at ex-
hausting the subject with respect to our Lord's power
or goodness; and we may add, with respect likewise
to his eloquence and wisdom. St. Luke alone records
many of his most beautiful discourses; from St. John
alone we learn our Lord's wisdom, fortitude, and mighty
works during his attendance at four Jewish festivals,
his raising of Lazarus after he had been dead four days,
and how full of affection, humility, and devotion his
behaviour was on the night preceding his crucifixion.
And there are many things which Jesus did, many
signs which he wrought, and, no doubt, many lessons
which he delivered, not transmitted down to us by any
of the evangelists.

I have before observed that there is no rhetorical
gradation in the account of our Lord's miracles.

The evangelists are also remarkably free from enco-
mium on the subject of their history. They do not
extol in words our Lord's virtues or wisdom; but
compel their readers to feel that he was virtuous and
wise, by a detail of his actions and instructions. St. Peter,
in addressing the Jews soon after the descent of the
Act. iii. 14. Spirit, styles our Lord "the Holy One and the Just:"
1Pet. ii. 21. in another place he describes him as "leaving us an
23. example that we should follow his steps; who did no
Heb. viii. 26. sin, neither was guile found in his mouth; who, when
he was reviled, reviled not again, when he suffered,
threatened not; but committed himself to him that
Judgeth rightously:" and the author of the Epistle to
the Hebrews says, that "such a High Priest became
Christians, who was holy, harmless, undefiled, separate
from sinners." I recollect no remark of this kind in
the evangelists, except in the introduction to St. John's
Gospel. The venerable apostle, looking back with
wonder on the scene of which he had been witness,
not only mentions our Lord's transcendent dignity be-
fore his incarnation, but also that on earth he displayed
a glory suitable to the only-begotten Son who came
down from the Father, and that he dwelt among men
"full of grace and truth;" abounding in benignity to
mankind, and teaching the solid and substantial truths
of religion. In the course of all the narrations, our
Lord's conduct is left to speak for itself.

When a violent death has been inflicted on a right-
eous man, after the recital of such an event the natural
language of an impartial historian, and especially of a
disciple, is panegyric; the colouring of which is apt to
be heightened in proportion to the degree of the suffer-
ings and the worthiness of the sufferer. Plato thus
concludes his Phaedo: "Such, Echecrates, was the
end of our companion; a man, as we may well affirm,
the best of any whom we knew at that period, and by
far the most eminent for wisdom and justice." Xeno-
phon subjoins this remark to the apology which he re-
presents Socrates as making before his judges: "When
I contemplate the wisdom and magnanimity of the
man, I cannot but speak of him; and when I speak of him, I cannot but praise him. And if any one, desirous of a proficiency in virtue, has met with a more useful guide than Socrates, I pronounce that man supremely happy." The same elegant philosopher closes some remarks on the death of his master in the following manner: "Since he really was what I have described him; so religious, as to undertake nothing without consulting the gods; so just, as to abstain from the least injury, and to confer the greatest benefits on those connected with him; so temperate, as at no time to prefer pleasure before duty; so wise, as not to mistake in distinguishing good from bad, and as not to require the assistance of others, but to be able of himself to mark the distinction; and moreover skilled in discoursing on and defining such subjects, in trying others, in convincing the mistaken, and in exhorting them to virtue and decorum; he seemed in my judgment to answer the idea of the best and happiest men. But if any one does not approve of this assertion, let him compare the character of some one else with these qualities, and then form his determination."

But no such language as this is spoken by the evangelists. The testimony which we find in their writings is extorted from them by the laws of history. Pilate, Herod, and Judas declare their Master's innocence; and the centurion, who was eyewitness to the conduct of Jesus on the cross, and to the wonders which accompanied his sufferings, thus utters the result of his own conviction, "Certainly this was a righteous man." 

Our Lord's character is also delivered without any parallel between his unclouded perfection, and the virtues of other holy men which were shaded by great defects or by aggravated crimes.

* Memorab. lib. iv. at the end.
Nor is there any contrast in the Gospels between our Lord's meekness, uprightness, and other virtues, and the rage, injustice, and notorious vices of his enemies. It is true that, in one place, his manner of instructing is opposed to that of the Jewish teachers.

Mat. vii. 29. When he had ended his discourse on the mount, "the people were astonished at his doctrine: for he taught them," says St. Matthew, "as one having authority, and not as the scribes." But when St. John indignantly observed that "Barabbas was a robber," he left his reader to suggest the opposition in the character of Jesus; and when the context and the rules of writing naturally led St. Luke to the mention of Christ's extraordinary virtues, how does this evangelist express himself? "Pilate released to the Jews HIM THAT FOR SEDITION AND MURDER HAD BEEN CAST INTO PRISON; but he delivered Jesus to their will." Unless perhaps we may say, that in this writer's idea of Jesus everything great and excellent was summed up.

The evangelists also remarkably abstain from censure on the conduct of our Lord's enemies. I recollect only one reflection which they have passed on the Jewish rulers; and that is of the most calm and dispassionate kind. Matthew and Mark mention Pilate's knowledge that the chief priests had delivered Jesus to him "through envy." Judas is described in the Gospels as the traitor; and usually as the person who delivered up our Lord to the Jews. But, on one occasion, St. John's affection to his Master led him to express his indignation against this perfidious apostle by recording another part of his character: "This he said, not that he cared for the poor; but because he was a thief, and had the bag, and stole what was put therein."¹

¹ John xii. 6. See bishop Pearce in loc.
Our Lord’s historians very rarely assign the reasons of his actions. They teach us indeed why he concealed his miracles, why he spake in parables, why he said, “I thirst”; but, conscious that he acted wisely, they in general leave the motives of his actions to be supplied by the attention of their readers. They therefore rarely speak in their own persons. Instances, besides those immediately referred to, occur in St. Luke’s and St. John’s prefaces; in St. John’s conclusions; and in a remarkable passage where this evangelist expresses his admiration at the incredulity of the Jews, notwithstanding the greatness of our Lord’s miracles, observes that their spiritual blindness had been foretold, and records that many of the rulers suppressed their conviction from secular motives.

I shall suggest one or two observations more, which serve to characterize the manner of the evangelists, or to illustrate their credibility, though they are not to my immediate purpose.

The evangelists honestly relate many circumstances which actually disparage their own characters, or which prejudice unthinking men against them or their cause. They mention that many of the apostles were Galilæan fishermen, and that one of them was a Galilean publican. Matthew not only writes that he was called from the receipt of custom, but in enumerating the apostles he inserts his invidious occupation, and styles himself “Matthew the publican.” They furnish repeated instances of dulness and want of apprehension in our Lord’s followers. When Jesus bade them “beware of the leaven of the Pharisees and Sadducees,” we learn from them that the disciples misapprehended so plain a figure. It is also transmitted to posterity that the

John xix. 28. There are other instances where they observe that he fulfilled certain prophecies.
disciples asked an explanation of some parables, when our Lord expressed his wonder that he was not understood by them; that they did not properly infer our Lord's divine power from the miracle of the loaves; that they perceived not his meaning in the least, when he prophesied that he should be delivered into the hands of men, and again when he expressed himself in the plainest terms, that he should be mocked by the Gentiles, and spitefully entreated, and spitted on, and that they should scourge him, and put him to death, and that the third day he should rise again. St. Luke expresses himself strongly on each of these latter occasions. "They understood not this saying; and it was hidden from them, that they perceived it not." "They understood none of these things; and this saying was hidden from them; neither knew they the things which were spoken." Our Lord's historians also record that his disciples did not understand the nature of his kingdom during his life, and after his resurrection; that they had repeated and very unseasonable contentions among themselves who should be the greatest; that they were not able to cast out a demon; that they improperly rebuked some who brought young children to Christ that he might bless them; that one of the apostles betrayed Jesus; that, when he was apprehended by the Jews, they all forsook him and fled; that they disbelieved the accounts of his resurrection, whether given them by several women on the authority of an angel, or by Mary Magdalene who had herself seen Jesus, or by the two disciples with whom he conversed as they went to Emmaus; and that Thomas refused to credit the testimony of all the other apostles. On some of these occasions, or the like, they faithfully record their Master's animadversions. "Are ye also yet without understanding?" "Perceive ye not
yet, neither understand? have ye your heart yet hardened? having eyes, see ye not? and having ears, hear ye not? and do ye not remember?" And St. Mark Mat.xvi.14. writes that Jesus upbraided the eleven with their unbelieving and hardness of heart, because they believed not those who had seen him after he was risen. When the very chief of the apostles are concerned, there is the same fidelity in the narration. We read that James and John were rebuked for their intolerant spirit, when they would have called down fire from heaven to consume the Samaritans; that Peter was fearful and unbelieving, when he walked on the waves to meet Jesus; 31. that this apostle was most sternly reprehended, when Mat.xvi.23. he wished our Lord's sufferings far from him; that Peter, James, and John suffered themselves to be overcome by sleep, after a solemn exhortation to watch; 40. 45. that Peter rashly drew his sword, and smote a servant of the high priest; that he denied his Master with oaths and imprecations, after vaunting that he would lay down his life for his sake; and that Peter and John believed not* our Lord’s resurrection, after having heard from Mary Magdalene the angel’s assurance that he was risen, and seen the orderly state of the grave-clothes in the sepulchre.

The authenticity of the Gospels, besides the strong external evidence for it, appears from attention to their subject-matter; our Lord’s perfect character and doctrines; the extolling of moral duties; the disparaging of legal and traditionary observances; the declarations that the Gentiles were to be admitted into the church; and the prophecies that the Jewish temple and nation were to be destroyed. It is incredible that such men

* John xx. 8. Beza's MS. inserts the negative particle, and ver. 9. proves that it should be inserted.
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should write so far above all other men, and so contrary to the stream of their own ideas.

It is particularly observable of the evangelists, and indeed of the inspired writers in general, that not one of them speaks of the insufficiency of his own abilities, notwithstanding the greatness of the subject which he undertakes. In the genuine books of scripture there is no such language as the following: "If I have done well, and as is fitting the story, it is that which I desired; but if slenderly and meanly, it is that which I could attain unto." The authors of the sacred books show a consciousness that they were writing under the all-sufficient influence of the Spirit.

\[\text{2 Mac. xv. 38.}\]

CHAPTER IV.

Proofs in our Lord's conduct that he was not an impostor.

The striking opposition between the tenour of our Lord's actions as a religious teacher, and what might naturally have been expected from a Jewish impostor, forms an argument for the reality of his divine mission with which I shall conclude.

After having received full attestations from the Baptist, whom all men held to be a prophet; after the descent of the Spirit in a bodily shape, and the approbation of God himself by a voice from heaven; instead of asserting his Messiahship in Jerusalem, where men were prepared to admit his claim, he retired into the desert during forty days, and then departed into the obscure country of Galilee^a^.

He contradicted the reigning preconceptions of the

^a^ John i. 44. See Randolph's View, &c. p. 45.
Messiah, by his own humble appearance and his selec-
tion of humble followers. The worship of God which
he required was a spiritual one, unlike the ceremonies
and pomp of the Jewish temple: his morality was too
strict for worldly minded men: he declared his design
to substitute an universal religion in the place of a
national one: he preferred mercy to sacrifice; in
weighed against Pharisaical traditions; neither practised
himself, nor taught his disciples to practise, Pharisaical
austerities; and subjected himself to a charge of viol-
ating the sabbath, and to extreme danger, by repeat-
edly working miracles on that day.

Though John the Baptist attracted much notice, and
all men held him to be a prophet, Jesus did not obtain
his testimony by a preconcerted plan with him; but
John knew him not till he came to be baptized.

The accounts of the wonders which preceded and
followed his miraculous birth were not forgeries in
which he was assisted by his kinsfolk; for they did not
acknowledge his prophetic character: his religion
and its evidences were not a political device; for he op-
posed, admonished, and reprehended the Jewish rulers,
and was hated and slain by them.

Nothing indeed could be at a greater distance from
secular views than his behaviour to the rich, powerful,
and wise men of this world. When a Jewish ruler
came to him at the first passover, we see in him no
earnest endeavour to secure so useful a convert. He
discoursed of a new birth, of receiving the Spirit by
baptism; and humbled his pride as a master in Israel
for not comprehending his words. He showed his own
contempt of wealth by entrusting Judas with the bag,
his only faithless follower, and one of whose faithless-
ness he had early knowledge; and he occasionally spake
of the deceitfulness and evil tendency of wealth; and
Luk. xii. 20; xvi. 23. described the rich as suddenly cut off, and as lifting up their eyes in future torments after faring sumptuously in this life. The following language was used by him to one of that class, who asked him what he should do to inherit eternal life: “If thou wilt be perfect, go, sell what thou hast, and give to the poor; and thou shalt have treasure in heaven: and come, take up the cross, and follow me.” His reproofs of those in power were the freest imaginable, when their unbelief and vices deserved them. And instead of lamenting that the wise and prudent were not in his train, who were the fittest instruments to compass worldly ends, he made it a ground of thanksgiving to God that his doctrine had been hidden from them and revealed unto babes. Nay, he recounted this among the characteristics of his Messiahship, that his gospel was preached to the poor.

We have seen that he was equally free in censuring his own followers, even the most zealous and eminent among them. When his disciples asked him who was the greatest in the kingdom of heaven, he chose out none of distinguished attachment or ability: but observe his answer: Mat. xviii. 4. “Whosoever shall humble himself as a little child, the same is greatest in the kingdom of heaven.”

When a certain scribe, and therefore a convert of no mean rank, said to him, “Master, I will follow thee whithersoever thou goest;” his discouraging reply was, Mat. viii. 20. “Foxes have holes, and the birds of the air have nests; but the Son of man hath not where to lay his head.”

When he was called the Holy One of God by a demoniac, whom the people might think endued with a spirit of divination, “Jesus rebuked him, saying, Hold thy peace.” In like manner he often concealed his

b Page 326, 418.
that he was not an impostor.

miracles, often retired after he had wrought them, and never made an ostentatious display of his power. He also commanded Peter, James, and John not to disclose Mat. xvii. 9. his transfiguration, and the glorious vision and the voice from heaven which accompanied it, till he was risen from the dead.

When the multitude were about to make him a king, an end which an impostor would have promoted, he retired to a mountain alone. And when the same Jo. vi. 15. multitude sought him, and discovered his retreat, he thus addressed them, "Verily, verily, I say unto you, ver. 26, 27. Ye seek me, not because ye saw the miracles, but because ye did eat of the loaves, and were filled. Labour not for the meat which perisheth, but for the meat which endureth unto everlasting life, which the Son of man shall give unto you; for him hath the Father sealed, even God:” and he purposely alienated them, ver. 32–68. and some of his disciples, by the most figurative and ver. 66. dark of all his discourses.

Thus again, when Philip and Andrew told Jesus that certain Hellenistic Jews desired to see him; Jo. xii. 20–26. penetrating their worldly motives, he spake of his approaching death, and taught his disciples to expect persecution in this life, and an eternal recompense in the next.

When he commissioned his twelve apostles, one part of his injunction to them was, "Freely ye have received, Mat. x. 8. freely give.”

He not only discouraged all hope of temporal honours Mar. x. 42–44. in his disciples, but foretold, in various places, in the most public manner, and the clearest and strongest Mar. viii. 34. terms, that hatred, tribulation, and death awaited them. His followers were to forsake all that they had, to hate Iak.xiv.26, 33.

c See part I. ch. iii. sect. 1. p. 155.
their lives, and to take up their cross: and humility, patience, and love of their enemies were among the chief virtues which they were to practise.

He did not endeavour to allay the astonishment and fear of the twelve as they were going up to the last passover: but on the contrary, he took that very opportunity to foretell his crucifixion and its ignominious circumstances. His death on the cross was an original part of his plan: and he alluded to it almost as soon as he entered on his ministry.

Lastly: he prophesied of supernatural events immediately to succeed his death; I mean, his resurrection on the third day, his ascension, and the descent of the Holy Spirit. He also foretold that his disciples should do greater works than he: and that “these signs should follow them that believed; in his name they should cast out demons, they should speak with new tongues, they should take up serpents, and if they drank any deadly thing, it should not hurt them; they should lay hands on the sick, and they should recover.” The most senseless deceiver would not have subjected himself to so speedy a detection: much less one who on other occasions showed so much wisdom, and so deep a knowledge of human nature.

I shall close this attempt to illustrate the perfection of our ever blessed Lord’s character, with a doxology formed out of all those which are addressed to him in the books of the New Testament; and which I, his unworthy disciple, humbly and devoutly offer up to him: “Salvation belongeth unto the Lamb: worthy is

d Mark xvi. 17, 18. See the topic that our Lord was no impostor, and the topic also that he was no enthusiast, illustrated in a masterly way by Dr. Ran- dolph in his excellent View, &c. p. 45, 141, 155, 381-404.

* Rev. vii. 10. Gratiss ob acceptam salutem. Grot. The sense of the words is, He is the author
that he was not an impostor.

the Lamb that was slain to receive riches, and wisdom, and strength: unto him that loved us, and washed us \textit{Rev. i. 5, 6.} from our sins in his own blood, and hath made us kings and priests unto his God and Father\textsuperscript{f}, be bless-\textit{Rev. v. 13.} ing, and honour, and glory, and dominion, and power, \textit{Rev. i. 6.} for ever and ever. Amen.”

\textsuperscript{f} Compare Phil. iv. 20; and Heb. v. 9. See Rev. viii. 10; see John xx. 17; 2 Cor. i. 3; Eph. xix. 1; and Jonah ii. 9. \textsuperscript{i. 3.} English Version, Col. i. 3.

THE END.
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