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PREFACE.

They who have known my education may remember, that I was bred up seven years in the University under men of the Calvinistical persuasion, and so could hear no other Doctrine, or receive no other instructions from the men of those times, and therefore had once firmly entertained all their doctrines. Now that which first moved me to search into the foundation of these doctrines, viz. The Imputation of Adam's Sin to all its posterity, was the strange consequences of it; this made me search the more exactly into that matter, and by reading Jobua Placanis, with the answer to him, and others on that subject, I soon found cause to judge that there was no truth in it.

Section I.—After some years study I met with one who seemed to be a Deist, and telling him that there were arguments sufficient to prove the truth of Christian Faith, and of the holy Scriptures, he scornfully replied, Yes: And you will prove your Doctrine of the Imputation of Original Sin from the same Scripture; intimating that he thought that doctrine, if contained in it, sufficient to invalidate the truth and the authority of the Scripture. And by a little reflection I found the strength of his argument ran thus: That the truth of holy Scripture could no otherwise be proved to any man that doubted of it, but by reducing him to some absurdity, or the denial of some avowed principle of reason. Now this imputation of Adam's sin to his posterity, so as to render them obnoxious to God's wrath, and to eternal damnation, only because they were born of the race of Adam, seemed to him as contradictory to the common reason of mankind, as any thing could be, and so contained as strong an argument against the truth of Scripture, if that doctrine was contained in it, as any could be offered for it. And upon this account I again searched into the places usually alleged to confirm that doctrine, and found them fairly capable of other interpretations. One doubt remained still, whether Antiquity did not give suffrage for this doctrine; and here I found the words of Vossius very positive, that Ecclesia Catholica sic semper judicavit, the Catholic Church always so judged; which he endeavors to prove by testimonies from Ignatius to St. Austin. This set me on the laborious task of perusing the writings of antiquity till that time, and upon an impartial search, I found that all the passages he had collected were impertinent, or at least insufficient to prove the point; yea, I found evidence sufficient of the truth of that which Peter du Moulin plainly owns, that from the time of the Apostles to St. Austin's time, all the Ecclesiastical Writers seem to write incautiously of this matter, and so declineth to what he calls Pelagianism. And of this having made a collection, I finished a treatise of Original Sin, in Latin, which hath been composed about twenty years, though I have not thought it advisable to publish it.

Another time I discoursed with a Physician, who said, there was some cause to doubt the truth of Scripture; for, that he, it seems plainly so
hold forth the doctrine of absolute election and reprobation, in the ninth chapter to the Romans, which is attended with more evident absurdities than can be charged on them who question the truth of Scripture: And also feemeth as repugnant to the common notion which mankind have received of divine justice, goodness and sincerity, as even the saying that God considering man, in massa perdit a, as left in Adam, may delude him with false miracles, feemeth repugnant to his truth. And reading in (a) Mr. Dodwell, that bold stroke, that St. Paul being bred a Pharisee spake there, and is to be interpreted, ex mente Pharisaorum, according to the Doctrine of the Pharisees concerning, Fate, which they had borrowed from the Stoics; I set myself to make the best and exactest search I could into the sense of the Apostle in that chapter, and the best help I had to attain to the sense of that chapter which I have given in my paraphrase, I received from a manuscript of Dr. Patrick, the late worthy Bishop of Ely, on that subject. Thence I went on to examine all that was urged in favor of these doctrines from the holy Scripture, and this produced one considerable part of these discourses. And it was no small confirmation of the sense both of the places here produced against, and rescued from the false interpretations of the adversaries of this doctrine, (1f.) that I found I still failed with the stream of Antiquity, seeing only one, St. Austin, with his two Boatswains, Prosper and Felgenius, tugging hard against it, and often driven back into it by the strong current of Scripture, reason and of common sense.

Section II.—ady. I also found that the Heteroies of old, used many of the same texts of Scripture, to the same purposes, as the patrones of these doctrines do at present; as hath been oft observed in these discourses.

ady. That the Valentinians, Marcionites, Basilidians, Manichees, Priscillianists, and other Heteroies were condemned by the ancient champions of the Church upon the same accounts, and from the same Scriptures and reasons, which we now use against these Decretalists; and the principles on which they founded all their confutations of them, were these.

1f. That it is not our nature, but our will, and choice of that from which we might abstain, which was the root and fountain of all our wickedness; for otherwise, say they, το θεος την εγκλημα, that God, who is the author of our nature, must be the author of our sin; this doctrine they unanimously teach from Justin Martyr and Irenaeus, to St. Austin, who declares, (b) natura malas animas nullo modo esse posse, that it is impossible, according to the Definitions he had given of sin, that souls should be evil by Nature.

ady. That we do not become sinners by our birth, and that they who say we are by nature Children of Wrath in the most dreadful sense, make God the author of our sin, it being God who hath established the order, in the generation of mankind, which neither he that begat, nor he that is begotten can correct, and by whose benediction mankind increase and multiply. An infant therefore cannot, say they, be a sinner by his father's fault (c) πατς γαρ του του πατρος ο δεδωκεν δικαιον, for a Child doth not suffer punishment for his Father's Fault, says Chrysotom; and (d)

(a) Proleg ad J. Stemm de oblin. l. 41. p. 147.—(b) Lib. de duab. Anim. c. 12.—(c) in Joh. ix. 2.—(d) in Joh. ix. 8.
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Theophylact, νῦν γὰρ τῶν γινώσκοντων αὐτὸς ἃν ἐκάθεν; for how should be be punished for the Sin of his Parents, say Chrysostom, Cyril of Alexandria, Iisdore Pelusiot and Theophylact, ibis being, saith Theophylact, ὁ ἱματος, not just. And this they prove from Deut. xxiv. 16. Ezek. Chap. viii. and xxxiii. The Marionites and Cerdonians endeavored to prove that the God of the Old Testament, though he were just, could not be good, because he threatened to punish the Sins of the Fathers upon the Children to the third and fourth Generation. (c) Original Answers, That it was as little consistent with Justice as with Goodness, that one Man sinning, another should be punished. (f) St. Jerome owns, That there is Matter of Scandal in the Second Commandment, is being unjust to punish one for the Sin of another; but that which follows, faith he, solves the Difficulty, it being only the Generation of those that hate God, as their Fathers did, and who were companions with them in their Iniquity, who are thus threatened. (g) Theodoret says it would be, ἀπεκείνα, a quickened thing to adhere to the Letter, God himself having pronounced that the Son should not die for the Iniquity of the Father; but everyone should die for his own Sins. And (b) Cyril of Alexandria faith, This Punishment would much exceed, τὸ τοῖς δικαίων, the Law of Justice. And hence they both agree in this sense of the commandment, That though God long deferred the Parents Punishment, yet would be do it in the third and fourth Generation.

And on these words, The Fathers have eaten our Grapes, and the Childrens Teeth are set on edge, St. Jerom taking notice of the objection of the Marionites and Cerdonians against God’s goodnes and justice, in that he, winking at the Sins of the Fathers, punished them in their Children, he answereth that the sense of these words is this; That as it is ridiculous, and inconsequent to say the Fathers have eaten our Grapes, therefore the Childrens Teeth are set on edge; So is it unjust and perverse to say, the Father sinning, the Children should be punished.

Lastly, Whereas the Heretics objected, That it was cruel for the easing of a little Meat to inflict such Punishment, not only upon Adam

(c) Solent nos Heretici fugillare, quod non sit boni Dei ferme, qui pro peccatis, uterum suum plebi dicit, sed secundum principum rationem qui duxit legis mandatum, licet non bonum, judicium tamen dicunt, ne ipse quidem possint offendere quomodo secundum illum suum judiciis sue convenire videtur, sanitizer an peccante, numquam, Hom. B. in Exod. f. 44. lit. f. id大面积 inserts 他期, Chryg. ibid. eda, διακά ής ἀμαρτίας τῶν πατέρων παιοι μερικῶς αδικουσιν τίθηται, Theophylact, ibid. Similia habet Cyrilius in locum.

(f) Injustum videtur ab aliquo pecet, et aliqui puniantur, sed illud quod sequitur his qui me oederunt, scandala solvit, non enim idem puniantur qui quidem Patres corum—sed quis patrum extirpurum amalatores, & oederunt Dum Hereditario malo, & impiate ad ramos quos; de radice crescente, Hisen. in Exod. f. 164. lit. i. Etque loci istius is lenus quomodo si quis velit dicere Patres uam acerbam coenderunt, & dentes filiorum oblitterunt, ridiculum relict, & nullum hebetum consequentium, sic iniquum est, & perverse peccare Patres, & filios nepotique; etucis, ibid. lit. i.

(g) "Omni tab risum auxilium tis χιματοι διοικεί; οῦτ εἰς διάσκει τινα καταθετῶσι, in Exod. qu. 40.

(h) Com. in Joh. 9. 1, 2, 3.
and Eve, (i) ώσις ἁμαρτανότας μηδὲν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τοὺς ἐκ ἁμαρτίας ἐκθηκμοῦντας, but on those also who proceeded from their Loins: The Fathers who generally held that the punishment of that Sin was only mortality, and the want of that which Adam having lost, could not derive on his posterity) declare that God subjected them to this mortality, not out of anger, but out of wisdom and clemency, to beget in them an hatred of Sin, and that Sin might not be eternal in them. Against the doctrine of the Valentinians and Bogdianians: Hæretics that some Men were θείας ἁμαρτίας, evil by Nature, or that Matrimony was evil, because it produceth seed polluted, in his youth, from the birth, we have produced the testimonies of (k) Irenæus, Clemens of Alexandria, and others: To which add, That the doctrine which taught that men were sinners from the birth, is expressly condemned by (l) Cyril of Alexandria, and Theophylact in their commentaries on the ninth chapter of St. John’s Gospel, where they teach, (xii.) that the question of the disciples, Whether he that was born blind had sinned, was, ἡμίσεως ἄνωτέρων και ἡμισεωτικών: a true and sound Foundation grounded upon Error it being therefore not to be laid that ἡμισεως ἄνωτέρων και ἡμισεωτικών, he had sinned because he was blind from his Birth. (ady.) Because as it was absurd to imagine that the child who had done no evil should be punished for the sin of his parents, so was it to imagine that he could be either a sinner before he was born, or from his birth. In fine, that the doctrine of these Manichæi was anciently confuted upon the same principles by which the doctrine of these men is equally confuted, hath been abundantly made good in our fourth discourse. Chapt. the 4th and the 8th. p. 281.

Nor seems it easy to discern any advantageous difference between the doctrine of these Decretalists, and those condemned Hæretics; or how it would be worse with those who lie under their absolute decree of reprobation, if it indeed were with them, according to the doctrine of these Hæretics!

For,

18. Are they not as certainly wicked by the fall of Adam, and as certainly damned after God’s decree, De non dando auxilio necessarium

(i) Ου τοις ἄνωτες τις ἀμαρτίας ἢ ποιήσεις σοφίας λοιπης, ἢν γὰρ μετὰ τὴν ἀμαρτίαν τοῦ άνθρώπου τὸ γένος ἢ μνήμη ἢ κατανάλωσιν χάριν τῆς παράδοσες τῆς ἀνθρώπου ἡμῖν προέρχεται. Theodoret. in Gen. qu. 37.


(1) 'Ομοί γὰρ ἐν ἡμαρτάνοντες ἕτερον κολάξ εὐθέως ἐπτυχεῖ τοῦ, èι τοῦτο δὲ σωμάτων δωρεσμοῖν, ὅταν γὰρ ἑνεκείμενον, ἦντερ εἰς οὐκ εἰς τόσον ἢ τόσον λέγειν ὅτι εἰς ἡμισεως ἡμῖν, ως τοῦτο τοῦτο γονίας καταλαμβάνει. Chryssol. ibid.
ad vitandum peccatum, of not affording to them the Help necessary to avoid that sin which he had threatened Damnation, as they would be by being left under the power of the heathen Ariusians, or of the anti-
god of Manes, which by the Fathers are pronounced blasphemous doc-
trines!

ady. Are they not as certainly wicked, and de massa perdita, by be-
ing born of Adam, and being left remedilessly in that state of perdition, as they would be according to the doctrine of the Stoicks, and Origenists, the Marcionites and Valentinians, by being compounded of that flesh or matter which did necessitate them to sin? Or is there any great differ-
ce betwixt being φθονος φιλων, naturally evil, which was the doctrine condemned by the Fathers in those Heretics, and being φθονος υπερύμπ, by Nature Children of eternal Wrath, as being born of human nature..

Section III. It also may deserve to be considered that the most stiff
and eager patrons of these doctrines, laid the foundation of them not in holy Scripture, which is our only rule of faith, or in the doctrine of Christ, our only teacher and our guide in matters of faith, but rather in those impure streams of the Scholastical Divines, who had but little knowledge of the text, and left on the sense of Scripture; or in the doctrine of St. Austin who writ much and fast, and oft against his former, and his better self.

Of this (not to mention Dr. Twiss and Rutherford) the good Bishop
(m) Davenant is a remarkable instance; for when he comes to lay down the imputation of original sin, he hear of little or nothing from the holy Scriptures, but his whole scheme is borrowed from the Schools.

Thus when he tells us of God's imaginary compact with Adam, That
if he prevaricated he should procure not only to himself but to all his Pos-
terity, the Death both of the Body and the Soul, as he attempts not to prove this from Scripture, so doth he in it manifestly contradict the express words of God, In the Day that thou wast thereof, thou shalt die the Death; for that phrase, Thou shalt Die the Death, frequently occurs in the law of Moses, and always signifies death temporal, as the (n) places below cited shew. Moreover God gives this reason of that threat, for Dust thou art, and unto Dust shalt thou return, which cannot in the least relate unto the soul. I conclude then with (o) Origens, That that sentence, σώματος καταδίω, καταστάσας και ψυχής, imports the Condemna-
tion not of the Soul but of the Body only.

ady. When he adds, that Adam sinning, gessit personam generis hu-
mani ex Dei decreto, sustained the Person of all Mankind by the Decree of God; as he cites this from the Schoolmen, so the plain import of it is, That all mankind were made sinners, not by any action of their own, but purely by God's decree.

ady. When he adds from the same Schoolmen, that the Power of
Adam are guilty of his first Sin, Ex Arbitrario Dei Decreto, by the Ar-
bitrary Decree of God, but not of his other Sins, nor were they interested
in his Repentance, because God's Decree extended not to those Sins, or

(f) Asimadv. upon Hoard. from p. 244 to 248, and from p. 294 to 298.

(1) Gen. xvi. 9, Ex. ii. 12, 15, 16, 17, 20. Lev. ii. 9, 10, 11, 13, 15. 1 Sam.
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that Repentance: He in effect affirms that his Proserity, who had no more hand in his first, than in his following sins, were by God's arbitra-
ry decree made guilty of that sin alone, from which they would other-
wise have been as free as from his other sins; and who then was the
author of that sin, since Adam was only personally the author of his own
sin, and it was God's decree only which made him the author of our sin,
or rather us the author of his sin. And,

4thly. From the same Schoolmen he adds, That an Infant may be prop-
erly guilty of Sin, and so obnoxious to Damnation, because Voluntas Ad-
amo, quodammodo est voluntas parvuli ex Dei Decreto, The will of Ad-
am is in some sort the Will of the Infant by virtue of God's decree; and
so the poor innocent is sent to hell by the arbitrary decree of God alone
which made Adam's will his will, when otherwise Adam might have fin-
shed on to the day of his death, and the poor child might have died as he
was born an Innocent. That the Schoolmen are his only warrant for all
these fond and horrible imaginations, you may see in the places cited.
The 5th of the Romans, v. 18, 19. being so far from proving any of these
notions, that they are evidently confuted by it, as you may see in the
notes there; nor was any such inference from these words owned by
any of the Fathers till St. Auftin's time, as you may learn from the com-
mentaries of Origen, St. Chrysostom, and Theodoret upon the place.

As for their other patrons, St. Auftin, Prosper and Fulgentius, it must
be granted they were good Latin Scholars; but yet they wanted skill both
in the Hebrew and the Greek tongues, and so it was not to be expected
that we should learn the true sense of the Scripture from them.

Some there are who tell us that these Deceives and difpenations of God
in reference to men's eternal state are Mysteries; and truly as they are
managed and affected by them, I fear they may be so in the worst sense.
And if they understand the word as it semeth to be still used by St.
Paul, for a doctrine not yet revealed, see the Note on 1 Cor. ii. 7. they
grant that which I chiefly have endeavored in these discourses to make
good, viz. that their doctrine is not taught in holy Scripture.

Others perhaps may say that some things here affecting are Pelagian-
ism, and others Semi-pelagianism, it being usual for men hard pressed to
fall to railing; but the first chapter of the Third Discourse will be suffi-
cient to convince them they cannot justly fallen either of these names up-
on me, though Semi-pelagianism never was condemned by the church of
God, and they who in St. Auftin's time maintained it, were by him owned
as good Catholics and Christian Brethren, as you may see in Vossius,

SECTION IV.—Lastly, If any man say I contradict the doctrine of the
Church of England touching these points, he will condemn almost the
whole body of that Church, it being certain that after the restoration,
almost all the Bishops, and the great body of the Clergy, who were em-
inent for learning, were of my opinion concerning these Five Points; and
still, I believe, are so. He therefore out of reverence both to the living
and the dead, ought rather to affirm only that I expound some of her
Articles otherwise than he would do, or thinks they ought to be expound-
ed. It hath been usually said that the Church of England contrived her
Articles in such a latitude as to leave place for men of contrary judg-
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ments to subscribe them; and if it be considered that in her Catechism she declares that the learn from her Creed, to believe in God the Son who hath redeemed me and all Mankind: That in her prayer at the consecration of the sacrament she declares, That Jesus Christ by his own oblation of himself upon the Cross, hath made a full, perfect and sufficient Sacrifice, Oblation and Satisfaction for the sins of the whole world. And in her third College on Good Friday she prays, that all Jews, Turks, Infidels and Heretics may be saved among the number of true Israelites; and that the other doctrines here pleaded for, do follow from that of universal redemption, as hath been shewed in the close of that discourse, there will be sufficient reason to be of this opinion; but of this more in the Bishop of Sarum’s excellent discourse upon that article, p. 168, 169.

In fine, the church of England by (p.) Canon doth enjoin all preachers especially to take care that they never teach any thing to their people, as religiously to be believed and held, which is not agreeable to the doctrine of the Old and New Testament, and that which the Catholic Fathers, and the Ancient Bishops gathered from that every Doctrine. That this rule hath been carefully observed by us, and is as constantly transgressed by them who do maintain the contrary doctrines to be Articles of Christian faith, I hope, hath fully been demonstrated in these papers, which are submitted to the judgment of the learned reader.

By his Friend, and Servant in the Gospel,

DANIEL WHITBY.
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The true import of the word grace in Scripture (Section 1, 6thly) That by the vouchsafement of the grace as a role of life, it seems necessary to alter that God vouchsafed some inward operations and influences to our minds, in affections and understanding, Section 1, 6thly. The passages in which God's grace or spirit works upon the heart and mind of man for producing the fruits of the good spirit, or the preparatory dispositions towards them, is tolerable to the reason of the learned and the understanding of many, section 1, 6thly. That it is not necessary to us to persuade others and to all God's dispositions towards them, section 4. The operation of the Holy Spirit on us in this case, seems to consist in these two things: first, the preparing divine truths more clearly to our understanding; 2ndly, bringing the divine motives to our consciences, in order to a renewal of our minds when this is necessary to engage us to the performance of our duty, Section 5. In what sense a supernatural operation of the Holy Spirit on us may be allowed, how they are exciting, restraining, preventing, enabling and subduing grace, and of the distinction of grace into sufficient, innate, common and special grace. Section 6. No necessity of supernatural infused habits, Section 7.

CHAPTER II.

This chapter contains arguments against the necessity of an irresistible and unchangeable operation in order to the conversion of a sinner, Section 1. From the arguments of our adversaries, Section 1, 6thly. From God's declaration that he had done all that was sufficient, and could be reasonably expected in order to that end, when that effect did not follow, Section 2, 3dly. From his earnest defiles of the obedience and rebuke of his people, Section 3. 4thly. Because this renders vain (ib.) All the commands and exhortations directed to the wicked to turn from the evil of their ways. (6thly) All the threats denounced against them who go on in their ways... (ib.) All the promises of pardon and life to them who turn from them, Section 4, 6thly. Because then it could not be righteous to punish them with eternal misery for their disobedience to what God requires, nor could that disposability be their issue, Section 5. The answer that this disposability is contrary to our own free will, because it came from the grace of our parents, is largely contained (Section 6, 6thly). Because such a divine, unchangeable operation renders the word no instrument or means for the conversion of a sinner, Section 7. 6thly. Because then no sufficient motive can be offered to induce any person to enter upon a change of life till he feel this divine impulse come upon him, Section 8. 6thly. Because then nothing can be required of us as a requisite, or a preparatory condition of our conversion, Section 9, 10thly. Because then no man could be persuaded sooner or later than he is, Section 10, 11thly. Because God charge the wickedness of men not upon their impotency or disposability, but upon their willful resistance, Section 11. 6thly, Our opinion on this matter to the glory of the divine attributes, Section 12. And is most conformant to the judgment of antiquity, Section 13.

CHAPTER III.

This chapter contains an answer to the arguments produced to prove that man is partly passive in the whole work of his conversion, that being wrought by God alone without his cooperation. Some general objections are premised as a foundation of an answer to these arguments, Section 1. Which are, 6th. From the representation of this work, as a resurrection, a creation, a new birth, Section 3. 6thly. From those scriptures which represent the unregenerate as dead in sin, and unable to discern the things of God, to think any thing as of themselves, to do any thing till they be in Christ, to come to him till they be drawn, to bring forth good fruit, so he be faithful to the law of God, Section 5, 6thly. From those scriptures which say, That God gives faith and repentance, and opens the heart, Section 4. 6thly. From those which say God circumscribes, gives a new heart and spirit that we may fear him, and writes his law in our hearts, Section 6. 6thly. That by faith we worketh in us to will and to do, Section 6, 6thly. That according to this doctrine, 6thly, one man makes himself to differ from another, Section 7. 6thly, Man will have cause of being lost, Section 8. 6thly. The glory of our conversion will not be of God alone, ibid. 6thly. It will be uncertain whether any one will be converted or not.
DISCOURSE IV.
Of the Freedom of the Will of Man.

The State of the Question.

CHAPTER I.

That the state of man in this world is a state of trial and probation, is proved by many arguments, Section 1. And hence it follows, that the liberty belonging to this question is partly lost or a divided one for the sake of trial, probation, and repentance; for God did the arguments proceed from the freedom of God, of good or evil angels, as of men, to prove that liberty of freedom may conflict with a necessity, or a determination to good or evil must be imperceptibles, they being not in a state of trial, Section 2. This freedom of the will in a state of trial, cannot conflict with a determination to one or other of these actions; and consequently, that which constitutes a man from choosing what is morally or spiritually good, or refusing what is evil, must also take away his liberty to choose the good or refuse the evil action, Section 3. It is added to say that those that have not or may not have a liberty to choose, that which they can or cannot do, Section 4. Or to say that men under an indeferrible operation are still free, because what they are to do is the same as what they do in the state of freedom, Section 5. That opinion which teaches that men by the fall lost their natural liberty, because they are not able to do anything which is truly good, but only allow that to attend to sin which makes it necessary for him to do evil, hath no foundation in the holy scriptures, with an argument to prove the contrary, Section 6. These arguments strongly confirm from the concurrent suffrage, and the express and frequent declarations of the sacred authors, Section 7.

CHAPTER II.

The liberty forementioned declares that the actions of the will even in christian virtues of the highest order is opposed solely to necessity, but necessity, Section 1. Hence appears the falsehood and hypocrisy of all the number of those godly men supposed to be in possession of the contrary opinion, Section 2. Five further arguments from scripture to prove the liberty contended for, Section 3. These arguments strongly confirm from the concurrent suffrage, and the express and frequent declarations of the sacred authors, Section 4.

CHAPTER III.

The freedom of the will is a state of trial from necessity to argue, Section 5. From God's method in dealing with them by persuasions and moral inducements, Section 6. That from the received notion of man's state, Section 7. God's will being what he does by his Son, Jesus Christ, Section 8. God's will is commanded or prohibited, Section 9. But, because the state of wicked men, whether of omission or commission, would not deserve that name, Section 10.

CHAPTER IV.

Where it is manifested, on that there is a clear distinction of the will that is free, the liberty of the will in a freedom not a necessity, but only freedom, Section 1. But, with the doctrine of Fair; And that the difference between them is not material, Section 2. But that the same reason which induced the philosophers, from the light of reason to condemn this state in those brethren who maintain it, induced the christians to reject it when it was taught by the Celsus, Porcellanus, and other heretics, Section 3.

CHAPTER V.

The judgment of all antiquity for that freedom of the will we contend for is evinced from the freedom of the will being delivered to the church by the preaching of the apostles, and by ecclesiastical tradition, Section 4. But from what St. Aug. lies down in confirmation of this doctrine, viz. (166) That no man is blameworthy for doing that which he was not able to do, Section 5. That no one is guilty for not being such as they cannot be, Section 6. That no man is worthy of blame, or punishable, for not doing that which he cannot do, Section 7. That no man is guilty for not having that which he hath not received, Section 8. That this is the true definition of sin, Section 9. That it is the will to do that from which we have the liberty to abstain, Section 10. That it is folly to commands him with whom not the power, Section 11. That it is not the duty of him to request who cannot do good, Section 12. That the denial of this liberty is contrary to scripture and destroys the equity of divine judgments, Section 13. Which things he hath the general suffrage of the Greek and Latin fathers, Section 14. The arguments by which the fathers have vindicated the doctrine of this liberty, Section 15. The refuted which St. Aug. makes to some of his own arguments and insufficient, Section 16.
We own (18) that they who are preferred from falling are so preferred by the power of God through faith; but know of no promise that all true believers shall be so preferred. Why? That God hath engaged to preserve them who do not voluntarily depart from him, from being found from him by the malice, seducers or power of their adversaries; but that those falling from them were foolishly. Why? They be both promised perseverance to all that use the people's means; and nothing at all shall be so who were once true believers. Section 1. What are adversaries great against most of their arguments, section 2.

The arguments against the doctrine of perseverance of all true believers to the end are taken, 26. From God's express declarations to the contrary, 2a. xviii. 21; 2s. xxix. 15. Section 1. folly. From their words, Acts, vi. 26, 28. It is impossible—and get fall away—and renew them to regenerate. Section 2. folly. From their words, Acts, vi. 26, 28. That is, that daubed the blood of the concerning wherein he was satisfied, and any thing, there remaineth no more fasting for him, section 3. folly. From them, Deut. xxii. 25, 26. In your own defense in thy faith, and in thy own defense by thy own resolution to him, section 4. folly. Therefore, if after they have escaped the seductions which are in the world through lust, they are again ensnared and overcome, 2 Pet. ii. 18. Section 5. folly. From many instances of such men who have actually fallen away, especially in the Jewish nation, section 6. This argument is confirmed from many places of the Epistle to the Hebrews, 16. folly. From the commandments and exhortations directed to true believers to continue to the end, and to fear lest they should fall away, and the clauses to prevent their doing so, the promises made to them if they continue steadfast, the threats against them who did not for of which in general, section 7. In particular this is proved, 17. From the common Section 8. The exhortations to persevere, section 9. And to fear lest they should fall away, section 10. Particulary from the fear of the apostle left it should be so, section 11. The promises made to them that they do not, section 12. The clauses against them that do thus fall away, section 13. Lastily, This is proved from many places which suppose that true believers may thus fall, Section 14.

In this chapter is contained an answer to the arguments produced from scripture to prove the perseverance of saints to the end, as v. 18. From Matt, xi. 24. They shall deceive, if it were possible, the very devil. Section 1. folly. From John vi. 59. He. It is in the will of the Father that every one who is given to Christ and believes in him should not perish but have everlasting life. Section 2. folly. Because the Lord hath not called his people whom he doth know, Rom. viii. 29. Section 3. folly. Because he hath appointed them, and none can separate them from the love of God. Rom. viii. 29, 35. Section 4. folly. Because true believers have the title of God who seal them up to the day of redemption, and is the earnest of their future inheritance, Eph. i. 13, 14. 19. Section 5. folly. Because the Lord knoweth who are his, 2 Tim. ii. 10, 19. Section 6. folly. Because they are kept by the power of God, and preserved to salvation, Titus. ii. 10. Because they who go out from true believers were not of them, 1 John ii. 19. Section 8. folly. Because he that is born of God cannot sin. 1 John iii. 9. Section 9.

Though the next produces the next produced to prove that God cannot engage by promises to preserve true believers steadfast to the end. (18.) I will give them one heart, and one way that they may fear me forever: I will put my fear into their hearts that they shall not depart from me. Jer. xxxi. 29, 30. Section 1. folly. Christ saith he that cometh to me shall never hunger, he that drinketh of the water that I shall give him shall never thirst, Joh. vi. 35, 36. Section 2. folly. Christ saith that his house shall never perish; none shall pluck them out of his hands, John xiv. 27. Section 3. folly. Christ saith to commit them unblamable to the end, 1 Cor. i. 9, 10. To perfect the good work begun in them to the day of the Lord, Phil. i. 6. To sanctify them among all called in body, God and Jesus; 2 Thess. v. 19. To establish them, and keep them drawn evil, a Thess. iii. 9. Section 6.

A comparison between the two doctrines (18) as to the comfort of believers, where it is proved, (18.) In a manner, that a doctrine is not therefore true because it is comforting to believers, but that belief of it. (18.) That a possibility of falling into a great evil is no just cause of trouble or anxiety, when I am assured I cannot do so unless I will and choose to do so, and cannot do so lest by setting against the clearness rules of reason and discretion, and the highest motives to the contrary, folly. That the doctrine of perseverance of true believers is very comfortable, or give any advantage above the other in the point of comfort, section 1. folly. As to their tendency to produce holiness, where it is shewn that our doctrine hath the advantage on several accounts, Section 2. folly. That it hath the sadness of all the rest, and was the doctrine of the whole Church of Christ for many ages, section 3.
CONTENTS.

DISCOURSE VI.

Containing an Answer to Three Objections against the Doctrines affected, and the Arguments by which they are confirmed.

CHAPTER I.

This chapter contains a reply to two grand objections, against what hath been discussed on the foregoing heads, viz. Obj. 18. That most of the objections made against the decrees of God and the infallible inferences from them to man, and the determination of his will to good or evil, are strong against the prescience of God. To this objection it is said, 1st. That this argument from prescience overthrows these decrees, or renders them superfluous, section 1. 2dly. That the Hobbsite and the fatalistic did, and may take fancy in the divine prescience, as well as the Decreedists. 3dly. That God's prescience hath no influence at all upon our actions, whereas God's decree of election is powerful and active, and comprehends the preparation and exhibition of such actions of will infallibly productive of the end. 4thly. That this predestination renders no actions necessary, whereas those decrees must do so. 5thly. That God's predestination respects not only things future but things possible; what may be done by them who will not do it, and may be left undone by them who do so. 6thly. That foresight after what manner they will be performed; that free actions will be done freely; that is when we shall find them acting from an inner principle, when we act upon our own free will, that is, when we might perform them. 7thly. That this argument only proposeth a great difficulty arising from a mode of knowledge in God, of which we have no idea, against the plain declarations of his revealed will, and made liable by the distinction between the communicable and his communicable attributes; of the first we have a notion to the extent, and of the second we have none to the extent. 8thly. That we are bound to believe they are in God, but not to imitate them. 9thly. In his communicable attributes, we are bound to resemble him, or follow his example, and so must have a true, though not a perfect knowledge of them, section 2. 10thly. That we must asser upon what God gives us to be the providence of God. 11thly. That we shall not actually move the wills of men, he cannot compel the designs of his providence. Answer 12thly. This chapter is attended with this great absurdity, that it makes God as much the author of all the evil as of all the good that is done in the world. 13thly. All that is necessary to accomplish the designs of Providence, may be done without laying any necessity upon human actions. 14thly. The judicious wisdom, holiness, and dignity of providence, are all entirely overthrown by the doctrines we write against, section 3. 6thly.

CHAPTER II.

The objection, that God seems to have dealt so severely with the heathens, to whom the knowledge of his will and gospel never was revealed, as we can imagine him to have dealt with men according to the doctrine of absolute election and reprobation, and the denial of grace sufficient to the greatest part of mankind, is answered. 1stly. By shewing, that it cannot be applied to the chief arguments produced against these doctrine. 2ndly. That what God hath plainly and frequently revealed in the scriptures concerning his goodness and kindness to the sons of men, ought firmly to be believed; though we are not able to discern how it comporteth with his providential dispensations in the world, there being greater depths in providence than we can fathom, section 1. 3dly. That we know to little of the future state of heathens, that we cannot pass any certain judgment concerning their future state, ibid. 4thly. That this objection falsifies in the same thing, to be without a gospel revelation, and to be without any means of grace at all, and that without a revelation no man can do any thing which is well pleasing to God or acceptable in his sight; the falsehood of which supposition is proved by fix arguments from scripture, section 2. And by two arguments from reason, section 4. 5thly. It seems not well consistent with divine equity and goodness, to make that a condition of any man's happiness which he cannot know to be his duty, or knowing is not able to perform. Section 5. 6thly. That God will only judge men at the last, for denying against the means he hath vouchsafed them to know and perform their duty, and he will only judge the heathens for sins committed against that light of nature he had given them, section 6. 7thly. That God having laid down this method in the dispensation of his gifts, that he who is faithful in the improvement of the least talent shall have a suitable reward and that to him that so shal] shall more be given; it is reason to conceive, he will deal with the heathens according to this rule, section 7. 8thly. That we may reasonably conclude God will deal with them both in respect to the acceptance and reward of their good, and his displeasure against, and punishment of their evil actions, according to the measures of their ignorance and knowledge, the abilities, motives and inducements afforded to them to do, or to avoid them. And therefore 9thly. That their good actions done upon left motives and convictions, may be more acceptable to God than the like actions done by christians, upon more evident evidence and higher motives and more powerful inducements. 10thly. That they may expect reward upon performance of his duty, because lefts will be required of them. 11thly. That God should be more ready to pardon and pass by their offences, as having in them more of ignorance and left of contempt. 12thly. That he should be more patient, and longsuffering toward them, before he punishes, because th. Is less the light is there. 13thly. The lefts is their offence; against them, and the good may be their inducement, and lay the lesser stripes upon them, because they did not know their Master's will, section 8.
WHITBY'S
DISCOURSES.

DISCOURSE I.

CONCERNING ELECTION AND REPROBATION.

The State of the Question concerning God's Absolute Decrees of Election and Reprobation.

ET it be observed from (a) Bishop Davenant, "That no medium can be assigned, either on God's part, betwixt the decrees of predestinating some men, and not predestinating some others; or on mens part, betwixt men absolutely predestinated to the attainment of life eternal, and absoleutely pretermitted, and left infallibly to fail of the attainment of eternal life; which we call Absolute Reprobation. As for example: Let us suppose the number of mankind to be two millions of men, if out of these, one million only, by the Decree of Election, be infallibly appointed to eternal life, and these certainly and absolutely distinguished from others, not only as to their number, but their persons also; who can deny but that one million also,

(a) Animad, on Hord, p. 205.

C
and those certain as to their persons, are as absolutely comprifed under the Decree of Nonelection or Reprobation, as the others were under the Decree of Election or Predestination? So that there is no possibility of ascertaining one of these Decrees without owning the other also; and so whatsoever argument holds good against an absolute Decree of Reprobation, must certainly destroy the opposite Decree of Absolute Election.

Now, is there any need of arguments to confute such a supposed Decree as this? I behold, through the fall of Adam, (by my mere pleasure imputed to his whole posterity yet unborn, as if it were their action, and they had personally conformed it) the whole race of mankind obnoxious to my eternal wrath, and utterly unable to recover from it; and though they be all the souls that I have made, all equally wanting, and equally capable of my favor; nor have I any reason to extend it to any of them, rather than to all; yet do I absolutely Decree to vouchsafe this favor only to some few of them, leaving the far greatest part of them under a sad necessity of perishing everlasting, for the offence of their forefather Adam, committed long before they had a being; so that they shall be as sure to be damned eternally as they are to be born in time; and yet I will proclaim myself unto them, a God merciful, and gracious, long-suffering, and abundant in goodness, on purpose that they may not perish, but be led by it to repentance, and declare to them that my delight is in shewing mercy. I will entreat them with the greatest earnestness, and even beseech them to be reconciled to me, as being so far reconciled to them in Christ Jesus, as not to impute to them their transgressions and sins: I will send to them all my messengers and prophets, declaring that I do it, because I have compassion on them: I will allure them to repentance with the promise that all their sins shall then be blotted out, and not one of them remembered against them: I will tell them that I would have purged them, but they would not be purged: I would have gathered them, but they would not be gathered: I will ask them, Why will you die? and inquire of them what I could have done more to prevent it which I have not done? Yea, I will feriously, and solemnly protest and swear unto them by the greatest oath, even that of my own life, that I would not the death of him that dies, but rather that he should return and live. But after all, I will be true and constant to that absolute Decree of Reprobation, which must render their damnation unfruitful, and to the negative decree of withholding from them that grace which can alone enable them to escape it, or to receive any advantage from all these declarations.
And hence we learn the falsehood of that assertion of the same good Bishop, That "Reprobation is not a denial of sufficient grace, but a denial of such special grace which God knows would infallibly bring them to glory; and that we cannot thence conclude, (c) that being not elected they are left without all remedy or sufficient means of salvation; or that being reprobated they are without sufficient remedies or means to escape damnation, were not their own wicked will the only hindrance." For can men be left infallibly to fail of eternal life, and yet not be left without all remedy or sufficient means of salvation? If, as he says, (d) God leaving them under the want of that special grace, and effectual guidance proceeding from Divine Predestination, they never fail of running themselves wittingly and willingly upon their own damnation; have they notwithstanding sufficient remedies, or means to escape damnation? Sure it is, there can be no salvation, and no escaping of damnation, without conversion of the will from sin to God, and a continuance in this estate unto the end. If then these Reprobates have no sufficient means to turn their wicked and perverted wills from sin to God, they can have no sufficient means either to obtain salvation, or escape damnation. If they have sufficient means to convert their wicked wills from the love of sin to a prevailing love to God, the pravity of these wills can never be the cause why they are left infallibly to fail of life eternal, or why they never fail of running on wilfully to their own damnation; seeing they have means sufficient to rectify the pravity of their wills. Again, either these means are sufficient to render them truly willing to believe and repent, or they are not; either they are sufficient to remove the defectiveness and disability of will they have contracted by the fall of Adam to these saving actions, or they are not: If they are not, how are they means sufficient for the attainment of the salvation which belongs only to the believer and the penitent, or the escaping that damnation which necessarily follows upon the disability and defect for which no sufficient remedy is by grace provided? and then how have they grace sufficient for these ends? If they are thus sufficient, then may they truly be willing to believe and repent; and then this sufficient grace being vouchsafed to them, there can be no obstruation in their will which necessarily hinders their believing and repenting; and then they on whom God hath passed this act of Reprobation, or of preterition, may believe and repent, and therefore may be saved, as well, though not as certainly, as

(b) Corol. p. 24—(c) P. 30.—(d) P. 28.
they who are elected to obtain salvation; and so all to whom the gospel is vouchsafed may be saved. Suppoe a man hath broken his leg by a fall, hath he therefore sufficient means to walk, because he might have done so, had not his leg been broken by that fall? If then the will of man by his fall be as much disabled to walk in the ways of God, as this man's body is to walk at all, can it be truly said he hath sufficient means to walk in those ways, because he would have had them, had not his will been thus disabled? (e) Adam indeed, as the Bishop faith, though not predestinated to stand in the state of innocency, had yet sufficient means of standing, because he had no defectiveness or disability in his will to do so; but what is this to the case of those who are supposed to be so disabled; that, if they be left to their own wills as Adam was, are so disabled that they cannot stand?

When therefore this good (f) Bishop proceeds to say, "that they who are passed by in the Eternal Decree of God, are not by any force of that Decree left without the benefit which the scripture promises upon condition of repentance, but the Evangelical Decrees stand in full force; if Judas believe and repent, he shall be saved; if Peter do not believe and repent, he shall not be saved;" and by this thin piece of sophistry the good man attempts to shew that God is serious and in good earnest in all the offers he makes to Reprobates of mercy and salvation upon their repentance, and all the threats he hath recorded in the Holy Scripture against all, and therefore against the Elect, if they do not repent and persevere to the end; and all the other motives and inducements to engage both of them to do so: How unsuccessfuuly he hath performed this, will in the sequel be demonstrated; at present therefore I shall only put these two Decrees one under the other, that they may blunder at one another.

1. Absolute Election contains an eternal, absolute, infallible Decree, that Peter shall believe, repent, persevere unto the end, and be saved.

The Evangelical Conditional Decree is this, That if Peter do not believe, repent, and persevere unto the end, he shall not be saved, but shall infallibly be damned; and therefore God in it speaks to Peter thus, Except thou repent thou shalt perish; pass therefore the time of thy sojourning here in fear; work out thy salvation with fear and trembling, continue in the Faith, for if

(e) P. 30. — (f) Corol. 2. p. 29. 30.
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thou drawest back, my soul shall have no pleasure in thee; yea, give all diligence to make thy Calling and Election sure.

2. Absolute Reprobation is an absolute, infallible Decree. That v. g. Judas shall unavoidably fail of obtaining life eternal; that this event shall be so certain, that he shall never fail to run himself wilfully upon his damnation.

The Evangelical Conditional Decree is this, That if Judas will repent, believe and persevere, he shall be saved; and in pursuance of this Decree, God lovingly invites and calls upon him to believe and repent, exhorts, and even entreats him by his ambassadors, to be reconciled to him, to turn from his evil ways and live, alluring him to do so by the hopes of pardon and salvation, if he will hearken to God's calls, and perusing him by the miseries which he will then incur, not to neglect so great salvation, expostulating the cafe with him, why after all these methods to prevent his ruin, he will die and not live? Why he will not be purged and made clean, and how long it will be ere he will hearken to his invitations; declaring that he doth all this, because he hath compassion on him, and is long suffering to him, because he is not willing he should perish, but should come unto repentance; though his Decree of Reprobation hath rendered his damnation a certain and infallible event.

ady. Observe, That though the greatest part of them who assert an absolute Election and Reprobation, or Preterition, make the object of them not man as man, but as fallen, and therefore sinful man; yet is the difference betwixt them, and those who are called Supralaparians, very little; for the Sublatarians, say, God decreed that Adam should be the head of all mankind, and therefore to impute his first sin, and that only to his posterity, and not to impute to them his repentance for it, though there was equal reason to do both, or neither; and foreseeing that he would fall, and render his posterity obnoxious to his eternal displeasure, he designd to glorify his free grace and mercy in saving some of them, and so in bestowing on them infallibly that grace which shall unfruitlessly bring them to salvation: Others he absolutely decreed to pass by, and not to bestow that grace upon them without which they cannot obtain salvation, or avoid eternal misery. Now

1f. Seeing it is certain from the event, That God absolutely decreed to bring all men out of the loins of Adam, and that they therefore become the posterity of fallen Adam, and so are born sinners and children of wrath, purely by being born, and so by absolute necessity proceeding from this Decree of God,
who could have made them otherwise, and brought them into the world from another head. Again,

edly. Seeing nothing makes the connexion betwixt the personal sin of Adam and the fall of all men in him, or their guilt by reason of his fall, but God's arbitrary imputation of it to them; their being then in his loins, or his posterity, making them no more guilty of his first than of all the other firsts committed by him before they had a being, and of which it is confessed they are not guilty; nothing can make this connexion betwixt their being born men and sinners, children of Adam, and children of wrath, but these arbitrary and inevitable Decrees. And

edly. Adam being as much in nature our common head and root, and we being as much in his loins when he repented to salvation, as when he sinned to condemnation, there is no other reason, besides God's arbitrary will, can be assigned, why God should impute his sin to us to condemnation, and not impute unto us his repentance to salvation, or for the pardon of it; for if his person was our person, his will our will in sinning, why were they not so also in repenting? If then according to this hypothesis, there is no possible difference betwixt being a man and a sinner, and God's Decrees alone have made this necessary connexion; why might he not as equitably have passed these Decrees upon men as man, as upon men made sinners by his mere arbitrary Decrees? especially if we consider that the sins of all men, besides Adam, are as inevitable, and as much decreed by this hypothesis, as by the other.

CHAPTER I.

Concerning the Decree of Reprobation.

I. SHALT endeavor to make it appear,

1st. That it hath no foundation in the Holy Scriptures.

edly. That it is contrary to the plain declarations of scripture.

SECTION I.—And 1st I observe that the word ἀνακρίβας, which we render Reprobate, but might have as well been rendered
disapproved, hath no relation in Scripture, to any Decree, either absolute concerning the damnation of men as the end, and consequently denying or withholding from them the means by which alone they can escape that damnation, or of doing this on the account of the sin of Adam; but only doth denote such actions of men corrupted, as to faith and manners, which being done, will certainly be disapproved by God and man. Thus those Jews, who, through the prejudices and corruption of their minds were indisposed to receive, and therefore did resist the truth of the gospel, as Jannes and Jambres did of old God’s message by his servant Moses, are styled ἀδόξασος πεπληρωμένος, (a) Reprobates concerning the faith; i.e. men indisposed to receive, or approve it, and therefore disapproved by God. And those Gentiles, who, (b) when they knew God, did not glorify him as God, neither were thankful, but changed the truth of God into a lie, by worshipping the creature instead of the Creator, and liked not to retain God in their hearts, are said to be given up ἐν πνεύματι ἀδόξασος, to a reprobate mind; i.e. a mind that could not be approved of, but abhorred by God and men, as prompting them to do, ἃ μὴ ἀδόξαστα, things not agreeable to nature, or to reason. Thus those Jews whose minds and consciences were defiled, are styled Reprobates, because (c) though in words they professed to know God, yet in works they denied him, being abominable, disobedient, and to every good work, ἀδόξασος, reprobate; that is, void not only of judgment to discern what was good, but also of affection to approve of it: And that earth is styled ἀδόξασος, reprobate, or rejected, which, after all the showers which fall upon it, (d) brings forth only thorns and briers: and that silver, ἀργυρίος ἀδόξασος, (e) reprobate silver, which being falsely stamped, or coined, will not be received, but rejected. And in this sense (f) St. Paul faith, he kept under his body, left, whilst he preached to others, he himself should be, ἀδόξασος, dishonored, and rejected, by God. Now all these Reprobates being either so filed, not because God was unwilling to have any favor for them, or had any antecedent purpose to reject them; but because their prejudices and corruptions cau used them to reject him by disapproving of his truth and ways; or because the actions they in time did in opposition to his truth revealed to them, and his holy word which he had given them to direct their actions, were rebellious; they cannot possibly relate to a

(a) 2 Tim. iii. 8. (b) Rom. i. 20–28. (c) Tit. i. 16. (d) Heb. vi. 8. (e) Prov. xxv. 4. 1sa. i. 22. (f) 1 Cor. ix. 27.
Decree of Reprobation, or Preterition, in God, respecting them before all time.

Section II. Adly, As the word εἰκάσαν, translated Reprobate, cannot at all concern this pretended, Decree of Reprobation, which the School divines, have invented, and others from them have embraced; so, Secondly, is there nothing relating to it, or from which it can reasonably be inferred, in the scriptures, either of the Old, or the New Testament. From the Old Testament they urge these words, viz. That God made all things for himself, even the wicked for the day of evil, Prov. xvi. 4.

Now what is it that they would infer from these words? Is it that God made men wicked? This doubtless is blasphemy; much more to say, He made them wicked for his glory, as if he had (g) need of the sinful man for that end; or is it with (h) Dr. Tuffts, That all, besides the elect, God hath ordained to bring forth into the world in their corrupt mass, and to permit them to themselves to go on in their own ways, and jo finally to persevere in sin; and lastly, to damn them for their sin, for the manifestation of his justice on them? This for my life I am not able to distinguish from making them wicked; for to bring them forth into the world, and to make them, is the same thing; and by the same act by which they are made, they are made of the corrupt mass; that only signifying that they are made of the race of Adam; And therefore, by the very same act by which God made them, he must make them sinners. Moreover, what God ordained to do before all time, he in time did; therefore in time he brought these men forth into the world, in the corrupt mass, i.e. He brought them into the world sinners, that is, hateful to himself; for (i) the Most High hateth sinners: Whereas that of the book of (k) Wisdom is as true as Gospel; Thou (O Lord) lovest all the things that are, and abhorrest nothing that thou hast made, for neither wouldst thou have made anything if thou hadst hated it. See what hath been further said against this hypothesis in the state of the question, and in the notes on Rom. v. 13, 19. Eph. iii. 2. Or lastly, they only mean that God for the glory of his justice, had appointed that wicked men perishing impenitently in sin should be obnoxious to his wrath; and then they assert a great truth: But then it is a truth which gives not the least advantage to their doctrine, nor is founded on this text. For,

(g) Eccluf. xv. 12. (h) Against Hord, p. 50. (i) Eccluf. xii. 6. (k) Ch. xi. 24.
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The text saith God made all things, lamaanby, from
whence, to answer to themselves, or aptly to refer one to another.

(1) He hath made the wicked for the evil day, i.e. to be the
executioner of evil to others; on which account they are in
scripture called God’s rod, and said to be a sword of His.

SECTION III.—A second text cited to prove this Decree of
Reprobation, or Preterition, runneth thus; (m) Therefore they
could not believe because that Isaiah said, again, He hath blinded
their eyes and hardened their hearts, that they should not see with
their eyes, or understand with their hearts, and be converted, and
I should heal them. Like to which are those words of St. Mark
and St. Luke, (n) To you it is given to know the mysteries of the
kingdom of God, but to others (who are without the kingdom)
I speak in parables, that seeing they may see and not perceive, and
hearing they may hear and not understand, lest at any time they
should be converted, and their sins should be forgiven them. From
which words the inference they make contains this strange and
uncomfortable doctrine, viz. That the infidelity even of God’s
own people is to be resolved, not into the perverseness of their
wills, or the evil dispositions of their hearts, but into the divine
predictions or into a judicial blindness and obduracy wrought
by God upon them, which renders it, though not naturally, yet
morally, impossible for them to believe; therefore for explication
of them, and to demonstrate the falsehood and absurdity of
this inference, let it be noted,

(18) That our blessed Lord, in the immediate precedent ver-
ses, doth passionately exhort these very persons to (o) believe
and walk according to the light, that they might be children of the
light; which is a certain evidence that he well knew his Father
had not by any of his actions; predictions or Decrees, made it
thus impossible for them to believe on him, or walk according
to his doctrine: For if God had so blinded their eyes that they
could not see the light, or so hardened their hearts that they
could not embrace it, Christ would not, or rather could not have
exhorted them to believe, or seriously require them, thus disa-
bled, to walk according to the light, much less to do it so effect-
ually, that they might become the children of the light; for ev-
ery exhortation to do a thing we know men cannot do, must be
vain; and he who by it seems to be debirous we should do that
which he knows we cannot, must delude us; and if he knows

(1) Isa. x. 5. Psalm xviii. 3.—(m) John xii. 39, 40.—(n) Mark iv. 11, 12. Luke viii. 9, 10.—(o) Ver. 35, 36.
that God hath by some antecedent purpose, will or Decree, resolved to withhold that aid by which alone we can be in a capacity to do it, it must also be an exhortation repugnant to the will of God; it being in event, and in effect the same, to will that any person should not do the thing which he requires, and to will he should not have the means by which alone he can perform it. Now it is blasphemy to say the exhortations of the Son of God were vain, delusive, and contrary to his Father's will. Moreover, our Savior knew these Jews were capable of mercy and salvation by him; for he expressly says, (p) God sent him into the world that the world by him might be saved: He makes this declaration to them, (q) These things I say unto you that you might be saved; and this inquiry, (r) How often would I have gathered you as a hen doth her chickens under her wings, and you would not be gathered; and pathetically faith to the inhabitants of Jerusalem, (f) O that thou hadst known in this thy day, the things that belong to thy peace! but now they are hid from thine eyes: Now, therefore they were not always so. Christ then here taketh it for granted, that the people of Jerusalem, in the day of their visitation by the Messiah, might savably have known the things belonging to their peace. Now either this assertion, That they might savably have known these things, was according to the truth; or his wish, That they had thus known the things belonging to their peace, was contrary to his Father's will and Decree, which is palpably absurd. And seeing the will of Christ was always the same with that of his Father, it follows also that God the Father had the same charitable affection to them, and so had laid no bar against their happiness by his decrees, nor withheld from them any thing on his part necessary to their everlasting welfare. 9dly, God himself would not have his words so understood, as it he were unwilling that the Jews should believe, or had by any of his purposes or actions rendered it not possible for them to do so; for (t) this was his commandment, that they should believe on him whom he had sent: And why sent he his son to seek, and save that which was lost, even the lost sheep of the house of Israel, had he not been truly desirous that they should believe? or how could either Christ or Moses accuse them to the Father for their unbelief, had the Father himself resolved from all eternity to withhold from them that assistance without which they could not believe. And lastly, the Evangelist, and that good spirit

(p) John iii. 17.  (q) John v. 34.  (r) Luke xiii. 34.
(f) Luke xix. 42.  (t) John vi. 29.
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by which he did indite these words, did not thus understand them; for he in the immediate foregoing verse objects this to the Jews as their great crime, that (u) though Christ had done so many miracles among them, yet they believed not on him; whereas it can be no man's sin not to do what he cannot do purely by reason of the act of God; these words can therefore never bear that sense on which this inference is grounded.

al. Observe, That God's foreknowledge, sayings and predictions have no such influence on the will of man, as to lay on him a necessity to do what he foreknows, and hath foretold he will do; for were it otherwise,

I. All human actions must be necessary; for to that God who is omnipotent, all things past, present and to come, are known; if then this knowledge of men's actions, which the scripture doth ascribe to God, did make them necessary, all human actions must be necessary, and to the freedom of them must be overthrown. adly. Then vice and virtue must be empty names, we being capable of doing nothing which is blame worthy, or deserveth praise, (which yet the scripture plainly, and frequently affirms we may) for who can blame a person for doing only what he could not help, or judge that he deserveth praise only for doing what he could not avoid? And adly. Then must all future recompenses be discarded, it being sensibly unjust to punish any man for doing that which it was never in his power to avoid, and as unreasonable to reward him for that action which cannot be praise worthy.

When then it is here said, Therefore they could not believe because Isaiah said, &cc. these words must bear this sense, Therefore they could not believe because (that was fulfilled upon them which) Isaiah said, or it had happened to them as he had foretold. Examples of such an explicit we find frequently in this gospel: So Chap. ix. 3. Neither hath this man sinned nor his parents, &c. but (this blindness hath happened to him) that the works of God might be made manifest in him. Chap. xiii. 18. I know whom I have chosen, &c. but (this hath happened to Judas) that the scripture might be fulfilled, which faith, He that eateth bread with me hath lifted up his heel against me. Chap. xiv. 31. &c. but (this I do) that the world may know that I love the Father. Chap. xv. 25. &c. but (this hath happened) that the word written in their law might be fulfilled. 1 John iii. 19. &c. but (they went out from us) that it might appear they were not all of us. And this exposition is
confirmed by the Holy Ghost, who Mat. xiii. 19, 14. faith from
the mouth of Christ, Therefore I speak to them in parables, be-
cause they seeing see not, &cc. and when it is said, ver. 40. He
hath blinded their eyes, &cc. observe that the word  He, is not in
the original, which only faith thus, [ixap] oπιηεω της ἀνοιξεως,
and may be rendered, malice, or wickedness hath blinded their
eyes, as we read Wisd. ii. 21. Or, adly. the personal verb is
put for the impersonal, the active for the passive, i. e. He hath
blinded their eyes, and hardened their hearts, for, their eyes are
blinded and their hearts hardened. To give you a plain in-
stance of this nature, Isa. xlii. 18. in our translation we read
thus, He hath shut their eyes that they cannot see, and their
hearts that they cannot understand; and yet both the Greek and
Chaldee Paraphrase read them thus, ἵππομενοις, their eyes they
have shut, and darkened lest they should see; and that this is the
true import of the Prophet's words, not that God, but that Sa-
tan, and their own evil dispositions had done this, is evident
from this consideration, That this is spoken to the shame of
them who made and worshipped senseless images, ver. 9, 11.
and to convince them of their want of consideration, ver. 19.
And that this must also be the sense here, we learn not only
from the Septuagint, the Syriac, and the Arabic, which render
these words of Isaiah thus, the heart of the people is waxed gross,
and their ears have they closed, lest they should see with their eyes;
but also from our Blessed Savior and St. Paul, a witness by the
Holy Ghost, who both exactly follow this translation of the
words, the one Mat. xiii. 19, 14. the other Acts xxviii. 27.

Objection. But in St. Luke this is plainly given as the reason
why Christ spake to them without in parables, that seeing they
may not see, and hearing they may not understand.

Answer. To take off this pretension, it is sufficient to observe
that the words in St. Mark and St. Luke are only an abbrevi-
atation of what St. Matthew faith was spoken by our Lord more
fully; for Christ might say what St. Mark and St. Luke relate,
and yet say more than they relate, as St. Matthew doth assure
us he did; but then St. Matthew could not have given us his
discourse more fully, unless our Lord spoketh more fully than it is related by St. Mark and St. Luke; whence it must
follow, that the relation of St. Mark and St. Luke must be de-
cicient; that is, that they do not contain all that our Savior said
on this occasion, and therefore must be filled up, or rendered
entire by the addition of the words recorded in St. Matthew.
Since then St. Matthew doth expressly tell us from the mouth
of Christ, He therefore spake to them in parables, because they
seeming would not, or did not see, and hearing did not understand; and that they therefore did not see, hear and understand, because their heart was waxed gross, and their ears heavy, and they had closed their eyes lest they should see; it seemeth evident that the words of St. Mark and St. Luke must be filled up, or made intire thus; to others (of the Jews, who will not own my doctrine, or believe in me, as you my Disciples do) speak I in parables, because they seeing see not, and hearing do not understand, for their hearts are waxed gross, and their ears heavy, and their eyes have they closed; that seeing they may see and not perceive, and hearing they may hear and not understand. These words are therefore nothing to the purpose for which they are produced, they saying nothing of God's decree, or purpose, antecedently to their being, to deny them grace sufficient to see, or understand their duty taught them by our Lord in plain words as well as parables; for why then doth Christ ask with such seeming indignation, (x) Why is it that you do not understand my saying? (it only is) because ye cannot endure to hear my words; i.e. because your prejudices and lusts will not permit you to receive it; this, doubtless, was the great sin of the Jews, and so they wanted not either natural power or aid sufficient on God's part so to do, but only a moral power or a mind well disposed to obey his word; it being only of the wickednesses and perversenesses of the Jews, who would not see, or come unto the light lest their deeds should be reproved; that they loved darkness more than light; that they were even unwilling to be healed, or converted from their evil ways; and this will still appear more evident, if it be further noted.

That these words, They seeing see not, and hearing do not hear, or understand, are a proverbial expression concerning men so wicked and so slothful, that either they attend not to, or will not follow the clearest intimations and convictions of their duty. Thus to a revolting and rebellious people which had cast off the fear of God, the prophet Jeremy saith, (y) Hear now this, O foolish people, and without understanding, which have eyes and see not, which have ears and hear not; and God speaks to Ezekiel thus, (z) Son of man, thou dwellest in the midst of a rebellious house, which have eyes to see and see not, they have ears to hear and hear not, for they are a rebellious house. This is a frequent form of speech in (a) Philo, who faith of men addicted to wine and sensual pleasures, ἴχνεις ἐν ἴχνεσι, καὶ ἰδόντες ἐν ἰδώνσι

(x) John viii. 49. (y) Jer. v. 21. (z) Chap. xii. 2.
(a) Alleg. L. i. p. 70. L. 3. p. 850.
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that they seeing see not, and hearing do not hear, and (b) Demosthenes mentions this as a proverb; these words do manifestly therefore shew, That it was the wickedness and perseverance of the Jews that indisposed them to receive profit by Christ's plain discourses, which caused him thus to speak to them in parables.

Lastly. Observe that they thus shut their eyes, and made their hearts gross, faith God and Christ, lest they should be converted and I should heal them; so that the design of God in sending of his Son was their conversion, and the remission of their sins; and hence St. Peter faith to them, (c) repent ye therefore and be converted, that your sins may be blotted out, for God having raised up his Son Jesus, sent him to bless you in turning over one of you from your iniquities; expressly teaching that God sent his Son to procure mercy and salvation to every one of them; and that they by their wickedness and perseverance obstructed these his gracious desigons upon them, lest they should be converted from their evil ways, and God should heal them. For albeit this sad effect was not intended by them, yet since it was the natural result of their shutting their eyes against the light, it fills is ascribed to them, as when the prophet Hosea faith (d) of their silver and gold have they made them idols, that they might be cut off; and the prophet Micah, (e) The statutes of Qmri are kept, and all the works of the house of Ahab, and ye walk in their counsels, that I should make thee a desolation. These words are therefor so far from establishing, that they do evidently destroy the doctrine they were produced to confirm: In fine, let it be noted, That these were the very texts produced by the Heretics of old to destroy human liberty, and to prove that there were some natures that could not be saved, and others which could not perish; as you may see in Origen's Philocal. C. 21. p. 60. and ἡ μετέχεις Λ. 9. C. 1. F. 140.

Section IV. A third text used to this purpose are the words of St. Peter, (f) To you that believe he is precious; but to them which be disobedient, the stone which the builders refused is made the head of the corner, and stone of stumbling, and a rock of offence, even to them who stumble at the word, being disobedient, whereas unto also they were appointed; from which last words they argue, that some of the Jews, even all that believed not in Christ, this corner stone, were appointed by God to be disobedient.

(b) ἥτοι ἧν ἦν ἤδεις. καὶ ἀκούσας ἤν ἦν ἄνωθεν. Orat. in Aristogr. S. 123.
(c) Acts iii. 19. 20.—(d) Chap. viii. 4.—(e) Chap. vii. 16.
(f) 1 Pet. ii. 7, 8.
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Answer: The meaning of these words, saith Dr. Hammond is this, that they who disobey the gospel, standing out obstinately against it, were appointed by God to stumble and fall at that stone; that is, to be bruised by it, and by that means to be destroyed among the crucifiers of the Messiah, and condemned with them hereafter; it being just with God that they who will not reform and amend at the preaching of the gospel, and so receive benefit by it, should by their obstinacy be condemned, and so the worse for it, Christ being set (g) for the falling, as well as the rising of many in Israel; and the gospel being a (h) favor of death to them that perish, and they being those whom (i) God hath appointed for wrath; and to be sure it cannot signify that God absolutely ordained the unbelieving Jews ciāmōkmo, to disobedience, when as yet they were not, and therefore were not disobedient; for then their future disobedience was purely a compliance with the Divine ordinance, or will, and so could not deserve the name of disobedience, because it could not be both a compliance with, and disobedience to the will of God; nor could this disobedience be objected to them as their crime, unless compliance with the will of God be so, and it be a fault to be such as God by his immutable council and decree hath ordained we should be, or it should render men criminal and obnoxious to punishment that they have not made void God's absolute decree, or have done what that made it necessary for them to do; wherefore this passage cannot signify that the unbelieving Jews were appointed to disobedience, but only that being disobedient to the gospel so clearly revealed, and by so many miracles and distributions of the Holy Ghost confirmed to them, they were appointed, as the punishment of that disobedience, to fall and perish; for so the Hebrew word Šatāf, and the Greek πέσαναι and σαδάκων import, viz. the ruin and the fall of them who stumble at this stone. See the note on Rom. xiv. 13. Or,

adly. The words will fairly bear this sense, To you that believe belongs; υπαρ, the honor (of being built upon this corner stone into a spiritual house;) but to them that are disobedient (belongs that of P. cxviii. 22.) the stone which the builders refused, &c. and (also to them He is) a stone of stumbling, and a rock of offence, even to them that stumble at the word, being disobedient, καὶ ἐκ τῆς ἱδρυμάτως, for which also these stones were laid for the corner Stone for the building up of believers; the stone of stumbling for the disobedient to stumble at; for to both these

(g) Luke ii. 34. —(h) 2 Cor. ii. 15, 16. —(i) 1 Thess. v. 6.
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Flones belongs this preface, Behold I lay in Sion a stone; to the corner stone elect and precious here, and to the stone of stumbling, Rom. ix. 33, and so this agrees with the words of Simeon, Behold this child is placed for the fall and rising of many in Israel, Luke ii. 34. In either of these senes the words afford no countenance to this doctrine of Reprobation. Note,

3dly. That, as Oecumenius plainly shews, this was the old Ecclesiastical interpretation of these words; so doth he as apparently reject the sense this argument puts upon them, in these words, εἰς ὅν τι καὶ ἐστί τινι, εἰς ὅν τί καὶ τίνων εἰς τό τινος δικαιοσύνης κρίται, ἄδικα γὰρ αὐτίκα ἀκολούθως παῦ τῷ πάντας ἀδικών ὁ βεβαιώται αὐτὸς βεβαιώται, ἀλλὰ τίς ἢ μονος ἢν ἐργῇς κατηγορεῖται καὶ ἢ αὐτοῦς ἑπιλογίην, καὶ ἢ ὑπὸ παραπλανάχθη ἀντίθεν τάξιν ἐστίναι—Vide reliqua.

Section V.—A fourth scripture speaks of men (k) before ordained to this condemnation; here therefore seems to be an appointment of men to damnation, of old, plainly asserfed, of which God only can be deemed the author.

Answer. The verse in the Greek runs thus, Some ungodly men turning the grace of God into lasciviousnes have entered into (the church) εἰς ἁλατί μεταφράσμενον εἰς τῶν τοῦ κοίμη, i.e. of whom it was before written that this should be their sentence or punishment; or as it is in the parallel place of St. Peter, εἰς τοῦ κοίμη εἰς ἀλατί εἰς ἁλατί, (l) to whom the sentence of old pronounced doth not linger. Now that this cannot be meant of any divine ordination or appointment of them to eternal damnation before they had a being, is evident, 1st, because it cannot be thought without horror that He, who is the lover of souls, should appoint any, much less the greater part of them, to inevitable punishment before they had a being. 2dly. The word κοίμη relates not to sin but punishment, the fruit of sin; so Mark xii. 40. they shall receive παρασκευασμένον κοίμην, former punishment; Rom. ii. 3. thinkest thou this, O man, who dost the same thing, that thou shalt escape, εἰς κοίμην, the judgment of God; now God ordaineth none to punishment but sinners and ungodly men, and such, by the text, these persons are here stated: And 3dly, These were men of whom it was before written or prophesied, that they should be condemned for their ungodliness; for Enoch prophesied before to, or of, these ungodly men, saying, the Lord cometh with thousands of his saints, κοίμην κοίμην, to do judgment upon all that are ungodly (ἀδικοί, ver. 4.) and to convince them of all the hard speeches which ungodly sinners have spoken against him; (which answers to the deniers of the Lord that bought them, ver. 4.)

(k) Jude iv. 4. (l) 2 Pet. ii. before written in respect to this thing.
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4.) and in the parallel place of St. Peter their punishment is filled, (m) the punishment long ago denounced against them, viz. that they should be referred to the day of judgment to be punished, ver. 9. that they should perish in their corruption, ver. 18. they being men for whom the blackness of darkness was reserved forever, ver. 19. and this is the very thing that St. Peter, from verse the 4th to the 13th, and St. Jude from this to the 16th verse, set themselves to prove; and this also is the import of the word προεγγράφω, viz. forewritten: So Rom. xv. 4. οι προεγγράφων, whatsoever things were before written, were written for our instruction: Gal. iii. 1. before their eyes, προηγομένων. Jesus Christ crucified was written of or set forth in the Old Testament as crucified. See this sense given by Oecumenius on the place.

Section VI.—Answer to Lord, Part 1, page 4. Dr. Twisse conteltheth, that the Scriptures speak fully of Election, sparingly of Reprobation in most places, yet some passages we have,(fa) which give light and evidence to both alike: for like as it is said Acts ii. the last, that God added to the church such as should be saved, so 2 Cor. iv. 9, it is said, If our gospel be hid, it is hid to them that be lost; and as it is signified Matth. xxiv. 24, that it is impossible seducers should prevail over the Elect, so 2 Thess. ii. both as much is signified ver. 13, and also expressed ver. 10, 11, that they shall prevail among them that perish; and 1 Cor. i. 18, we are given to understand jointly that the gospel is to them that perish foolishness, but to us who are saved it is the power of God: and Rom. ix. 18, that as God hath mercy on whom he will have mercy, so whom he will he hardeneth. And like as Acts xiii. 48, we read, that as many believed as were ordained to eternal life, (g) which phrase of being ordained to eternal life, I conceive to be all one with writing our names in heaven, Luke i. 20. and writing us in heaven, Heb. xii. 29, and this phrase I take to be all one with the writing of us in the Book of Life; so on the other side, (n) we read that they whose names were not written in the Book of Life from the foundation of the world, should wonder when they beheld the beast, and not so only, but worship him also.

Answer. Now to all these citations, most of which are palpably pertinent, I answer in the general, that they signify no more than those words of Christ, (o) He that believeth shall be saved, he that believeth not shall be damned; and (p) except ye repent, ye shall all perish (for that exceptive contains this proposition, He that repenteth shall not perish) and these words of the

(m) 2 Pet. ii. 8. —(n) Rev. xiii. 8. xvii. 8. —(o) Mark xvi. 16. —(p) Luke xiii. 3. 5.
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Baptist (q) He that believeth in the Son hath everlasting life; he that believeth not the Son shall not see life; but the wrath of God abideth on him. For those that are lost, 2 Cor. iv. 3, are ver. 4, those that believe not. And that not because of any antecedent Decree of God that they should be lost; but because the God of this world, i.e. the devil, had blinded their eyes, &c. and those who perish, 2 Thess. ii. 10, are those who believe not the truth, ver. 12, who received not the truth worthy to be beloved and embraced, ver. 10. See the note there. They who perish, 1 Cor. i. 18, are the unbelieving Jews and Gentiles, ver. 21, 22, 23, they who are hardened, Rom. ix. 18, are the vessels of wrath fitted for destruction by their own wickedness, completed by their indolence or want of faith, which made Christ preach to them a stone of stumbling, ver. 32, 33. To proceed to those places which may seem to require a more particular notice.

1st. The phrase of being written in the Book of Life is Jewish, and doth not signify the Absolute Election of any person to eternal life, but only the present right of the just person to life, and therefore it is called the Book of Life written for the just, Targum on Ezek. xiii. 9, the book of the just, Targ. Jon. on Exod. xxxii. 32, in which say the (r) apostolical institutions we come to be written τις ἤμετρα τιμία, καὶ ὁμολογότως, by our good affection and industry; and from which men, as they may be written in it when they are converted from vice to virtue, so may they be blotted out when they backslide, says (f) St. Basil, from virtue to iniquity, according to that saying of the Psalmist, (t) Let them be blotted out of the book of the living, and not written with the righteous; i.e. says Ainsworth, let them be cut off from being any longer counted thy people, or registered in the writing of the house of Israel. And faith (u) St. Jerome, they were written in the book of God, who in the days of Antiochus Epiphanes, legem fortiissimè defendérent, firmly continued in the law: and they were blotting out of it, qui legis praevrvaricatores exitterant, who were deserters of it; accordingly Christ threateneth to some, that, He would blot their names out of the Book of Life, Rev. xii. 19, and promiseth to him that overcometh that He would blot his name out of that book, Rev. iii. 5. And God himself faith to Moses, whichever hath sinned against me, him will I blot out of the book of life which I have written. This book is said to be written from the foundation of the world, God having, from the beginning, Adam and others who are slain the sons of God;

(q) John iii. 36. —(r) L. 8. c. 1. —(f) In Isa. —(t) Psalm ix. 28. —(u) In Dan. xii. 2.
and not to have a name written in it, is not to be owned as God's sons and faithful servants: When therefore St. John faith, that they whose names were not in this book of life, were from the foundation of the world, worshipped the beast, he means they, and they only, did so, who never were by God esteemed or registered in the number of good Christians.

4dly. The passage cited from the Thessalonians concerns only the Jews, who having rejected the gospel of our Lord, and their Messiah, confirmed by the strongest evidence of innumerable miracles done truly before their eyes, and so believed not the truth at all, or else revolted from it after they had embraced it by an almost general apostasy, and so received it not in the love of it that they might be saved, declaring that they were by God's just judgment permitted by the false miracles of their imposters, assailed by the power of Satan, to believe a lie, and so to perish for their infidelity or apostasy, as hath been fully proved; and should this be enlarged to all who refuse to believe the truth preached and confirmed to them, or else bore no sincere affection to it when they had embraced it, what is this to an eternal decree of reprobation supposed to be made concerning the greater part of mankind before the world was made?

4thly. As for the passages cited from Rom. ix. they can be nothing to the purpose, that chapter being not at all designed to determine any thing concerning God's Absolute Decrees of dealing with mankind in general, or any particular person thus or thus, as to their final and eternal state; but only to justify his dealing, as in his providence he actually had done, with the unbelieving Jews and the believing Gentiles, in rejecting the Jews upon their stubborn infidelity, and the hardness of their hearts, and admitting the believing Gentiles to be his church, and the spiritual seed of Abraham, upon their faith, and submission to the terms God had proposed for their justification and acceptance with him; as appears,

18. From his recapitulation of his whole discourse in these words, ver. 30. 31. in whom, what do we say then, i.e. what is the substance of what I have intended in this whole discourse? it is even this, that the Gentiles which (before the preaching of the gospel) followed not after righteousness, have yet (through faith) attained unto righteousness; but the Jews following after the law of righteousness (or after righteousness by the law) have not obtained unto righteousness, because they sought it not by faith (in Christ.)

5dly. This is apparent from the apostle's prayer and vehement desire that all Israel might be saved, Chap. x. 1. for upon sup-
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position of such a Decree of Reprobation concerning them, this must not only have been a vain prayer, but even an oppo-
posing of his will, and against, to the good pleasure of God, re-
vealed to him; since it is evident he prays here for the salva-
tion of all Israel, of them whose zeal to God was not accord-
ing to knowledge, and who were ignorant of God's righteous-
ness, ver. 3, 9, and not for those only who were predestinated to salvation.

CHAPTER II.

Containing Arguments against this Absolute Decree of Reprobation or Preterition of Fallen Man.

I COME now to shew that this doctrine is plainly contrary both to the nature and the will of God.

To the perfections of his nature, for,

SECTION I.—All God doth immutably, unchangeably, and from the necessary perfection of his own nature, require that we should love, fear and obey him; whereas among the Heathen tongues, either and whatsoever is to obey God, and follow his directions, is represented as the perfection, and the chief end of man; again, his moral and imitable perfections, viz. his holiness, justice, truth, goodness, mercy, being essential perfections flowing from his nature, must also be the rule of the exercise of his will and power; and as God, whilst he is what he is, cannot but be the proper object of our love, fear and our obedience, even so by the complacency he hath in those moral perfections, he cannot but be desirous that all men should imitate them, and resemble him in them as much as they are able, and therefore hath required his people to be holy, because the Lord their God is holy, to be merciful as their heavenly Father is merciful, to be kind to the unthankful and the wicked that they may be his children, to be righteous as he is righteous, and to put on the new man which is created after God in righteousness and true holiness. Hence the philo-
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phers have, by the light of nature, conceived in this truth, that man then walks most suitably to his nature and his dignity, when he walks after the example of God, that the very end of all philosophy xai τῆς τοῦ ἁγίου, and the perfection of human nature, consists in being like to God; and that we then best glorify him when we resemble him in these perfections; that it ought to be his chief care ὃτι, to live the life of God, συναφείως to converse still with him ὡς καὶ to be like him, and μοιρασμένοι to be of the same mind, will and affections to him, and, lastly, to be ἐνασφοράμενοι possessed and actuated by him. He therefore cannot have decreed, that is, have willed, that the greatest part of men should be for ever left under an incapacity of loving, fearing and obeying him; and seeing He must earnestly desire that all men should be holy, righteous, kind and merciful, He cannot have ordained they should be otherwise for want of any thing on his part requisite to make them so; much less can he command them under the penalty of his severe displeasure so to be, and yet leave them under an incapacity of being so. And does he think worthy of God, who knowing that all the lapped sons of Adam were equally the objects of his pity and commiseration, equally capable of his mercy, and equally his offspring, and so no more unworthy of it than the rest, believes that his decrees of governing and disposing of them are wholly founded on such an absolute will as no rational or wise man acts by; so that he determines of the everlasting fate of the souls he daily doth create after the fall of Adam, without respect to any good or evil done by them, and so without respect to any reason why he puts this difference, or any condition on their parts; and yet afterwards in all his revelations made in order to the regulating of their lives, suspend that everlasting state upon conditions; or that he hath placed the far greatest part of them under an Absolute Decree of Reprobation, which leaves them incapable of salvation, and then not only bids them save themselves, invites, encourages, and sends-messengers to entreat them to be reconciled, knowing he doth all this in vain, when he does no more, and then eternally condemns them for neglecting that salvation; though he knows they never can do otherwise without that grace which he hath absolutely purposed for ever to deny to, or withhold from them? Surely he thinks more worthy of the God of love and mercy, who looks upon him as an universal lover of the souls of men, who therefore would have all men to be saved, and gives them all things necessary unto life and godliness, draws them to him with the cords of a man, the cords of love, and by the most alluring promises, and by the strivings of his holy spirit, sweats to them that he would not they should perish, warns them of,
and conjures them to avoid the things which tend to their eternal ruin; directs them to the means by which they may most certainly escape it; rejoiceth more at the conversion of one sinner, than at the righteousness of ninety-nine persons who need no repentance; and when all the methods of his grace are lost upon them, breaks forth into compassionate and melting wishes, that they had known the things which do belong to their eternal peace. Again, consider whether he conceives more truly and honorably of God, who thinks he chooseth his favorites without reason and rewards them without any qualifications, but those he irresistibly works in them; or he who looks upon him as one who dealeth with all men not according to his but their own works, as they are willing and obedient, as they render themselves fit objects of his love, and rewards them as they use duly, or receive his grace in vain, as they improve the talents he hath given them, or hide them in a napkin? Whether, lastly, he represents God honorably, who believes that God by his revealed will hath declared he would have all men to be saved, and yet by an antecedent secret will, would have the greatest part of them to perish; that he hath imposeth a law upon them which he requires them to obey, on penalty of his eternal displeasure, though he knows they cannot do it without his irresistible grace, and yet is absolutely resolved to withhold this grace from them, and then to punish them eternally for what they could not do without it; and after all inquiries, Why will you die? how long will it be ere this people obey me? when wilt thou be made clean? what could I have done more for their welfare which I have not done? Or he who believes it more agreeable to the truth, and the sincerity of the divine nature, to deal plainly with his creatures, and mean what he says; and therefore not to seem very deferous they should do, or avoid, what he knows they never could do or avoid, and he will not enable them to do or avoid, and then complains that they have not done it, and inquiries what was wanting on his part to enable them to do it?

Section II — Adly. This will be further evident from those scriptures which declare God is long suffering to us, not being willing that any should perish, but that all should come to repentance; and that he sent his prophets to prevent their ruin, because he had compassion on them: That he commands his prophets on peril of contradicting the guilt of their blood, to warn his people, that without repentance and reformation they must die, and to let them know, that as sure as he lives, he would not the death of him that dies, but rather would have him turn and live; that therefore they could have no reason to say his ways were not equal, or that they suffered for their fathers sins. For,
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1st. Doth it become the wisdom of God to use, or to appoint those means for the affecting what he would have done, which he knows to be no means, because no ways sufficient to produce the assigned end; and to withhold, yea, to decree to withhold that which alone could make them so? And yet if he used only his long suffering to lead men to repentance, sent only prophets and messengers to warn them to turn from the evil of their ways; and this long suffering, and these warnings must be ineffectual to these ends, where that unfrustrable grace which he did not vouchsafe is wanting; he used only means which he knew never could produce these ends, and withheld that which could alone produce them.

2dly. Doth it become the sincerity and wisdom of God to declare he did these things out of compassion to his people, till they so far despised his messengers, and rejected their warnings and admonitions, that there was no further remedy for them, no healing of them, faith the Hebrew, when he himself beheld them in their Eutopian massa perdita, without the least compassion, never designating any remedy; or, which is in event the same, not any that could be effectual, but even then decreeing to withhold from them that grace, without which there could be no healing by any messenger or prophet sent unto them? Does it become either his wisdom or sincerity to quarrel with his people for saying the fathers had eaten four grapes and the children's teeth were set on edge; or that they died for the sins of their forefathers, or for inquiring thus, if our transgressions and our sins lie upon us, and we pine away in them, can we then live? when his decree had made it the sad doom, not of them only, but even of the greatest part of mankind, to die eternally for the sin of their forefather Adam, and the apple he had eaten so long ago, set all his children's teeth on edge, and made so many precious souls to pine away in that iniquity, so that they could not live? Or could he hope to manifest the equity of his ways by saying, all souls are mine, if he was not only like the Offrich to the greatest part of them; (a) hardening himself against his own offspring, made after his own image, as if they were not his, but even making the most of them, after the fall of Adam, under that previous act of Preterition, which rendered their damnation unavoidable? Is he so concerned to justify the Equity of his proceedings by declaring that the son shall not die a temporal death for the iniquity of his father, but the soul that personally sinneth he shall die; when this more obvious exception lay against the equity of his proceedings with the sons of men, that most of the sons of Adam lay under death eternal by his peremptory decree for the sin of their forefather, committed

(a) Job xxxix. 16.
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Long before they had a being, and so before they were in a capacity of any personal offence? Does it become his sincerity to seem so earnest in his calls to them to repent, and turn themselves from their transgressions, and to inquire with so much seeming concern (b) Why will you die? and to strengthen his invitation with an oath and solemn declaration, (c) I have no pleasure in the death of him that dieth, therefore turn yourselves and live ye, and an inquiry, Halo Kaphatiti Be-shab, am I not much delighted in that that theinner turneth from his evil ways, and liveth, when he himself hath past that act of Preterition on them, which renders it impossible for them to repent, or turn from the evil of their ways, and therefore impossible that they should live?

To say that God is serious, sincere, and in good earnest in these declarations and inquiries, although (d) revera decretit Gratiam necessarium ad illa prestanta eis non indulgere, sed eos ad periculum prescriptit. He hath decreed not to vouchsafe them grace necessary to perform these things; and hath appointed them for destruction, only because the thing commanded, though it be impossible to be done by them, would be grateful to him if it were done, and therefore may be said to be willed by him, voluntate simplicis complacentia, by a will of complacency; is most apparently to put a force upon the text, to delude men with vain words, and to make the great and good God speak so to his people in the concerns of their salvation, as a wife, honest and sincere man would be ashamed to speak to his neighbor. And

(b) It puts a manifest force upon the text; for in what propriety of speech can he be said to be more desirous that lapsed sinners should return from the evil of their ways and live, than that they should continue in their sins and die, who seeing them under an absolute necessity of dying without grace necessary to avoid it, leaves them under that sad necessity? And who seeing them under an equal incapacity of living without the same grace, decrees that they shall never have it, and therefore in effect faith, they shall die and not live? Surely when that which they say would be grateful to God, is by him left under a known impossibility of being done, he can not properly be said to will it at all, because voluntas non est impossibilium, a true will only respects things possible; much less can he be said to will it, rather than that death, which by his own decree, i. e. his will and rule of asking, he hath made impossible to be avoided. Again;

Doth he not delude men with vain words, who teacheth that a God of truth and sincerity, and of great goodness,
doth with much seeming ardeney and compassion, inquire of persons absolutely doomed to death by his own prescription, Why will you die? and faith unto them with such symptoms of a passionate concern, turn ye, turn ye from your evil ways, why will you die? repent, and be converted from all your transgressions, so iniquity shall not be your ruin; when he himself had from eternity appointed them to ruin, and purposed to withhold from them that grace without which, it was impossible they should repent or be converted? and that he calls upon them to cast away from them all their transgressions whereby they have transgressed, and make themselves a new heart, and a new spirit, for I have no pleasure in their death; when he himself only propounds the way of life unto them upon impossible conditions?

Did ever any honest man sincerely thus attempt to engage another to that which he beforehand knew was impossible for him to do, without that help he had determined to deny him? or inquire why he would do what he well knew he never could avoid? Did ever any wise physician, oculist or chirurgeon, say to the blind, the deaf and lame, I am not willing you should still continue under these distempers, put away therefore your blindness, deafness and lameness, and it shall be well with you; when they well knew it was impossible for them to do it without their art, and that they were resolved it should afford them no assistance in so doing? If then the case be perfectly the same, in reference to all to whom God hath decreed not to afford sufficient grace to enable them to repent, and turn to him, or to obtain a new heart and spirit, and more especially to them, quos ad perditionem praescriptit, whom he hath ordained to die eternally; who can imagine a God of wisdom and sincerity, not to say goodness, should so deal with the generality of lapsed men, as no good, wise, honest or true hearted man, could have the face to deal with one like himself? Infinite are the demonstrations which might be produced against this tremendous decree, but I shall waive them all at present, intending in the section containing arguments against an Absolute Election, to confute both these decrees together.
CHAPTER III.

Concerning Predestination, or the Absolute Election of some particular Persons to Eternal Life.

SECTION I. THIS with respect to the end, is an Absolute Decree and purpose of bringing a certain number of persons to eternal life, without respect to their foreseen faith or perseverance.

ady. As it respects the means, it is an eternal Decree and purpose of giving to these men, and these alone, that effectual grace which shall infallibly, and irresistibly, produce in them faith, sanctification and perseverance to the end.

And here note, That this Election or Predestination considereth all men in the same condition, alike miserable and damnable, alike impotent, and wanting effectual grace, and alike meet to be the objects of his eternal love, and partakers of effectual grace; so that as in two apples of equal goodness, no reason can be given why I should choose one, rather than the other, so neither can any reason be assigned why all or any of these persons are thus elected to salvation, rather than all, or any that are not elected. In opposition to this doctrine I assert,

1ff. That the election, mentioned in the holy scriptures, is not that of particular persons, but only of churches and nations.

ady. That this election doth import rather their being chosen to the enjoyment of the means of grace than to a certainty of being faved by these means; that it is only that which puts them in a capacity of having all the privileges and blessings which God hath promised to his church and people, rather than under any absolute assurance of their salvation, or of any such grace as shall infallibly, and without any possibility of frustration, procure their salvation.

ady. That the election to salvation mentioned in the holy scriptures is only through faith joined with holiness, according to those words of St. Paul, (a) God hath elected you (Theßalonians) to salvation, εἰ δικαιοσύνη, by the sanctification of the spirit, and the belief of the truth. That it is only a conditional election upon our perseverance in a life of holiness, and

(a) 2 Thess. ii. 13.
is to be made sure unto us by good works, according to that exhortation of St. Peter, (b) give diligence to make your calling and election sure, διὰ τῶν καλῶν έργων, by good works, as both the fathers, the Syriac, the vulgar, the Ethiopic, and many ancient copies read; and as the text requires, the words immediately following being these (c) for if you do these things you shall never fall; plainly declaring, that both the making of their calling and election sure, depended on their doing of those works of virtue, godliness, temperance, patience, brotherly kindness and charity, mentioned ver. 5, 6, 7. of that chapter.

Now that the whole society, or all the members of the church of God and Christ, are in the sense of scripture, the chozen and elect of God; or that the election mentioned in the holy scriptures is not an Absolute Election of particular persons to salvation, but rather of whole nations and societies to be his church, and his peculiar people, will be made fully evident from an impartial view of all those places of the Old and the New Testament, where this phrase frequently occurs. And,

SECTION II.—1st. In the Old Testament it is most evident that not the righteous and obedient persons only are stiled the elect, but the whole nation of the Jews, good and bad, were the elect of God; for in the books of Moses this phrase is still applied to the whole nation of the Jews, as in these words, (d) because he loved thy fathers, therefore έξελέξατο τὸ ὑπάρχων, He chose their seed after them, and brought them out of Egypt by his mighty power; where it is evident that the whole seed of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, even all that came out of Egypt, are the elect. Again, (e) Jehovah thy God hath chosen thee to be a peculiar people to himself above all people that are upon the face of the earth. The Lord did not set his love upon you, and choose you, because you were more in number than any people: But because the Lord loved you, and because he would keep the oath which he had sworn to your fathers, hath he brought you out with a mighty hand, and redeemed you out of the house of bondmen, from the hand of Pharaoh king of Egypt; where also evident it is,

1st. That their being chosen before other nations, to be God’s peculiar people, is their election.

2dly. That all who were brought out of Egypt, were thus beloved and elected.

3dly. That to his beloved and elected people, God only promised to keep covenant and mercy, provided they would

(b) 2 Pet. i. 10. (c) Ver. 11. (d) Deut. iv. 37. (e) Ex. Deut. vii. 6, 7, 8.
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love him, and obey his precepts; and that he threateneth to destroy them, and to repay them to their face, if they neglected so to do. In the tenth chapter, he speaks thus, (f) The Lord had a delight in thy fathers to love them, καὶ ἐξέλεξαν, and he elected you their seed after them-above all people; circumstance therefore the forekin of your hearts, and be no more stiff necked. Where again evident it is, that the whole seed of Abraham by Isaac, even the stiff necked of them, were the elect of God, Chap. xiv. he faith to all to whom the following precepts did belong, and therefore doubtless to all Israel, (g) thou art an holy people to the Lord thy God, καὶ σὺ ἐξέλεξαν ὑμᾶς, and the Lord hath chosen thee out to be a peculiar people to himself above all nations.

And with this notion of the words accords the constant use of the same phrase in all the prophets and sacred writings of the Old Testament. Thus Solomon faith, (h) Thy servant is in the midst of thy people, δό ἐξέλεξαν, which thou hast elected, a great people that cannot be numbered, nor counted for multitude. In the book of Psalms the Jews in general are stiled (i) the seed of Israel his servant, the children of Jacob, ἐξελούσαις ἐπὶ αὐτοῖς, his elect; there it is said, τῶν ἰσραήλ Ἐξελεξαντος ἐπὶ αὐτῶν, (k) the Lord hath chosen Jacob for himself, and Israel for his own inheritance; that the (l) Lord brought forth his people with joy, καὶ τοὺς ἐξελούσαις ἐπὶ αὐτοῦ, and his elect with gladness; there he desires of God to see the good, τῶν ἐξελεξαντος, of his elect; and to rejoice in the gladness of his nation, and with his inheritance: So that throughout the book of Psalms God’s people, his servants, his nation, his inheritance, and his elect, are the same personas.

In the evangelical prophet we find God speaking of Jacob, δό ἐξέλεξαν, (m) whom, faith he, I have chosen, and Israel whom I have loved; and to whom he speaks thus, (n) thou art my child whom I have chosen: There God declares he will make waters in the wilderness, and rivers in the desert, ἡ ἔρημος μοῦ ἐπὶ ἐξελεξαντος, (o) to give drink to my chosen generation, my people whom I have chosen to set forth my praise; there we read of (p) Jacob my servant, and Israel mine elect, and of a mountain which his elect shall inherit, and in which his servants shall dwell.

In the prophet Jeremy we find God is displeased with them who said, (q) the two families ἡμῶν whom the Lord hath chosen he hath even cast them off. The prophet Ezekiel speaks of the day (r) when the Lord chose Israel, and lifted up his

(f) Ver. 15, 16. (g) Ver. 2. (b) 1 Kings iii. 8. (i) Ps. cxvi. 6, 43. (h) Ps. xxxiv. 4. (k) Ps. cvi. 5. (m) Isa. xl. 8, 9. (n) xliii. 23, 21. (s) xliv. 4. (p) lxv. 9. (q) Jer. xxxiii. 24. (r) Ezek. xxv. 5.
hand to the seed of the house of Jacob, or engaged himself by covenant to be their God. The prophet Zechariah styles God, (a) the Lord who hath chosen Jerusalem, and speaketh of a time when he shall yet comfort Zion, and shall yet choose Jerusalem; and Daniel of a time when, ɔι ἐκκλησίαι τῶν ἐλέητων, (t) his elect shall not be able to stand before their enemies.

And to carry on this phrase through the times of the Old Testament, in the book of Esther we are told of a time in which ὁ γενός ἐλέητων, (u) the chosen generation should have perished, i.e. in which the wicked Haman designed to cut off the Jews. And in the book of Ecclesiastica we are informed that (x) Joshua was made great, ἵνα οὐκ ἔχωσι τῶν ἐκκλησίων ἐλέητων, for saving the elect of God, that he might set Israel in their inheritance.

Thus have I traced this phrase through the Old Testament, and shewed that it belongs not to particular persons but to the whole Jewish nation; to the bad, as well as to the good among them; to them to whom he threatened the worst of evils, as well as to them to whom he promises the greatest blessings.

SECTION III.—When in the New Testament it is applied to Christians, it plainly doth include as many as were converted to the Christian faith. For,

1. When it is applied to the Jewish converts it plainly signifies all that had been converted to the Christian faith; thus when St. Peter writes to those of the dispersion (who had obtained like precious faith with them) living in Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia, Asia (minor) and Bithynia: He faith to them all, (y) ye are γενός ἐλέητων, an elect generation, a royal priesthood, an holy nation, a peculiar people, that ye might shew forth the praises of him that hath called you from darkness into his marvellous light; all which are the very titles given to the whole Jewish nation in the Old Testament. Now since St. Peter could not affirm of all these Christians, without a revelation, that they were elect, according to that sense of the word, which makes it to import, men absolutely designed for eternal happiness, he only must affirm this of them all, because they all professed Christianity, and so were visible members of the church of Christ; as will be farther evident if we consider these particulars.

1. That he exhorteth those elect (z) not to fashion themselves according to the lusts of their former ignorance; (a) to lay aside all wickedness, deceit, envy, hypocrisy, and evil speaking; as strangers and pilgrims to abstain from fleshly

(a) Chap. iii. 2. (b) Dan. xi. 15. (u) Esth. xvi. 21. (x) Chap. xli. 1. (t) x Pet. ii. 9. (z) 1 Pet. i. 14. (a) Chap. ii. 1, 12.
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... ly which war against the soul; (b) not to spend the rest of their time according to the lusts of men; (c) to be careful that none of them did suffer as a murderer or thief: which are offences not incident to men elected to salvation, whilst they continue so to be.

2dly. That the apostle affirneth the same thing of the whole church of Babylon, saying (d) the church which is at Babylon, εὐερεκτῆ, elected together with you, saluteth you. Now that all the members of that great church at Babylon, be it eastern or western, were chosen out of the world to the profession of christianity, and in that sense elected, he who was with them could not be ignorant, but that they all were absolutely elected to salvation, was more than he could know.

2dly. That whereas this epistle is inscribed to the elect; the second epistle, sent to the same persons, beginneth thus, (e) to them who have obtained the precious faith with us; and it not only speaks of some who had forsaken the right way, and had gone astray, turning with the dog to the vomit, but also prophesies that those false teachers who brought in damnable doctrines, even denying the Lord that bought them, should make merchandise of some of them.

Laftly. These words are plainly taken from Isaiah xxxiii. 20, 21, where we read thus, Ι gave waters in the wilderness to water το γένος μου το εὐερεκτον, my chosen generation, my peculiar people, purchased to shew forth, τὰς ἀπεράντι, my praise, which are the very words mentioned 1 Peter ii. 9. Now though it be unquestionable that the whole Jewish nation are styled God's chosen generation, and his peculiar people, it is as sure they were not all elected to salvation; we then may reasonably conclude, that the same words applied by St. Peter to all these several christian churches, do not imply that all their particular members were elected to salvation, but only that they were all members of the church of Christ.

And thus we read of the elect lady in St. John Ep. ii. ver. 1. and the elect sister, ver. 13. that is, the christian lady, and sister; and when St. James faiths εὐερεκτησαι, God hath chosen the poor of the world, rich in faith, and heirs of the kingdom; his meaning is, that: they were called out of the world to the profession of the faith, which, if they lived according to it, would make them heirs of his heavenly kingdom; for the apostles in these epistles writing to those nations which had been still accustomed to this notion of the word, and were all styled the elect, must be supposed, when they wrote to them, to use the words of the Old Testament in the sense in which they always understood them.

(b) Chap. iv. 2, 3.—(c) Ver. 15.—(d) Chap. v. 13.—(e) Chap. ii. 1, 3v. 22.
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And in like manner when Christ faith, (f) many are called but few chosen; these parables plainly relate to the Jews, as Theophylact well notes, and the import of those words is this, that though many of them were called by Christ and his apostles to faith in him, both in Judea and in the dispersion, yet few of them did or would accept of him as their Savior, or embrace the faith of Christ, as hath been fully proved, note on Mat. xx. 16. xxii. 14. so that here the elect and the believers of the christian faith are the same persons. When he faith Mat. xxiv. 22, that for the elect sake those days shall be shortened: He styles τοὺς πιστοὺς τοὺς ἐν ἡμῖν ἀπολελυμένους αὐτῶν, the faithful left in the midst of the unbelieving Jews, the elect faith Chryso- olym; for the elect sake these days shall be shortened, that is, faith Jerom, ne temporum mora fides concutiatur credentium, left by the length of these tribulations the faith of believers should be shaken. See the note on the same words, Mark xiii. 20. When he adds, ver. 24, that the false Christs and false prophets should do as much as in them lay by signs and wonders to deceive the elect; there also are we to understand the persevering christians. See the note there. And when he faith, ver. 31, that then God should send his angels to gather his elect from the four winds, from one end of heaven to the other; the meaning may be either that he would send his angels, as Eusebius and Epiphanius say he did, to warn the christians in all quarters of Judea to gather themselves together, departing from the unbelieving Jews, that they might be preserved from their ruin; or that he would send his messengers with the sound of the gospel to gather together as many as did or would believe the gospel from among the nations of the earth.

The elect, Luke xviii. 7. are also the whole body of true believers; for otherwise, God's readiness to hear the prayers of his elect, could be no argument to christians in the general to pray always and not faint, ver. 1. moreover, that inquiry, when the son of man cometh shall he find faith on the earth, ver. 8. shews that even the faith of many of these elect would fail.

The election according to grace mentioned Rom. xi. 5. doth in like manner signify the whole body of the Jewish converts, even all that did embrace the christian faith, and were not hardened in, or blinded by their prejudices and infidelity; as is apparent from the following words, ver. 7. ὅτε ἐκλογὴ εἰς τοὺς ἐπίσκοπους the election hath obtained but the rest were blinded; and as it is not easy to conceive how the apostle should know how all the Jewish converts should be absolutely elected to salvation, so is it evident from the epistles that he and others of the apostles knew the contrary; for they contain plain inti-

mations and frequent declarations that a great number of these Jewish converts turned afterwards apostates and renounced the christian faith: That St. Paul was afraid that some of these elect would afterwards draw back unto perdition, and never doubted but they might do so, is evident from all those exhortations he directed to them in his epistle to the Hebrews, not to do so, and from the direful judgments he threateneth to as many of them as should apostatize; for thus he speaks, (g) see to it brethren, lest there be in any of you an evil heart of unbelief in departing from the living God; but exhort one another day by day that none of you be hardened through the deceitfulness of sin; for we are made partakers of Christ, only on this condition that we hold the beginning of our confidence steadfast to the end. Again, (h) seeing there remains a rest for the people of God, let us labor to enter into that rest, lest any man fall after the same example of unbelief; for (i) it is impossible to renew them to repentance who have been once enlightened, and have tasted of the spiritual gift, and have been partakers of the holy ghost, and have tasted the good word of God, and the powers of the world to come, and yet fall away; (k) look therefore diligently, lest any man fall from the grace of God, lest there be any root of bitterness springing up among you whereby many be defiled; (l) cast not therefore away your confidence which hath great recompence of reward; for the just shall live by faith, but if any man draw back, my soul, faith God, shall have no pleasure in him. Moreover that many of them would do so, our Savior prophesied, saying, (m) because iniquity shall abound, the love of many will wax cold; even that love which was once fervent, and in which, enduring to the end, they would be saved; and that many of them did thus apostatize, or fall away to perdition, hath been fully proved, note on a Heff. ii. 9. From all which things it is extremely evident that the apostle neither did nor could speak of this remnant according to the election of grace, as persons absolutely elected to salvation.

The purpose of God according to election, mentioned Rom. ix. 11. respecteth not the persons of Jacob and Esau, but their whole nation and posterity, according to the note of Irenæus, (n) partum Rebecca prophetiam suffisse duorum populorum. This is plain,

1st. From the words of God to Rebecca, two nations are in thy womb, and two manner of people shall be separated from thy loins, and the one people shall be stronger than the other, and the elder shall serve the younger.

(g) Heb. iii. 12, 13, 14. — (h) Heb. iv. 9, 11. — (i) Heb. vi. 4, 5, 6. (k) Heb. xii. 15.—(l) Heb. x. 35, 38.—(m) Mark xxiv. 12, 13. —(n) L. 4. c. xxxviii.
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Adly. From this observation, that as to the persons of Esau and Jacob this was never true, that the elder did serve the younger, but only as to their posterity; when the Edomites became tributaries to David. 2 Sam. viii. 14. And, Adly. Because what is here offered as a proof or confirmation of this, is cited from the prophet Malachi, who prophesied long after Jacob and Esau were dead personally, and speaks expressly of the nation of the Edomites.

Now hence it clearly follows that the apostle cannot here discourse of any personal election of them to eternal life, or any absolute love or hatred of them with respect to their eternal interests; for if so, seeing he manifestly speaks of the whole nation of Israel, they must, according to this opinion, be all elected to eternal life; whereas the apostle informs us, that God was not well pleased with many of them, and the whole Jewish history shews the contrary. Again, then must the whole posterity of Esau be the objects of God’s hatred and his reprobation; which is so far from being true, that holy Job and all his friends appear to have been of the posterity of Esau. It is therefore certain, that the apostle here only speaks of the election of one seed and nation before another, to be accounted and treated by him as the seed of Abraham, or owned for his peculiar people.

And whereas the apostle also speaks of vessels of mercy, prepared for glory; as they were thus prepared by their faith, so were they vessels of mercy, even both Jews and Gentiles by being called, ver. 24, and by their being made his people, his beloved, ver. 25.

When then the same apostle faith, who shall lay any thing to the charge of God’s elect; here again the elect and true believers are the same; and God having justified them through faith in him that died for them, who can charge them with guilt in order to their condemnation? i.e. Bapt.[f]

And suitable to this notion of the word election, where it respects the Jewish nation, or the Jewish converts, is the import of it in those epistles; where whole nations, communities or churches are styled the elect.

Thus, v. g., all the faithful brethren in Collosse, are styled the elect of God (a) and yet to these elect be promised the blessings of christianity, only if they continued (p) rooted and grounded in the faith, and were not moved away from the hope of the gospel; (q) and he expresseth both his care and fear, lest they should be deceived and robbed of their reward, and calls upon them to (r) mortify their fleshly lusts, as being things which would pull down the wrath of God upon them.

(a) Chap. iii. 12.—(p) Col. i. 23.—(q) Chap. ii. 4, 8, 18.—(r) Chap. iii. 5a 7, 8.
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The same apostle faith to the whole church of Thessalonica, styled the church in God the father, and in our Lord Jesus Christ (f) I know your election of God. Now he knew well that God designed them to be his church and people, and therefore gives this reason of his knowledge, for our gospel came not to you in word only, but in power; but it is not easy to imagine how he should know that all the members of that church should be absolutely elected to salvation without a special revelation; and that he did not know this he sufficiently declares by expressing afterwards his fears (t) lest Satan should have tempted them, and his labor should have been in vain. In his second epistle (u) he faith, we ought to give thanks for you, that God hath elected you from the beginning to salvation, by sanctification of the spirit, and belief of the truth; and yet he there calls upon them, (v) to depart from every brother who walked disorderly, and not according to the tradition he had received; and not to (w) mix themselves with them who would not obey the words of the apostle.

In his second epistle to Timothy he faith, (x) he suffers all things for the elect's sake; but then if you compare this with the parallel place of the same apostle, in his epistle to the Colossians, (y) you will find the elect to be no other than the whole church of Christ; of which he was a minister.

In his epistle to Titus he styles himself (z) an apostle according to the faith of God's elect: But having proved that all christians are styled the elect, we may well interpret this of the faith of christians in the general, he being an apostle in reference to them all, even to them to whom his gospel was a favor of death, as well as to them to whom it was a favor of life.

1 B. Lastly, whereas the strength of their doctrine seems to be placed in those words of the apostle, (b) he hath blessed us with all spiritual blessings in Christ Jesus, according as he hath chosen us in him from the foundation of the world; these words are plainly spoken of and to all the saints in Ephesus, and to the faithful in Christ Jesus, who were all chosen out of the world to be God's church and people; but doubtless were not all infallibly predestinated to salvation; but only to the adoption of children, ver. 5. which is the immediate fruit of faith, we being all the children of God through faith in Christ Jesus. This is exceeding evident.

1st. From this very epistle, in which he exhorts them (c) that they henceforth walk not as other Gentiles in the vanity of
their mind, and cautions them that no man deceive them into the commission of those things (d) for which the wrath of God cometh upon the children of disobedience, and bids them (e) take to themselves the whole armor of God, that they may be able to stand in the evil day; and having done all to stand.

3dly. From his prediction, that after his departure grievous wolves should enter in not sparing the flock, and that among themselves men should arise speaking perverse things to draw away disciples after them. Acts xx. 29. 30. And,

3dly. From his advertisement to Timothy, that (f) all in Asia had turned away from him; that Hymenæus and Philetus had overthrown the faith of some; that the time would come when they would not endure sound doctrine, but would turn their ears from the truth; that some (g) having put away a good conscience concerning faith had made shipwreck; that some were turned aside after Satan, and had erred from the faith; all which are inconsistent with the character of the elect, that is of persons infallibly predestinated to salvation.

SECTION IV.—The other words by which it is conceived such an election may be taught and signified in the New Testament are these three, ἐπερωτασθήσετε the foreknowledge; ἐπορευόμενος the foreknowledge; ἐπερωτασθήσετε the foreappointment of God; of all which let it be noted,

1/ That none of them relate to particular or individual persons (save only when they are used of our blessed Lord and his sufferings for us) but only to churches and nations in the general: To the whole church of believing Jews and Gentiles whom God had chosen, ἐπερωτασθήσετε, foreappointing them to adoption by Jesus Christ, Eph. v. 1. in whom also, faith, ἐκπρώτησεν we were made his portion or peculiar people, ἐπερωτασθήσετε κατὰ πρόθεσιν, being foreappointed so to be according to his purpose, ver. 11. God having purposed and foreappointed that this should be the portion of believers, and the consequence of faith in Christ, by which we become the sons of God: To all the converted Jews throughout their dispersion, they being elected κατὰ πρόθεσιν, according to the purpose of God the Father, 1 Pet. i. 2. And, lastly, of the povery of Jacob and Esau; of whom, that ἵνα κατὰ ἐκλογὴν τοῦ θεοῦ πρόθεσιν, the purpose of God according to election might stand, it was said when Rebecca bore them, the elder shall serve the younger. Rom. ix. 11.

2dly. That this foreknowledge, purpose and appointment is only that of calling men to the knowledge of Salvation by Christ Jesus; thus the apostle teacheth, that he was appointed to preach to the Gentiles the unsearchable riches of Christ.
according to the ancient purpose which God had made in Christ Jesus our Lord, Eph. iii. 11. and that according to his purpose before ages, he called us with an holy calling, 2 Tim. i. 9.

Adly. This calling is by God designed in love, that they who are thus called, might obtain salvation through sanctification of the spirit, and belief of the truth, 2 Thess. ii. 13. through sanctification of the spirit to obedience, and through the sprinkling of the blood of Jesus, all Christians being chosen to this end, that they might be holy and unblameable before God in love, Eph. i. 4. But hence it cannot reasonably be argued, that this election is no larger than the holiness designed to be produced by it; for the riches of God's goodness, patience and longsuffering was certainly designed to lead all those to whom it was vouchsafed to repentance; but can it be hence argued, that this goodness, patience and longsuffering is exercised to none but those who truly do repent? Why then doth the apostle complain of those who despise these means, and after the vouchsafement of them still continue to treasure up wrath against the day of wrath? The million of the Baptist was to turn the hearts of the fathers to the children, and to make ready a people prepared for the Lord; but it was far from having this effect on all to whom he preached. The end of the Messiah's coming to the Jews was that he might save his people from their sins, and might engage them to serve him in holiness and righteousness all the days of their life; but it was far from having that effect upon them. The saving grace of God appeared to all men to teach them, denying all ungodliness and worldly lusts, to live righteously, soberly and godly in this present world, but it is too sadly evident it hath not this good effect on all to whom it doth appear.

Adly. As men were appointed to be called from the beginning, and the gospel is the wisdom of God through faith to salvation, and was that, in the apostles, which God had foreappointed to be preached to them for that end, 1 Cor. ii. 7. the word of God giving the hope of that salvation which he had promised before all ages: to be virtue of this purpose and foreappointment men were in time called by the gospel to the faith of Christ: Whence they, who were thus called, are said to be called according to the purpose of God, Rom. viii. 28. and (h) according to his purpose and grace given us in Christ Jesus: The knowledge and purpose of the foundation of the world of sending Christ to die for the remission of sins, being the ground of this calling; whence he is said to be given up to the death according to the foreknowledge of God, and his foreappointed counsel, Acts ii. 23. and they who slew him are said

to have done only what his counsel had foreappointed to be done, Acts iv. 28. And though these observations will direct us how to answer what is argued from these and such like places in favor of this absolute election, I shall proceed to a particular consideration of what is farther offered to that purpose. And,

Section V.—1st. It is said, if all that God giveth to Christ shall come to him, then those who do not come to him are not given to him by the father; i.e. are not elected to salvation by Christ; but Christ expressly saith, all that the father giveth me shall come to (i.e. believe in) me. John vi. 37-39. Ergo,

Now to this argument I answer,

1st. That to be given of the father cannot signify to be absolutely chosen by God to eternal life; for then the Jews could not be reasonably accused for not coming to Christ, or not believing on him, much less could it be imputed to them as their great crime, that they would not come unto him, or believe on him: Seeing, upon this supposition, that only they, whom God had chosen to eternal life could come unto him, they could not come unto him who were not thus elected, and so it could not be imputed to them as their crime, that they did not that which it was never in their power to do; whereas, it is certain, that our Savior represents it as their great sin, that (l) in him whom the father had sent they believed not: and that they would not come unto him that they might have life; telling them, that (m) the spirit would convince them of sin, because they believed not in him; and that (n) they had no excuse for that sin: Whereas what better excuse could be made for them than this, that they could not come to him, as being not by God elected unto that life he offered to induce them so to do?

2nd. Hence it must follow, that Christ could not reasonably have invited them to come to him, or called them to believe in him, who were not given him of the father: For this was to invite them to come to him that they might live; whom he well knew, could never come, as being never chosen to obtain that life; much less could he have told them (o) this was the work which God required them to do; or that the father had given them this bread from heaven: This being to require them, according to this supposition, to believe a lie, viz. that Christ was sent to be the bread of life and a Savior to them, for whom the Father never did intend salvation by him; and yet Christ manifestly says to them, who seeing him did not believe, and therefore were not given to him by the

——(l) John v. 38, 40.——(m) John xvi. 9.——(n) Chap. xv. 22, 34. —-(o) John vi. 27, 29, 32.
Father, labor for that meat which nourisheth to life eternal, which the son of man shall give to you, ver. 27. And that this is the work, i.e. command of God, that ye believe in him that he hath sent; and my Father giveth you the true bread that cometh down from heaven, and giveth life to the world, ver. 32, 33, and therefore not only to the elect. I therefore here inquire thus, Was our Lord truly willing that they to whom he spake should have life? If not, why doth he say, (p) these things? I spake to you that ye might be saved? If so, Why did he say, that he would do nothing but what he saw his Father doing; that (q) he did always those things which pleased him; seeing it was not the Father’s will that they should be saved, or come unto him that they might have life, whom he had not given to him, that is, had not elected to salvation. And, 3dly. Were this so, the Jews must have had just occasion to complain of Christ, and of his doctrine, as being that which revealed to them their eternal, and inevitable Reprobation, and made it not only necessary, but even equitable to reject him, because the blessings which he tendered belonged not to them in general, but only to some few who by the Father should be given to him.

4thly. Observe, that Christ here gives a reason why they believed not, viz. ye have seen, and believe not, because ye are not given to me of my Father; now it is reasonable to conceive this reason should agree with all the other reasons assigned of their infidelity, which yet are manifestly founded, not on any thing wanting on the part of God, but on something wanting in themselves, that they might do so, viz.

1st. That they came not to the light, (r) because their works were evil, and being so they hated the light, and would not come to it; that they believed not in him because he spake that truth which was disfBSTeful to them. John viii. 45.

2dly. Because (s) they had not the word of God abiding in them, nor believed God bearing witness to him, nor Moses speaking of him, and so being taught of God they would not learn.

3dly. Because they were not of God, (t) ye therefore do not hear the word because ye are not of God; and because they had not the love of God in them. John v. 40, 42.

4thly. Because they preferred the praise of men before the praise of God, not seeking the glory which cometh of God only. John v. 44.

5thly. Because they were not of his sheep, not docile, or disposed to hear his voice: Ye therefore believe not, because ye are not of my sheep. John x. 26.

(p) John v. 34.—(q) John viii. 29.—(r) John iii. 19, 20.—(s) John v. 38, 46.—(t) John viii. 47.
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6thly. Because, through the perverseness of their hearts, they could not hear his word, (u) Why do ye not know my speech? even because ye cannot hear my word: And this they could not do because they were of their father the devil, and his works they would do. To assign therefore the true import of this phrase.

Observe, That to be given of the Father, doth not signify their actual faith who were thus given, but only that they were prepared and qualified to believe; for of them it is said, they shall come to him, i.e. they shall believe: First they are given, and afterwards they do believe; as being by that act prepared so to do. And therefore to be given of the Father, is,

1st. To be convinced by the miracles which God had wrought by him to testify the truth of his mission, and thereby to set his seal to him, that he was the Messiah, and the Son of God, and to be willing upon these testimonies to own him as such; laying aside all those prejudices and carnal affections which obstructed their coming to him. This appears,

2nd. From the description which Christ gives of the persons the Father had given to him, viz. (v) they were thine, and thou gavest them to me, and they know that I came from the Father, and they have believed that thou hast sent me; for this is spoken of Christ's disciples, of whom it is too often said, (w) they saw his miracles and believed on him. By them they therefore knew that he came forth from God, and so they are said to be given of God, because he wrought those miracles which convinced them of the truth, and made them to believe, in him.

2ndly. This we may learn from a like expression in the book of Deuteronomy, Ye have seen all the Lord did before your eyes in the land of Egypt, the great temptations, signs and miracles which he there wrought; and the Lord hath not given, or hath not the Lord given you an heart to perceive, and eyes to see, and ears to hear unto this day? For here it is manifest, that the way of God's giving them an heart to perceive, was by working those signs and miracles before their eyes, which might induce them so to do; and yet for want of the improvement of them to this end, it is admired that the Lord hath not given them this heart, or is imputed as their great fault, that he had not given it; i.e. that after all he had done to produce it in them they had it not. So יִתְנֵית יֶלֶשׁ קָלָה יָדַע שֵׁר יָדַע and he not made one? Mal. ii. 15. יִתְנֵית יָדַע יָדַע why went not my heart with thee? 2 Kings v. 26. יָדַע יָדַע shall we not receive evil? Job ii. 10.

(u) John viii. 43. — (v) John xvii. 2. — (w) John ii. 11.
adly. Christ being sent by God the Father, that the world through him might be saved; and to propound to them that eternal life which was with the Father, and by him was manifested to us, and to shew them the terms on which this life might be attained, as he doth throughout this chapter; that is said to be God's record, that he hath given us eternal life, and that life is in his Son, 1 John v. 11. And here it is said, that the Father had given them the true bread from heaven that giveth life to the world; and they who were so convinced of the truth of this promise by our Savior's miracles, as to expect to receive it by faith in him, and obedience to his doctrine, and were so affected with it as to esteem it above all other things, and so were willing to apply themselves to the performance of those duties by which this life might be acquired, and to reject and quit those things which might obstruct them in the prosecution of it, are said to be given to him of the Father, because he wrought those miracles which gave him this conviction, (thus is the Father said to have revealed to St. Peter that Jesus was the Christ, because he revealed the doctrine which he taught, and confirmed it by the miracles he wrought, as hath been proved, Note on Mat. xvi. 17.) and because he gave this promise of eternal life, and sent his Son to reveal it to the world; and therefore, when he faith to his Apostles whom the Father had given him, will ye also go away? St. Peter answers, Lord to whom should we go, thou hast the words of eternal life; and we believe, and know that thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God. Now it is evident that they who have this strong conviction that our Savior came from God, and this concernment for that eternal life he promised as their encouragement to come to him, will believe on him, because such persons can neither want assurance that they ought to do so, nor the most prevalent motives to do so, as having that eternal life before their eyes, which they more value than all worldly things, and for which they are willing, and prepared to quit all their lower interests. For a conclusion of this answer, let it be noted from Chrysostom. (x) on the place, τῷ τοῖς ἐνταύτων ἑαυτῷ Μαθηταῖς λαμβάνεις ὁτι οἴδαι ὡς ἡμῖν κείσαι, the Manichees used these words to destroy man's free will; and therefore he, and St. Cyril, Euthymius and Theophylact here note, that Christ speaks these words, ἐν αὐτῶν ἄνωθεν, ἵνα, not taking away our free will; but far be it from us so to think, for Christ intends no more by the giving of the Father, but his revelation from above, καὶ ἵνα ἐνακολουθήσω διὰ τὴν ἀποκαλύπτειν, to a soul well disposed to receive it; that this is the gift of God, τοῖς ἀξίοις, to persons fitted for it; and that the Father draws τὴν κατὰ προσευχὴν ἐπιτυχοντα ἐκ-
Section VI.—Argument a. If all that believe are ordained to eternal life, then do none believe but they who are thus ordained. But the first seems to be affirmed in these words, as many as were ordained to eternal life believed. Acts xiii. 48. Ergo,

Answer. I answer, that these words cannot signify that there is a fixed number of persons absolutely by God ordained to eternal life, so that they, and they only shall obtain it, and all others be excluded from it, as upon this supposition they must be: As will be evident from these considerations.

For, That if the reason why these men believed were only this, that they were men ordained to eternal life, the reason why the rest believed not, can be this only, that they were not by God ordained to eternal life; and if so, what necessity could there be, that the word of God should be first preached to them? As we read ver. 46. Was it only that their damnation might be the greater? This seems to charge the lover of souls, whose tender mercies are over all his works, with the greatest cruelty; seeing it makes him determine, not only that so many souls, as capable of salvation as any other, shall perish everlasting; but also to determine that the dispensations of his Providence shall be such towards them as needlessly tends to the aggravation of their condemnation: And what could even their most malicious and enraged enemy do more? What is it that the very devil aims at by all his temptations but this very end? viz. the aggravation of our future punishment. And therefore to affirm that God had determined that his word should be spoken to these Jews for that very end, is to make God as instrumental to their ruin as the very devil.

Adly. The apostle gives this reason why he turned from the Jews to the Gentiles, because the Jews had thrust away the word of God from them, and judged themselves unworthy of eternal life; ver. 46. whereas, according to this supposition, that could be no sufficient reason of his going from them to the Gentiles; for it was only they among the Jews whom God had not ordained to eternal life who thus refused to believe, and to obey the word of God; and as many among the Gentiles as were not thus ordained to eternal life must necessarily do the same; and so there could be no sufficient cause why he should turn from the Jews to the Gentiles upon that account. And,

Adly. Were this the reason why the Jews believed not, that they were not by God ordained to eternal life, why doth St. Paul, by God's commission, speak here to them thus, Be it
known to you brethren, that by this Jesus is declared to you remission of sins? why doth he add, and by him every one that believeth is justified, &c. Why doth he vehemently exhort them to beware, lest that saying of the prophet (y) Habakkuk should be verified of them, you will not believe though one declare it to you? for could God have determined that these very persons should not believe to life eternal, and yet commissionate his apostles to tell them, that remission of sins, and justification to life, was proposed to them? Could it be revealed to St. Paul, that they could not believe to life eternal, as being not by God ordained to it, and yet would he so passionately exhort them to that faith in Jesus, which he well knew, by virtue of this revelation, belonged not to them, nor could they possibly exert? These things seem clearly to evince this cannot be the proper import of the words; but they will very well admit of these two senses.

(18) As many as were disposed for eternal life, believed; for the word τεταγμένος, which we here render ordained, is used in this very book to signify a man not outwardly ordained, but inwardly disposed, or one determined, not by God, but by his own inclinations, to do such a thing; as when it is said, (2) St. Paul went on foot from Apha, ἐκ τοῦ διὰ τεταγμένος, for so he was disposed; the son of (a) Strach says, that the conduct, or government of a wise man is τεταγμένον, not ordained by God, but well ordered or disposed by himself. Thus (b) Philo faith to Cain, thou needest not fear being killed by them who are, εν συν τοῦ τεταγμένοι σωματικία, ranked on thy side, or of the same dispositions and inclinations with thee; and he saith to those children who having had vicious parents, were themselves virtuously inclined, that they are (c) ομάλως τεταγμένοι ταξιω, placed in a better rank; and speaking of Esau and Jacob, he represents Esau as fierce, subject to anger and other passions, and governed by his brutish part, but Jacob, as a lover of virtue and truth; and so (d) ἐν βελτίων τεταγμένον ταξιω, placed in a better rank, or of a better temper and disposition; and adds, that (e) Samuel was τεταγμένος τῷ θεῷ, well disposed towards God. So (f) Simplicius interprets this word; for when Epicurus had said, If thou desirest to be a philosopher, so retain the things that seem best to thee, ὅτι τοῦ τεταγμένος εἰς τάντα τῶν τάξιν, as being by God placed in that rank; that is, faith he, (g) ὅτι τῷ ἰδιοτάτῳ προτέρων ἐκ τούτων, as being by God incited to these things: And to this sense the context leads; the persons opposite to those disposed for eter-

nat life, being those who through their indisposition to embrace the offer of it, were unworthy of eternal life.

2. The second sense of which these words are capable is this. That as many as were well disposed (b) believed to eternal life, accordingly we are said to believe in Christ to eternal life, and (i) to the saving of the soul, because we by believing do obtain a full right to that life of which we cannot fail, but by departing from the faith or suffering it to become unfruitful in those works of righteousness which make us meet to be partakers of eternal life.

Section VII.—Argument 3. If all that love God are called according to his purpose, then hath he no purpose of calling them that do not love him: If they who are foreknown are predestinated to be conformed to this image of his Son, they who are not conformed to it are not foreknown: If they who are called (effectually) are justified and glorified, then all that are not justified, and will not be glorified, are not called according to his purpose, or effectually.

Answer. This text expressly speaks of those who actually love God, not of those whom God hath loved with this supposed discriminating love; and to love God and to be called according to his purpose, are words put by way of appellation, to shew they are both of the same import; that which God purposed in calling us by Christ, being that we might be holy, and unblameable before him in love, Eph. 1. 4, 9. So that the whole of this Argument may be granted; they who by God are permanently justified, and who will be glorified, being such only who do love God, and are conformed to the image of his Son, and who are called according to his purpose of making them holy before him in love, and called effectually, i.e. so as that God's calling hath its designed effect upon them. And let it farther be observed, that when the apostle faith, δικασταὶ προέγνω, for whom he foreknew; the particle δικαίω is connective, and this verse giving the reason or confirmation of what was spoken in the former, it seems necessary to interpret these words thus, Whom he foreknew to be persons called according to his purpose, and therefore qualified for this adoption, ver. 29. them he predestinated to be conformed to the image of his Son. And it deserves to be considered, that all antiquity, till the time of St. Austin, do with one consent concur in the interpretation of the Pseudo Ambrosianus on the place, quos praebuit sibi fore devotos, ipso est legit ad promissa pramia; whom he foreknew would be devoted to his service, he chose to the reward promised to them: Those whom he foreknew to be τις ἀξίων τις κληρον, worthy to be called, to

(b) v Tim. i. 16.—(i) Heb. x. 39.
Theodoret and Theophylact. Some of them in their descants on these words, τοις κατὰ πρόσωπον πληροῖς, called according to purpose, expound them of the purpose or free will of man, declaring that a man is called by God, (j) κατὰ γνώμην τοις προσωπίσεσιν, according to his counsel, or Election; (k) οὕτω ἐκλέγειν μονοι, αλλὰ καὶ ἡ πρόσωπος τῶν καλ.μέ.δ. ενιῶν συνυποίκισεν ἐγνώσατο, for it is not the calling only, but the purpose of those that are called, which works salvation; for who, faith (l) Origen, should be called to justification, but those God loves, and that τοίς τάς ε.navigationBar παρέχων τοῖς προσωπέων καὶ τῆς προσωπεύουσας, the apostle shews, that the free will of man trans the cause of God’s purpose and foreknowledge, by laying, we know that all things work together for good to them that love God, ἐν ἑαυτῷ ὑποκύπτων, because they that love God are worthy of his cooperation. And, in his Latin Commentary, he faith he lera the word foreknew, to shew they were foreknown by God, in quibus sciem res quales essent, amorem suum Deus affectum; posuisset, on whom God placed his affection, as knowing what they would be. But,  

adjt. Saith he, if you will refer this purpose to God, we are then called according to the purpose of him, quod sciam in ea religiofana mentem et salutaris in eis desiderium, who know- ing they had a religious mind, and a desire of salvation, called them; and in this sense neither the cause of our perdition, or salvation will be placed in God’s foreknowledge, nor our justi- 

fication, only in our calling; Neque glorificari de nostrâ 

penisitate sublatum esse, nor will our glorification be ex- 

empted from our own power. Moreover he adds, that by em- 

bracing this absurd opinion, as he calls it, which faith, a man therefore is not justified, and so not glorified, because he is not predestinated, and that he is not predestinated because not foreknown; In gentem fenestram aperimus iius qui negant in hominis potestate esse ut salus fiat, we shall give great ground to them who deny that it is in the power of a man to be saved; whence they infer, that they are guilty of na fault who are not justified, because they are not called, are not predestinated, are not foreknown. And Occumenius faith, the apostle mentions being called according to purpose, ἵνα μὴ ἄτομον ἐπικάτα σο ὑπὸ τοῦ πάντων εἰς επιστεῖν τός ἐς, καὶ ἑρμανικος ὁ παλαττετος τῶν ἑν, that he might not fall into an absurdity, which would fol- 

low if God should do good to some persons and not to others, and that he might free him from being an acceptor of persons wherefore, according to the received interpretation of the an- 

cient fathers, the import of these words is this.  

2. p. 424.
Those whom God foreknew would be true lovers of him. Accordingly, in the basilica, fit for the kingdom of God, and worthy of the peace and blessings of the gospel, he predetermined to render conformable to the image of his Son, that is, to be like him in glory, ver. 17. them also in due time he called to the salvation promised and offered in the gospel, 2 Tim. i. 8, 9. Tit. i. 2, 3. and they believing in Christ upon this call, he justified them from, and remitted all their past sins, Acts xiii. 38, 39. Eph. i. 7, and them he also glorified, by giving them the spirit, which is the earnest of that glory, Eph. i. 13, and by participation of which spirit Christians are said not only to behold the glory of the Lord, but also to be changed into the same image with him from glory to glory. So (m) Origen upon the place. And this exposition agrees well with the context; for he had said before, that Christians having the first fruits of the spirit groaned after this redemption of their bodies, ver. 23, and ver. 26. the spirit helpeth our infirmities, interceding for us, εναντιων σαρκος, with Satan groans after it; and that he intercedeth for the saints, according to (the mind of) God to give them this redemption; and then it follows, ουδενις δε, therefore we know that all things work together for good to them that love God, &c. Or,

ουδενις, ειδοθεν, he hath glorified them, by giving them his spirit to enable them to work the greatest miracles, and those extraordinary gifts which rendered them glorious, and also were to them a confirmation of the glory promised; so the (n) Greek fathers. And hence the ministration of justification is said to be in διαν, in glory, as being the ministration of the spirit, 2 Cor. iii. 8, 9, 10, 11. And Christ faith of the apostles, to whom he gave the spirit, I have given them the glory which thou gavest me; see the note on John xvii. 22. So when a miracle was wrought in Christ's name, ειδοθεν, God glorified him, Acts iii. 19. And when God wrought signs by Moses, ειδοθεν ευροι, he glorified him, Eccul. xlv. 3. See Luke iv. 15. John viii. 54. xi. 4. xiii. 31, 32. xvi. 14. 1 Cor. xii. 26. And in favor of these interpretations, let it be noted, that when the apostle speaks of our final glorification in this chapter, he still speaks of it as a thing future, saying, we shall be glorified with him, ver. 17, 18, 21. whereas here he speaks of it as a thing past, saying, whom he hath justified, them he hath also glorified, &c. by the power of working miracles.

(m) De glorificatione possumus in praesenti feculo illud intelligere quod dicit apostolus, omnes nos aperta facie gloriari dominii speculantur, simul imagine transformatur in gloria in gloriis, &c.

(n) 'Ειδοθεν δι' των χαρισμάτων, δι' των ευφορίας, δι' των ευδοκιμίων των ειλοτηρίων; so Chrysostom and Oecumen, ειδοθεν δι' εις ουρανόν των εν πνεύματι εαυτόν χαιρετον εχοντος, χαιρετον; so Theodoret and Theophylact.
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Answer 2. Another very probable interpretation of these words is this; those whom God foreknew? i.e. approved of as persons fit to be received into his favor, which sense of ἀποκλείων, hath been established by Origen, and in my note on the place he foreappointed to be conformed to the image of his son; that is, to be like him in sufferings, ver. 17, a Tim. ii. 11, who first suffered, and then entered into his glory, and so was the firstborn among many brethren; he first suffer- ing, and leaving them an example that they should follow his steps, 1 Pet. ii. 21, looking up to this captain and finder of our faith, who for the joy that was set before him, endured the cross, and is set down at the right hand of the throne of God, Heb. xii. 2. And whom he thus predestinated, he in due time called to suffer; for hereunto, faith St. Peter, are we christians called, 1 Pet. ii. 21, and to this they are appointed, 1 Thess. iii. 3, and whom he thus called, upon their patience under these sufferings, ἀδιακόπως, he approved as sincere; this is as proper an import of this word, opposed to condemned, as any other; for as when it is opposed to condemnation by law, the opposite is to be absolved; so when it respects the person, it also signifies to approve or pronounce innocent and righteous; God justifying and absolving us because he approves of our faith and repentance, and pronouncing us sincere, because he approves of our heart and actions; in which sense Abraham, ἀδιακόπως, was justified by works, when he offered up his son Isaac and Rahab when she received the spies, Jem. ii. 21, 25. So wisdom, ἀδιακόπως, is approved of by her children, Matt. xi. 19. So by thy words, ἀδιακόπως, thou shalt be justified; that is, approved of, or condemned, Matt. xii. 37. And whom he thus justified, he afterward glorified; i.e. he gave them a glorious reward of all their sufferings, or made them glorious under their sufferings: According to those words, of St. Peter, if ye are reproached for the name of Christ, happy are you; for the spirit of glory, and of God rests upon you, 1 Pet. iv. 14.

Now to confirm this interpretation, let it be observed that the whole purpose of this chapter is to comfort christians against the sufferings they were exposed to in this world; this the apostle doth,

[i/]. From this consideration, that the spirit which they had received was not that of fear, (of death, they being delivered from the bondage of that fear by the death of Christ, Heb. ii. 15.) but the spirit of adoption, which declared them heirs of God, and such as were to be glorified with Christ after their sufferings, ver. 15, 17, who would raise their mortal bodies,
That this future glory was sufficient ground of patience under all their sufferings; it being that which would entirely deliver their bodies from corruption, and translate them into the glorious liberty of the sons of God; on which account faith the apostle I reckon that the sufferings of this present life are not worthy to be compared to the glory which is to be revealed, ver. 18.

That whilst they continued in this afflicted state, patiently waiting for this glory, they had the spirit of God to help their infirmities, and to enable them to intercede for it with silent groans, according to the will of God, and knew that all these sufferings should work together for good to them who love God, and were called according to his purpose of making them like to their head Christ Jesus, who was made perfect by sufferings; and so it was with his own beloved people styled the people, by μακρυγ., which he foreknew, Rom. xi. 21, Amos iii. 2. He determined that they should not be afflicted four hundred years, Gen. xv. 13. He humbled these his sons and his first born, before he called them out of Egypt, and after he had called them thence he humbled and chastised them as a man chasteneth his son, Deut. viii. 5. but all this he did for their profit, that he might do them good in their latter end, ver. 16. After these sufferings he justifed them, i.e. he approved and owned them upon all occasions as his peculiar people, and defended them, and pleaded their cause against all their enemies, and at the last he rendered them a glorious people, in the eyes of all nations, ἀνάφορος, he glorified Israel before them, Isa. lv. 6, xl. 29, especially by causing his glorious presence, or his Shechinah, the emblem of the holy spirit, to rest among them, Hag. ii. 5. and so we may rest assured he will now deal with all those who truly love him, and whom he hath chosen before others to be his church, and his peculiar people.

SECTION VIII.—Argument 4. If God knoweth who are his, then hath he a select number whom he hath chosen to be his; but God knoweth who are his, Tim. ii. 19.

Answer. The text, which is the foundation of this argument, runneth thus, (p) Hymenæus and Philetus have erred from the truth, saying, that the resurrection is past already, and have overthrown the faith of some, ver. 19. But the foundation of God standing sure, having this seal, the Lord knoweth who are his, and let every one that nameth the name of Christ depart from iniquity. Where observe,

That by the foundation of God, we are to understand the doctrine of the resurrection which is the foundation of...
the church, it being built upon this promise, that the gates of hell shall not prevail against it, or that the living members of Christ’s body shall after death obtain an happy resurrection; this also is the foundation of our faith and hope, 1 Cor. xv. 54. 1 Thess. iv. 13, 14. and therefore it is styled a foundation in these words, let us not lay again, to build upon, the foundation of the doctrine of the resurrection, Heb. vi. 1, 2. This is the faithful saying mentioned ver. 12. By denying of it, the christian faith, faith the apostle, is overturned, ver. 18. Now to this fundamental doctrine God, faith he, hath set this seal for confirmation and assurance of it, viz. the Lord knoweth who are his, that is,

18. He loveth and approveth of them, and kindly stands affected to them; so God knew his people Israel, Deut. ii. 32. and so if any man love God, he is known of God, 2 Cor. viii. 9.

And, To award them; so God knoweth the way of the righteous, Ps. i. 6. and so Christ knoweth his sheep, John x. 14, 27. as to give unto them life eternal, ver. 28. to that end they die they shall not perish, but he will raise them up at the last day, John vi. 39, 40, 54. And in this sense these words are commonly taken, as promising a reward to all good christians at the resurrection. But

And, it is observable, that these words are taken from Num. xvi. 5. where Corah, Dathan and Abiram rise up against Moses and Aaron, declaring they took too much upon them, the one to be their prophet and God’s vicegerent over them; the other to be their high priest, whom God had consecrated to his peculiar service, above all others of the house of Levi. Now to this imputation, faith the Septuagint, Moses replies, Τῦτο τὰ πάντα ἐκεῖνα, God knoweth who are his, and by him separated, to his service, he will maintain their cause and calling against all opposers, as in that instance he did in a wonderful manner, causing the earth to open and swallow them up; so also will God own us his apostles and ministers, against Hypas- nons and Philotus, against all that set up against us and our doctrine: As therefore Moses said then to the Israelites, depart ye from the tents of these men, and be ye separated, and they departed; so say I now to all christians, let them depart from iniquity, and so from these pernicious doctrines which increase to ungodliness, and cause men to make shipwreck of faith and a good conscience. So that this text taken in either sense, hath no relation to the doctrine of absolute election. In fine, Theodoret thus descants on these words, ὅτι θεὸς καὶ τοὺς ἵσιόντας καὶ τοὺς τῆς ἀληθείας προφανῶς γνωσμένας, God forekneweth both them that believe, and those who openly fight against the truth.
CHAPTER IV.

Containing Arguments against this Doctrine of an Absolute Election to Salvation, and consequently to the Means which shall inevitably and un frustrably produce it.

I. NEED not multiply many arguments in confutation of this opinion, seeing it will effectually be done in all the subsequent discourses; for,

1st. By proving that Christ was sent into the world to be the savior of the world, and that he tasted death for every man, even for them that perish; it will be extremely evident, that God could not decree absolutely the saving of some few persons elected to it from all eternity; since then it is impossible he should design the salvation of any other by the death of Christ.

2nd. By proving that all men to whom the knowledge of the gospel is vouchsafed are put into a capacity, and have sufficient means, of obtaining the blessings promised, and avoiding the evils threatened in the gospel, and that none shall be able to plead at the last day, I never was in a capacity of obtaining that salvation, for the neglect of which I am condemned, or of avoiding the misery to which I am sentenced; it clearly follows that God cannot have absolutely decreed to afford the means necessary to salvation to some few only, and withhold them from all the rest, even of those to whom the gospel is vouchsafed. But to make this still farther evident, I argue thus,

SECTION I.—Argument 1. He who would have all men, to whom the gospel is vouchsafed, sincerely to believe in Christ, to come to repentance, and yield sincere obedience to his will revealed to them, hath not prepared this saving grace, only for some few Christians, leaving the rest under a necessity of perishing for the want of it; for to all such persons he hath promised that they shall not perish, saying, he that believeth in me shall never perish; repent and turn yourselves from all your iniquity, so iniquity shall not be your ruin; to him who by patient continuance in well doing seeks for glory and immortality, shall be eternal life. These are the means by him appointed for the remission of their sins, and their salvation, as in these words, repent, and be converted that your sins may be blotted out; believe to the salvation of your souls; blessed are they that
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do his commandments, that they may have right to the tree of life: And he who wills that they should use the means, can never be unwilling, at the same time, they should obtain the end, salvation and exemption from eternal ruin. Now that God seriously wills that all to whom the gospel is vouchsafed should repent, believe and yield sincere obedience to his laws, is evident beyond all possibility of doubting. For,

44. The scripture very frequently, and most expressly hath declared the doing of these things to be the doing of the will of God, and the neglecting of them to be the neglecting, and even resisting of his will. It is his will they should believe, because (a) this is his commandment, that we believe in the name of the Son of God: It is his will they should repent, because he hath commanded (b) all men every where to repent: it is his will they should obey him, if that be necessary to be proved, because that is in scripture styled, the doing of the will of our father which is in heaven. And seeing the apostles were sent to preach salvation to every creature that believed, to call all men to repent for the remission of sins, and to bring forth fruits meet for repentance, that they might escape the wrath of God; how can we reasonably think that the same words spoken to all that heard them as God's message to them, and as if God did beseech them by their mouth, should not be looked upon as God's commands to all to whom they spoke, i.e. to the whole world, to every rational creature: and why else do they say (c) he that despiseth us, thus speaking, despiseth not man, but God? Doth not the whole christian world agree in this, that it is the will of God that they should do what he commands? Do they not look upon his precepts as a sufficient indication of his will and pleasure? Are not all men obliged to believe God would have them do what he requires of them? And can they be obliged to believe this if it be not true? Do not all nations conclude from the commands of their superiors, that what they require they would have done, and what they forbid they would not have done? And shall it only be otherwise in the commands of the great ruler of the world? Deny this to be any certain declaration of God's will, and you can never shew that even the elect can know it is God's will they should repent, believe and obey him; since they can never know they belong to the election of God, but from their faith, repentance and obedience. If then by doing of these things, the wicked would perform the will of God, must it not follow that he is willing they should believe, repent and obey? Would not God approve their doing of these things, or can he be supposed to approve what he would not

(a) John vi. 29. (b) Acts xvii. 30. (c) 1 Thef. iv. 8.
have done? Doth he not say expressly concerning the wicked and impenitent, that they (d) do the things which he would not have done? And why are they so oft said to set at nought and reject God’s counsel, will and good intentions toward them, if it were not his will they should believe and come to repentance? Why doth the wisdom of God say of them of old, that (e) they had set at nought his counsels; and of the Scribes and Pharisees, that (f) they rejected the counsel of God concerning them, being not baptized with the baptism of John; which was the baptism of repentance for the remission of sins; and of the unbelieving Jews that they did (g) put salvation from them, and judge themselves unworthy of eternal life? Surely from all these places it is very evident that it was primarily the counsel, and the will of God, that even they who would not turn, would not repent and accept of salvation, should have repented and have been made partakers of it; and were it otherwise, it follows, that by their unbelief and their impenitence, they did not really resist his will and counsel, but comply rather with it.

Moreover, those whom God calls to faith, repentance and obedience, he is truly willing that they should repent, believe and be saved; for what is it to call a man to such a thing, but to declare that you are truly willing and desirous that he should be partaker of it. Now it is certain that God calls and invites all those to whom his word is preached, to faith, repentance and salvation; and therefore it is certain that he is truly willing they should believe, repent and be saved. To this effect are these expressions (h) repent and believe the gospel; (i) who so is simple let him turn in hither; let him forsake the foolish and live; (j) whosoever will, let him come and drink of the waters of life freely. To omit many other places of like nature. Again, the end for which God sends his messengers is to invite all persons to faith, repentance and salvation; the business of his watchmen is (k) to warn the wicked to turn from his iniquity, that he may not die; (l) in meekness to instruct them that oppose themselves, if God peradventure will give them repentance; (m) to warn every man, and to teach every man in all wisdom, that they may present every man perfect in Christ Jesus. Now are they not commanded to use these exhortations, calls instructions as means conducive to these ends? And to all those whom God doth require to use these means must he not will the end designed by them? Do not ministers befooth men in the name of God to repent, and...

(d) Isa. lxv. 12, lxvi. 4. (e) Prov. i. 25. (f) Luke vii. 30. (g) Acts xiii. 46. (h) Mark i. 75. (i) Prov. ix. 1, 6. (j) Rev. xxii. 17. (k) Ezek. xxxiii. 3. (l) 2 Tim. 25. (m) Col. i. 28.
to be reconciled to him? Must they not pray that God would bless their labors, and render them successful to these ends, in all that hear them? And can they, in the name of God, exhort unto, or pray in faith, for that effect of their labors, which is not suitable to the decree, or will of God? Was not the gospel of Christ writ, that they who read it (n) might believe, and believing might have life through his name? And must it not be preached for the same end for which it was written? Doth not Christ plainly tell the Jews this was the end of all his preaching to them: in these words, (o) these things I say unto you, that ye might be saved? And yet he of those very persons, faith, (p) you will not come to me that you might have life. He therefore seriously intended their salvation, who would not come unto him for it.

To think to solve all this, as (q) Bishop Bavenot in his answer to Hoard doth, by saying there is in God a true will revealed in the gospel, of saving all men that shall believe, and a true will taking, embracing and rewarding faith, holiness and perseverance in all men whatsoever, without distinction of persons; and this is the will called Voluntas simplicis Complacentia, or a will of complacency. And that when the apostle faith, God will have all men to be saved, the meaning only is, if all men shall believe in Christ's; and that to believe in Christ is an act to agreeable, and so well pleasing to his will, that wheresoever it is found, it will be rewarded. I say it seemeth strange to me, that any man should think this a sufficient answer to this Argument. For,

(1/). How incongruous is it to ascribe such a will to God as this? viz. Holiness is a thing so agreeable to my nature, and my essential attributes of purity and righteousness, that it is absolutely necessary for me to like and be well pleased with the holiness and perseverance of all men in it, and therefore to reward them for it with the enjoyment of myself; but yet I absolutely purpose not to afford to the greatest part of mankind, that aid which I see to be absolutely necessary to enable them to be holy, or to persevere in it: And my will is to leave them, though they equally want, and equally are capable of it, and equally fit to be the objects of my grace and favor, under an absolute incapacity of being holy, and by my Decree of Reprobation make their want of holiness an event unfrutable. No man can think that man hath a true love for holiness, who will do nothing which is in his power to make others so, as far as he is able, and it is fit for him to do it. Can then that God, whose love to holiness doth infinitely transcend
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In love which the most holy man bears to it, and who commands us to be holy, as he is holy, have passed a decree from all eternity, which renders the want of holiness in most men an unfrustrable event?

duly. If this be all intended by those words, God would have all men to be saved. Why may it not be said, he would have all the fallen angels to be saved? Because faith and holiness were it found in them, would be an all so well pleasing, and so agreeable to God’s will, that wherefo. ever it is found it will be rewarded by him; but yet because they, since their fall, are in no capacity of believing, or of being holy, no man hath ever dared to say, (r) God would have all the fallen angels to be saved. If then the absolute decree of God, not to give faith, repentance, and eternal life to any that are not elected, is, as he faith, that which they understand by reprobation; and this act renders all those fallen men, who are the objects of that black decree, as incapable of having faith and holiness as the very Devils, why is it said, he would have these fallen men, rather than fallen angels, to be saved?

duly. Be it as he faith, that the final obduration and impenitency of reprobates is not a foreseen antecedent condition, but a following event of negative reprobation; yet if that event follows necessarily upon that eternal act of God, to what end doth he after it command all men everywhere to repent, or excercize the riches of his goodness, patience and forbearance towards them (f) to lead them to repentance, or say (t) he is long suffering towards them, because he is not willing they should perish, but should come to repentance; when this decree of not giving that repentance which can alone rescue them from perishing, hath left them in that utter incapacity of repenting which no longsuffering of God can, or ever was intended to remove? Why doth he send his ambassadors to (w) beseech them to be reconciled to him, because he hath made his Son to be a sacrifice for sin, that they might be made righteous with the righteousness of God in him? Why doth he call them to repent, that their sins may be blotted out, and encourage them to do by this gracious promise, that (v) then all their iniquities shall not be remembered against them any more? Why doth God, as he says, (w) patiently expect their conversion, when he hath decreed to deny them those means which can alone effect it, or use those means and methods to that end which he before knows not only that they will frustrate, but also that they must frustrate? To say God seriously requires them who are not elected to make their calling and election
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Sure, is what we call a bull. To say God seriously in- 
exhorts and requires all men to (x) work out their salva-
tion, and yet by his decree of reprobation hath rendered the 
event to the most of them impossible; that he requires all 
men to repent that they may not perish, and yet by his decree, 
or secret will, hath rendered that event to most of them to 
whom he speaketh in his word impossible; is to make the 
gospel of Christ a mockery, by making it to require a condi-
tion in order to an end, which his own secret will of denying 
to them that faith, and that repentance which can alone pro-
duce that end, hath made impossible to be obtained; and if 
this be not to make the secret will of God to contradict his 
revealed will, it is to make his revealed will following that 
secret one a mere falsehood, unless his revealed will can make 
contradictions true. For to make God to will this or that for 
such an end, which by virtue of his secret will can never 
come to pass, makes contradictions at the same time to be the 
object of his will; and to say he wills this or that to exempt 
men from that event, which his secret will hath made neces-
fary to come to pass, is also to will at the same time a con-
tradiction: So that if God's declared will is, that all men should 
believe unto salvation, and his secret will is, that most of them 
should not be saved, it being his will to withhold what is nec-
essary to their salvation; if his revealed will is this, that 
the reprobate should repent that he may not perish, and his 
secret will be this, that he should die, and not live, as being a 
will to withhold that grace from him; without which he cannot 
live, but must die; the contradiction betwixt these two wills 
is as evident as words can make it.

And if these decrees be plainly contrary to the declarations 
of the will of God now mentioned, how impossible is it to re-
concile them with his declarations that he deals thus with men,
(y) because he hath compassion on them; that (z) he could not 
have done more to make them bring forth good grapes; that he 
would have purged; (a) (Hebr.) had purged Israel, and she 
was not purged; (b) he would have gathered Jerusalem, and 
she would not be gathered; when there not only was an incur-
able impotency in their will; which rendered the event impos-
sible to them, but also a decree which rendered God unwill-
ing to do that towards their gathering and purgation, without 
which he well knew he used all other means in vain. How 
can they possibly comport with his compassionate inquiries?
(c) Why will you die? O Jerusalem, wilt thou not be made clean? 
When shall it once be? How long shall vain thoughts lodge

(x) Philip. 21. (y) a Chron. xxxvi. 15. (z) 1 Tim. v. 4. 
(a) L·zek. xxiv. 13. (b) Luke xiii. 34. (c) Jer. iv. 14. xiii. 14.
within thee? Or with his vehement desires that it might be otherwise? For to say, (d) Oh that there were such an heart in them that they would fear me! (e) Oh that my people had walked in my ways, is, if so, in effect, to wish that they had frustrated the event which his decree, from all eternity, had made inevitable.

Section II.—Argument 2.—Adly. This decree is absolutely false in the foundation of it; that being laid in the sin of.

Adam, imputed by God's arbitrary will to his posterity. For, to 15. It is confessed by these men that it was not by any natural necessity that (f) Adam falling, his posterity should be either universally tainted with original sin, or liable to death, but that both these depended on a libero Dei decreto, from the free decree of God; that if Adam persevered in his righteousness, he should transmit it to his posterity; if he rebelled, he should make his posterity liable both to the corruption of sin, and danger of punishment: For if it be asked, (g) how it comes to pass that the sin of Adam, so unavoidably and generally laid hold upon all the sons of Adam? They are driven to confess, that this depended upon a free constitution or decree of the divine will, because natural propagation would not have stripped Adam's posterity of any habitual righteousness which God had bestowed upon him; (h) or charged them with the guilt of any sin personally committed by him, had not God enacted and constituted a decree that so it should be, when it stood in his power and pleasure to have ordered it otherwise. They add, that (i) therefore are we not guilty of any other sin of Adam, because, though natural propagation be the means of conveying Adam's sin to us, yet it would not have done so had there not been a free decree established by God to that purpose; and therefore Adam's sin can no farther concern his posterity, than it is regulated by God himself. Now the divine decree, or constitution, did not so order it, that any sin of Adam should be imputable to all that were virtually in his loins, but that his first sin only in which he did, fulfill the personam generis humanum, suflain the person of all mankind, should be imputed. And again, (j) Adam's first sin committed in the state of innocency, wherein, by God's appointment, he bore the person of all mankind, was every man's personal sin, and was conferred on by every man's personal will, because in Adam there was not only the will of one singular man, but the universal will of all mankind, and of every singular person. Now,
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1st. The holy scripture is perfectly silent in every part and tittle of this school divinity; it hath not one word of this free decree, or this dismal compact of God with Adam, or I know not who; not one iota of our propagation from the Joins of Adam more than from the womb of our mother Eve. (k) the mother of all living; or that he bore the person of all mankind, more than the bore the person of all woman-kind; or of any decree that Adam's posterity should be charged with the guilt of any sin personally committed by him, rather than the woman with the sin of Eve; or that they should be charged only with his first, not with his following transgressions; or that his guilt should rather be imputed to them, than his repentance: Nor, lastly, is there one shadow of a proof from scripture of that self contradiction, that Adam's personal sin was every man's personal sin, when he only was a person, and they were not; and was consented to by every man's will, before any man else had a will; or that the universal will of all mankind (which is no better than an universal figtree) and of every single person, was in Adam.

2dly. It cannot truly be affirmed that Adam's sin was every man's personal sin, and was consented to by every man's personal will, and therefore all men were made sinners by it; for then it was not (l) by the disobedience of one, but of all, that many were made sinners, whereas the apostle plainly affers the contrary, expressly saying, that by the sin of one man many died: That the sentence was from one, and by one man's sinning to condemnation; and that by the sin of one death reigned by one; therefore the apostle doth expressly teach, that this death, this condemnation to it, came not upon us for the sin of all, but for the sin of one, i.e. of one Adam, in whom all men die.

1 Cor. xv. 22.

2dly. Then all men must have sinned after the similitude of Adam's transgression; for if he bore our person, and the consent of his will was the personal consent of ours, then the same person by an act of the same will sinned against the same law; and surely they that thus sin must sin after the similitude of Adam's transgression; for what dissimilitude can there be in sin committed by the same act, of the same will, of the same person, against the same law. But of the posterity of Adam, the apostle faith, expressly, (m) they sinned not after the similitude of Adam's transgression; therefore they were not sinners by the same act, and will, of the same person against the same law. Moreover, if all the posterity of Adam sinned in Adam, they sinned against a law given to them, for sin is the transgression of law, and where there is no law there is no transgression.

(k) Gen. iii. 20. —(l) Rom. v. 15, 16, 17, 18. —(m) Ver. 14.
where there is no law given to me, there can be no transgression therefore by me. Now they could sin in Adam so as to deserve death for that sin, only by finning against the law requiring Adam not to eat of the forbidden fruit; for Adam himself became guilty of death only by transgressing that law; but all the potterty of Adam cannot be said to have finned against that law, because it was not given to them, but to Adam and Eve only; unless they will feign another decree, that the law given to Adam personally, should be the law given to all mankind. And lastly, If we finned formally in Adam, we finned by the same numerical sin which he committed, or only by the same sin in kind; i.e. by a sin like to his. We could not sin by the same numerical sin, for all mankind being not the same in number, but in specie, or kind only; and having not the same numerical will, but the same in kind only; he could no otherwise bear the person; or consent with the will of all mankind; that by bearing a person, and having a will common to him with all mankind, which he had not numerically, but specifically. Again: We could only sin in him; in or by the nature we derived from him, which is not the same with his, numerically, but specifically only: And yet if we finned by the same act, of the same will, of the same numerical person, we must be guilty of the same numerical transgression.

3dly. It cannot truly be affirmed that we all sinned in Adam, and by his disobedience were made sinners; because, his sin and disobedience was by God's arbitrary will imputed to us; for, 1st. The scripture nowhere maketh mention of any thing of another's imputed to any man for reward, or guilt, but only of some personal thing or action of his own, as hath been fully proved; Note on Rom. v. 13: 3dly. Either this imputation makes the sin of Adam truly ours, or it doth not: If it doth not, how can we be made sinners by it? If it doth, then death came upon us for our sin, and so not for the sin of one, but for the sin of all; which is the thing disproved already. 3dly. I ask, whether this imputation made the potterty of Adam sinners? Or, whether it found them so before? If it found them so before, it was plainly needless, for they might have been condemned to death without it: If it made them so, then since this imputation is the act of God and not of man, it plainly follows that God must be the author of this sin; because this imputation flows immediately from him, without the intervention of any action of any of those men to whom it is imputed. Moreover, then the imputation must be false, as charging them with sin whom he did not find sinners, but only by his arbitrary decree and imputation made them so: Now far be it from any Christian to
assert, that God can falsely impute sin to any man. In a word, θητον, and imputare, is to reckon, or to account a thing to any man, or to charge him with it, or lay the charge of it upon him; this action therefore on God's part must suppose in the very nature of it, some action done by the posterity of Adam which is blameworthy, and may be justly charged upon them, before there can be any ground for imputation of it; and this shews that it is impossible that the imputation should be the very thing that renders them blameworthy, or persons worthy to be charged with guilt; and yet, if the sin of Adam becomes ours only by imputation, it must be ours only because it is by God imputed to us, and not imputed because it is ours; that is, God by this imputation must make us sinners, and not find us such; for this imputation is the action of the Judge, and not of the supposed criminal; remove, or take away this action, and no crime can be charged upon him. In fine; if the sin of Adam becomes ours only by imputation, it deserves condemnation only by the same imputation, that is, by the action of God; that therefore we deserve condemnation for it, is to be ascribed directly to the action of God, and only by accident to the action of Adam; whence then, according to this opinion, is our destruction, but of that God who makes us worthy of condemnation, by imputing to us that sin, which by his imputation only we stand guilty of?

4thly. We are not guilty of any other sin of Adam; therefore we are not guilty of the first sin of Adam. For answer to this, they are driven to confess, faith Bishop Davenant, that this depends on the free constitution of the divine will; for (virtual inclusion in, or) natural propagation (from the loins of Adam) would not have charged us with the guilt of any sin personally committed by him, had not God enacted and constituted a decree that it should be so, when it pleased in his power and pleasure to have ordered it otherwise; therefore this sin of Adam is not ours because he committed it; but because God of mere will decreed and constituted it should be so, when he might have ordered it otherwise; and so there is nothing that makes this sin ours, but the mere will of God; and surely then God must be the author of it, because it is the will of God alone which makes the first sin of Adam ours, more than the second, of which it is confessed we are not guilty; nor is there any other reason why we are more guilty of it, than of his other sins, but this will of God. As then we become sinners in our own persons only by willing that action which is evil, should be ours, so we become sinners in the person of Adam, only by the will of God, that his evil action should be ours; and had it not been his pleasure to
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will, it had not been ours. To make this still more evident, let it be noted that in every sin of commission, there is required not only the will of the Creator forbidding that action, but also the will of the creature choosing to do what is forbidden; but in this supposed original sin, there is no will of ours choosing this forbidden action more than any other sin of Adam; it was not therefore upon that account our sin; it remains then that it was only so by the will of the Creator. If it be replied, that the action and will of Adam was ours also, I ask whether it was so by its own nature, or only by the free decree and will of God? If only by the latter, it clearly follows that his actions are made and accounted ours by the mere will of God, and so that only renders it our sin; if from its own nature, then all his other sinful wills and actions must be ours also; for what agrees to the will and actions of Adam, from the nature of them, must agree to every will and action of Adam at all times: Now this being confessedly false, it remains that it is solely from the arbitrary will of God that we are guilty of this, and not of any other sin of Adam.

Lastly. The compact they have forged betwixt God and Adam, to justify this imputation of his sin to his posterity, and the decrees enjoining upon that imputation, as it is forged out of their own brain, so it is a compact exceeding cruel, and plainly inconsistent with the justice, wisdom and goodness of our gracious God. For surely, a good God, in all his compacts with the sons of men designs their good, or their advantage; for so it is with all the other compacts God ever made with man; but God could not design the good of man by that compact upon the foreseen event, of which he had before made his absolute decrees of election and reprobation.

ady. As for the other part of this compact, that Adam, continuing innocent, should have begotten children in his own likeness, that is, partakers of his own original righteousness, that could produce no proportionable advantage to his posterity; for his righteousness being defeasible, he could have only derived upon them a defeasible righteousness, which must have left them still as liable to fall as he himself was; and then their posterity must have been in the same sad case in which Adam's fall had placed his posterity. And ady.—His fin, had he fallen after he had begotten ten children, must have involved all the rest in this sad doom; so that his whole righteous life could have only procured to his posterity a defeasible righteousness, liable, continually to interruption by the sin of any one of his posterity; and that throughout all times and ages of the world; whereas, one single transgression of his, was, by this compact, to render his whole race
obnoxious to eternal misery, and by this eternal decree of reprobation, upon this foreseen fall, to render the far greatest part of them inevitably subject to that misery.

Again, this compact plainly seems to have been invented to excite God from cruelty, in subjecting myriads of men and infants to the most direful and lasting torments; which without this imaginary pact, he could not with the least pretence of justice do. Moreover, did not God know, before this compact, it would only tend by the fall of Adam to the unavoidable ruin of myriads of souls, which otherwise would have contracted no such guilt, and therefore would have been obnoxious to no such misery, had not this compact and decree been made? What therefore did he, by making this decree, but subjoin so many precious soules to an inevitable ruin? How therefore could he contrive and make such a decree and compact, without being willing that so many men and infants should be forever miserable by it? Since he who wills the cause, wills also the effect, which certainly and inevitably, without their action, follows from it.

If in favor of these imaginations it be said, that the scripture expressly teacheth that in Adam all have sinned; and by the disobedience of one, many were made sinners: To this I have given a sufficient answer in the note upon those words, shewing that these words may and must have a metonymical sense, because of the absurdities which follow from the formal acceptation of them. And, 2dly. Because the comparison made betwixt the first Adam, and the effects of his disobedience, and the second Adam, and the effects of his obedience to the death, require it; the Holy Ghost still speaking of his suffering for our sins in this metonymical sense; as it is, 1. When he is said to bear our sins, only because he bore the punishment due to them. 2dly. When he is said to be made sin for us; he being made sin for us, not by contracting the guilt of it, but only suffering punishment for it in our stead. 3dly. When he is said (n) to appear a second time ἐν αἷσι νωτισάοις, without sin, i. e. without another sacrifice, in which he was to suffer for it; and (o) that by sin, i. e. by what he suffered when he made himself a sacrifice for it. He condemned sin in the flesh. And 4thly. When he is said to be (p) made a curse for us; he being only so by suffering that death which the law styled accursed, and not as being so, in the sight of God. And 2dly. Because the opposite phrase, δίκαιος κατακαθίσθωνται, required that the words αἱματωλοῖ κατακαθίσθωνται should be used in this sense; for when the Apostle saith, by the

(2) 2 Cor. v. 20.—Heb. ix. 28.—(o) Rom. viii. 2.—(p) Gal. iii. 13.
Decree of Election.

obedience of one shall many be made righteous, it is evident in itself, and proved by three arguments, that he speaks not of Christ's active, but of his passive obedience, or suffering death for us; now by this passive obedience we cannot be made formally righteous, but only metonymically, by being made partakers of that freedom from condemnation and the guilt of sin, and the reconciliation which Christ purchased by his meritorious death and passion.

Section III.—Argument 3dly. This decree is false both in the parts, and the end of it. The parts of it are these two:

1st. That God hath from eternity elected a certain number of persons to salvation, leaving the rest under an absolute decree of reprobation or preterition, and that of this election or reprobation there can be no other cause but God's own free will; for predestination, say they, being an immanent act of the divine understanding, cannot be conceived as dependent upon any foreseen acts of man's will, and therefore his foreseen faith, repentance and perseverance cannot, in any good sense, be imagined antecedent causes, conditions or motives to the divine predestination; and that is Metaphysics, and the jargon of the schoolmen, entirely ignorant of the true tenet of scripture, made to countenance a decree reflecting so unworthily upon the honor of our gracious God, that it is not easy to conceive what could more visibly tend to the dishonor of his glorious name and attributes.

3dly. That in order to the accomplishing the salvation of his elect, he hath decreed to afford them that grace, which shall infallibly and insurmountably bring them to salvation; whereas they who are comprized under the decree of reprobation, are left, say they, infallibly to fail of eternal life, and so are left to fail of means which may bring them to eternal life, or to escape everlasting death; for they can only infallibly fail of the end, by failing of the means which may produce it; for since he that hath means by which he may be saved, may be saved; and he that hath means by which he may escape damnation, may escape damnation; he who by God's decree of reprobation, is left infallibly to fail of salvation, and consequently to be damned, must as infallibly be left without the means by which he may obtain salvation or escape damnation.

3dly. In the absolute election of those whom God hath thus appointed to salvation, he decreed (q) say they, to glorify his mercy; and in the reprobation and the preterition of the rest, he decreed to glorify his sovereignty and justice in their damnation; the manifestation, therefore, of his grace and mercy in the salvation of the one, and of his justice and sovereignty

(q) Bishop Davenant, p. 27, 28.
in the damnation of the other, must be the two great ends of God in these decrees.

Now the falsehood of these two decrees, touching the absolute election of some persons to salvation, is sufficiently argued in the fifth Discourse. 1st. From God's command to all Christians to make their calling and election sure. 2dly. From his frequent exhortations directed to them to continue steadfast in the faith, and to keep themselves in the love of God, and to work out their salvation with fear and trembling. 3dly. From the cautions directed to good Christians, not to fall from grace, or from their own steadfastness. 4thly. From threats denounced against the righteous man who turneth away from his righteousness, and the just man who living by faith draweth back.

2dly. As they respect those that are supposed to lie under an absolute decree of reprobation; the falsehood of them hath been fully proved in the second Discourse. 1st. From God's serious and earnest invitations of them to repentance. 2dly. From his vehement desires of their reformation and obedience. 3dly. From his declarations that he had done for them what was sufficient to produce it. 4thly. From his promises to excite them to it; his long-suffering designed for that very end; and from his dreadful threats intended to deter them from persisting in their evil ways. And, lastly, From the manifold demonstrations he hath afforded us in holy scripture, that he doth not look upon wicked men as under an utter disability of being reformed by his judgments, or his mercies, or of hearkening to his calls and invitations to return and live.

And, 2dly. Such a decree as this being a secret of God's counsel, no man can know that God hath made it, but from the express, and the clear revelation of the holy scripture; and so no person can have any reason to assert it on any other account. Now as I have shown already, that the scripture hath said nothing of these decrees; so will this be more evident by a reflection on every part of them.

1st. The decree of election say they, is absolute, and without respect to man's faith, repentance or perseverance. Now the scripture faith expressly, (r) he that believeth shall be saved; (f) he that endureth to the end shall be saved; (t) repent, and be converted, and your sins shall be blotted out; (u) to them who by patient continuance in well-doing, look for glory, God will give eternal life. So that they who speak thus, speak the constant language of the holy scriptures; whereas they who affirm that he hath absolutely decreed eternal life to any, without respect to any act of man's will, or any condition to

(r) Mark xvi. 16. —(f) Matth. xxiv. 13. —(t) Acts iii. 19. —
(u) Rom. ii. 7.
be performed on his part, speak that which hath not the least foundation in the word of God. Christ faith indeed, that (v) it is his Father’s good pleasure to give the kingdom to his little flock; but then this flock consists only of believers, who have already heard Christ’s voice, and followed him, and of those (w) whom the father had given to him; but then he informs us, that (x) Judas, a son of perdition, was one of them. He faith again, (y) All that the father giveth me shall come unto me, but speaks not one word of their being given to him by an absolute eternal decree of election to salvation, without respect to any thing to be in time performed by them.

ady. The scripture hath not one syllable to prove that the object of this election is a certain number of singular persons. Those words, the Lord knoweth who are his, do no more prove this, than those words of Christ, I know my sheep; and those of the Psalmist, the Lord knoweth the way of the righteous, prove that there is a certain number of singular persons who are Christ’s sheep, and who only can be righteous. The scripture often speaketh, as hath been fully shewed, of churches, and nations elected to be his church and people, but nothing of any single person elected from eternity to salvation, much less any certain number of them.

ady. That God hath absolutely ordained one single person to faith, repentance and perseverance to the end, is no where written. And hence it clearly follows, that there can be no such absolute reprobation revealed in the scriptures, as these decrees hold forth; for as electio non est, sine reprobatione, there is no election without a reprobation of the nonelected, so can there be no reprobation where there is no previous election.

Again, to say that election or predestination being an immanent, eternal act of God’s understanding, or rather of his will, for that alone decrees, can have no dependence on, or respect to any act of man’s will by way of motive or condition, is to say things contrary to scripture and to common sense. For, 1st. Did not God decree from all eternity, to pardon the penitent, to justify him that believeth in Jesus, to save the obedient, and that they who suffer for, and with Christ, shall be glorified together with him? And must not these immanent eternal acts have respect to the temporal faith, repentance, obedience and patient sufferings of men?

ady. Did not God from all eternity, decree to judge all men according to their works, and that all men should receive rewards and punishments according as their works shall be;

and is not this decree an immanent, eternal act of God, respecting the acts of men's will as the condition of, or motive to it? Yea, did he not decree from all eternity to offer to man a new covenant of grace, promising pardon and salvation to him upon condition of his faith, repentance and sincere obedience; and do not these decrees render the pretended decrees of absolute election and reprobation needless? Yea, are not these things inconsistent with each other, viz. the absolute election of man to salvation, and the decree to offer and suspend the same salvation upon these conditions? For if all conditional promises betwixt man and man, the thing promised is suspended on the performance of the condition, and the enjoyment of it is uncertain, till the condition be performed; and if it be not so also in respect to the conditions of the covenant of grace, what mean the exhortations directed to men in general, to (a) fear lest a promise being made of entering into rest, any of them should fall short of it? The caution to them that stand by faith, to take heed lest they fall under the severity of God for not (a) continuing in his goodness; and the threats of perdition to him that (b) liveth by faith, provided he draw back?

godly. Did not God decree from eternity, that he that believeth in his Son should have eternal life, and he that believeth not his Son should not see life? and had these decrees no respect to the temporal acts of man? It were easy to multiply instances of this nature, to shew the vanity of the foundation of these absolute decrees. And therefore, 4thly. When it is said, that an immanent act of the divine mind (or will) cannot depend on any foreseen acts of man's will, I grant that it cannot depend on them as the cause producing such an act, but purely on his own attributes and perfections, v. g. he must decree to elect man as fallen, or a sinner, because he is gracious and merciful, and to reprobate him because he is just; but then the motive or inducement to both these decrees is the foreseen action of man, rendering him an object of his mercy, or worthy of his vindictive justice. Again, these immanent actions of the Deity either respect himself only, as the love and knowledge of himself, and then it is certain that they can have no cause, motive, condition, or respect to any thing but himself; or else they respect, or have for their object the future state or condition of man; and then it is as certain, that though they flow from the divine perfections, the inducement to them is always man, and his foreseen actions, v. g. he from the divine goodness, grace and mercy, decrees to save man, or to offer to him terms on which he may

(m) Heb. iv. 1.—(a) Rom. xi. 20, 21.—(b) Heb. x. 38.
obtain salvation; the inducement to it is the sin of man, which hath made this grace and mercy necessary to his salvation. He from his justice hath decreed from all eternity, to cast some men out of his favor; the inducement to it is that sin which hath rendered them unworthy of it, and rendered it inconfident with his holiness and justice to admit them to it. He from that goodness and love to holiness, which is essential to him, hath decreed to reward some of them with eternal life, or the enjoyment of himself; the inducement to it is those actions wrought by the assistance of his grace in them, which have made them like unto him, and therefore meet to be partakers of the inheritance of the saints in light. And all this is demonstrably certain from the perfection of the divine nature; for God being infinitely perfect, he must be infinitely happy within himself, and so can design no end without himself, and consequently the end for which he requires any thing from us, or decrees any thing concerning us, is not, and cannot be any advantage or good he expects to reap from it, he being from all eternity past as completely happy as he can be to eternity to come; and therefore what other end can he be supposed to aim at in these things but our good? If it be said, that God may act to manifest his glory, viz. the glory of his mercy, justice, holiness and truth: True; but then he manifests it either for no good, or for his own good, or for our good. To say he doth it for no good, is to impeach his wisdom; to say he doth it for his own good, reflects on the perfection of his nature; it remains then that he must do this also for our good, which is the thing contended for. It therefore is a vain imagination, that the great design of any of God's actions, his glorious works and dispensions, should be thus to be admired, or applauded by his worthless creatures, that he may gain esteem, or a good word from such vile creatures as we are. We take too much upon us, if we imagine that the all wise God can be concerned whether such blind creatures as we are, approve or disapprove of his proceedings; or that he really can suffer any diminution of his glory by our dislike, or is advanced in honor by our approbation of his dispensions. We think too meanly of, and detest from his great Majesty, if we conceive he can be tickled with applause, and aim at reputation from us in his glorious design; that therefore such as we should think well of him, or have due apprehensions of those attributes, by the acknowledgment of which we are said to glorify him, can be no farther his concern than as it serves the noble ends of his great goodness, viz. that these conceptions may engage us to that affection, to that imitation of him, and that obedience to him, which tends to the promotion of our happiness.
God therefore acteth for his glory when he discovers to the
world those excellencies and perfections of his nature which
are just motives to the performance of that duty which we owe
unto him; or when he doth display before us his imitable
perfections, that we may be like him, designing still the bene-
fit and happiness of man in these discoveries. For when he
discovers all those attributes which represent him good and
merciful, kind and obliging to the sons of men, he doth it
with design, and in a manner very proper to lay the highest
obligations on us to returns of love and gratitude, and to en-
gage us to that imitation of his goodness and mercy to our fel-
low creatures, which renders us partakers of the divine nature,
and helpful to others in all their exigencies. When he gives
signal demonstrations of his almighty power, and of his great
wisdom, he designs by this to teach us that he is able to fore-
see and to divert those evils which may at any time befall us;
to rescue us from all our miseries, and to confer the greatest
blessings on his servants, that so he may encourage us to place
our trust in him at all times, to repair by humble supplications
to the throne of grace, and to serve him faithfully, in expect-
ation of his favor and protection. When he manifests him-
sclf to be a God of truth and faithfulness, one who will punctu-
ally perform his promises to, and execute his threats upon us,
he doth this chiefly to avert us from those sins which make
it necessary for his justice to be severe upon us, and to pro-
voke us to the performance of those duties to which he hath
annexed the greatest blessings. When he informs us that his
holiness and justice cannot permit the wicked to escape his
vengeance, or any upright soul to want the tokens of his love,
or the reward of his sincere obedience; his great design in all
this is, that sin, which is the rife of all our miseries, may be a-
voided; and holiness, which is the true advancement and best
accomplishment of human nature, may be more earnestly pur-
sued by us. So that God's acting for his glory is indeed his
acting for the good of his most noble creatures; and only rec-
ommending of himself to their goodwill and affection, that
so he may the more effectually promote their happiness.

It is indeed in our translation said, God hath made all things
for himself, even the wicked for the day of wrath, Prov. xvi. 4.
but in the Hebrew the word is Lamaahy from run, and so the
words may be thus rendered, the Lord hath made all things to
answer to themselves, or aptly to refer to one another, even the
wicked for the day of wrath; according to these words of
Grotius, singula Deus ordinat ad id quod singulis competit, or-
dinat impium ad diem calamitatis. The Bishop of Ely
renders them thus, the Lord disposeth all things according to
his will, even the wicked for the day of wrath, i. e. to be then the executioners of it.

And whereas these men tell us, that God elected a certain number to be saved for the manifestation of the glory of his grace. [1st.] According to this hypothesis, the glory of his grace must consist in electing so many to salvation and no more; for it the decreed to save more would more have tended to the manifestation of his glory, the same motive must have induced him to save more. Now to affirm that it is for the glory of his mercy to save the elected only, and no more, seems contrary to common sense; for the more are benefited, the greater is the glory of the benefactor; if it then tendeth to the glory of his mercy absolutely to decree to save some more fitted to be objects of his mercy than the rest, it must be more for the glory of his mercy to decree thus to save more, and most of all to decree to save all.

Again, if it be for the glory of his grace to prepare saving grace for any, and to give them that assistance which will unfailingly procure their salvation; would it not be more for the glory of the same grace to prepare it for, and afford it to more, and to leave some under a necessity of perishing for want of grace sufficient to work out their salvation? Is grace the more magnified for being restrained to some few, when all do equally need, and all are equally capable of it? Nor is there any reason in the objects of it, why it should not equally be vouchsafed to them.

The other black part of this decree, which faith God left the greatest part of mankind in a state in which they must infallibly fail of obtaining salvation, or the means of salvation, faith and perseverance, they being the consequences and fruits of that election, out of which they are excluded, is still more horrible in its immediate consequences; for, 1st. It makes God to create innumerable souls after the fall of Adam, to be inevitably damned without the least compassion for them, or will to afford them means sufficient to exempt them from that dreadful doom; for if faith and perseverance be the consequences and fruits of God's election, then they who are not elected cannot have them; if they must fail of obtaining salvation, they must inevitably incur damnation. 2dly. It makes him, in prosecution of this end, having created them pure and innocent as they came out of his hands, to put them into bodies, that so they may be made or deemed the offspring of Adam, and by being so made be fit objects of his eternal wrath; which they could never be by his creation of them, did he not thus unite them to the bodies generated by the posterity of Adam.
CHAPTER V.

I SHOULD now for a close, demonstrate the contradiction which this doctrine of absolute election and reprobation bears to the sentiments of the ancient fathers; but this is so evident, that (a) Calvin, Beza, and many other patrons of the contrary doctrine do partly confess it. I therefore shall content myself with three or four plain demonstrations of this truth, viz.

SECTION I.—18. That they unanimously declare, that God hath left in the power of man, εἰς ἀμφότερα τρέφεται, to turn to vice or virtue, faith (b) Justin Martyr; to choose or to refuse faith and obedience, to believe, or not, say (c) Irenæus, (d) Clemens Alexanderinus, (e) Tertullian, and St. (f) Cyprian: That every one is himself the cause why he is made frumentum, aut palea, faith (g) Irenæus: Every one, η δικαιοσύνη, η εκμακρινθείσα αὐτῶν, καὶ η δικαιοσύνη, κατασκευαστός, rendering himself either righteous or disobedient, faith (h) Clemens of Alexandria: That God hath left it in our own power, πρὸς τὰ καλά νοεῖν, καὶ τὰ κακὰ ἀποφασθαί, to turn to, or from good: That he hath put it into our power, τὰ δικαια ὡς ἐναι ἡ κακία, πράσσει μεν τὰ δικαία ἡ τὰ αδίκα, to be good or bad, to do what is righteous or unrighteous; io (i) Athanasius, (j) Epiphanius, (k) Macarius, (l) St. Chrysostom, (m) Theodore, and (n) Cyril of Alexandria. That our happiness or punishment, εἰ τὰ ἔφθασα ὑμῖν ὄρεται, depends on our own choice; that it is in our own choice, η προσφυγή, ἂν εἰσί τῇ ἐκφεύσει, to be an holy seed, or the contrary, to fall into hell, or enjoy the kingdom; εἰ φυγή, εἰσί τῇ νόμῳ, εἰ νῦξ ὡς γίνεται, to be children of the night, or of the day; ἢ θανάτου τί τεξνή αἰετός, ἢ τῷ αἰῶναι διὰ κακίας, by virtue to be God's, or by wickedness the Devil's Children; io (o) Cyril of Jerusalem, (p) St. Basil, (q) Chrysostom, and Gregory Nyssen, L. 2. contra Eunom. p. 95. That Únusquisque ex lepido caufas et occasiones præstitit con-

(a) Institut. 1. 3. c. 27. Beza in Rom. 9.—(b) Ap. 2. p. 45.—
Sitori, that every one gives occasion to his Maker to render him a vessel of honor or dishonor: Et pro meritis suis unuisque a Deo vel honoris vas efficitur, vel contumeliam, God having given man power to make himself a vessel of election or of wrath; that we are vessels of wrath or of mercy, αὐτὸς ἡμῶν ὑμεῖς, from our own choice, every one, καθὼς θεός έξαντλότες οὐκέτας ἐργάς, καθήπερ καὶ οὐκέτας φιλάθλοις, preparing himself to be a vessel of wrath, οἴκον καὶ ἑαυτός, from his own wicked inclination; or be a vessel of divine love, δι' άλλας, by faith, διοικητικός έξαντλότας έκκλησίαν, because they have rendered themselves fit for mercy. So (r) Origen, Macarius, (s) Chrysostom, Oecumenius and Theophylact. And this faith Origen, is, justly sententia, et cum omni pietae concordans, ut ex precedentiibus causis unumquodque vas vel ad honorem vel ad contumeliam preparetur, a just sentence, and in all things agreeable to piety, that every one should from preceding causes be made a vessel of honor or dishonor, Peri Arch. L. 9. F. 141. And sure these things must be sufficient to convince us, that these fathers believed nothing of the doctrine of absolute election or reprobation; which will be further evident.

SECTION II.—adly. From the exposition they all, before St. Austin, give of the 8th and 9th Chapters to the Romans. Thus I have shewed that all the (t) Fathers interpret these words of the apostle, those that are called according to his purpose, whom he foreknew, of those whom he foreknew to have good purposes. Et quos praecedit ficti fore devotos, and whom he foreknew to be devoted to his service, them he predestinated. So Origen, and all the Ancient Fathers in their commentaries on this place; which exposition is as ancient as (u) Clemens of Alexandria, who faith expressly that εἰς προορισθέν αὐτοῖς ἐκκλησίας εκτίθεντο προ καταστάσει τις κόσμων ἐγκαταστάθηκες, he foreappointed them, knowing before the foundation of the world they would be righteous.

adly. Upon those words, Chap. ix. 13. Jacob have I loved, and Esau, have I hated; they defecant thus, I have hated Esau because he was evil, and loved Jacob because he was good. He made him, faith Origen, a vessel of mercy, pro puritate, & simplicitate animae for the purity and sincerity of his soul; but seeing this wanting in the soul of Esau, him ex eadem massa fecit vas contumeliam, out of the same mass he made a vessel of dishonor: for God, faith (v) Chrysostom, doth not wait as a man doth, to the end, to sube the will of good, or not; οὕτω καὶ πρὸς τοὺς οὓς τις μιχαλοί πιέζει, τίς δὲ μὴ τιμήτορος, but

(r) wes Arct. l. 3. c. 6.—(t) H. 15. p. 96. and in Rom. ix. v. 15, 23.—(u) Ch. 3. Arg. 5.—(v) 31ρ. 7. p. 765. B.—(w) Hom. 31 in Gen.
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sees before, who will be bad, and who will not; and seeing
things future as God, προσεφώνει καὶ τὰτα τὴν ἀρετὴν, καὶ καὶ τὴν γνώμην τὸν προφήτα; He foretold before his virtue, and the evil mind of the other. And St. Jeron on the same words in Malachi, faith, Dilectio et Odium Dei ex Præficientia nacituir futurorum, this love and hatred of God ariseth from his preience of things future. Hilarly the Deacon faith that, Sciendo quid unusquale illorum futurus esset, knowing what each of them would be, that he would be worthy who was the less, and the elder would be unworthy: Unum elegit Præficiens, et alterum spreuit, he chose one and rejected the other by his preference. He did this, faith Theodoret, foreseeing their purposes: ἣν ἄρα ἄλλοτε ἐν ἐκλογῇ ἄλλα τῇ ἐπιλογίᾳ τῶν ἀνθρώπων συμπερισσά, for God’s election is not unjust, but accords with the purpose of man; election, faith Photius being only τῶν διαφόρων, of things that differ or excel. And therefore to the question; why doth he, say, he chose one before the other, when they had done neither good or evil? He answers, that προγνωστικῶν τῶν μελλόντων πολλὰ διαφέρει, in the divine foreknowledge they differed very much.

3dly. On Ver. 15. I will have mercy on whom I will have mercy; they all truly note, that this was said of the Jews, after they had all committed the capital sin of idolatry in worshipping the golden calf, and that some of them were punished for it, and some not; this was done, faith Hilary, Quia Deus scit cujus debeat mifereri, because God knew who were fit objects of his mercy; because he knew, τινες ἤξιοι εὐπρεπεῖς καὶ ἤξιοι, who were worthy of preservation, and who were not. So Chrysostom and Oecumenius.

4thly. On Ver. 16. They descant thus, It is not of him that wills, or runs only, but of God that firsteth mercy, and crowns the work by his affiance; for otherwise, say they, it cannot be our duty, either to will or run. So Origen, Chrysostom, Oecumenius and Theophtyakt; see this, and their answer to the objection of St. Austin against this exposition, in the note upon that verse.

5thly. On these words, Ver. 18. He hath mercy on whom he will have mercy, and whom he will he hardeneth. Origen descants thus; that which he says is this, that we are good or evil depends upon our will; but what stripes the wicked man shall suffer, and what glory the good man is designed for, depends upon the will of God; τῶν ἄξιων ἔλεησαν ἔλεηε, He hath mercy on him who is fit for mercy, τῶν δὲ ἁπχθανον δικαιῶν ἄξιων παραπομονή, but he permits the disobedient to be hardened. Moreover they all note, that the apostle here speaks of Pharaoh, and that him God hardened, not by laying on him any necessity so to be, but only by his patience and lenity, in
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withdrawing his plagues which should have led him to repentance; as a kind master makes his servant worse by his lenity; and it is observed by Vossius, that before St. Austin wrote against Pelagius, he agreed with them in most of these expositions. Vide Histor. Pelag. l. 5. Theil. viii. p. 545.

6thly. On Ver. 81. Hath not the potter power over the clay, &c. Theophylact notes, that as it is not the mass itself that makes one vessel to honor, and another to dishonor, but the use of it, οὐχ οὕτως τὸς μὲν ποιεῖ κολάσας ἄκιν, τὸ δὲ σεμανοῦσι, οὐκ ἀπειλήσεις, so it is not the nature, but the choice of men that makes some worthy of punishment, and others of reward. God makes some vessels of honor, others of dishonor, faith Chrysostom, because he knows, τις μὲν ἄκιν, τις δὲ μὴ τοιῶτος, who is worthy, so to be, and who is not. He justly punisheth sinners, faith Theodoret, ὃς γνῷ τοῦτο τοιεῦ τολμάντας, as daring willingly to do thus; and his philanthropy confers mercy, receiving πρόφατον παρ' ἡμών, on occasion from us to do so.

Lastly, I have shewed, that in their notes on Ver. 22, 23; they say that man is made a vessel of wrath or mercy, from his own choice.

Section III.—6thly. (w) Vossius declares, that all the Fathers before St. Austin's time, think that God predestinat ed men to life from a preference that they would live piously, or would believe and persevere to the end; and this, from what hath been discoursed, appeareth to have been the doctrine of all the commentators upon the 8th and 9th chapters to the Romans, till St. Austin's time, and of the Greek commentators after his time; to whom you may add from him the testimony of (x) Ireneus, that some coming to the light, and others refusing so to do, Deus omnia praecipient utrique aptas preparavit habitations, God who foreseeth all things, prepared fit habitations for them both: Of (y) Chrysostom introducing Christ saying, inherit the kingdom prepared for you before you were born, εὐεξίωτα τοιότῳ ὑμῖν ὑποτρίμης, because I knew you would be such: Of (z) Hilary saying, many are called but few chosen, Quia in invitantis de judicii merito probatis electho eff, because among those that are called God of his just judgment chooseth those that are honest. Of (a) St. Ambrose, saying, God who is no respecter of persons, gives not to our petitions but our merits, according to that of the apostle, whom he foreknew, he also did predestinate, Non enim ante prædestinaret, quam præficeret, sed quorum merita præficerit eorum præmia prædestinavit, for God and
not predestinate first, and then foreknow, but predestinated them to rewards, whom he foreknew to be worthy of them: Of (b) St. Jeron, saying, Non gentes eliguntur, sed hominum voluntates men are not chosen for their nations, but for their wills, (c) he purposing to save by faith alone, quos praecivit credituros, those whom he foreknew would believe: And lastly of (d) Theophylact, saying, many are called, but few are saved, because few are ακτιοι τη εκλογας, worthy to be chosen by God; ει μεν θεω το καλειν, το δε εκλεκτος γινεται η μη οιλετερον εστι, for it is of God that we are called, but of ourselves that we are chosen, or not. And

Section IV.—Athly. Prosper confesses, that even they who condemned Pelagius, rejected St. Austin’s doctrine of an absolute decree of salvation, as a mere novelty; for, faith he, (e) many of the servants of Christ in the city of Marseilles, contrarium putant Patrum Opinionem, et Ecclesiastico Senfui quicquid de Vocacione Electorum secundum propositum disputati, judge that which you dispute of the calling of the elect according to purpose, to be contrary to the opinion of the Fathers, and the sense of the church. (f) They defend their obstinacy, faith he, Vetuilate, by antiquity; affirming that the things you gather from St. Paul’s Epistle to the Romans, u nullo unquam Ecclesiasticorum ita esse intellesta, were never so understood by any of the Ecclesiastical men. And he prays him to instruct him, how he may answer this objection. He adds, that, (g) Retraetatis priorum de hac Opinionibus, pene omnium par inventur et una Sententia, qua Propositum et Praedestinationem Dei secundum Praeicientiam receperunt, ut ob hoc Deus alios vasa honoris, alios contumelia fecerit, quia nem unius elucidulique praeviderit. Having revised the opinions of those that writ before of this matter, he found almost all of them to be of one and the same judgment; that the purpose and predestination of God was according to his predestination, and that he therefore made some vessels of honor, others of dishonor, because he foresaw the end of every one, and what would be their will and actions under the divine assistance. So truly did (h) Melanchthon say, Scriptores veteres omnes, praeter unum Augustinum, ponunt aliquam caufam Electionis in nobis esse, all the ancients, except St. Austin, asserted, that there was some cause of our election in ourselves.

(b) In Hedib.—(c) In Rom. viii. 28. — (d) In Mat.—(e) Ep. 48
Aug. p. 879.—(f) P. 881.—(g) P. 886.—(h) In Rom. ix.
DISCOURSE II.

CONCERNING THE EXTENT OF CHRIST'S REDEMPTION.

The State of the Question.

O State, this matter aright, I shall endeavor to shew,
1st. What limitations or restrictions of our Lord's general redemption I cannot admit of.
2dly. In what sense they who maintain that Doctrine do assert it. And
3dly. I reject that distillation as absurd, which faith Christ died sufficiently for all; but intentionally only for the elect: this being to delude men with vain words, and in effect to say he died no more for those who are not the elect, i.e. who will not actually be saved by him, than for the very Devils, seeing he died for them sufficiently; that is, his death, had it been designed for that end, would have been of sufficient virtue to procure the pardon of their sins. 4dly. It leaves all men, the elect only excepted, under an impossibility of pardon and salvation; that pardon and salvation being to be obtained only by them to whom the
benefit of Christ's death belongs. It therefore leaves all other men under an impossibility of believing, repenting and obeying the gospel; for these being the conditions of the new covenant, established in his blood, they who are in a capacity of performing these conditions, must be in a capacity of enjoying the benefits of that covenant, and so of having an interest in his death; and therefore they who can have no interest in his death, can be in no capacity of performing the conditions of that covenant. 3dly. It follows hence that it cannot be the duty of any, besides the elect, to believe in Christ, or in his blood shed for the remission of sins, or to bless God for sending his son into the world; for this no man can reasonably do, because Christ's blood was sufficient to procure his pardon, had it been intended for that end; but because it actually was designed for that end. Remove this supposition, and to say Christ's death was sufficient to procure their pardon and salvation, is only to say Christ could have procured their salvation if he would; but he would not, God was not willing that he should; and who can bless him upon that account? 4thly. Hence it is evident that all who are not elected cannot believe in a Saviour that died for them but only in one whose death would have been sufficient to procure their pardon, had it been intended for that end, as it was not; and what comfort can this administer to any?—Surely no more comfort than it would yield to a condemned malefactor to know his prince could have pardoned him, but he would not. Nor,

2dly. Can I approve of their doctrine who say, Christ died so far for all as to procure for them pardon and salvation if they will believe and repent; but that he died moreover to procure for the elect faith and repentance. For (1st) there is no ground at all in scripture for this distinction; for that faith often that Christ died for the world; for all; for every man; but never faith he died for one part of mankind more than for another. 2dly. They who make this distinction positively assert, that none can repent and believe for whom Christ died not to procure faith and repentance; seeing then the effect of our Lord's salutary passion is already past, and that he died not to procure for any, can never be obtained; if Christ died only to procure faith and repentance for the elect, the rest can never have them; and so this is as much as to say Christ never died for them at all. Wherefore to force these men to come over to us, or to lay aside these vain pretences, and mere disguises of their real sentiments, I demand 3dly. When they lay Christ died for all, so far as to procure pardon and salvation for them if they will believe and repent whether he died to procure pardon and salvation on a condi...
tian, which it was possible upon that assistance which he
would vouchsafe them to perform? or only upon a condition
which to them was impossible, for want of grace sufficient
for them to perform? If the latter only, it is certain that he
died not at all for them; for what is only done on an impos-
sible supposition, is not done at all. It being the same thing
not to die at all for their benefit, as to do it only on a condi-
tion they cannot possibly perform. If he died to procure
pardon and salvation for them on a condition, which by that
grace, which he was ready to vouchsafe to them, as well as
to the elect, they might be able to perform, he died intention-
ally, and on his part effectually, to procure pardon and sal-
vation to them as well as to the elect, and so all mankind
may be saved; and then Christ must have died for the salva-
tion of them all. These are the limitations and restrictions
of the extent of our Lord's death, which I reject. I add posi-
tively,

16. When I say Christ died for all, I mean that he died
equally for all. This will be evident if we consider, 16.
That he offered the same sacrifice; suffered one and the same
death; shed the same blood for all for whom he died. This
sacrifice must therefore be offered equally for all, if indeed it
were offered for all; because it is the same oblation, the same
body crucified, and the same blood shed for all; and hence
that scripture, which faith expressly, that Christ died for all,
affords not the least intimation that he suffered more, or shed
more of his blood for one than for another. Moreover, 16th.
It is certain that the sufferings of Christ and his blood shed,
cannot be distributed into parts, so that one should have one
share of it, another a second, and another a third; but whoso-
ever hath an interest in them, hath a title to the whole benefit
procured by them, and he who hath no title to the whole,
hath no share at all in the benefit of his sufferings. 16th.
His blood was shed to establish the new covenant; now that
is equally established to all who perform the conditions of it,
faith, repentance and sincere obedience, and belongs to none
who never do perform them.

It hath been represented as a great absurdity to think that
Christ died equally for Judas, and for Peter; but without
any show of reason that I can discern, for did not the soul of
Judas as much proceed from the father of spirits, as the soul
of Peter? Was it not equally made after God's image? Did it
come out of his hands more unworthy of mercy than the soul
of Peter? Were not both born in equal circumstances as to
God's favor, in equal need of a favor, and equally capable
of redemption? Why therefore, antecedently to any good or
Evil they had done, should this Savior die more, or rather for the one, than for the other?

3dly. When we say Christ died for all, we do not mean that he died for all, or any absolutely, or without any conditions to be performed on their part to interest them in the blessings of his passion; but only that he died for all conditionally, or so as that they should be made partakers of the blessings of his sacerdotal passion upon condition of their faith, repentance and sincere obedience to the laws of the new covenant; to all such he hath promised they shall never perish. These are the means he hath appointed to prevent their ruin, and render them partakers of that pardon and salvation which he hath purchased by his precious blood; and he that wills that they should use the means by him appointed for these ends, can never be unwilling they should obtain these ends. And as he died for all conditionally, so is it certain that he died for none otherwise, i.e. he died not with intention to confer the blessings of his sacerdotal passion on any but true believers, true penitents, and such as would obey the laws of his new covenant; it being impossible in the nature of the thing, that he should die to save the unbeliever, i.e. the person who will not own him as his Savior, or to reconcile God to the impenitent and the unbeliever, i.e. to them who still continue in their sins, and their rebellions against God; to deny this is to say, he died to confer the blessings of his sacerdotal passion on the unbeliever, the impenitent and disobedient, when of the first he faith, (a) he shall not see life, but is condemned already; of the impenitent, that (b) he shall surely perish; and (c) he will come in flaming fire, to take vengeance of all that obey not his gospel. And therefore,

3dly. When we say Christ died for all, we do not mean that he hath purchased actual pardon, or reconciliation, or life for all; this being in effect to say, that he procured an actual remission of sins to unbelievers, and actually reconciled God to the impenitent and disobedient; which is impossible. For what Christ hath actually purchased for all, all may justly claim, and God cannot equitably deny them; whereas he both can, and will deny pardon to the unbeliever, and never will, or can be reconciled to the impenitent and disobedient, whilst they continue so to be. He only by his death hath put all men in a capacity of being justified and pardoned, and so of being reconciled to, and having peace with God, upon their turning to God, and having faith in our Lord Jesus Christ; the death of Christ having rendered it consistent with the justice and the wisdom of God, with the honor of his

(a) John iii. 18, 36. (b) Luke xiii. 3, 5. (c) 2 Thess. i. 8.
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majesty, and with the ends of government, to pardon the per-
istent believer. Hence the apostles were sent both to Jew
and Gentile, (d) to preach repentance towards God, and faith
in our Lord Jesus Christ; (e) that they might receive remission
of sins, and an inheritance among those that are sanctified
through faith in him. To illustrate this by a plain simili-
tude: Suppose a Prince, whose subjects had rebellled against
him, and to lay under the sentence of condemnation, should
through the intercession of his beloved son, promise pardon
to as many of them as would profess a sorrow for their guilt,
ask pardon in his son's name, and promise to be obedient sub-
jects for the future: Would this procure an actual pardon to
any of them, till they had performed these conditions? Or
would it ever do it for them who wilfully refused, or even
neglected to perform them? So here Christ by his death, ob-
tained of his father a new covenant, in which he promises to
pardon and be reconciled to all upon their faith and repent-
ance, and salvation upon their perseverance in well doing:

But he hath not, by his death, procured an actual pardon,
reconciliation, or salvation to any who have not performed
these conditions; nor can they lay any just claim unto them
by virtue of Christ's dying for them. And yet upon this
gross mistake are founded many of the arguments produced
by the Synod of Dort, against this general extent of our Lord's
death, and so they need no farther answer.

And lastly, They who say that Christ, by offering up him-
sel to the death; procured to the elect at least not only re-
mission, but also faith and repentance, seem to me to talk as
men ignorant of the nature of Christ, of the nature of a cove-
nant, of the proper effect of sacrifices, and also of the nature
of faith and repentance.

1st. As men ignorant of the nature of Christ; for what
need had Christ to purchase the faith and repentance of his e-
lect of his faith, seeing he could not want power sufficient
over the hearts of men to work such and repentance in them;
nor could he, who had the greatest love to them, want will
to do it.

2dly. Of the nature of a covenant, which is a mutual flip-
ulation, requiring something to be done by one party, that he
may receive something from another; and therefore to make
Christ procure both the promise and the condition, by the
same act and passion, is to turn the conditional covenant into
one that is absolute: For what is procured already for me,
God is in equity bound to give me without my doing any
thing to procure it; since otherwise it can be only procured

upon condition that I do something to obtain it, and so is not procured for me, if I neglect to perform that condition.

3dly. As persons ignorant of the nature of a particular victim, or a sacrifice of expiation and atonement, whose proper effect is to make atonement for, and so remove the punishment, by procuring forgiveness of the sin committed; it being not intended to procure any other benefit otherwise than by removal of that guilt which might obstruct the collation of it. It therefore ought to be observed, that no sacrifice for sin, as such, no not that of our Lord Jesus Christ can sanctify a soul, or endow it with that divine nature, that inward purity and likeness to God, and all those other Christian virtues which alone make us capable of the enjoyment of an holy God, and meet to be partakers of the inheritance of the Saints in light. A pardon will make a man reduc in curia, free from the condemnation of the law, but it cannot make him of a clean heart, or renew in him a right spirit; it will justify him from past sins, but cannot sanctify him, or make him holy, and therefore cannot make him happy; that cleanliness only from the guilt, not from the habits and dominion of sin:

And hence sanctification is only to be ascribed to the blood of Christ by way of native and engagement, but to the spirit of Christ as the efficient cause; and all those Christian virtues which are comprised in it are styled, the fruits of the good spirit. Christ therefore, by his death alone, cannot be said to have procured that faith which purifies the heart, or that repentance which renews the mind, the will, and the affections, but only to remove that guilt which doth obstruct God's favor to us in giving of his holy spirit to sanctify us, and give us strong encouragements and powerful motives to faith and repentance. What Christ doth further for us is not performed purely by his death for us on the cross, but by his intercession in the heavens for true penitent believers.

Lastly, This notion seems repugnant to the nature of faith, which is an attestation of a divine testimony; and of repentance, which is a conversion of the will from sin to God. Now if Christ hath absolutely procured this faith and repentance for the elect, they cannot be conditions to be performed on their part, but to be given on God's part; for what Christ hath absolutely purchased for them by his death, God is obliged in equity to confer on them absolutely: And so again, the new covenant, in respect of them, is not conditional but absolute. Moreover, either God gives this supposed purchase of faith and repentance to the elect by a peculiar, divine, and irresistible assistance, or only by such aid and grace as is common to them with others who are not elected; it by the latter only, then is there nothing purchased more for them than for others
with them, because nothing more is given to them than what is common to them with others; if by an assistance, which is peculiar to them, and cannot be refld by them, then are not any others to be charged with guilt for not repenting and believing, because it is impossible that they should do so without that special and irresistible assistance which God will not vouchsafe unto them; and so they do not believe and repent, not because they will not do what they could do, but because they cannot do it were they never so willing. Since then, upon this supposition it is impossible they should believe and repent, for whom Christ never purchased faith and repentance, it cannot be their crime that they did not what it was impossible for them to do. In a word, faith being an assent to a divine testimony upon sufficient evidence, without which evidence we cannot assent to it; and when we have it, we cannot but assent; to say this faith requires on God's part a special, divine, and irresistible assistance proper to the elect, is to excuse all others from believing, as having no sufficient evidence to do so, although the gospel is as well revealed to them as it is to the elect.

CHAPTER I.

SECTION I.—Now this assertion, thus explained, hath this great advantage above the contrary doctrine, which restrains the intended benefit of our Savior's passion to the elect; that whereas there is not one word in the Scripture declaring that our Lord died only for a few, or intimating that he died for the elect only, the Scriptures are very many, clear and express, which teach, that (f) God would have all men to be saved; and that he is (g) the Savior of all men; being (h) long suffering towards them; because he is not willing any should perish, but that all should come unto repentance, and by repentance to salvation; that (i) the saving grace of God hath appeared to all men; teaching them, denying all un-

(f) 1 Tim. ii. 4. — (g) 1 Tim. iv. 10. — (b) 2 Petr. iii. 12. — (i) Tit. ii. 12, 14.
godliness and worldly lusts, to live righteously, soberly, and godly in this present world; expecting the blessed hope and glorious appearing of the great God, and our Savior Jesus Christ, who gave himself for us: that (k) as by the office of one, judgment came upon all men to condemnation, so by the righteousness of one, the free gift came upon all men to justification of life: that (l) if he died for all, then were all dead: and that he died for all, that they who lived might not live to themselves, but to him that died for them; that (m) he gave himself a ransom for all, and (n) by the grace of God tasted death for every man; in all which words this doctrine is contained in express terms. Now it is the doctrine of all Protestants, that the scriptures are clear and easy to be understood in all things necessary to be believed; and yet if all these places do not confirm this doctrine, there is no reason so to lay, or think; there being not many articles of Christian faith that are more clearly or expressly taught in scripture.

Moreover, according to that limitation which some men put upon these words, viz. God will have all men to be saved; Christ died for all, because Christ died for some of all ranks and nations; and God is willing some of all kindness and people should be saved; it may more truly and properly be said, if. That God would have all men to be damned; because, according to their doctrine, he hath already passed an act of prerogation, on the greatest part of men, which rendereth their damnation unavoidable. And so. That Christ died for none, since they for whom he died, according to their doctrine, are none, comparatively to that greater number for whom they say he died not. At least it might be reasonably expected that it should have been somewhere said by way of caution, or once affirmed to prevent mistakes in matters of this moment, that Christ died not for all; whereas the Holy Ghost neither in terms nor substance hath ever used any expression of this import in the holy scripture; and therefore, we may rationally presume, that he approved not the doctrine which makes them proper and almost necessary to be used.

Obj. It is said indeed that Christ (o) gave his life a ransom for many; that he shed his blood for many, for the remission of sins. (p) That as by the disobedience of one man many were made sinners; so by the obedience of one shall many be made righteous. (q) And that Christ was once offered to take away the sins of many.

Answ. But that there is no inconsistence betwixt dying for many and for all, is evident from this consideration, that even

Extent of Christ's Redemption.

in the same chapter, the apostle faith, That by one sin of Adam many died, Rom. v. 15. And all died, Ver. 12. Many were made sinners, Ver. 19. And all sinned, Ver. 12. And that by the obedience of one shall many be made righteous, shall be justified, Ver. 19. And that by the righteousness of one, the free gift came upon all men to justification of life, Ver. 18. That in the same epistle, in which it is said, (r) Christ bore the sins of many; it is expressly said, he tasted death for every man. That the same scripture which faith, (s) faith, gives his life a ransom for many; says also, that (t) he gave himself a ransom for all. And lastly, that he who said, (u) this is my blood shed for many, for the remission of sins; said also, for that very reason, (v) drink ye all of it; for it was shed (w) for you for the remission of sins. Since therefore all men certainly are many, though many are not necessarily all, since what is in some few places said of many, is not only in more, but in the same places said of all; it is certain that Christ cannot be said to 'die for many exclusively of all, which only is to say he died for many in opposition to our assertion, but only that he died for many in a sense consistent with his dying for all men, and therefore in a sense agreeable to our assertion. And as the words of the Prophet Daniel, that many shall arise from the dead, do not contradict the truth of those manifold assertions of the New Testament, that all men shall arise; and the words of the apostle, that in Adam many died, and many were made sinners; do not thwart the words of the same apostle, laying in the same place, that in Adam all men died and were made sinners; so neither can those words, Christ died for many, contradict the truth of those more numerous expressions, that he died for all.

adly. I answer that, as when the kindness designed by Christ's death to all upon the conditions of the gospel is expressed, it is said, Christ died for all; so when the effect and benefit of it is expressed, the word many is most proper; for his blood shed procures remission of sins only to penitent believers, and in this sense Christ gave his life a ransom only for many, even for as many as would believe and obey his gospel.

Objection 2. Christ is said to lay down his life for his sheep, John x. 15. for his friends, who do his commandments, John xv. 13, 14. for his church, Eph. ii. 26. But all are not his sheep, all are not of his church, all do not shew themselves his friends by their obedience; therefore he died not for all.
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Answer 1. In none of these places is it said that Christ died only for his sheep, for his friends, or for his church; and therefore none of them say any thing in contradiction to our assertion. I therefore thus retort the argument, he that died for his friends and for his enemies died for all; but Christ died for his friends, and for his enemies, (w) for when we were enemies Christ died for us; ergo, he died for all. Again, he that died for the church of God, and for the unrighteous that he might bring them to the church of God, he died for all; but Christ died for the church of God, and for the unrighteous that he might bring them to the church; (x) for the just died for the unjust that he might bring us to God; ergo, he died for all. Lastly, He that died for his sheep that heard his voice, and for the lost sheep that did not hearken to his voice, died for all; but Christ died for his sheep that heard his voice, and for his lost sheep; for he came (y) to seek and to save that which was lost, even the sheep straying from him; ergo, he died for all.

Answer 2. Though it be certain that Christ died intentionally for all, i.e. designing the benefits of his patrimonial passion for them, upon their performance of the conditions of the new covenant, established in his blood; yet is it also true that he eventually is the Savior of his body, and died only for his sheep and friends, because they only do perform the conditions of the new covenant; and therefore to them only can this righteous judge at last assign the blessings promised in that covenant.

Section II.—But to omit the farther prosecution of this general consideration, by viewing all these texts apart, it will be easy to discern in them many circumstances which plainly shew that they cannot truly be interpreted in that restrained sense which others put upon them. For,

When St. Paul declares, (z) that as by the offense of one, judgment came upon all men to condemnation, so by the righteousness of one, the free gift came upon all men to justification, it seems apparent that the apostle is comparing the condemnation which was procured by the sin of Adam, with the free gift of justification procured by the second Adam, as to the extent of persons concerned in both; for as by the one, faith he, many died, and many were made sinners; so by the other, many were made righteous, and grace abounded unto many; As by the one condemnation came upon all; so by the other justification of life was procured for, and offered to all men, and to God was in and through

(w) Rom. v. 8. (x) 1 Pet. iii. 18. (y) Mat. xviii. 11, 12. (z) Rom. v. 15.
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Christ reconciling the whole world to himself. Now to assert that many in the first clause, respecting the fruit of Adam's sin, signifies truly many; and in the second, respecting the fruit of Christ's righteousness, but a few; that all men in the first clause is to be taken in the utmost latitude, as of necessity it must be, all men whatsoever lying under condemnation by reason of the sin of Adam; but the same word in the latter clause of the same verse, doth only signify all the elect, which are comparatively but a few, seems neither agreeable to reason, nor to the scope of the apostle; who before had said, (a) that all men had sinned, and fallen short of the glory of God, being justified freely by his grace, through the redemption that is in Jesus Christ. And hence ariseth a second observation for confirmation of the sense we plead for, viz. that the apostle is here comparing the effects of sin as to condemnation, with the effect of the grace of God as to our justification, or to our freedom from condemnation, saying (b) that as by sin the judgment came upon all men to condemnation; so by the righteousness of one, the grace of God came upon all men to justification of life; that as sin reigned unto death, so grace might reign by justification to eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord. Now the grace of this comparison is wholly lost, if sin reigned over all men without exception to death and condemnation, but grace reigned only over a few to procure for them the means of justification to life through Jesus Christ; but if the comparison be of things equal as to extent, Christ must have died for the justification of all men.

Section III.—edly. When the apostle farther adds (c) the love of Christ constrains us (thus to persuade men to believe in Christ, and live to him) because we thus judge, that if (d) since one died for all, then were all dead; the words all were dead, must certainly be taken in their greatest latitude; wherefore the words preceding, if or since Christ died for all, from which they are an inference, ought also to be taken in the same extent. When he goes on to say, he died for all, that they who live might not henceforth live to themselves, but to him that died for them; this must be the duty of all Christians in particular (unless there be any Christians not obliged to live to Christ, but rather at liberty to live to themselves) and so that death which is the motive to it, must be intended for them all. To say here that Christ died for some only of all nations, Jews and Gentiles, is to exempt all others

(a) Rom. iii. 23, 24. — (b) Rom. v. 18, 21. — (c) 2 Cor. v. 15. — (d) This Hebrew ש in is translated since, Jer. xxiii. 38. Ezek. xxxv. 6, and the Greek κυρίον is probably so signifies Acts iv. 9. Rom. viii. 31. and here; for from a supposition of this which is not; no such inference can be made.
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of those nations from living to Christ upon this account; and
to say he died for all the elect, that they of them who live
might not live to themselves, is to suppose that some of the
elect might live not to Christ but to themselves; which can-
not truly be imagined of the elect of God. Moreover he de-
clareth that the sense of this love of Christ prevailed upon them
to persuade men to believe in him; now this persuasion they
used to every man to whom they preached, and therefore they
persuaded all men to believe that Christ died for them; so
(e) we, faith he, preach this Christ who is to you, Gentiles
the hope of glory; admonishing πάντα ἀνθρώπον, every man, an
teaching every man in all wisdom, that we may present ever-
man perfect in Christ Jesus. See another false interpreta-
of these words confused, note on 2 Cor. v. 15.

SECTION IV.—34ly. When the apostle faith, (f) I exhort
therefore (in pursuance of the design of Christ's coming to
save sinners, Chap. i. 15.) first, that supplications and pray-
ers and intercessions, and giving of thanks be made for all men
(particularly) for kings and all that are in authority, &c. be
must exhort them not only to pray for some men, some kings,
and some in authority in all nations; for then we could not
know how to observe this precept, because we could not in-
know what men or rulers we were to pray for, and what not.
Then he adds by way of reason, for this is good and acceptable
in the sight of God, who will have all men to be saved; this
reason why we are to pray for all men in general, and for all
rulers in particular, must either be a false and unconfirming
reason, or must import thus much: He would have all men,
and all rulers to be saved, whom he would have us pray for.
Now the doctrine and practice of the whole Christian world
attests that they were all men, and rulers in particular, as
we learn from the express testimonies of (g) Prosper, and of
the author (h) Vocatione Gentium. Moreover that God would
have all men to be saved the apostle proves;

(c) Col. i. 27, 28.—(f) 1 Tim. ii. 1.

(g) Sincernisse credendum atque proficendum est, Deum velle, ill
omnes homines faveant, liquider Apostolus, cujus ista sententia est
solicitissime praebuit, quod in omnibus Ecclesiis piissime custodiatur, ut
Deo pro omnibus hominibus supplicetur. 
Vincens. Praecepit Apostolus, immo per Apostolum.

(h) Dominus vult fieri obsecrationes, et postulationes, et gratiarum
actiones pro omnibus hominibus, pro Regibus, et pro his qui in subli-
mitate sunt: Quam legem supplicationis, ita omnium facerdotum, et
omnium fideliui devotior concorditer tenet ut nulla pars mundi fit in
qua hujusmodi orationes non celebrentur a populis Christianis. 
Suppli-
ciat ergo ubique Ecclesia Deo non solum pro faneis, et Christo jam re-
genesis, fed etiam pro omnibus infidelibus, et inimicis crucis Christi.
Ec. de Vocat. Gens. l. 1, c. 4.
1st. Because he is the God of all, the common Father and Creator of all men, Ver. Eph. iv. 6. Now thus he is the God of all men in particular, and so this argument must shew he would have all men in particular to be saved. And as the apostle argues for God's readines to justify the Gentiles by faith, as freely as the Jews by asking (i) Is he the God of the Jews only? Is he not also of the Gentiles? And by answering that there is, as to this no difference betwixt them; the same God being rich unto all that call upon him; for whosoever shall call upon the name of the Lord shall be saved; so may we argue in this case by a like inquiry, Is he the God of a small remnant of the Jews and Gentiles only? Is he not the Savior of them all? Chap. iv. 10.

2dly. He would have all men to be saved, faith the apostle; for there is one mediator betwixt God and man, the man Christ Jesus, who gave himself a ransom for all. Now if the argument from one God was designed to prove he was the God of all men in particular, as hath been shewed already, the argument from one mediator must also prove Christ the mediator of all men in particular, and consequently that he gave himself a ransom for all men in particular. Hence is he so emphatically styled, the man Christ Jesus, to intimate to us, that having taken upon himself the nature common to us all to fit him for this office, he must design it for the good of all who were partakers of that nature; for as he was a man, he surely was endued with the best of human affections, universal charity, which would excite him to promote the welfare of all; as he was a man, he was subject to the common law of humanity; which obliges us to endeavor the common benefit of men; and that good will which he requires us to bear to all men indifferently good and bad, friends and enemies, he questionless did bear himself in the highest degree, and to the utmost extent, and therefore doubtless in his sufferings for men, which are acknowledged to be sufficient for all, he had regard to the good of all.

Section V.—4thly. When the same apostle faith, (j) the saving grace of God hath appeared to all men, teaching them, denying ungodliness and worldly lusts, to live righteously, and soberly, and godly in this present world, &c. he plainly seemeth to strengthen this assertion; for here it is observably, 1st. That the grace here mentioned, is the grace of God, even of that God who (k) spared not his Son, but freely gave him up for us. 2dly. That it is styled, ἁμαρτία ἔσώρυχας, saving grace; as being apt in its nature, and by the God of all grace designed for the salvation of them for whom it was

(i) Rom. iii. 30.—(j) Tit. ii. 11, 12.—(k) Rom. viii. 32.
their adversary the devil goes about seeking whom he may devour; and to (r) beware lest being led away by the error of the wicked they should fall from their own steadfastness; whereas it cannot be supposed of persons thus absolutely elected to salvation, that they should be devoured by Satan, or fall from their steadfastness. Yea, 5dly. He not only speaks of some of them who had (f) forsaken the right way, and turned with the dog to his vomit; but also prophesies, that those false teachers who brought in damnable doctrines, even denying the Lord that bought them, should make merchandize of some of them, which they could never do of persons absolutely elected to salvation. 4thly. The apostle affirmeth the same thing of the whole church of Babylon, saying, (t) the church which is at Babylon συνεκλεκτή, elected together with you, saluteth you. Now that all the members, either of the eastern or the western Babylon, were chosen out of the world to the profession of christianity, he who was with them could not be ignorant; but that they all were absolutely elected to salvation, was more than he could know, and therefore more than he would.

Reply 2.—2dly. Though both this and the former epistle were written to them who were elected through sanctification to obedience, and to them who had obtained precious faith, and so to them who were already come unto repentance; these words cannot respect the same persons, because they speak of persons to whom God exercised longsuffering, that they might come to repentance, and might not perish under their present want of it; and so they are directed to the unconverted Jews, to whom St. Paul speaks thus, (u) despisest thou the riches of the goodness, patience and longsuffering of God, not knowing that the goodness of God leadeth thee to repentance? And to whom this apostle speaketh, Ver. 15, saying, count the longsuffering of our Lord salvation. Now sure, it is not reasonable to conceive those Jews, who lay then under a spirit of flattery, were absolutely chosen to salvation.

CHAPTER II.

SECTION I.—A SECOND general argument for this extent of our Lord’s salutary passion, arises from those scriptures which represent him as the Savior of the whole world; as when the Baptist styles him, (a) the Lamb of God that taketh away the sins of the world; when the Samaritans say, (b) we have heard, and know this is the Christ, the Savior of the world; when he himself, faith, (c) he is the bread of God which came down from heaven, and giveth life to the world; and that this bread is his flesh which he will give for the life of the world; when St. John faith, (d) we have seen and do testify, that God hath sent his Son to be the Savior of the world. If all these general expressions seem not so fully to confirm this truth, it is done beyond exception by those texts which say, (e) for God loved the world, that he sent his only begotten Son into the world, that whosoever believeth in him might not perish, but have everlasting life; for God sent not his Son into the world to condemn the world, but that the world through him might be saved; and introduce Christ making this declaration, (f) I came not to condemn the world, but that I might save the world; and by the apostle Paul, saying, (g) God was in Christ reconciling the world unto himself, not imputing to them their sins: And lastly, by St. John, saying to believers, of this advocate with the father Jesus Christ the righteous, that (h) he is the propitiation not only for our sins, but for the sins of the whole world. Now in the general observe,

That all these places, have one, are cited from the writings of St. John, and so the sense which the world heareth in St. John’s gospel and epistles, must be esteemed in reason the proper import of the word. Now this term occurs almost a hundred times in St. John’s writings, twice signifying the multitude, and frequently the habitable world, in which last sense it is certain that Christ died not for it; but more the men of the world, and then it signifies,

1. That world which knew not Christ, John i. 10 and would not know his servants. 1 John iii. 2.

(a) John i. 29. (b) John iv. 42. (c) Chap. vi. 33, 51. (d) 1 John iv. 14. (e) John iii. 16, 17. (f) Chap. xii. 47. (g) 2 Cor. v. 19. (h) 1 John ii. 2.
2. That world which hated the apostles, John xv. 18, 19. and would afflicth them, John xvi. 33, xvii. 14. and good men. 1 John iii. 19.

3. That world of which the wicked Jews were a part, Ch. viii. 29. 1 John iv. 5. of which Satan was the Prince, Ch. xii. 31. xiv. 30. xvi. 11. which was to be judged and convinced by the Holy Ghost, John xii. 31. xvi. 8. and of which Christ and his apostles were no part. Ch. viii. 23. xv. 19. xvii. 16. that world which lies in wickedness, 1 John v. 19. and which cannot receive the spirit, Ch. xiv. 17. And yet,

4. That world of which Christ was the light, Ch. iii. 19. ix. 5. xlii. 46. and which he prayed might believe those apostles he was sending to them, and might know him to be the Prophet and Messiah sent by God, Ch. xvii. 21, 23. Now when the world is so constantly used in the ill sense, in all those other places where it signifies the men of the world, can it be reasonably thought, that in all these places it should signify the elect, that is, men that are not of the world, but called out of it; that when elsewhere it signifies so oft the servants of Satan, the enemies of Christ and christians, the wicked of the world, and men uncapable of receiving the spirit, it should in all the places mentioned in this argument signify the servants of Christ, the true lovers of Christ and christians, and those in whom the spirit dwells? Seeing then the whole world is divided into good and bad men, and it is on all hands granted, that Christ died for good men, and here so often said that he died also for that world which stands in opposition to them; is it not reasonable to conclude hence, that he died both for the evil and the good?

Obj. But doth not Christ say, (i) I pray not for the world, but for them that thou hast given me out of the world; and would he die for them, for whom he would not pray?

Answ. This objection is contrary both to reason and the holy scripture: To reason, for can it rationally be imagined, that he who was perfect in charity, should be wanting in this highest act of charity? That the beloved son of God should charge this on us as our duty to pray for our enemies, that we may be the sons of our heavenly father, and he himself neglect to do it? Moreover, how often doth he say of the Jews, ye are of the world, and of his apostles chosen out of them, that they were chosen out of the world? And yet he faith to them, (j) & (k) how do I wish that thou hadst known in this thy day, the things which do belong to thy peace! And hanging on the cross he said (l) Father forgive them, they know not what they do. Thus did he make intercession
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for the transgressors, Isa. liii. 12. Now this prayer and intercession for them implies the possibility of their receiving forgivenes, and such a possibility doth presuppose in God a disposition to grant it, and consequently a satisfaction provided; such as God will accept, and such as will avail to their benefit, provided they do their parts towards the attainment of it.

2dly. Our Lord says not this absolutely, but only in respect to that very prayer he was then offering up for his apostles, Ver. 12. in which he was asking those things which could agree to them alone, or to those who were given him out of the world, viz. that they might be consecrated to their apostolical function by that spiritually which the world could not receive, that their joy from his presence with them might be full; nor is there any thing in this whole prayer which is not proper to believers only, i.e. to persons called out of the world. And,

3dly. This very prayer for them, and other apostolical preachers of the word, was made for the sake of the world, and with respect to their having faith, i.e. that the world might believe and know that the Father had sent him, Ver. 29. so that Christ prayed for his apostles for this very end, that the world by their means might believe, and believing might have life through his name: It is therefore plain he made this very prayer, in which he faith, I pray not for the world, out of that affection to the world, and with design that the preaching of the apostles to them might be more effectual for their conversion and salvation.

Section II.–A second general consideration, shewing the absurdity of restraining this word to the elect, is this; that if the world in all these places, were to be restrained to some few people, some little remnant of the world alone, it might with greater truth and reason have been said, that God is hated, or cast off the world, that he sent not his Son to save the world, but to condemn it; for if those he intended to save by sending his Son, be but a little remnant in comparison of those to whom he intended no such benefit by his Son's advent, the reason for afflicting that he was sent into the world to save it, is very little, when compared to the reason to affright he was not sent into the world for such an end. If his coming into the world with light, and with a tender of salvation to them, is the condemnation of the unbelieving and the wicked world, and God intended absolutely to withhold that grace which could alone enable the greatest part of the world to embrace that tender, or walk according to that light, it seems more reasonable to say, God sent not his Son into the world to save it, but that the world through him
might be condemned. To proceed to the particular consideration of the places cited:

Section III.—1st. When our Savior faith, that (m) as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, so must the son of man be lifted up, (upon the cross) that whosoever believeth in him should not perish but have everlasting life; doth not the similitude seem plainly to require, that as the brazen serpent in the wilderness was lifted up to preserve all the Jews stung with serpents from perishing, so was Christ lifted up to preserve all men by looking up to him, lifted up upon the cross, from perishing by the sting of the Old Serpent? Especially when he goes on to say, by way of reason, (n) for God so loved the world that he gave (up) his only begotten Son (to the death) that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life: For God sent not his Son into the world to condemn the world, but that the world through him might be saved. For let it be observed,

1. That of this world so beloved of God, some would not believe, and therefore would perish; is here supposed in our Savior’s words, which yet cannot be supposed of the world of the elect; he therefore could not mean, that he was sent into the world that whosoever of his elect would believe in him should not perish. Moreover, the world which Christ was sent to save is, in the following words, divided into believers and unbelievers; for thus they run, he (of this world) who believeth in him is not condemned, but he that believeth not is condemned already; which must be false if you restrain this world to such elect as cannot perish, but will assuredly believe to life eternal:

2dly. That the condemnation which will be pronounced upon the unbeliever will be on this account, because he believed not in the name of the Son of God; i.e. did not embrace him as a Savior who died for him; whereas if God the Father sent not his Son to die for him, if Christ was not designed as a Savior to any that eventually believe not, they cannot be obliged to believe he was their Savior, or died for them, unless they be obliged to believe a lie; nor can they be condemned, for not applying that death to them which never was intended for them.

3dly. These words beginning thus, God so loved the world, &c. were certainly intended as a declaration of God’s great love to the world. Now this interpretation of the word, which we here plead for, magnifies the love of God incomparably more than that which doth restrain its meaning only

(m) John iii. 14, 15. — (n) Ver. 16, 17.
to the elect of God; for they allow only a very narrow sphere for this noble, active and diffusive principle of the love of God, in comparison of them who do extend his kind intentions to all to whom the gospel is vouchsafed.

2. This also clearly follows from these words of Christ.

(o) If any man hear my words, and believe not, I judge, (i.e. condemn) him not; for I came not to condemn the world, but to save the world. Whence I argue thus, the world which Christ came to save, he came not to condemn, as the antithesis plainly shews: But the world, which he came not to condemn, is the unbelieving world; ergo, the world he came to save, is the same world.

Section IV.—3dly. When the beloved disciple faith, for the comfort of new converts, and therefore weak in grace. (p) If we sin we have an advocate with the Father, Jesus Christ the righteous, and he is the propitiation for our sins, and not for ours only, but for the sins of the whole world; these words will not admit of the restrained senses which others put upon them; for when he faith, he is the propitiation for our sins, sure he intends this comfort to them all to whom he speaks, and not only that Christ was the advocate, and the propitiation for the sins of some of them only; for this would have left them all under perplexities and doubts whether this advocate and this propitiation did belong to them, but would have comforted none of them: When therefore he adds, he is the propitiation not for the sins of all us only, but for the sins of the whole world; he, in like manner, must extend the phrase to all men in the world. Again, when he faith, he is the propitiation for our sins, he doth not mean that he is so sufficiently only but not intentionally; for what comfort would it afford them, that Christ's death was sufficient to procure the pardon of their sins, had God designed it for that end, unless they also knew that he intended it for the procuring their propitiation?—When therefore he proceeds to say, and not for ours only, but for the sins of the whole world, he must mean also that he was the propitiation for the sins of the whole world, not only sufficiently, but in his gracious intention also. Moreover, were this all that was intended by this phrase, it is as true, and might as properly have been said of fallen angels, and the whole host of devils, that Christ is the propitiation for their sins, as for the sins of those who are not elected, seeing had God designed it for that end, Christ's death, by reason of its intrinsic value, might have been sufficient to procure atonement for the sins of fallen angels.

(o) John xii. 47. — (p) 1 John ii. 2.
Nor is it less absurd to say the import of these words is this, he died for, or he is the propitiation for the sins of the elect of the whole world. For,

1st. I have already shewed that in the scripture, and more especially in the writings of St. John, the world, or the whole world, doth never signify the elect only in opposition to the wicked of the world, but still the wicked of the world, in opposition to the faithful christian.

2dly. Would it not be strange, and alien from the mind of scripture, to say the whole world is elected to salvation? The whole world shall be justified by faith in Christ, and truly sanctified by his spirit; the spirit of God dwells in the whole world, and the whole world shall be raised by Christ to eternal happiness? And yet all this is certainly true of the whole world of the elect: Why therefore is it not equally affirmed of the whole world, if they be words of equal latitude and truth? Does not christianity bear to universality?

Section V.—And having so fully evidenced that the world in these three places signifies not only the elect, but the whole world, we are sufficiently assured that the same word in the same Evangelist bears the same sense in all the other places mentioned, e. g.

When the Baptist saith (q) behold the lamb of God who taketh away the sins of the world; doth he not speak this in allusion to the lambs daily offered up for the sins of the whole Jewish nation, and therefore intimate that as they were offered up to expiate the sins of the whole nation, so was this lamb of God offered to expiate the sins of the whole world in general?

When Christ saith, (r) he is that bread which came down from heaven to give life unto the world, and then tells the unbelieving Jews, who were of the world, and so continued, my father giveth you this bread from heaven; and that this was the work God required of them to believe in him; is it not evident that these unbelievers, these men of the world, in opposition to the elect out of the world, must also be the men whom he came down from heaven to give life unto? Or could he reasonably say to them for whose salvation he was never sent, (s) these things I say unto you that ye might be saved; or complain thus of them to whom he never was designed to give life, ye will not come unto me that ye might have life?—When he adds, Ver. 53: This bread is my flesh which I will give for the life of the world; and then faith to the same Jews, if ye eat not my flesh, i. e. believe not in me crucified, you have no life in you; must it not be their duty to believe

(q) John i. 29. — (r) John vi. 33. — (s) John v. 34, 40.
in him that ye might live? And could they do this if he died not for them?

In a word; to be the Christ, and to be the Savior of the world, are in two of these places set by way of apposition to signify they are words of the same latitude; as when the Samartians say we know this is truly, δωτήρ ὁ κόσμῳ δος Χριστὸς, that Christ who is the Savior of the world; and the apostle, (τ) we have seen and do testify that God hath sent τὸν υἱὸν σωτηρα τὸ κόσμου, his Son, i.e. the Savior of the world. Now would the apostle have given this description of the Christ, the Son of God, had he believed that he was not designed to be the Savior of the world, but only of those elect whom, faith he, (u) the world hateth; because they are not of the world? Did he not come to seek and save that which was lost, as all men were? And to save sinners, which is the condition of all men? These places therefore, though they be indefinite in words, are yet equivalent to universalis; for wherefore came he to save that which was lost, but because it was lost? or to save sinners, but because they were sinners? And if that be the reason, he must come to save all sinners, all that were lost; the reason being equal as to all that are fo.

Section VI.—Moreover, when the apostle faith, (v) God was in Christ reconciling the world unto himself, not imputing to them their sins; the import of these words is plainly this, he was offering through Christ a reconciliation to the world, and promising to them who would believe in him an absolution from their past offences. This is evident, if. Because he was doing this not by himself immediately, but only by the ministry of his apostles; for to the words run, He hath given us the ministry of reconciliation, viz. that God was in Christ reconciling the world to himself, not imputing to them their trespasses, καὶ δείμων εἰς ἡμῖν, and placing in (or committing to) us the word of reconciliation. Now did they make a declaration to the impenitent, unbelieving world, that God was actually reconciled to them, and had forgiven their iniquities: No, they exhorted them (w) to repent and be baptized, in the name of the Lord Jesus, for the remission of sins; (x) to repent and be converted that their sins might be blotted out; (y) to believe in Christ that they might be justified, i.e. absolved from the guilt of sin; (z) to repent and turn to the Lord that they might receive remission of sins. ady, Because they in pursuance of this commission entreated all to whom they preached to be reconciled to God; which being only to be done through faith in Christ, they must entreat them to be-

Extent of Christ's Redemption:

I believe in him that they might be justified, i.e. obtain reconciliation through his blood shed for the remission of sins; and this the apostle's reason shews, viz. (a) we pray you be you reconciled to God, ὅπερ χρήστη, by Christ; for he hath made him (a sacrifice for) sin for us that we might be made the righteousness of God (i.e. might by God be accounted righteous through faith) in him. This being so, they who were sent to (b) preach the gospel to every human creature, and in pursuance of this commission (c) warned every man, and taught every man, in all wisdom, that they might present every man perfect in Christ Jesus, praying all men to be reconciled to God through Christ, because he had made Christ a sacrifice for their sins, &c. they, I say, who preached thus, and yet did not exceed their commission, must believe that God was ready to be reconciled to every man through Christ; and therefore that his sacrifice was offered to procure reconciliation for all mankind. As therefore Christ is styled the lamb of God that taketh away the sins of the world, and said to be the propitiation for the sins of the whole world, not by actually removing the guilt of all men, or rendering God actually reconciled to them, but by dying to procure these blessings for all that would believe in him; so God is said to be in Christ reconciling the world to himself, &c. not because he actually did so, but because by these ambassadors he offered reconciliation and remission of sins through faith in Christ to all that would believe in him.

CHAPTER III.

A third head of arguments, to confirm the generality of Christ's redemption, ariseth from those places which either expressly say, or by plain consequence assert, Christ died for them that perish; for hence the argument runs thus:

Section I.—If Christ died for them that perish, and for them that do not perish, he died for all; but Christ died for them that perish, and for them that do not perish. Ergo, he

(a) See the Note there.—(b) Mark xvi. 15.—(c) Coloss. i. 28.
**Extent of Christ's Redemption:**

died for all men. That he died for them that do not perish, is confessed by all, and if he died for any that may or shall perish, there is the same reason to affirm he died for all that do so. Now that he died for such, the scripture faith expressly in these words, (a) and through thy knowledge shall thy weak brother perish for whom Christ died; and it doth intimate as much in this injunction, (b) destroy not him with thy meat for whom Christ died. In both which places the apostle exhorts thole to whom he writes, not to scandalize their weak brethren, by an argument taken from the irreparable mischief they might do them, *viz.* the eternal ruin they might bring upon them by so doing. Now if the apostle knew and taught that none could be destroyed or perish for whom Christ died, they to whom these exhortations are directed, must be supposed to know and to believe it; and then St. Paul must, in these places, exhort them to avoid the scandalizing of their brother, by saying that effect might follow from their scandal which he before had told them was impossible. Now is it reasonable to believe that one assisted by the Holy Ghost, should seriously and solemnly admonish them not to destroy those souls for whom Christ died; who by his doctrine were obliged to believe that they for whom Christ died could never be destroyed?

**Answ. 1.** Some answer, that the offence consists in this; that though the person who thus perishes cannot be one for whom Christ died, he may be one for whom they were in charity to believe he might die.

**Reply.** But this answer cuts off the whole strength of the apostle's argument or motive to abstain from scandalizing a weak brother, since if I know this never can be done, I know I am secure from ever running such an hazard; for if I really destroy or give occasion to his ruin, I must be sure on that account Christ died not for him, and that he suffers nothing by my action, but that without it his ruin was inevitable; but if my judgment of charity concerning him was true, I know I cannot do what the apostle here enjoins me to be careful to avoid. It is therefore certain from this doctrine, that they must either be assured this judgment of charity must be false, and then they could not be obliged to act by it; or that their brother could not perish, and then they could not rationally be moved by this impossible supposition to abstain from scandalizing him.

**Answ. 2.** Others answer, that they may be said to destroy or cause him to perish for whom Christ died, though his destruction doth not follow; because they do that which in its

(a) 1 Cor. viii. 11. — (b) Rom. xiv. 15.
own nature tends to his destruction, and might have that effect, had not God determined to preserve all for whom Christ died, from perishing.

Reply. Should this be granted, the force of the apostle’s argument will still be taken off; for if I am infallibly assured, God will prevent this issue in all for whom Christ died, the fear that they should actually die, can be no proper motive to abet from the commiision of that action which may scandalize them; thus, though an arrow shot out of a bow might kill my father, yet if I am assured he standeth where my arrow cannot hit him, it would be folly to exhort me not to shoot, lest I should kill my father. If then I am as certainly assured that none for whom Christ died can perish, it must be as unreasonable to exhort me not to scandalize them lest by that action they should perish. Moreover, the apostle adds, that by thus offending our weak brother, we sin against Christ, i.e., by causing them to perish whom he had purchased with his blood, and died to save. Deny this intent of Christ’s death, and you can never shew how by offending them who never did or could belong to Christ as members of his body mystical, we are injurious to Christ.

Section II.—A like argument arises from the design of the apostle in the epistle to the Hebrews, who to deter the Jews from neglecting the great salvation offered to them by Christ, and from apostatising from the faith they had received, faith, (c) that if they should sin wilfully after they had received the knowledge of the truth, there would remain no more sacrifice for their sin, seeing they would be guilty of trampling under foot the Son of God, and counting the blood of the covenant, by which they were sanctified an unholy thing. Now in what tolerable sense can it be said, that no further sacrifice for sin remains to them for whom no sacrifice was ever offered or intended? And who were by God’s own decree excluded from any interest in Christ’s death before they came into the world? How were they sanctified by the blood of that covenant from which they were inevitably excluded from the beginning of the world? Or how do they neglect this great salvation for whom it never was intended? To strengthen this argument, let it be considered,

1st. That it is evidently the same person who tramples under foot the Son of God, and doth despite to the holy ghost, who is here said to have been sanctified by the blood of the covenant; for this paragraph lies betwixt the other two, and is connected to both by the copulative xal and, which shews that these three things belong to the same person; and this

(c) Heb. x. 26, 29.
Extent of Christ's Redemption.

destroys the subterfuge of them who refer this passage to Christ sanctifying himself, or offering himself as a particular victim for us. Moreover though Christ is said to sanctify himself, John xviii. 19, yet is he never said to be sanctified, and much less to be sanctified by his own blood; but only ἵνα ἀπολέσῃ τὸν λαὸν ἵνα ἁπαντίησιν τοῖς ποιμένοις τοῦ ζωῆς τοῦ θανάτου, and πάντα ἀποκάλυφθων ἐκ τῶν ποιμενίων τοῦ ζωῆς τοῦ θανάτου. Chap. xiii. 12.

ady. Observe, that to sanctify, and to be sanctified, in this epistle, doth never signify to be purified from the power and dominion of sin by inward holiness, but always to be purged from the guilt of sin by the oblation of a sacrifice, as appears from Chap. ii. 11. See the note there; and from this very chapter where it is said Ver. 10. that we are ἱερατεύομεν, sanctified by the oblation of the body (or as it is in other copies, by the blood) of Christ. See the note on Ver. 14. and on Chap. ix. 13. ady. Because he is here said to have been sanctified by the blood of the new covenant which was fied for the remission of sins, and in which God promiseth to be merciful to our iniquities, and remember our sins no more, Chap. viii. 12. x. 17. In fine, this argument begins thus, having then boldness (or liberty) to enter into the holiest by the blood of Jesus, Ver. 19. let us hold fast our profession without wavering; for if we apostatize from it, there remains no more sacrifice to expiate our sin. It is therefore evident, that even those persons by whom their apostasy from the faith became obnoxious to the first punishment, had once, by virtue of their faith, obtained a remission of their sins, and lost it by making shipwreck of faith, and drawing back from that faith, by which they once lived, to perdition. Ver. 38, 39.

Section III.—Moreover, St. Peter informs us of some false teachers who should (d) bring in damnable heresies, (i. e. such as would render those who abetted and embraced them, obnoxious to damnation) even denying the Lord that bought them. Now to these words the answers are so many, and so extravagant, that it is as easy to confute as to recite them. One faith, Christ indeed bought these persons, but that he only bought them to be slaves; and then for any thing I can see to the contrary, they might have reason to deny him. Another, that he died to rescue them from temporal, but not from eternal punishments: Now where doth holy scripture intimate that Christ died to rescue any one from temporal judgment whom he referred to eternal, especially, if they were such as by denying of him did bring upon themselves, swift destruction? A third tells us that he died for them, because, he gave a price sufficient for them; as if the giving a

(d) 2 Pet. ii. 1.
price sufficient to redeem six captives, without the least inten-
tion of redeeming any more than two, were the redemption of
the six. A fourth faith, that they denied that Lord whom
they professed to have bought them. And a fifth, that they
denied him who in the judgment of other men had bought
them; but where is the offence of this, if that profession was
their own mistake, and so was that which they should rather
have denied than professed, and if that judgment of others was
not according to the truth? In fine, the plain intent of the a-
pistle is to aggravate the sin of these false teachers, that he
whom they denied had bought, or died for them. Now if he
did this really out of good will to them, and with intent to
free them from the misery to which they were obnoxious by
fin upon the terms on which this favor is propounded in the
gospel to any others, the words are of great force to shew the
horrible ingratitude and impiety of these false teachers; but
if it be supposed that he intended not to buy them, or to do
any thing to free them from their misery, but in the cova-
enant with his father established in his blood, excluded
them from any interest in his death, and did that only
which might occasion others through dislike to think he
died for them; this manifestly tends to lessen, if not justify
their denial of him who had before denied them any benefit
from his redemption, and therefore plainly is repugnant to the
scope of the apostle.

CHAPTER IV.

SECTION I.—A FARTHER enforcement of this extent of the death of Christ arises from the obligation, whi
ch is and always was upon all persons to whom the gospel is,
was revealed, to believe in Christ; for it is the duty of all and singular
whom the gospel is revealed, to believe in Christ, i. e. to own
him as their Savior, or as that Jesus who came to save the
from their sins; it must be true that he came into the wor-
Id to be the Savior of all men, and to be the propitiation for th
fins of the whole world, as holy scripture doth expressly teach, Now that it is the duty of all to whom the gospel is revealed thus to believe, is evident; for thus Christ speaks to the unbelieving Jews, (a) this is the work (i. e. the will) of God that you should believe in him whom he hath sent; for (b) if you believe not that I am he, you shall die in your sins; for (c) he that believeth not is condemned already, because he believeth not in the Son of man. The business of the Baptist was to bear witness of light, (d) that all men might believe in him; and therefore he declares that (e) he that believes not in him shall not see life, but the wrath of God abideth on him. And the work of the good spirit was to (f) convince the world of sin, because they believed not in him. The commission to preach faith and repentance for the remission of sins, is given to the apostles in these comprehensive words, (g) go into all the world, and preach the gospel to every creature; he that believeth and is baptized shall be saved, he that believeth not shall be damned. All which things, and many more of a like nature dispersed through the holy scriptures, are most plainly inconsistent with the doctrine of a restrained redemption to some few chosen persons. For, (h) Whosoever is obliged to believe in Jesus Christ, is obliged to (h) believe to the saving of the soul, since they who preached the gospel said, (i) believe in the Lord Jesus and you shall be saved, and promiseth that he that believed and was baptized should be saved; and they who writ the gospels, writ them to this end, that we might believe (k) that Jesus is the Son of God, and believing might have eternal life through his name. Now if it were the duty, even of them who perish under the preaching of the gospel, to own Christ as their Savior, and to believe to the salvation of their souls, it follows either that it is, and in all generations since our Savior’s coming, was their duty to believe a lie, and to apply that to them which belonged not to them; or that Christ’s death might truly have been applied to, and therefore was most certainly intended for, the salvation of those souls that perish.

2dly. To say it was the will, or the command of God, that any person should believe a lie; that the Baptist’s testimony was designed to teach men lies; or that the Holy Ghost was sent to convince any man of sin because he believed not what was false, is blasphemy; and yet this must be true, or else it must be God’s command, that they of the Jews who believed not in Christ should believe in him, and that all men

(a) John vi. 29. (b) viii. 24. (c) Ch. iii. 18. (d) John i. 7. (e) John i. 36. (f) John xvi. 9. (g) Mark xvi. 15. (h) Heb. x, 39. (i) Acts xvi. 31. (k) John xx. 31.
who heard the Baptifl's testimony ought to have believed in him, though few of them actually did so; and that all who saw the miraculous operations of the holy spirit in the apoftles and primitive profeflors, and believed not in Christ, were guilty of sin, because they believed not in him; and if so, Christ must have died for their salvation, and shed his blood for the remiffion of their sins.

3dly. To promise generally, and without exception, salute to men upon believing, must be a promise made to most men upon an impossible condition, or else all they to whom the gospel is revealed may believe to salvation, and so Christ must have died for their salvation. To say God promifeth salvation to most, only on terms which he himself knows are impossible, because they neither can do it without him, nor will he enable them to perform them, is in effect to say, I promise, you never shall be saved; and is not that a strange promise from a God rich in goodnes to the sons of men? Surely a promise upon condition, in the mouth of any good and honest man, is intended as a motive and encouragement to him to perform the condition that he may enjoy the good thing promised. Now where the condition annexed to the promise is impossible, if I know it, it can give me no encouragement to set about it, if he who promises knows it so to be. As he indeed promiseth nothing, because nothing that I can obtain, or be the better for; so he also deludes and puts a cheat upon me, pretending kindness to me by making me the promise, and intending none, by making the obtainment of it to depend upon that which he knows I never can, or shall be able to perform; and therefore, to represent God as promifing salvation to the greatest part of mankind only upon an impossible condition, is to represent him as a deluder, and one that puts a cheat upon them, and acting with them so as no good, wise or honest man did, or would act towards his fellow man.

4thly. To say that men shall die in their sins, shall be condemned to abide under the wrath of God, and be excluded from eternal life, because they believe not to salvation; i. e. because they do not apply Christ's merits to them, or believe he died for them, is either to assert Christ died for every unbelieving Christian, or to assert they shall hereafter be excluded from eternal life, and condemned to everlasting punishments for not believing what is false.

5thly. Were any Christian under such a condemnatory decree as that he could not believe, he would not be condemned because he believed not, but he would not believe because he was condemned; and whensoever punishment is duly threatened for the omission or nonperformance of an act, it must be
supposed both that the act might not have been omitted, and that the punishment would not have followed, had it been performed. To conclude, it is never said in scripture that the devils shall be condemned for not believing in Christ, of which no reason can be given but this; because he died not for them.

Section II.—It therefore cannot be sufficiently admired, that the grave Synod of Dort should urge such texts as these against this doctrine, viz. that (l) through his name whosoever shall believe in him shall receive remission of sins. (m) God hath set forth him to be a propitiation through faith in his blood; and Christ is the end of the law for justification to every one that believeth; it being demonstrable that either all men are not obliged to believe for the remission of their sins, or for justification, or to have faith in Christ’s blood, although the gospel be revealed and preached to them, and then they cannot be condemned for not believing in Christ; or that being thus obliged to believe, it must be true, that all to whom the gospel is revealed are enabled to believe that Christ died and shed his blood for them, for the remission of their sins, and for their justification upon that condition which God requires them to perform under the highest penalty imaginable. (n) While you have the light, faith Christ, believe in the light, that ye may be the children of the light, lest darkness come upon you. Where observe, (o) That (o) to believe in the light, is to believe in Christ; for (p) I, faith he, am the light of the world. (q) Observe, that he exhorts them to believe in him, that by this faith they might become children of the light; i.e. might be justified and reconciled to God by the blood of his Son, as are all the children of God, or of the light; for (q) if we walk in the light we have communion with God, and the blood of Christ cleanseth us from all sin. (r) We being all made the children of God, through faith in Christ Jesus. (q) Observe, that Christ speaks this to those Jews which then believed not in him, and to the generality of that nation, and so to those who, when he spake, continued unbelievers, and remained under that darkness which after came upon them for their unbelief; therefore our Lord exhorted them to believe in him that they might be justified and saved with the children of light, who perished in their infidelity; which justification they could not obtain, or rationally be exhorted to believe that they might attain, unless Christ died for them. Moreover, a brief inspection into all these places will be sufficient to discover, that they are so far from lying any thing that

(1) Acts x. 43. — (m) Rom. iii. 25. x. 4. — (n) John xii. 35. 36. — (o) John ix. 5. — (p) viii. 12. — (q) i John i. 7. — (r) Gal. iii. 26.
is not well consistent with this extent of our Lord's salutary passion, that they do manifestly establish it. Thus when St. Peter faith, to Christ give all the prophets witness, that through his name whosoever believeth in him shall receive remission of sins; is not this a testimony of a promise of remission of sins unto every one to whom the gospel is preached on the condition of faith in Christ? Is then this a promise made upon a condition possible to all to whom the gospel is revealed or not? If it be made on a condition possible to them all, then all to whom the gospel is revealed may receive remission of sins through faith in Christ; and so Christ must have died for them all. If only upon an impossible condition, then is it in the mouth of all God's prophets, a pretence of the kindness to the most he never intended they should have; for then he would not have fulfilled it on an impossible condition. Yea then, is it a promise only in name and shew, but in reality none at all? For the Civilians tell us, with the highest reason, that an impossible condition is as none at all. And here observe, that it is the same thing as to the future state of all men; whether the condition be naturally impossible, or through lapsed nature; whether it be simply impossible, or to corrupted nature; for seeing God, by whose benediction we all come into the world, hath given us no other nature; seeing our parents, from whom our nature is derived, have imparted to us no other nature, it is naturally impossible we should come into the world with any other nature than what is lapsed and corrupted; and so it is evidently the same as to the future state of all men thus corrupted, to promise any good thing to them upon a condition simply impossible, and to promise it on a condition impossible to lapsed and corrupted nature. Moreover, we learn from St. Paul, that this (1) word of salvation was sent to the whole nation of the Jews, to all them who were Children of thofe fathers to whom the promise of the Messiah was first made; that to all them this remission of sins, by him was proffered, and therefore he must die for the sins of that whole nation, of which thofe that obtained justification by him were only a small remnant, the rest continuing in their unbelief, and perishing, faith the apostle, for their unbelief, Ver. 41. Now could they perish for not believing that remission of sins which was preached by the apostles to them through him, if he never died to procure it for them? Surely upon this supposition it must rather follow, that the apostles in this declaration were false witnesses concerning him, and that they who perished for not believing this, must perish for not believing an untruth.

(1) Acts xiii. 26, 32, 38.
Extent of Christ's Redemption.

In the third chapter of the epistle to the Romans, the apostle faith, (t) we have before proved, Ἰουδαίος τε καὶ Ἑλλήνως ἔθνος, that all the Jews and Gentiles were under (the guilt of) sin. Now here I suppose it will not be denied that every single person of them were sinners; when therefore he proceeds to say that, ἡ δικαιοσύνη θεοῦ, the righteousness, or justification, which is of God, through faith in Jesus, is upon all, and to all that believe, καὶ γὰρ ἐστι δικαιοσύνη, for there is no distinction, must he not intend that this justification of God through faith in Jesus Christ, was offered to, and intended for all that were sinners, whether Jews or Gentiles? Why else doth he add, that (u) all were sinners, and had fallen short of the glory of God, and so were (to be) justified freely by his grace through the redemption that was in Jesus?

In the tenth chapter having told us that (w) Christ is the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believes, he adds this proof of that assertion, (x) for the scripture faith, every one that believeth in him shall not be ashamed, for there is no distinction of Jew nor Gentile; for the same Lord of all is rich unto all that call upon him; for whatsoever shall call upon the name of the Lord shall be saved. Now is not this God the Lord of all men in particular? When therefore it is said, that he is rich to all that call upon him, doth not this suppose that all who have the knowledge of him may thus call upon him; and that upon their doing so, they may be saved through Christ? And then must he not have died for their salvation? Moreover the apostle faith not, there is no distinction in this matter betwixt the elect of Jews or Gentiles, but betwixt Jew and Gentile in general; now limit this to a small remnant both of Jews and Gentiles, who only are in a capacity of being justified by faith in Christ, and calling upon him so as to be saved, whilst all the residue of Jews and Gentiles, to whom the same remission of sins is preached, are left incapable of this justification and salvation by that Jesus who never died for them; is there not as deplorable a distinction made betwixt them as to their eternal interests, even by him who is the same Lord of all, as can be well imagined? I conclude therefore with Occumenius, that the apostle here, τὸ πάντων τῆς χάριτος ἀνακατέβας, declares this is grace common to all.

(t) Rom. iii. 9. — (u) Ver. 23, 24, 25. — (w) Ver. 4. — (x) Ver. 11, 12, 13.
CHAPTER V.

Contains an Answer to the pretended Arguments from Scripture, against the Doctrine of Universal Redemption.

THS arguments against this doctrine of Universal Redemption rightly stated, founded upon pretence of Scripture scarce any, not one place being offered against the doctrine itself, which in express terms affirms it; saying either express by plain and immediate consequence, that Christ died for all. Those texts which have the fairest show of an argument have been both fully answered, and rejected; and, for an even a short answer is more than they deserve, they bring in a begging of the question, that proving it from Scripture.

SECTION I. Objection 1. They for whom Christ may say, who shall condemn us? Rom. viii. 34, but all are not free from this. Ergo, Christ died not for all. Now.

Answer 1. Is it not evident that this argument supposes Christ died for none who shall hereafter be condemned? And must it not hence follow, that none of the unbelieving Jews, among whom Christ preached, shall be condemned? And are not believing in him, since they can never be obliged to forsake him? And can he who never died, and so will not be saved, for believing in him? Now is not this contrary to the whole tenor of the gospel especially to the gospel of John, wherein Christ tells them, that God having sent it into the world that whosoever believeth in him should not but have everlasting life; he adds, (a) he that believeth, Son is condemned already, because he believeth not in the only begotten Son of God. vly. Will it not hence follow, that none in the unbelieving world, to whom the apostles preached, is condemned for not believing in him? For seeing they preached remission of sins, to be obtained through his name, can they justly be condemned for not believing in him? And for whom he never died? And why then did our Lord say to his disciples, when he sent them through the

(a) John iii. 18.
to preach the gospel to every creature, he that believeth not shall be damned; or that his spirit should convince the world of sin, because they believed not in him?

Answer a. There is no such proposition in the scripture as this, that all for whom Christ died may say, who shall condemn them? But only that the persons there spoken of might say this. Now they were the Sons of God, Ver. 14. who had received the spirit of adoption, Ver. 15, 16. were heirs of God, joint heirs with Christ, Ver. 17. who had received the first fruits of the spirit, Ver. 29. who actually loved God, Ver. 28. and were justified by him, Ver. 33. These elect of God, faith the apostle, may say, who shall condemn us? (to lie still under the power of death by virtue of that law which threateneth death to the sinner) it is Christ that died (to redeem us from death, and from the condemnation of the law) so that there is no condemnation to them who are (thus in Christ Jesus, i.e. who walked not after the flesh but after the spirit, Ver. 1, 2. In a word, all for whom Christ died may say fo upon that faith and repentance which intereth them in Christ Jesus; but none for whom Christ died, not the elect themselves, can say fo, till they have believed and repented.

Section II.—Objection 2.—2dly. From the 32d verse of the same chapter they argue thus, to all those for whom God delivereth up his Son, he together with him will freely give all things; but there are many thousands in the world to whom God will not give all things; ergo, for none of these did God deliver up his Son.

Answer 2d. Here it is observable again, that the major proposition is a direct afserion that Christ died only for those who shall be saved, or the elect only, because to them alone he will give all things; and so it is liable to all the absurdities mentioned in answer to the first objection, and also to these following, viz.

1st. That God could not equitably require all men every where to repent, or fend his apostles to call the Jews in general (b) to repent and be baptized, in the name of Jesus for the remission of sins, or that their sins might be blotted out, or to preach to all the Gentiles (c) repentance and remission of sins through his name; for what good could this repentance do them? What remission of sins could it procure for all those for whom Christ died not? Yea, hence it follows, that no impenitent person can justly be condemned for dying in his impenitent estate; for on this supposition he may fairly plead that Christ not dying for him, his repentance, had he been ever so careful to perform it, must have been in vain, since it

(b) Acts ii. 38. (c) Luke xxiv. 47.
could not procure the remission of his sins. If here you say it is an impossible supposition, that any one for whom Christ died not should repent, you only strengthen this his plea, enabling him to say he is condemned and perisheth for want of that repentance, which from his birth to his dying day it was impossible for him to perform.

adly. Hence it must follow, that God could not equitably require of them for whom Christ died not, obedience to the laws of Christ, since that obedience, could they be never so willing, or so industrious to perform it, could not avail for the remission of their sins, it being only an interest in the blood of Christ, which cleanseth even the righteous from all sin; they therefore cannot be condemned for loving darkness more than light, i.e. than Christ the true light, seeing he never could be truly offered to them who never died for them; nor can that rationally be said to be despised by any, or postponed to any other thing, which never was proposed to them as their option, and which it never was in their power to choose, or to embrace; they therefore cannot reasonably be damned for not receiving the truth in the love of it, that they might be saved, who never had a Savior dying for them; and therefore never were in a capacity of receiving the truth, so as that they might be saved by it.

Answer 2. adly. There is no such proposition in scripture as this, to all those for whom God delivered up his Son, he will give all things: the scripture cited respects only us, i.e. those who are the adopted Sons of God, who have the spirit dwelling in them, and who truly love God; to such God will give all things, i.e. all the blessings of the new covenant, because they have performed the conditions of that covenant.

Section III.—Objection 3. They who by the death of Christ are reconciled to God, shall be saved by his life, Rom. v. 9. If therefore all men are reconciled to God by the death of Christ, all must be saved by his life; but all will not be saved by him. Ergo,

Answer 1. This objection doth again suppose, that Christ died to reconcile no man to God who shall not be saved; whence it must follow, that no man can be condemned at the last day for neglecting the great salvation tendered to, or purchased for him, Christ having neither purchased for, or offered to them any salvation; unless he offered to them that salvation which he never died to purchase for them. adly. Hence it must follow, that all who are not saved, never had any Savior or Redeemer, since he who died not for them could be no Savior or Redeemer to them, and so they never were in a capacity to sin against a Savior; nor can their sins be aggravated by this consideration, that they are committed against redeem-
ing love; both which are palpable absurdities confuted by the whole tenor of the gospel, and almost by every sermon that we preach.

Answer 2.—adly. The conclusion of this argument, all that are reconciled to Christ shall be saved, may be true; but it is not true that all for whom Christ died are reconciled to God, but only that Christ died for them when sinners, unjust, ungodly, that be might bring them to God, and to engage and to encourage them to believe in him that they might be justified. Hence the apostle speaks first of Christ's dying for the ungodly, when they were yet without strength, and for sinners, not yet justified; and then adds, that if God were so kind as to send his Son to die for us in this state, much more being justified (by faith, Ver. 1.) in his blood, we shall be saved by him from the wrath of God, εἰ ὄσα ἐξ ὑμῶν ὄρες, for if being before at enmity with God, we have been reconciled to God by the death of his Son, much more shall we be saved by his life. By the death of his Son, i. e. by that faith in it by which we being justified have peace with God, and to have access by faith in him to the grace and favor of God; and this exposition is certain from this consideration, that God never justifies any but through faith in Christ; nor is he ever reconciled to any whom he did not justify. It is therefore certain, that he never sent his Son to purchase actual reconciliation for any, but conditionally, if, and when they believed. He that believeth not in him is condemned already, because he believeth not in the Son of God; it is therefore certain he died for the unbeliever, and yet he is not actually reconciled to God, or justified, because it is only by faith that we are justified, and being justified, have peace with God.

Section IV.—Objection 4.—Those for whom Christ died he loved with the greatest (d) love, this being a testimony of the greatest love; but thus he loved not all, for he applies not the salutary effects of his death to all; ergo, he died not for all.

Answer 1. Here again it is plainly supposed, that Christ died for none who shall not actually enjoy the salutary fruits of his death, i. e. who shall not actually be saved; whence it must follow, that only the elect are, or can be guilty of sinning against the love of God in Christ Jesus.

Answer 2. There is no such assertion in the holy scripture, that those for whom Christ died he loveth with the greatest love; Christ only faith, that one man shews no greater love than this to another, than that of laying down his life for him, and this man never doth but for his friend; but this he nei-

(d) John xv. 13.
ther did, nor could say of the love of the (e) Father, in sending his Son to die for mankind, nor of himself in dying for them; for (f) God commended his love to us in that when we were sinners Christ died for us; he died (g) for the ungodly, the just for the unjust; this text is therefore nothing to the purpose. Add to this,

Answer 3. That God the Father, in sending of his Son into the world, that the world through faith in him might be saved, shewed the greatest love of benevolence to all mankind, as Christ expressly teacheth, John iii. 16, 17. and the apostle in these words, (h) herein is love, not that we loved God, but that he loved us first, and sent his Son to be the propitiation for our sins; and to did Christ in dying for us all (i) when dead; so that all unbelievers, all wicked and impenitent persons, continue in their sins against the riches of God's love and mercy to them in Christ Jesus; but then God's love of benevolence, and the salutary effects of Christ's death, or God's love of friendship to us, depends on our repentance, or conversion to him, our faith in Christ by whom alone we have access to God, and who is our peace, and our obedience to the laws of Christ, we being then (j) his friends when we do that which he commands us; and so they only can be the proper objects of this love of friendship, or enjoy the blessings of the new covenant which Christ hath purchased by his death, who perform the conditions of that covenant. It is therefore granted, that Christ shewed the greatest love of benevolence to all for whom he died; but then it is added, that he shews his love of friendship and benevolence only to those that bear a true reciprocal affection to him, for (k) as grace will be to all those who love the Lord Jesus in sincerity; (l) if any man love not the Lord Jesus Christ, he will be Anathema Maranatha.

(e) Ibid.  (f) Rom. v. 6, 7. (g) 1 Pet. iii. 18.  (h) John iv. 9, 10, 19. (i) 1 Cor. v. 14. (j) John xv. 4.  (k) Eph. vi. 24. (l) 1 Cor. xvi. 21.
Chapter VI.

Containing Arguments from Reason for this Universality of Christ's Redemption.

The arguments which plainly offer themselves from the precedent sections to confirm this doctrine are these:

Section I.—15. If God intended not the death of Christ for the saving of any but the elect, then he never intended the salvation of any to whom the gospel is revealed but the elect; and then he never designed any salvation for the greatest part of men to whom the gospel was or is revealed on any condition whatsoever; for since (a) there is no other name under heaven given by which ye can be saved, salvation could not be intended for them on any condition whatsoever, to whom the benefit of Christ's death was not intended. Now were this so; how comes that gospel, which first brought to light this reprobating doctrine, to be styled (b) the time when the goodness and love of God our Saviour appeared to mankind? Why doth Christ say, (c) God so loved the world, that he sent his beloved Son into the world, not to condemn them, but that the world through him might be saved; and the apostle herein is love made manifest, (d) that God sent his Son into the world to be the propitiation for our sins, that we might live through him? Why is he styled a God rich in mercy, and plenteous in goodness to the sons of men, who is so sparing of mercies to the greatest part of mankind, that seeing them in equal misery and want with his elect, and as worthy of it as they were, he should shut his bowels of compassion up against them, and even from all eternity exclude them from his loving kindness and mercy? Why is it said (e) that his tender mercies are over all his works, if they are so restrained from his most noble creatures? (f) Doth God take care for oxen? faith the apostle; yea, faith this doctrine, much better than for man; he making plentiful provisions for the preservation of their life, but none at all for the spiritual and eternal life of the far greatest portion of mankind, but having left them under a

(a) Acts iv. 12.—(b) Tit. iii. 4.—(c) John iii. 16, 17.—(d) John iv. 9, 10.—(e) Psal. ciii. 8, cxlv. 9.—(f) 1 Cor. ix. 9.
ing and being miserable forever. (g) Their

and better than they, and so more sure of

in this loving father? True, faith this

rovisions for this mortal body which we

the brutes that perish; but most of us

him who bears the name of Father, and

of our spirits, that he hath peremptorily ex-

them immortal beings from a capacity of obtaining

that food which nourisheth to eternal life. Again, why is he

represented as one who (h) waiteth to be gracious, (i) and

stretcheth out his hand all the day long to a rebellious people;

when from eternity he hath excluded to great a number from

his grace; and left them inevitably to suffer what his hand and

his counsel had determined before that they should suffer? God,

faith the apostle, (k) loved us first before we loved him. God,

faith this doctrine, hated the generality of us first, before we

hated him, and did prevent us not with his blessings, but with

subjection to an eternal curse. How could the apostle truly

say to the hardened and impenitent Jew (l) despiseth thou the

riches of his goodness, patience and long suffering, not know-

ing that the goodness of God leadeth thee to repentance?

Why, faith the Jew, doest not thou tell us God hardeneth

whom he will, and that we are of that number; that he hath

given us up to a spiritual slumber, and so never intended any

benefit to us, never provided any salvation for us by the death

of his Son? What therefore meanest thou by the riches of

his goodness to men, lying under his decree of preterition, or

how can his patience be designed to lead them to repentance,

who were appointed for wrath, and not salvation, from eternity?

We may indeed be treasuring up wrath against the
day of wrath; but it is inevitable wrath which we had never
any power to avoid, God having no intention to give us any
interest in that Jesus, who alone delivereth from the wrath to

come. Talk then no more of the revelation of the righteous
judgment of God; for we appeal to the reason of mankind
whether it be a righteous thing to condemn men to eternal
misery for that which it was never in their power to avoid.

In fine, Why doth God say that (m) he delighteth in mercy,

and in the excise (n) of loving kindness, and that to think thus

of him is truly to know him? Since it is his good pleasure
to leave us under an absolute incapacity of his mercy, and his

loving kindness? Why doth he say, (o) he would have cleans-


—(o) Ezek. xxiv. 13.
ed us, and we would not be cleansed, when he never intended us any interest in that blood of Christ which alone cleanseth from all sin? Why doth he say and swear, he hath no pleasure in the death of him that dies, but rather taketh pleasure in this, that they should turn and live, when his mere arbitrary pleasure hath left them under a necessity that they should die and not live, and put this unanswerable question into their mouths, (p): if our transgression and our sins be upon us, and no Saviour was by God intended to redeem us from them, how should we then live? Why, lastly, doth he say, (q) O Israel, that hast destroyed thyself, but in me is thy help? For be it that respects their temporal condition in this world, and the concerns of the body, there is no thought more dishonorable to the Father of spirits, and the lover of souls, than that he should be helpful to men in temporals; but when he sees their souls sinking into eternal misery, intend them no help, and put them under no possibility of escaping it; or that his providence should be still employed in making provisions for the bodies even in the wicked and unthankful; but that when by the same power, and the same sufferings of his Son, he could have made the same provision for the souls of others as he is supposed to have done for his elect, he should by a mere arbitrary act of preterition eternally and inevitably exclude them from it, and leave them under a necessity of being the everlasting objects of his wrath.

Section II.—Adly. Hence it must follow, that Christ never died with an intention to save them whom he doth not actually save, and deliver from the wrath to come: And why then doth he say, (r) that he came down from heaven to give life unto the world, and that his Father (s) sent his Son into the world, that the world through him might have life; and that he will give his flesh for the life of the world: I am the bread of life; if any man eat of this bread he shall live forever: (t) Verily, verily, he that believeth in me hath everlasting life; plainly encouraging all to do so? Why doth he say to them, (u) who would not come unto (i.e. believe in) him that they might have life, these things I say unto you that ye might be saved? Yea, why doth he inquire, how oft would I have gathered ye as an hen doth her chickens under her wings, and you would not be gathered, and so pathetically with that they, from whose eyes thofe things were after hid, had known in that day the things belonging to their peace? You may as well hope to reconcile light and darkness as these words of Christ,

(p) Ezek. xxxiii. 10. — (q) Hab. xiii. 9. — (r) John vi. 33, 35. — (s) John iii. 16. — (t) John vi. 47. — (u) John v. 34—40.
with his intention to die only for them whom should actually be saved.

Section III.—3dly. Hence it must follow that none of these to whom God never intended salvation by Christ, or who shall not be actually saved by him, are bound to believe in him; for had not this Savior come into the world they could not have been obliged to believe in him, and is not it to them all one to have no Savior come at all, and none come for them? Moreover, if he died not for them, they cannot believe in his death; if there be no salvation for them in Christ, they cannot believe he is their Savior; should they believe, must not their faith be in vain, seeing it cannot alter the intentions of God in delivering his Son up to the death, or of Christ in dying for the elect only, and why then do the ministers of the gospel promise salvation to all men provided they believe, when no salvation is provided for all? And so none can be tendered by them to all on any condition whatsoever? Since God himself never intended salvation should be obtainable by all, and therefore never could give them commission to tender it to all on any condition whatsoever, why doth Christ say to all the Jews that came to him (v) this is the command of God that ye believe in the name of the Son of God; since this command must be a declaration of God’s will that they should all believe, and his intention that the generality of the Jews should not have salvation by Christ, shews his contrary will? And, lastly, why doth he promise salvation to all if they believe, by saying, (w) he that believeth shall be saved; (x) whosoever believeth in him shall have everlasting life; and then inquire thus, if I say the truth, why do you not believe me; seeing he died not with an intention to purchase salvation to many of them whom he would not actually save?

4thly. Hence it clearly follows that no man can be condemned hereafter for final impenitency and unbelief, seeing he transgresseth no law of God by his unbelief; for surely God commandeth no man to believe in Christ for salvation, for whom he never intended salvation by Christ, or to repent for salvation whom he intended not to save by Christ; since therefore where there is no transgression there can be no condemnation; why doth Christ threaten to the Jews, (y) that if they did not believe he was the Christ, i.e. the Savior of the world, they should die in their sins? Why doth he declare them who believe not in him (z) inexcusable and without all cloak for their sins, and why doth the apostle say, how shall

(\textit{v}) John vi. 29.—(\textit{w}) Mark xvi. 16.—(\textit{x}) John vi. 40. viii. 46.—(\textit{y}) John viii. 34.—(\textit{z}) John xv. 23, 34.
escape if we wilfully so great salvation? Since that can be no salvation due to them for whom it never was intended, and it must be ill one to them to neglect and use the greatest diligence about it. In a word, either it was possible for them who die in their impenitence and unbelief to believe and repent to salvation, or it was not so; if it were possible, then either Christ must have died for them, or it must be possible for them to be saved without a Saviour; if it was not, they either must not be obliged at all to believe and repent, or they must be obliged to do what it was impossible for them to do; yea, seeing this impossibility ariseth only from God's denial of that grace to them which he vouchsafes to his elect (for had they the same grace, it would or might have the same effect upon them); it follows that God must both will they should repent and believe, because he commands and obliges them so to do, and yet will they should neither repent nor believe, because he wills the denial of that grace without which it is impossible they should do so.

SECTION V.—5thly. Hence it will follow that neither the elect, nor none else, can rationally be exhorted to believe; not they who are not elected, because Christ died not for them; not the elect, for he that knows himself to be one of that number hath believed and repented already; if he do not know this, he cannot know that Christ died for him, and so he cannot know it is his duty to believe in him for salvation. Whereas if you assert Christ died for all, then may you rationally exhort all men to believe, since every one must know he who died for all, died for him also, and therefore that is his duty to believe in him for salvation.

SECTION 6.—5thly. Hence it must follow that God hath not vouchsafed sufficient means of salvation to all to whom the gospel is revealed; for seeing there is no pardon, no justification, no peace with God, no deliverance from the wrath to come, to be obtained but through faith in him, no (a) other name given by which we can be saved; they who have no interest in his death can have no means of obtaining pardon and salvation. Now as all were not Israel who were of Israel, so are not of the number of the elect to whom the gospel is vouchsafed; so that if salvation by Christ can be obtained only by the elect, the residue of those to whom the gospel is vouchsafed can have no means sufficient for salvation. But this

(a) Acts iv. 12. — (b) Rom. l. 16. — (c) Acts xx. 32.

To the whole tenor of the gospel which is, faith the apostle, (b) the power of God through faith to the salvation of every one that believeth; (c) the word of grace which is a-
ble to build us up, and give us an inheritance among those that are sanctified: (4) The saving grace of God, which hath at peared to all men: those scriptures which are able to make us wise unto salvation, this gospel which was written that we might believe that Jesus is the Christ, and believing might have life through his name. John xx. 29.

sadly. If men have not sufficient means to be saved by the covenant of grace, then have they only means given them to increase their condemnation, yea, such means which they cannot but use to their greater and more heavy punishment.

since (c) he who knows his master's will, as all to whom, the gospel is revealed, may do; and doth it not, shall be beaten with more stripes; and so it had been better for them not to have known the way of life, or to have had no covenant of grace tendered to them. For if they be not able by the afflic-
tion of that grace which God is willing to punish them to repent and believe the gospel, they must be under a necessity of being damned for not receiving the truth in the love of it, and of that neglect of this salvation, which renders it im-
possible they should escape the wrath of God, and under necessity of perishing; for (f) if we repent, not we must all per-
ish; and this impenitency and unbelief must be more crimino-
al for being committed under the gospel dispensation, than otherwise it would have been; so that the revelation of the gospel must be the foretaste of judgment to them, seeing it must increase their damnation without a possibility in them who were ed means sufficient to procure pardon and salvation, or of retain ing any blessings by it; whereas it is certain, that this for her of spirits cannot be so unnatural to his own immediate offspring, as to design their greater misery by his most gen-
cious dispensations; since, as our Savior argues, (g) if earthly parents being evil, will not be so unnatural to their chil-
dren, much less will our heavenly Father be so to his; and that this lover of souls, who hath declared his ways cannot be unequal to them because (h) all souls are his, can design noth-
ing, much less act any thing on purpose to increase their con-
demnation, and their inevitable ruin.

gaily. If all men under the gospel have not means sufficient to repent and believe, so as they may be saved, vouchsafed by God, then must he still withhold something from them, with out which they cannot repent and believe to salvation, and special grace, so called, because it is peculiarly granted to the elei; an irresistible impulse, with which the conversion of the sinner, faith and repentance will certainly be produced, and with-

(4) Tit. ii. 11. (c) Luke xii. 47. (f) Luke xiii. 3. (g) Mat vii. 11. (h) Ezek. xvi. 4.
...which they, neither will nor can be wrought in us; a divine energy of an almighty power, like that which God exerted in the creation or the raising of the dead, by the aid of new creatures, and raised from a state of sin, to a life of right, and good. Now if the want of all, or any of the things be the reason why so many who live under the gospel dispensation do not believe, and repent to salvation, and upon that account, it is that they continue in their impenitence and unbelief, because they want this special grace, and divine energy to do so; these great absurdities will follow.

1/ That God condemns them to destruction for that which is no sin; for sure it is no sin in the creature not to do that which can alone be done by the almighty power of God, and which cannot be done without that power of God, he never would afford to them; for then it must be the sin of man not to be God; and therefore God should punish men for not doing that which therefore he is not in their power to do, because it requires a divine energy, which he will not exert on their behalf, he must punish them for not being equal in power with God himself.

2/ Then must every penitent and unbelieving person have a just excuse, and a sufficient plea why he should not be punished, or condemned for his impenitence and unbelief, and they might cry to God as did the officers of the Jews to Pharaoh, (1) wherefore dealtest thou thus with thy servants? There is no straw given to us, and thou sayest to us, make bricks; no special grace, no divine energy afforded us, and thou sayest to us, do that, which can no more be done without it, than men can make bricks without straw, and thy servants are beaten, but the fault is in him who denies us straw, and yet requires bricks; yea, who requires that faith and that repentance which he never would afford us means sufficient to perform; for the plea of insufficiency, or want of strength for the doing that which is commanded, is an excuse sufficient in the judgment of all mankind, where it is truly pleaded; I could not help, or I could not avoid it: I had no means, and no ability to do it, is an apology sufficient in the mouths of all men, nor scarcely can a man be found so barbarous as to condemn and punish, when he is sufficed, is indeed the case; and shall we then ascribe greater severity to a righteous God? If not, it must be certain men cannot appear inexcusable for their impenitence and unbelief, unless God had vouchsafed them means sufficient to repent and believe.

Section VIII.—Reply. Here it is said we all had strength sufficient to believe in our first parent, Adam, and therefore

(1) Exod. v. 15, 16.
may be dealt with as if we had it still. To this lamentable
presence, so weak, faith the judicious Dr. Oliget, that nothing
but a desperate cause should force any man to take shelter in
it, I answer,

1. That it owns the truth of the objection, viz. that to re-
quire of men what was ever impossible for them to do under
the highest penalties, and to punish and condemn them for not
doing it, is evidently unjust and cruel.

2. It is evidently false that Adam in the state of innoc-
cency, that is, before he had sinned, had power to repent of his
iniquity, and to believe in a savior not yet revealed for the re-
mission of sins; these are the powers of a lapsed sinner only;
and therefore could only agree to Adam in his lapsed state,
when he was as impotent as we now are; so that if the thing
who was become as one of us, was able to repent and believe
without special grace, so was his potestivity; if he had no poten-
tivity, in case he should fall, to rise again by faith and repent
ance, we could have no such power in him. Ady. They were
then, were not, were not in Adam, for Non est nulla spec-
predicata, and that which is not, hath no power, that being
always in a subject, and a consequent of the essence, for Per
estates sequuntur essentiam. To say our nature was in Adam
and for our power and our will might also be in him, is a
false; for Adam was a particular man, an Individuum, and
therefore could have only an individual and particular nature
and therefore only a particular will and power. To say he
had a nature like to that of ours derived from him, is to say
he had not the same numerical or individual nature, for Natura
sum us in idem. Hence, whereas that which is said of hu-
man nature in common, agrees to every human nature; many
things may be said of the human nature of Adam, which agree
to the human nature of none of his posterity, viz. that it was
the first human nature that was in the world; that it was en-
tirely and immediately created by God, and came not into the
world by generation; that it was free from sin, and made up
right; that it was able to perform perfect obedience; that it
dwelt in paradise, and was cast out thence for eating the forbid-
den fruit; and lastly, the nature of Adam had a power to con-
tinue innocent, and without sin, whereas it is certain our na-
ture never had so; for we could never hinder the sin of our nature,
not the influence which the sin of Adam had upon it to make
us sinners. In a word, the question is not concerning the
justice of condemning the nature of Adam, but concerning the
justice of condemning our persons to eternal punishment
for not doing that which it was always impossible for them
to do;
Admitting this absurd imagination, that we had this power in our first parents, and that we lost it by the sin of our nature in them, what is this to the import of all those texts of scripture which exhort all men to repent, and proffer life to them that do so, and command all men to believe, and promise salvation to them upon that condition, threatening at the same time damnation to the impenitent and unbeliever, since all these things are spoken to the fallen race of man; and so, if God be serious in them, declare his great unwillingness that fallen man should perish by his impenitence and unbelief, and his passionate desire that he should believe and repent; and seeing he that wills the end, must also will the means absolutely necessary to the obtaining of that end; he cannot be supposed wanting at the same time in affording means sufficient to obtain the end, and so cannot have left even lapsed man under an impossibility of obeying his commands and exhortations to repent and believe. Moreover the law of repentance, and faith for the remission of sins was not, nor could it be in force till we had lapsed, and so it was by this hypothesis impossible to be fulfilled before it was in force; the exhortations to repent and believe, the promises of pardon and salvation to the penitent believer, and the threats made to the impenitent, and him that is not justified by faith in Christ, must be all given to fallen man; and so, according to the same hypothesis, must be promises on a condition impossible to be performed, and threats upon a default impossible to be avoided. They are declarations of the will of God, and so must be commands and exhortations to perform it; and so, suppose God willing that he should perform what he commandeth and exhorteth us to perform, and yet by leaving him under an impossibility of doing that which he commandeth and exhorteth him to, and peremptorily denying that assistance, without which it must always be impossible, he shews he is not willing he should do what he commandeth and exhorteth him to do. Again, to deter men from doing such an action by the severest threats, is to pretend a great unwillingness that action should be done; and yet to leave them under an impossibility to avoid it without that assistance which he will not yield, is to be both willing and resolved it shall be done. To promise pardon to a lapsed person upon condition, is in effect for God to say he will not impute to him his former fault, but will deal with him according to his future carriage, to which a conditional promise always hath relation; but if he requires what he knows that first fault hath rendered utterly impossible to be done by him, he still imputes that fault, and punishes him at the same time for it as he pretendeth to remit it; and surely it
is no small matter to render God so deceitful and dishonest to
insecure and hypocritical as this opinion makes him.

Should a physician come to a patient, whose disorder was
so weakened through his intemperance or lust, that he could
bear up strong meat, and his feet so infibled that he could
safely walk from his couch to his bedside, and profess
to have

desire to cure his distemper, and promise high recovery,
provided he would follow his prescription, might it not
sensibly be expected he should prescribe such means for his
recovery that it was possible for him in this condition of medi-
use? If then he should enjoin him to eat, and to reject the
strongest meats, and walk some hours in the fields, because he
formerly could do so before he fell into this disability and ten-
bleness, would not all men pronounce him a deducing cheat?
and one that hypocritically and insincerely pretended his re-
cover, and promised it with equal vanity and folly, intending
only to infill over his present misery; and yet this is the rep-
resentation of our gracious God in this affair which these men
offered to us; for the blessed Jesus is the physician whom
God sent to heal our natures of the disability we had contract-
ed by the fall, and to promise us a remedy, which if we would
use, we should recover that, or a better life than that from
which we fell, and to threaten the severest judgments if we
neglected so to do. But then, if only he does promise this recov-
ery on such a condition as that very fall hath rendered it
impossible to perform, and which he never will enable us to per-
form, must he not be guilty of the like hypocrisy and in-
sincerity in propounding to us an impossible remedy, and in in-
likely only over the misery of his creatures whilst he pretended
kindness to them? And how unreasonable is it to insinuate
deceit, falsehood, insincerity and injustice to a good and right-
eous God, which we cannot but abhor in man? For surely
most graciously to exhort, most affectionately to invite, and
earnestly by the greatest promises and threats to move us to
repent and believe, when he at the same time is firmly per-
posed to withhold the means by which alone we can do either
to infill over his miserable creatures in the highest manner
and to deal thus with myriads of myriads for the sin of Adam
is, as it were, to meditate revenge upon the greatest part of his
pollution to the world's end, for what was only done by the
first man; yea, it is to do this under pretence of love and kind
attention, and a vehement desire and concern that they should
escape the misery that very sin had brought upon them, and
recover the felicity they had lost by it, by those very means
he doth prescribe; and yet to leave them under an utter in-
capacity to perform those means; which to conceive and to
assert of our most gracious God, is highly to dis honor and
blasphemew his sacred Majesty, and represent him so unto the
world, as even the worst of men would not endure to be repre-
represented.

SECTION VIII.—We find our blessed Savior marvelling
at the unbelief of his own people; for (j) he marvelled at their
unbelief. Now, can he who knows they could not believe by
reason of the disability they had contracted by the sin of Ad-
am, wonder that they did not what it was impossible for them
to do? Again, when he heard the answer of the centurion,
(k) he marvelled, saying, verily I have not found so great faith,
no not in Israel; but if this faith, whenever it is wrought in
any, is the effect of an almighty power, what reason could he
have to marvel, that it was found where that almighty power
was exerted, or that it was not found where the same power
was withheld? To clear this by some few instances from scrip-
ture,

(j) St. Matthew informs us that Christ upbraided the citi-
ts in which most of his mighty works were done, because
they repented not, saying, (l) wo unto ye, Chorazin and Beth-
saida, and to thee Capernaum, who shall be brought down to
tell; it shall be more tolerable for Sodom and Gomorrah, Tyre
and Sidon, in the day of judgment than for you; for if these
mighty works had been done in them, they would have repented
in sackcloth and ashes, and have remained to this day. Now
not to dispute whether the repentance of Sodom and Gomor-
rah, Tyre and Sidon, would have been sincere, or only exter-
nal and hypocritical (though it is not reasonable to conceive
that such repentance would have caused them to remain to
that day, or that Christ would upbraid them for want of a fin-
cere repentance produced in them by those mighty works,
which doubtlese he required by saying to these very persons,
(m) repent you, for the kingdom of heaven is at hand) by an
example of an hypocritical repentance which only would have
been produced in others by the same works, (1 say not to in-
filt on this) why should our Lord denounce these woes upon
them, and declare their future punishment more intolerable in
the day of judgment than that of those unnatural sinners, and
most vile idolaters, for want of that repentance which, not-
withstanding all the mighty works which he had done among
them, he knew it was impossible for them to perform? He
might, according to the vain hypotheses confuted, have said
to them, wo to you that the sin of Adam hath disabled you
from repenting; but could not with any threat of justice pron-
ounce such dreadful woes and judgments on them for not

(j) Mark vi. 6. — (k) Matth. viii. 10. — (l) Matth. xi. 20, 24. —
(m) Mark i. 14, 15.
doing that then, which they so long ago were rendered wholly unable to perform, and that upon a reason which did not in the least remove the disability.

3dly. (n) The men of Nineveh, faith Christ, shall rise up in judgment with this generation, and shall condemn it (for its impenitence for they repented at the preaching of Jonas (though he did no miracle among them) and behold (though) a greater than Jonas is here (calling you to repentance, you do not repent.) Now if the men of Nineveh only repented by virtue of that divine assistance, which God would not vouchsafe to the Jews; if notwithstanding all Christ's exhortations to repentance, it was impossible they should repent for want of like assistance; why should they be condemned at all, or why for want of that repentance, which Nineveh only performed by that assistance which they could not have?

3dly. Our Lord upbraids the Scribes and Pharisees for not repenting, and entering into the kingdom of God as the very Publicans and Harlots had done before their eyes, saying, (o) verily I say unto you, that the Publicans and Harlots go into the kingdom of God before you; for John came to you to instruct you in the way of righteousness, and ye believed him not; but the Publicans and Harlots believed him; and ye, when ye have seen (them do it), repented not afterwards that ye might believe him. Now is it matter of reproach and shame to any person that they do not what another doth, unless it be supposed that they have, or at least might have had the like power and abilities to do it; this passage therefore shews (p) That the Scribes and Pharisees, and other Jews, might and ought to have been moved by the admonitions of the Baptist, and the example of the Publicans, to repentance and faith; for why else doth Christ here upbraid them that they afterwards repented not, that they might believe? 3dly. That therefore an internal, irrefrangible force of power cannot be necessary to produce this repentance and this faith; for if the Publicans and Harlots were thus moved to repent, what wonder is it that they went before the Scribes and Pharisees, who having no such impulse, were left under an impossibility of repenting that they might believe? Why is it represented as their crime, that they repented not at the preaching of John, or did not follow the example of the Publicans, since the event shews that no such irrefrangible motive to repentance was contained either in the preaching of the Baptist, or the example of the Publicans?

4thly. In the parable of persons invited to the wedding supper, we find, that (p) he who came, not having on a wedding

(n) Matth. xii. 41. — (o) Matth. xxi. 31, 32. — (p) Matth. xxii. 13.
garment was speechless, as being self-condemned, τῷ γὰρ μὴν ἔχων δικαιοσύνην καρδιών κατείχε, for having nothing to say against the sentence to be passed upon him, he condemned himself, faith Chrysostom; but why should he be speechless, if he could have pleaded with truth and a good conscience, that he never had or could have means to procure such a garment, and therefore ought not to be cast out into outer darkness, for that which he could never help?

8gthly. In the parable of the talents, he who improved not his single talent is declared to be (q) a wicked and slothful servant, and that because he did not what he ought to have done. Now there can be no obligation to impossibilities, no iniquity in not doing what he could not do, and no punishment due on that account, this being to punish him because he did not an impossibility; and Christ by saying to all who had received talents (r) negotiate till I come, demonstrates he conceived they all had power to do.

SECTION IX.—gthly. That which doth render this doctrine most worthy to be rejected by all who truly love their God and Savior, is this consideration; that it unworthily rejoices upon our good and gracious God, our blessed Lord, and merciful high priest, who is in scripture often said, but by this doctrine is denied, to be the Savior of the world.

For if. It in effect declares, that he who is in scripture styled love, hath from eternity hated the greatest portion of mankind, so far as to leave them under, and even condemn them to, a state of everlasting and inevitable misery. For, if he himself faith, (f) Jacob have I loved, and Esau have I hated, only because he laid the mountains and heritage of Esau waste, is there not greater reason to say, he hated all those souls whom he hath utterly excluded from any interest in that Jesus who alone delivers from the wrath to come? If he is said to (t) hate his brother in his heart, who suffers him to go on in his sin without reproof, must not he hate those souls much more, who by his decree of prerogation concerning them, when he was designing the great work of man's redemption, hath laid them under a sad necessity of sinning, so as to be obnoxious to still greater misery? Our Lord makes it the particular case of Judas, that (u) it had been better for him he had not been born; whereas this doctrine makes it the case of all, save only the elect. Now can we imagine, that that God who will require the blood of souls from every watchman who doth not warn the sinner to turn from his iniquity that he die not, should himself leave them inevitably to perish.

in it? So that what he doth threaten to him only, (v) of being often reproved hardeneth his heart, should be the strait and caine of almost all men before they came into the world viz. to be destroyed without remedy.

2dly. It represents that God, who is continually declared in scripture to be a God rich in goodness, plenteous in mercy and of great pity towards all his creatures, as having no bowls of compassion, no drop of mercy, no inclination to do good to the generality of his most noble creatures, obnoxious to death and endless misery; and therefore as proper objects of his mercy and compassion, as those whom in his love and pi are redeemed; but rather an unmoveable resolution before they had a being, to withhold from them his loving-kindness as mercy, and to shun his bowels of compassion up against them.

And is not this to represent our God and Savior more compassionate to the souls of men, than were that priest an Levite to their brother’s body, who seeing him ready to perish by his wounds, (w) passed unconcerned by another way. When this great Lord faith to his servant, to whom be his forgiven the great debt, (x) oughtst not thou to have his compassion on thy fellow servant, even as I had pity upon thee? Would not this doctrine teach him to answer, Lord, I have chosen to deal with him as thou dealst with the greatest of mankind, to whom thou never intendest to forgive the least mine, and on whom thou wilt never have the least compassion.

And when the apostle inquires, (y) if any man see his brother in need, and shutteth up his bowels of compassion from him, how dwelleth the love of God in him? Would not this doctrine teach him to reply, even as it dwells in God himself toward the generality of mankind? To make this more apparent, let us consider these four things:

1st. That God by sending his Son to be the Savior of the world, or in giving him up to the death, had no other primary end than the glorifying himself in the salvation of men (z) he sent his Son to be the propitiation for our sins, that we might live through him; (a) that the world through him might be saved; had therefore designed his death for the salvation of all men upon conditions possible to be performed by them, he must have glorified himself more, than by restraining the design of it only to the salvation of a few.

2dly. That the death of Christ was a sufficient sacrifice for the sins of the whole world, and so might have procured conditional pardon for all men as well as for the elect, had God been pleased to give him up to the death for us all.

(v) Prov. xxix. 1. — (w) Luke x. 31, 37. — (x) Matth. xv 33. — (y) 1 John iii. 17. — (z) 1 John iv. 9, 10. — (a) John iii.
thirdly. That it could be no ways more dishonorable to God, or more inconsistent with his justice, wisdom, hatred of sin, or any other of his attributes, to have designed Christ's death for the salvation of all men, than to intend it only for the salvation of those few whom they style the elect.

fourthly. That they who are supposed to be excluded by God from any benefit by Christ's death, were as much the offspring of the Father of spirits, and the souls that he had made, as much partakers of the same nature in which our Savior suffered, and every whit as miserable, and as much wanting an interest in our Lord's salutary passion, as they who are supposed to be the objects of the pardon and salvation purchased by our Savior's blood. Can it be then consistent with the grace, goodness and mercy of the divine nature, and of the lover of souls, and the relation which this father of spirits beareth to them, to confign the death of Christ to procure pardon and salvation only to a few, and to suffer the far greater part of souls which were equally his offspring, as capable of salvation by the very same means, as miserable, and so in the same need of pardon and salvation with the rest; to remain inevitably miserable only for want of God's designing the same sacrifice for the procuring mercy to them as well as others?

If it be said God doth this to declare his sovereignty, or prerogative over his creatures, in shewing and denying mercy to whom he pleaseth:

I answer, God never exerciseth any sovereignty, or prerogative over his creatures, which is repugnant to his rich grace, goodness, love, mercy and compassion to the souls which he hath made; and therefore never so as to leave the greatest part of them obnoxious to eternal and inevitable ruin, when he hath a remedy provided sufficient to prevent it, if he did not arbitrarily exclude them from it. When under the Roman and the Grecian government the Father had an absolute power over his children, and in the Eastern nations the Prince had absolute power over his subjects; would this prerogative and sovereignty excuse them from a severity and rigor unworthy of a Father or a Sovereign, in leaving most of their subjects and their children under the extreme misery, when by the very same means that a few of them have been rescued from it, they might all have been so? And shall we then impute that rigor, want of natural affection and compassion to the lover of souls, and the benign government of our heavenly Father, which we could not but condemn and abhor in earthly governors, and in the fathers of our flesh?

And thirdly. This opinion renders the God of truth and of sincerity, and who hath magnified his truth above all his name,
Extent of Christ's Redemption.

It full of guile, deceit, and iniquity, dissimulation and hypocrisy, that he who doeth conceive God may address himself to his creatures without distinction, as in the scripture he continually doth, and yet hath left them delinquent of a capacity of mercy, and of all ability to help themselves, may doubt of his sincerity and truth in all the declarations contained in his sacred word. For,

1st. It reprentes that God who declares expressly and affirmatively, that he would have all men to be saved, all men to come to repentance, and swears that the conversion of a sinner from that iniquity in which he may die would be highly pleasing to him; and negatively, that he would not that any one should perish, that he delighteth not in, would not the death of him that dies; denying to send his Son to die for their salvation, or to vouchsafe them means sufficient to repent and turn to him; and so rendering it impossible they should repent, or avoid perishing in their iniquity.

2dly. It represents him who declares, he would have purged them who would not be purged, he would have gathered them who would not be gathered; and inquires what could he have done more to enable them to bring forth good grapes, who only brought forth sour grapes; denying them any interest in the blood of cleansing, or any sufficient means to enable them to bring forth good grapes.

3dly. It introduceth that God, who, according to the whole tenor of the scripture, calls upon men without exception to repent and be converted, that their sins may be blotted out, and they may not die in them, sending all his prophets to reduce his people from their sinful courses, because he had compassion on them, inquiring of them, Why will you die? Will you not be made clean? When shall it once be? Yes, waiting that he might be gracious, and stretching out his hand all the day long to a rebellious people, and exercising the richness of his goodness, patience, and long suffering, to bring them to repentance; denying to the most of them to whom he thus affectionately speaks, any possibility of being made clean, of turning to him, or repenting, or escaping death.

4thly. It represents him who faith with the greatest passion, (b) Oh that they were wise, that they would consider their latter end! (c) Oh that my people had hearkened to me, and Israel had walked in my way! (d) Oh that thou hadst hearkened to my commandments! (e) Oh that thou hadst known in this thy day the things which belong to thy peace; and inquireth in the most affecting manner, (f) How shall I give

(b) Deut. v. 29. (c) Psal. lxxxvi. 13. (d) Isa. xlviii. 18. (e) Luke xix. 42. (f) Hos. xi. 8.
Extent of Christ's Redemption.

Thee up, Ephraim? How shall I deliver thee, Israel? How shall I make thee as Admah? How shall I set thee as Zeboim?

My heart is turned in me, my repentings are kindled; after all these seeming transports of affection and desire of their welfare, and yearning bowels of compassion, refusing to have the least compassion for them, and decreeing to leave them without a Savior, without means of being spiritually wise, truly obedient, or having any saving knowledge of the things belonging to their peace.

Gently. It represents him as one encouraging the foolish, and the scorners, (g) to turn at his reproof, by a promise of pouring his spirit on him; the simple (h) to forsake the foolish and live; the wicked man (i) to forsake his way, and turn to the Lord that he may be abundantly pardoned; (k) to cease from evil, and learn to do well; that though his sins were as crimson he might be white as wool; yea, more concerned to find the lost sheep, than for the ninety-nine that went not astray, and rejoicing more at the return of his prodigal Son, than in him who never wandered from him; yet leaving the generality of men under an incapacity to return from their simplicity and folly, or to cease to do evil; leaving the prodigal to famish on his husks, and the lost sheep without ability to return to the shepherd of his soul.

Section 10.—Lastly. This doctrine is visibly destructive of almost all the acts of piety and virtue. And,

1st. All prayer and supplication is the duty of all christians; (l) it is to be performed by them in every place, and at all times (m) without ceasing; it is to be offered up for all christians, and all men, and this in faith, believing that we shall receive our petitions; (n) and all these prayers are to be put up in the name of Christ, imploring all mercies and blessings for his sake, and through his meritorious passion, which how we do seriously, and in faith, if we may reasonably question whether Christ's merits do respect us, or are available in our behalf? How can we do this for all men, provided God himself hath taught us that his Son never died for all, nor did he design his passion for the benefit of all, but only for the benefit of his elect; for whom alone we cannot pray, because we cannot know them? Moreover, we have no access to God the Father but by him by whom we are brought nigh to God; it is through faith in (p) him we have this freedom of access with confidence; it is only through the blood of Jesus that we can come to God with full assurance of faith; how therefore

(g) Prov. i. 22.—(b) Prov. ix. 6.—(i) Isa. v. 7.—(k) Chap. i. 16, 18.—(l) Eph. vi. 18.—(m) 1 Thess. v. 17.—(n) 1 Tim. ii. 1, 2.—(o) Eph. ii. 13, 18.—(p) Heb. x. 19, 20.
can we have access to him in our prayers for pardon, or for any other spiritual blessings for all men through him, or through the blood of Christ, if he did not shed his blood for all? (q) I exhort, faith the apostle, that prayers and intercessions for spiritual blessings, deprecation for the averting of those judgments which our sins deserve, and giving of thanks for the blessings which they have received, be made for all men. Now if, as there we read, God would have all men to be saved, and Christ hath given himself a ransom for all; it is easy to discern how we may pray in faith for all these blessings to all men; but if, according to the doctrine maintained in express contradiction to these words, Christ did not give himself a ransom for all, but for the elect only, who are known to God alone; and if God intended not the salvation of all men, but of his elect only, it is hard to conceive how we should thus pray for all in faith, since it must then be certain that we cannot put up our petitions for them in the name, and through the merits of that Jesus who never died for the most of them, or have a freedom of access to the Father for them through that blood which by him never was intended to be shed to procure pardon, or any other spiritual blessing for them. (r) I will, faith the same apostle, that men pray everywhere, lifting up pure hands without doubting: Which precept how can any man observe? i.e. How can he pray with confidence, who is not assured that Christ is his and their Savior for whom he prays? Or that God, for Christ’s sake, is disposed to grant his request? It is a great encouragement to pray for pardon, and for the saving grace of God, to believe that God is truly willing and devisive to afford them to all that do thus ask and seek them, and are ready to use the means prescribed by him to enjoy them; and that his Savior died to procure this pardon, and these blessings to all men thus desirous of them, thus willing to do all they can to have them. Whereas the contrary apprehension must needs damp devotion, and render us unable to come to God with that faith he so expressly doth require, and without that doubtfulness and doublemindedness he as expressly doth forbid; for when a man must thus conceive within himself, perhaps God hath loved me; perhaps he from eternity hath passed an act of preterition on me, and so can never have any regard to my welfare; perhaps Christ died to procure pardon and salvation for me upon possible and reasonable terms, if I be willing to perform them; perhaps he never intended to procure any blessing for me by his death; surely he can apply himself to God for any of these blessings but faintly and distressfully.

(q) Tim. ii. 1. — (r) Ver. 8.
who in this taught, to doubt whether he hath any redeemer or mediator, or not.

ady. It is the duty of all Christians (f) to give thanks always for all things to God in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, (t) to offer the sacrifice of praise continually by him; (u) to give thanks to God the Father by him. (w) In every thing give thanks, faith the apostle, for this is the will of God in Christ Jesus concerning you; and this we are to do for all men. That opinion therefore which obstructs this gratitude, which deprives God of his praise, and stops our mouths from being opened in thanksgivings to him, must be repugnant both to scripture, and to reason. Now sure most men can never be obliged to thank God for sending his Son into the world, if he was never sent with a design of doing good to them; or Christ for their redemption, if they were not redeemed by him; they cannot heartily respect the kindness of their God and Savior, who know not that he is their Savior, or that his kindness ever was designed for them, or will be extended to them; they cannot give thanks to God for all men, who are obliged to believe he hath no kindness for the greatest part of men; so that either Christ is an universal Savior, or the greatest part of Christians are disoblige and incapacitated reasonably to thank or to praise him for any thing that he hath done or suffered. If indeed God to redeem men from eternal wifh was so kindly affected towards them, as to provide for all that were obnoxious to it, a Savior from the wrath to come, all men may easil discern how much they are obliged to praise and celebrate him for his favor and mercy. If our Lord Jesus for us men and for our salvation came down from heaven, and was made man, and was crucified for us under Pontius Pilate, then may we say with saints and angels, (x) worthy is the Lamb that was slain to receive honor, and glory, and blessing, for he hath redeemed us to God by his blood. But then supposing Christ is not the redeemer of all, but of those only who shall be finally saved, these grounds of thankfulness cannot respect all Christians, but rather those alone who are assured of their salvation.

ady. The great duty required both of Jew and Gentile, is to love the Lord with all our hearts; this, saith our Lord, is the great commandment most certainly obliging all mankind. Now if God hath been so kindly affected to all men, so careful of their welfare, as, for procuring and promoting their salvation, to send his own beloved Son to be their Savior, and to give him up to the death that they might live through him:

that he doth heartily design their happiness, and hath put no
bar on his part to the obtaining of it; they must have also
the highest obligations to love him as their gracious friend
and benefactor, and to say with the royal Psalms, (y.) love
the Lord, O my soul, and forget not all his benefits, who re-
decmeth thy life from destruction, and crowneth thee with mer-
cy, and with loving kindness to the they have to love
Surely they cannot be obliged to love him for that redemption
which was intended for them, or for that grace
which will not be vouchsafed to them.

4thly. The doctrine of general redemption layeth the greatest
obligations on us to fear the Lord and his goodness, and to
perform our duty to him from the reemtment of his kindness
to us, and the assurance it affords us that our sincere endeav-
or to perform it will find acceptance with him. For if God
thus loved us first, surely we all stand bound to shew our love
to him again by that obedience which is the only test of our
sincere affection; if Christ hath bought us with the price of
his own precious blood, we ought to glorify him with our
souls and bodies which are his. But how can he be moved to
serve God in consideration of these benefits, who is ignorant
that they were intended for him? How can any man apply
himself cheerfully to serve that God, whose favorable infe-
nation towards him, whose readiness to accept his service he
doubteth of. It is partly the benefits we have received, and
partly the advantages we may receive from a superior, which
are the prevailing motives to serve him. If then God never
intended any benefit to the greatest part of mankind, if they
have no beloved through whom their perfoms and perform-
ces can be accepted, if through the want of an interest in
Christ they never can have any advantage by him, or any
spiritual blessing; must they not be entirely deprived of
these prevailing motives to the service of their God and Sav-
ior?

5thly. The doctrine of universal redemption tends highly
to the promotion of God's glory, it gives him the glory of his
free love, rich goodness, great mercy and compassion to the
sons of men, far above the contrary doctrine; for if to re-
deem any doth magnify his goodness, to redeem many doth
increase it, to redeem all doth advance it to the highest pitch;
for the more are benefited; the greater is the glory of the ben-
cefactor. For should a King finding five thousand of his sub-
jects under miserable thraldom redeem one hundred of them,
leaving the rest, as worthy of his favor, and in equal need of it, to perish in their misery; would he magnify his love, goodnes, his pity, and compassion to them as much as if he had extended his love and goodnes to them all? Had they all forfeited equally his favor, would his mercy be as much magnified in pardoning a hundred of them, as in pardoning them all? If the King should declare that now was the time his love unto his subjects should appear, that he would shew himself gracious to them all, and that he delighted in exercis-ing loving kindness to them all, and was unwilling any one of them should perish, that he had tender bowels of compassion for them, and that whosoever of them was not redeemed and saved, were despisers of his rich goodnes, and neglectors of his great salvation; would it not highly tend to his dishonor, that after all these declarations he intended not his favor to any more of them? Would not all men think he acted insincerely, and very unsuitably to these declarations of his love and kindness to them all? And shall we then impute this to the great God of love, our heavenly Father, and benign governor, which in an earthly Prince we should account delusory and insincere, inhumane and unmerciful?

6thly. This doctrine of general redemption doth best instruct us how to imitate the goodnes, mercy and compassion of our God, even by being kind and merciful to all, and ready to procure, as much as in us lies, the welfare of all men. We are called (2) to love our enemies, and to be kind to them that hate us, (a) and to do good to them, that we may be the children of our heavenly Father, (b) may be merciful as our Father is merciful, he being kind to the unthankful and the evil; and are thus taught of God to love one another, and to be bound in love one to another, and to all men, (c) to be kind one to another, tender hearted, forgiving one another even as God for Christ's sake hath forgiven us; and that no forgivenes is to be expected from God's hands, (d) if we do not every one from his heart forgive his brother's trespasses. Now if God's love to men be not as large as the affection and desire of doing good must be in us, if he be not as ready to forgive every one that repeats their trespasses, as we are bound to be, how can we be obliged to this extensive charity and mercy by his example, and bound to exercise it that we may be like him? And not rather bound to contract our love and mercy, lest we should exceed our pattern, and should overreach him? Should we imagine that the bowels of God's love and mercy were confined to some few, and all that Christ did and

(2) Matth. v. 44, 48. — (a) Luke vi. 35, 36. — (b) 1 Theiff. iii. 12. iv. 9. — (c) Eph. iv. 32. — (d) Matth. xviii. 35.
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Suffered was only designed for the good of a small remnant of mankind, to imitate this God would be, for any thing I can discern to the contrary, to pretend kindness to mankind, in general, but only to intend it to some few; whereas if God is truly willing to have mercy upon all, if he delivered up his Son for us all, our love and mercy, would we be imitators of him, must be truly general.

Answer. Nor is it a sufficient answer to this argument to say that God is kind to all in temporals, by (e) giving unto all men fruitful seasons, (f) causing his sun to shine, and his rain to fall upon the evil and the good. For,

Reply.—(g) If all these temporal enjoyments without that grace and interest in Christ which is denied them, can only be abused to the aggravation of their guilt and punishment, what kindness is it to enjoy them?

adly. This is a farther confirmation of our doctrine; for if God be so bountiful to all men in things temporal, if he prefers their life from destruction, if he freely openeth his hand, and filleth all things living with plentifulness; can we think that he should altogether neglect their spiritual estate, and leave their souls to starve and perish everlastingly without using any means for their recovery? Doth God take care for oxen more than men, faith the apostle? Doth he, I say, who takes such care of human bodies, make no provision for their souls? He that giveth to all men life, breath, and all things, will he withhold from any the best of gifts, and most worthy of him to give, that grace by which alone they are enabled to serve and glorify him, and do what is well pleasing in his sight? (g) They think unworthily of God, faith the Pagan philosopher, who represent him liberal in giving lesser matters, posse de ut re uirtutem operor, but sparing in the gifts of piety and virtue, especially seeing he hath declared that he confers these temporal enjoyments on them on purpose to engage them to seek and serve him, and to be thankful to him, expecting they should have these spiritual effects upon them, (h) that they should fear the Lord their God who giveth them rain, the former and the latter in his season, and refereth them to the appointed weeks of harvest; and (i) to instruct them that they should seek the Lord so as to find him; where to seek the Lord is so to seek after him that they might truly know and worship him as God. To find him is to obtain his grace and favor, and to find him good and propitious to them, and a warden of them who diligently seek him; as hath been largely proved. Note on Acts xvii. 27. and on Acts xiv. 17.
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7thly. This truth administers just ground of comfort to the greatest sinner, when through the terror of God's threats, and the convictions of his conscience, he is forced to cry out, O miserable man that I am! It is indeed but little comfort that we can administer to him upon this supposition, that Christ only died for, and that God will only give sufficient grace to, a small remnant of mankind; since then it is great odds against him, that he is not of that little number, and so his hope can be but little; but if we can assure him that Christ tasted death for every man, and intercedeth now in heaven for every penitent believer, and that God will graciously admit of the return of every prodigal to him, that he delights to see it, that he exceedingly rejoiceth at the return of every lost sheep, that he has told us, as he lives, he takes the greatest pleasure in it, and that he hath been thus long-suffering to him in particular, because he is not willing he should perish but rather should come unto repentance; he can have no just reason to continue in a desponding state, but thankfully admiring the greatness of his patience, to resolve now to be led by it to repentance, and to set cheerfully upon the means prescribed for his reformation, as knowing that the Lord will be with him.

Lastly. This doctrine gives life and energy to all our exhortations to the sinner to return and live; whereas the contrary persuasion robs them of their strength and virtue. For were not God willing that all men should be saved and come to repentance, or had not Christ died for them, our exhortations to our whole congregation to believe and repent would be vain and sinful; for then we should exhort the greatest part of them to do that which God was not willing they should do, and should inform them that he wills what he doth not. We know not how to put the question to them seriously, why they will die? If we know nothing to the contrary but that it is the will, and the decree of God that they should die; or to inquire, how they will escape, if they neglect so great salvation? If it might never be designed for them, or if they had no power to help it; but we may briskly put the question, how shall we escape, if we neglect so great salvation which is freely tendered to, and was certainly designed for us, and which we cannot miss of but by our vile neglect of grace, vouchsafed on purpose that we might obtain it. We may inquire boldly, why despiseth thou the riches of that goodness which was vouchsafed on purpose to lead thee to repentance? And that long suffering which was designed to prevent thy ruin? Why will you die, when God is so unwilling you should perish, and is so passionately desirous you should be forever happy? Why, after all his great compassions for you, will you have no pity on
yourselves? Why will you choose to be the objects of his wrath, when there is nothing written on his part, to make you objects of his everlasting love? If so, must not our mouths eternally be stopped when God shall plead in fury with us, or only opened to confess we have destroyed ourselves, when of him would have been our safety?

Section XI.—Now, from these considerations we shall discern an easy answer to an objection levelled against the force of them, viz. that after all our quarrelling about this affair, we seem both at last to say the same thing; the one that Christ will have none but the elect; the other that he will only save those who perform the conditions of the new covenant. Now, these are the same men both for number and quality. And the actual salvation of men being not enlarged by this doctrine, it seems not to be more much more worthy of God, or to represent him more a lover of souls, or more concerned for their welfare than the other. To this I answer,

Answer. That though the persons saved, be eventually the same, yet the doctrine is by no means the same; nor is the honor of God as much consulted, or his love to souls as much demonstrated by the one as by the other. For,

1. Is it the same thing to say salvation was by God's gracious promise intended even for them that perished, so that they could not have missed of it, but by sallidious contempt of God's rich grace vouchsafed to prevent their ruin, and by alleging in plain contradiction to the reason, confidence, and natural delices of mankind; and being deaf to all those powerful motives to repent and live, the gospel offers; and to say that no salvation ever was by God designed for them, nor any grace afforded which could make it possible for them not to perish?

2. Is it of the same import to say the greatest part of the world cannot be saved, because that God, of whom cometh salvation, designed no salvation for them, and the Saviour of men died not for them; and so if they are damned for unbelief, they must be damned for what they neither could do, nor were by any law of God obliged to do, that is for no transgression? And to say they cannot be saved: because they would not come to that Jesus, who died for them that they might have life; they would not obey the commands of the God, who, out of love to them, sent his Son into the world that the world by him might be saved? No; not when he came to be reconciled to, him, and gave them all the necessary means of salvation, and all the internal graces that might be offered to reasonable creatures to improve them to that end? And all this with God's aid.

3. Is it all one to say these are not saved because they want sufficient means on God's part to render their salvation
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possible? And to say, they only are not saved, because when they have salvation freely tendered to them, and means sufficient to obtain it, and the most powerful inducements to use them to that end, they stubbornly refuse, they willfully despise and wickedly neglect this great salvation.

4thly. Is it a thing as worthy of God to represent the God of love as an hater of the greatest part of mankind, as to say he truly loves them, and passionately desires their salvation? Or that God, who is rich in goodness, plenteous in mercy, and of great pity to men, as one who had determined, before he made them, to withhold from the greatest part of men, his saving goodness, mercy and compassion; as it is to represent him extending his rich goodness, mercy and compassion to all the fouls that he hath made? Is it all one to represent the God of truth and sincerity, full of guile, deceit, dissimulation and hypocrisy; and to represent him truly declaring he would have all men to be saved, and sincerely promising salvation to them, upon terms possible and reasonable, and which can only be refused by men who willfully despise, and wickedly neglect that salvation which they might obtain? And,

Lastly. Is it the same thing to advance a doctrine which is visibly destructive of all the acts of piety and virtue, faith and repentance; of all encouragements to pray to God, to praise him for his mercies; to love, to fear, to serve, to glorify him, and to imitate his exemplary perfections; as to assert a doctrine which plainly doth encourage and lay the highest obligations on men to the performance of these duties? If not, then though the persons eventually saved will be the same, the doctrine which is cloysed with all these absurdities, can never be the same with that which is entirely free from them.

Objection 2. And whereas it is further said, that God is no debtor to any man; he was at perfect freedom whether he would have mercy to any, or make provision for the salvation of the smallest number; and so he could not be termed unmerciful, had he made no provision for the salvation of any, much less in not making provision for the salvation of all. To this I answer,

1st. That God is no debtor to the righteous, they being only (k) unprofitable servants when they have done their best, and therefore not thankworthy, faith our Lord; but is it therefore consistent with his love to righteousness, to call men to the greatest felsenial and sufferings for his sake, and not reward their constancy to him? Why then doth the apostle say (l) it is a righteous thing with God, to recompense to you that are troubled rest with us, since otherwise they would be

(k) Luke xvii. 10.—(l) 2 Thess. i. 6. See the note there.
obliged to perseverance to the end, and to be faithful to the death without the prospect of a recompence, and so without sufficient motive to do so. Or is it consistent with his truth to promise them a reward, and not perform it? Why then doth the apostle say, his promise gives strong consolation, because it is impossible for God to lie? He doth not owe them that assistance he affords them under these sufferings, and yet the apostle faith, (m) God is faithful, who will not suffer you to be tempted above what you are able, &c.

Again, God is no debtor to the sinner, though he is so to God; but is he therefore not obliged by his hatred of sin, and by his threats, and by that justice which inclines him to deal with all men according to their works, to punish the offender? So in like manner God is no debtor to any man; but yet he is most certainly obliged by the perfection of his own nature to act suitably to his attributes; his justice therefore binds him not to command on the severest penalties what he knows his servants were by him made and sent into the world unable to perform; or to forbid, on pain of his eternal wrath, what they were never able to avoid; and his justice obliges him not to encourage them to do, that which he knows they cannot do; and yet in all these cases he is antecedently resolved not to enable them to do, or to avoid. Gods grace they say is free, and he is not obliged to give it. I answer, this is true, if he doth not require that which cannot be performed without it; but to exact what I can never do without it under the most dreadful penalties, and yet deny that grace, is to act like those Egyptian taskmasters, who called for brick, when they allowed no straw.

Answer 2.—adly. I verily believe, that if a good and gracious God will give being to any man, he is obliged by his goodness to render that being capable of enjoying the natural desires he hath implanted in it, or at least not to make it so as that it should be better it had never been; or that it unavoidably should be subject to such miseries as render its condition worse than not being. And adly. That if he would make a creature under an obligation to serve him, he must prefer laws by which he will be served, and annex rewards to his obedience, and penalties to his refusal of obedience to them, according to those words of the apostle, (a) he that cometh to God must believe that he is, and that he is a rewarder of them that diligently seek him; for what engagement, what motive can he have to serve him, who neither can expect advantage by his obedience, or disadvantage by neglecting it? And certain it is, that God never made any man otherwise; God

(m) Heb. vi. 18.—(a) 1 Cor. x. 13.—(o) Heb. xi. 6.
therefore is so much a debtor to his own wisdom, justice and
goodness, that we may be sure he never could make any man
to be inevitably miserable, or under a worse state than nonex-
istence; and never made a man to serve him without ability,
or a sufficient motive so to do. Now the Corollaries, or in-
ferrances, which follow necessarily from this doctrine, are
two.

SECTION XII.—18. That God hath passed no absolute
decree of reprobation upon the greatest part of mankind; that
is, he hath not absolutely decreed to exclude the greatest part
of them from saving mercy; and then by an immediate con-
sequence it follows, that there is no absolute decree of election
of a certain number of particular persons to salvation: For, as
(Tertullian truly faith, prælatio unius fine alterius contu-
melia effe non potest, nec ulla elefio non reprobatione com-
ponitur, there can be no election of some, without the preterition
of the rest.

...ady. That he hath not made it absolutely necessary to the
salvation of all men to do those things which they, without the
assistance of his special grace, can never do, and yet hath per-
emptorily determined not to vouchsafe that grace to many of
them; this being an effect an absolute decree of reprobation,
or that which leaves these men under an absolute exclusion
from salvation, and so under a sad necessity of suffering the
wrath to come. And can any reasonable man imagine that
God should be unwilling any man should perish; yea, that he
should send his Son into the world, that whosoever believeth in
him should not perish; and yet himself decree, that they should
perish, or be externally excluded from his saving mercy?
That he should truly be delirous that all should come unto repen-
tance, and not be willing to vouchsafe that grace without which
they cannot repent? After those solemn declarations God
hath made, that he would not the death of him that dies, but
would have all men to be saved; after his sacred oath by his
own life, that he delights not in the death of sinners, but would
they should return and live: After all his serious commands,
his frequent calls and invitations of all men to repentance that
they may not perish; after his inquiries and expostulations,
why will you die, will you not be made clean, when shall it
once be? and his complaints of the impenitent, that they
would not turn and seek God; they would not come to him that
they might have life; after such pathetical desires as these, Oh
that there were such a heart in them that they would fear me
always! Oh that they had known the things which did belong
to their peace! After he hath declared to all his readiness to

(q) Apol. c. 13. ad Nat. c. 10.—(q) Jer. xiii. 27.

U
pardon, his delight in shewing mercy, and that the riches of his goodness and long-suffering is designed to lead them to repentance; after his frequent declarations that he would have gathered them who would not be gathered, he would have purged them who were not purged; and that he had done all that could be reasonably expected from him for that end; after his express declaration, that he sent not his Son into the world to condemn the world, but that the world through him might be saved; I say, after all these things, to dream of any absolute decree of God excluding most of them he speaks of; or thus addresseth himself to, from a possibility of repenting that they might be saved, or doubt his willingnes to afford them grace sufficient to perform this duty, is to cast an horrible reproach upon the truth and the sincerity of God, and in effect to say he only tenders salvation to the most upon impossible conditions, and only doth delude them with vain hopes.

Section XIII.—I should now proceed to the confirmation of this doctrine from the suffrage of all antiquity; but this is sufficiently done by (r) Vossius, in his Historia Pelagiana, where he affirms and proves,

3dly. That Veteris aetate ecclesiae sententia fuit, velle dixit, quod illa conversionem et salutem omnium; the doctrine of the ancient church was this, that God would have all men to be converted and saved.

4dly. That (f) Veteris aetate judicium fuit, chrisitum pro culpa universalis hominibus providisse et remedio universalii, solvendo αὐτον infinti pretii, ne ejus defectu periret quod quam; this was the judgment of the ancient church, the Christ had provided for the fault of all men by an universal remedy, viz. by paying a price of infinite value, lest any one should perish through the defect of it.

5dly. This is more copiously done by (t) Mr. Dalling, by producing the testimonies of the ancients from the first to the twelfth century, and concluding thus, certe qui chrifum pro suis electis mortuum absolute dixerit offo prioribus chrifianifmi feculii invenio nemen; certainly I find not one who, during the eight first ages of christianity, ever said or professed, that Christ died only for the elect.

CHAPTER VII.

PROCEED, lastly, to consider the objections made from national accounts against this doctrine, viz.

SECTION I.—Objection 1. It is not reasonable to believe that Christ should die in vain, with respect to any, whereas it is had died for all, he must have died in vain, with respect to the greatest part of mankind.

Answer. To this the answer is apparent, it being evident, that all those acts of divine grace, whose effect depends upon he will of man, or which are offered to him upon conditions which he may perform or not, are, through man’s wickedness, so oft done, and offered in vain, as that imports their being one, and offered without any benefit man receiveth by them: or in instance, how do both (a) Moses and the (b) Psalmist signify the divine goodness in giving his word, and making known his statutes and his ordinances to Israel? and yet the prophet Jeremy introduces God complaining thus of them who knew not the judgments and the law of the Lord, (c) In vain hath he made it, the pen of the Scribe is in vain. Again, as not God’s fatherly corrections designed for the good of his children, to teach them (d) wisdom by the rod, and obedience by the things they suffer; and make them say, (e) I have borne correction, I will not offend any more; and yet when they had lost this good effect upon them, doth not God say (f) in vain have I smitten them, they have refused to receive correction? Was not the publication of the gospel to the Gentiles the greatest blessing that ever God vouchsafed to them; and yet doth not the apostle of the Gentiles say to the Galatians thus (g) I am afraid of you, lest I have bestowed upon you labor in vain? Does he not write to the Thessalonians thus, (h) I sent to know your state, lest the tempter should have tempted you, and our labor be in vain? Does he not exhort the Philippians (i) to hold fast the word of life, that he might rejoice that he had not run in vain, nor labored in vain? What an infinite mercy was it that the grace of God which

(a) Deut. iv. 6, 7, 8. — (b) Psal. cxi.ii, 19, 20. — (c) Jer. viii. 8. — (d) Prov. xxvi. 19. — Micah vi. 9. — (e) Jeb xxxiv. 31. — (f) Jer. ii. 30. — (g) Gal. iv. 11. — (h) 1 Thess. iii. 5. — (i) Phil. ii. 16.
brings salvation had appeared to all men; and yet doth not the apostle earnestly beseech the Corinthians (k) that they would not receive this grace of God in vain? And doth not this signify his fears they might do so? And to come to the infallence of this objection, when the evangelical prophet foretells of Christ's being sent to the stubborn Jews, doth he not introduce him thus complaining, (l) I have labored in vain, I have spent my strength in vain? Doth not St. Paul declare to his Galatians, that if they yet ought to be justified by the law, (m) Christ should profit them nothing; he was become of none effect to them, and so, as to them, he was dead in vain? To say indeed Christ died to no purpose, or to no good end, is a great absurdity; but to say he died in vain, eventuually, for them who will not repent or believe in him, it none at all.

SECTION II.—Objection 2.—Adly. It is objected, that a general will that all men should be saved, carries some marks of imperfection in it, as representing God willing somewhat which he would not accomplish; whereas infinite perfection can wish nothing but what it can execute, and if it be fit for him to wish it, it must be fit for him to execute it.

Answer. This objection advances a metaphysical nicety against the clearest revelations of the holy scripture; for if God wills, or in the scripture import, wistheth nothing but what he also doth think fit to execute, what is the meaning of all these passionate expressions? (n) Oh, that my people had hearkened to me, and Israel had walked in my ways, even that Israel, whom for rejecting me, I have now given up to her own heart's lusts; (o) Oh that thou hadst hearkened to my commandments; (p) Oh that they were wise, that they would consider their latter end, faith God to the same obstinate revolting people; and after such serious wishes, can any one be tempted to believe God did not seriously desire it should be otherwise with them, and even do all that it was fit for him to do, in order that it might be otherwise? Why else doth he inquire, (q) What could I have done more to make my vineyard fruitful? When our Saviour speaks thus to the Jews, How oft would I have gathered you as a hen gathereth her chickens under her wings; and says, Oh that thou hadst known in this thy day, the things that do belong to thy peace, weeping at her neglect to do so; can we reasonably doubt the truth, or the sincerity of his desire for their good, though through their infidelity and pervereness he did not procure it? Or will any body

Now, he did not all that on his part was fitting to be done towards their reformation?

Adly. Let us consider the dreadful consequences of this assertion, that God wills not what he does not fit to execute; they being plainly these; that God is not willing any should obey his will, who doth not obey it; that he is not unwilling any one should sin, whom he restrains not from it, and that he is not willing any one should repent, who doth not repent; that when they still continue, and even die in their impiety, they do nothing contrary to the will of God, because they do nothing he saw fit to hinder; and can there be any force in an objection of which these are the plain, but dreadful consequences? Like to this,

Section III.—Objection 3. Adly. Is that objection; that if Christ died for all men, and all are not saved, the wisdom of God must be defective and imperfect; for to fall short of what a man intends; argues a deficiency in point of wisdom.

Answer. If this be so, then every prince that cannot make his subjects as good as he intends they should be, every parent that cannot make his children so, every good master that cannot prevail with his servants to be so, every man that cannot persuade his friend or neighbor to be as good as he would have him to be, and every schoolmaster who cannot make his scholar as learned as he intends he should be, must be deficient in wisdom; which yet it is ridiculous to affirm, seeing to make a person who hath a freedom over his own will, to be what any good and wise man doth intend he should be, depends not wholly on his wisdom or persuasion, but on the disposition and inclination of him with whom he hath to do, to hearken to, and comply with his persuasions. The supposition therefore of this objection must be false. And,

Adly. If a God perfect in wisdom can intend nothing but what he actually doth compass and perform, it plainly follows, that he intended not by his prohibition of sin that any person should avoid, or abstain from it, who doth not actually do so; or by his exhortations to repentance, holiness, obedience, that any person should repent, be holy, or obedient, who is not actually so; and why then doth he blame, or punish them for that iniquity he never did intend they should avoid, or for the want of that repentance and obedience he never did intend they should perform? Like to this is a

Section IV.—Objection 4. Fourth objection, which faith, if Christ died for all men, and all men are not saved, then is not God omnipotent, since he could not apply to them that benefit which he was willing should be procured for them.
Answer 1st. When it is said in scripture that God could not do this or that, this doth not signify a want of power in him to do it, but a want of will and a perver seness or evil disposition in others obtruding his kind influences on, or intentions towards them. As when God inquires (r) What could I have done more for my vineyard which I have not done? When he faith, (s) Though Moses and Samuel stood before me, my mind could not be towards this people; and the prophet, that (t) the Lord could no longer forbear, because of their abominations; as then Christ (u) could do no mighty works in his own country, because of their unbelief; so here the benefit which by the death of Christ is procured for all who do believe in him, cannot by God be applied to men obliquely rejecting Christ, and refusing to own him as their Savior, because of their unbelief.

Answer 2dly. According to this way of arguing, it follows, that if all men do not actually enjoy what God is willing they should have, or be partakers of all the benefits conditionally intended by him, or by his Son procured for them, he cannot be omnipotent; and why then doth he say, (v) We would have healed Babylon, and she would not be healed; (w) I would have purged Jerusalem, but she would not be purged? Why doth Christ say unto the same Jerusalem, how oft would I have gathered thy children as a hen gathereth her chickens under her wings, and ye would not? In a word, do all men actually enjoy those blessings, temporal, spiritual, or eternal, which God conditionally hath, or did ever promise to them? Or is it for want of ability in God that they do not enjoy them, or want of will in God that they should have what he thus promised? Or is it not wholly from the unwillingness of men, to perform the conditions upon which only they are promised? How oft doth God declare, that (x) he sent to them all his servants the prophets, rising up early, and sending them, saying, return ye now every man from his evil way, and amend your doings, and go not after other gods, and you shall dwell in the land which I have given you, and I will do you no hurt? This he did, 1. (y) Because he had compassion on his people, and was very unwilling they should perish in their sins. 2dly. This he did with the greatest solicitude and care, to prevent their ruin, as that phrase, he sent his prophets, rising up early, and sending them, plainly imports; 3dly. The reason why all these exhortations of God by his prophets became ineffectual, and had not the desired effect, was this:

because they inclined not the ear, and hearkened not to his word; but said, (a) We will walk after our own devices, and we will every one do the imagination of his evil heart. 46. Observe that therefore the wrath of God arose against his people, so that there was no remedy; Heb. no healing; not sure—because an omnipotent God was not able to heal them, or a good God, who out of compassion to them, and solicitude for their welfare sent all his prophets to reclaim them; would not heal them; but, because they despised his words, and would not be healed, but would every one do the imagination of his evil heart.

SECTION V.—Objection 5. The fifth objection is but the first in other words, viz. That if Christ died for all men, and all men come not to be saved; then the great love of God in giving his Son to men is useless and unprofitable, for to what purpose, or of what use is the love of God, and the gift of his Son to men, if he doth not withal give them faith in his Son?

Answer. As if all God’s acts of grace and favor to men, which are not effectual, through men’s pervercity and the stubbornness of their wills, to obtain his gracious purposes, must be vain and fruitless on his part, it he also giveth not that grace which will make them effectual to his ends; and we might reasonably inquire, to what purpose was it then riches of God’s goodness, patience and long-suffering to the Jews which did not lead them to repentance? Or of what use was it if he did not give them repentance unto life? To what purpose was it that the grace of God, which brings salvation, hath appeared to all men, if all men were not actually taught and engaged by it, denying all ungodliness and worldly lusts, to live righteously, soberly and godly in this present world? To what purpose are all God’s prohibitions and revelations from heaven against all unrighteousness, and ungodliness if he doth not by his grace effectually restrain them from these things? Or of what use are his commands, if he doth not by his grace constrain men to obey them; or all his gracious calls, if he doth not effectually engage men to answer them?

SECTION VI.—Objection 6. No man wittingly pays a price of redemption for a captive, which he certainly knows this miserable man will never be the better for; Christ therefore paid no price of redemption for any man, who will never be the better for it.

Answer 39. To shew the absurdity of this objection, let it be considered, that it depends entirely on this foundation, that

(a) Jer. xviii. 12.
God and Christ never did, or could, do that to any persons who they knew they would never be the better for; which that it extremely false, all his dispensations from the beginning the world do testify. For,

Did he not send his spirit to strive with the old world? Did he not allow them the space of an hundred and twenty years to repent in, though he knew they would not be the better for it?

Did he not send to the Jews his prophets, rising up can and sending them to admonish them to turn from their way, that they might not be carried away captive? Did he not chastise them when they refused to receive correction? Did he not use the greatest diligence to make his vineyard bring forth good grapes, when it brought forth only sour grapes? Did he not use means to purge them when thou would not be purged? Were not all his promises made to encourage them to the performance of their duty, that it might be well with them, and all his threats to deter them from the iniquity? And shall we deny that God did these things? these ends, because his wisdom knew they would not have these salutary effects upon them?

Again, doth not God reveal his gospel, offer his grace, send his ambassadors to call them to faith and repentance, whom he knew would never be the better for these things? Did not Christ come to his own kingdom, who received him not? Did he not speak to them that they might be saved, who would not come to him that they might have life? Did he not deal with them, who would not be gathered, how oft would I have gathered you? And did he not know what would be the issue of his coming, his speaking to, and his endeavors to, them good? Wherefore in all exhortations and persuasions and all moral means whose effect depends upon the will of man, it is sufficient that they are proper means for producing the designed end, and that God knows they may be, and they act according to that reason and discretion he hath given them, they will be better for them; otherwise we may argue this objection doth, no good man would put another into state in which he knows he will be miserable, and therefore good God would never make those men he knows will final be so. No good prince would have any subjects he should be forced to cut off; and therefore a good God would not give being to those men of the old world, which his vindicative justice forced him to destroy.

Answer 2.—edly. I answer, that this objection is built upon a false supposition, viz. that Christ paid no such price for them that perish, as for them that will be saved; the price in both was one and the same, his sufferings on the cross, b
blood shed for the remission of sins; and thus he equally must have suffered for the redemption of any sinner from death, as for the redemption of all, as under the old law the same sacrifice was offered to make atonement for a single person, and for the whole nation of the Jews; that any receive remission of sins by virtue of his death, is, because they, through faith in his blood, are justified, and so have peace with God; and that all do not so, is not for want of an atonement made for them by the same blood, but for want of that faith and those conditions of the new covenant, which can alone give them an interest in that atonement.
CHAPTER I.

The State of the Question.

Or the right stating of this question it will be requisite to shew,

I. What is the scripture import of the word Grace.

II. What is the manner of the operation of this Grace upon the soul, to convert, or to dispose it to what is spiritually good.

III. What renders it efficacious in some, and not in others to produce faith, repentance and conversion of the soul to God, and what is the account the scripture, and our blessed Savior giveth of this matter.

Section I.—To begin with the first particular, Grace in the scripture, when it is styled the grace of God imports his
favor, and his kind affection to us, as hath been largely proved in the note on 2 Cor. vi. 1. Accordingly,

18. The gospel preached to Jew and Gentile, is styled (a) the grace of God which brings salvation; (b) the word of his grace which is able to build us up, nai diou, and to give us an inheritance among them that are sanctified. This also must be the import of the word when it is said (c) that the law came by Moses, but grace and truth by Jesus Christ; when the apostles exhort their converts to (d) continue in the grace of God; when they say that God confirmed the word of his grace by doing signs and wonders; when the gospel is styled (e) the word of grace, and the gospel of grace. And this is probably the import of the word in many other places cited by Dr. Hammond, note on Heb. xiii. 9. where, faith he, they that believed through grace, Acts xviii. 27, are they that believed through the preaching of the gospel; and in this sense the grace of God is absolute; there being nothing either in Jew or Gentile which made them worthy of this revelation, nor any condition required on their part that it might be preached to them.

adly. This grace which thus appeared to all men, being σωτήρ, i. e. in its design, and in its influence (where it was not obstructed by men’s infidelity, and love of darkness more than light) saving grace, the calling of men by the preaching of it to the faith, is sometimes said to be the calling them by grace; and when they embrace that call, the saving them by grace, as when it is said, (f) we are saved by the grace of the Lord Jesus Christ; by grace ye are saved, not of works. For seeing this is spoken to men yet alive, and so obliged, (g) to work out their salvation with fear and trembling; it cannot mean that they were actually saved, but only that they were called to a state of salvation, enjoyed the means and were put in the way of salvation by grace (of which import of the word saved, see the note on Eph. ii. 8.) Hence the apostle faith, (h) he hath saved us, and (or that is) called us with an holy calling, according to our works, but the grace given us in Christ Jesus; and to be thus saved by grace, is to be saved by the mercy and favor of God to us, according to these words of the same apostle, (i) when the kindness and love of God our Savior to man appeared, not by works of righteousness which we have done (before faith) but by his mercy he saved us; and this grace is again absolute, it being purely of the mercy, and the free grace of God that any nation is, 

(a) Tit. ii. 11. (b) Acts xx. 32. (c) John i. 17. (d) Acts xi. 18. (e) Acts xx. 32. 24. (f) Acts xv. 11. (g) Eph. vi. 9. (h) 2 Tim. i. 9. (i) Tit. iii. 4, 5.
by the preaching of the gospel to them, called to the know ledge of salvation by Christ.

ady. When men thus called embrace the gospel, and be lieve in Christ, and to obtain the pardon of their past sins, this also is said to be done by grace, we being justified (I) by the grace of God through the redemption that is in Jesus, and obtaining the forgivenes of sins according to the riches of his grace; and though this grace be not absolute but con nitional, we being justified by faith, yet since that faith is not of ourselves, but is the gift of God, and it is of more grace that this act of faith, which delivers nothing, is imputed to us for righteous ness; it is certain that we are justified by the grace of God.

ily. The gift of the spirit, is in the scripture styled the grace of God, especially his extraordinary gifts, as when St. Paul faith, (l) having gifts differing according to the grace that is given to us; whether prophecy, let us prophesy according to the proportion of faith, &c. To the Corinthians he speaks thus, (m) I thank my God for the grace which is given to you, so that you come behind the other churches in no gift. And again, (n) not in fleshly wisdom, but by the grace of God we have had our conversation in the world, and more especially towards you. To the Ephesians thus, (q) to every one of us given grace according to the measure of the gift of Christ. And St. Peter speaketh thus, (r) as every one hath received the gift, so minister the same one to another, as good stewards of the manifold grace of God. On which account they who fell off from the faith, after they had tasted of these gifts, and were made (s) partakers of the Holy Ghost, are said to have defiled the spirit of grace. Now this grace being peculiar to the first ages of the church, can have nothing to do with this controversy; unless by way of proportion and analogy, in which the ordinary gifts and affinities of the holy spirit derived from the same God, may also be called the grace of God.

Now this being all the tenets in which the word grace, or the grace of God is used in the holy scripture, we may hence discern how in the schools, and in our common language, the word grace hath been abused, and wrested from its proper sense; for, whereas, both in the Old and the New Testament, it signifies the favor, goodnness and mercy of God to us, in giving us such and such blessings, they have made it commonly to signify some supernatural and infused habits, or christian virtues, which in the scripture are never flyied grace, but the fruits of the spirit; as Gal. v. 22. Eph. v. 9.

(k) Acts xv. 17. Rom. iii. 24. Eph. i. 7. (l) Rom. xii. 6. — (m) 2 Cor. i. 6. — (n) 2 Cor. i. 12. — See the note there, (q) 7, 8. — (r) 1 Pect. iv. 19. — (s) Heb. vi. 4.— x. 29.
SECOND. I add that besides this calling of men to the profession of the Christian faith, and this vouchsafement of the gospel to them as a rule of life; it seems necessary to assert that God vouchsafes some inward operations or affinances to incline them to what is good, and work conversion in them. For were it otherwise,

18. Why is this spirit styled a quickening, or (t) life-giving spirit? Why is he said to (u) strive with man? Why are wicked men said to (w) resist the Holy Ghost? And why are they who are converted said to (x) be born of the spirit, and to be enabled (y) through the spirit to mortify the deeds of the flesh? For how can he be said to strive with men, or they to resist his motions, if he makes no impressions on our spirits? How can he quicken, work in us the new birth, or enable us to mortify the deeds of the flesh without some vital energy, some renewing operations or powerful affinances to subdue those motions of the flesh which (z) lust against the spirit?

19dly. Why else is it expressly said that (a) God works in us both to will and to do of his own good pleasure, and (b) doth within us what is acceptable in his sight. For sure he cannot properly be said, εἰς τὴν καρδίαν ἡμῶν ἐπὶ τοῦ ἁγίου καιρὸν και τοῦ ἐν γνώσει, to atc and work within us, who does, and worketh nothing in us? How doth the word itself when heard or read work on us, but by making impressions on our minds? And shall that he denied to God himself, which we allow to his word? Or shall he not be believed when he faith, he worketh in us both to will and do, he doth within us what is acceptable in his sight? And,

20dly. Doth it not seem unreasonable to deny that influence to God and his good spirit to incline men to goodnens, which generally is, and must, according to the scripture, be allowed to the evil spirit tempting men to wickedness? Now though this evil spirit cannot lay us under a necessity of doing wickedly, yet is he represented in the scripture as the great tempter to sin, which he can only be immediately by raising some ideas in our brain which do excite, dispose and move us as our own thoughts or inward sentiments at other times are wont to do, to what is evil; he also is styled (c) that spirit which, εἰς τὴν καρδίαν, works inwardly in the children of disobedience; which words seem plainly to import some inward energy of Satan to excite them to this disobedience; seeing then (d) stronger is he that is in us, than he that is in the world, i. e. that good spirit who dwells in pious men, is more powerful:

in them than Satan is in wicked men, we must allow this good spirit to work inwardly in the children of obedience, as Satan is allowed to work in his own children. Moreover, the evil spirit is represented as (c) a lying spirit in the mouth of Ahab's prophets; (f) he moved David to number the people; (g) he entered into Judas; (h) he filled the heart of Ananias to lie to the Holy Ghost; all which things cannot be accounted for without allowing him some power to work upon the minds of those persons, so as to raise within them some such ideas as would excite and stir them up to the performance of those actions. When therefore in like manner God is laid to (i) put a new spirit, and to put his spirit within us, to create in us a clean heart, and renew in us a right spirit, to put his fear, and his law in our hearts, to circumcise, and (k) to convert the heart; if he, by his good spirit raifeth no good motions or ideas in us which may dispose us to his fear, and by attention to them may convert and cleanse our hearts, if he vouchsafes to us no inward illuminations by attending to which we may discern the wonderful things of his law, what can these words or metaphors import? Seeing the heart is purified by an inward change and temper which renders it averse from sin, and sets the affections, desires and inclinations of the soul against it; seeing the deeds of the flesh are only mortified by such a renovation of the mind as makes us to discern the pernicious effects and dreadful consequences of living still according to our fleshly appetites, and so begets a dread and hatred of them, a resolution to forfake them, a vehement desire to be freed from them, a sincere endeavor to resist the motions of the flesh, and a care that we do not for the future yield obedience to it in the luflings of it; if there be no renovation wrought in us by the affiance and cooperation of the Spirit, how can we possibly conceive God should put in us a new spirit, create in us a clean heart, renew in us a right spirit, circumcise and convert the heart, or put his fear into it, if his good spirit works nothing on the mind and the affections of a man, and consequently upon his will to make this change within us?

To say that all these operations of the holy spirit were peculiar to the first ages of the church, and therefore are now ceased, is in effect to make the dispensation of the gospel ceafe, the difference between that and the law being by the apostle placed in this, that the one is the ministration of the letter only, the other also of the spirit; and if it were so only,
whilst the extraordinary dispensations of the spirit lasted, then
from the time that they have ceased, the gospel doth not in
this differ from the law, or deserve to be preferred before it
upon that account. And,

4thly. The denial of this assistance seems to take off from
the energy of prayer in general, and from the virtue of prayer
for the holy spirit in particular, and so to make men slighth
and neglect that duty of which the scripture speaketh so mag-
nificantly, and to which it so frequently exhorts us; at least,
it seems not well confin'd with the tenor of these inspired
prayers, or these prescriptions for it recorded in the holy
scripture; for who can reconcile it with these expressions, in
which holy men of God so often beg he would incline their
hearts unto him, since this he cannot do without some opera-
tion on their hearts; or that he would draw them that they
might run after him, that he would open their eyes, and give
them understanding to discern his law, that he would lead them
in the right way, in the way everlasting; for if God by his
spirit hath no influence upon the heart and soul, how doth he
incline or draw it? If none upon the understanding, how doth
he enlighten or instruct it? If this be done only by the words
read, preached and pondered in the heart, we may as well ap-
ply ourselves to that work without, as with prayer.

Moreover, according to this doctrine, it seems both fruit-
less and absurd to pray for the assistance of the holy spirit, or
to expect we should enjoy it, and so that passage of St. Luke,
(1) [Ask and ye shall receive, seek and ye shall find, knock
and it shall be opened to you; for if evil parents give good
gifts to their children, how much more will your heavenly Fa-
thor give the holy spirit to them that ask him] must be of no
use or virtue to us; for what do these words bid us pray for
but the holy spirit, and what assistance can he afford us if he
do not operate at all upon us?

To say this promise is to be confined to the first ages of the
church, seems not agreeable to reason; for why then doth it
run in words so general, was yap, for every one that asks re-
ceives. Moreover, those spiritual and ordinary effects for
which the holy spirit was then given, those fruits of the spirit
he produced in them, are as needful and good for christians
now as when our Savior spoke these words; for as the holy
spirit was then needful to strengthen Christ's servants [m] in
the inward man to do his will, to comfort them in tribulations,
and to support them in fiery trials, and to preserve them from
the subtleties of Satan, and the temptations of the wicked
world; so is there the same need of his assistance now for all

(1) Luke xi. 9, 13. — (m) Eph. iii. 16.
these gracious ends, and therefore the same reason to expect him still for all these purposes. The conditions also of the promise may be performed now by us, as well as by them then; we may be now sincerely desirous to obey the holy will of God, and with true fervency and importunity may beg the holy spirit to this end; and if we may acceptibly perform those duties to which this promise is annexed, why may we not as confidently expect the blessing promised? For the encouragement here given to expect the assistance of the holy spirit is this, that we ask him of our heavenly Father. Now this most comfortable relation God bears to all his children of what age soever, and therefore there is now and ever will be the same benignity in God, the same good will and readiness in him to give his holy spirit to his children for all useful purposes, as in all former ages; if therefore in like manner we do ask, we must have equal reason to expect we should receive him. To proceed then,

2. To explain, as far as I am able, what is the manner of the operation of God's grace, and holy spirit on the soul.

Section III. 12. I assert that the manner in which God's grace and holy spirit acts upon the minds and hearts of men for the production of the fruits of the good spirit, and the preparatory dispositions of the soul towards them, may reasonably be conceived to be such as is suitable to the sex and faculties of men, the understanding and the will. Now it is certain that what naturally makes the understanding to perceive, is evidence proposed and apprehended, considered or adverted to; for nothing else can be requisite, to make us come to the knowledge of the truth, and understand what the will of the Lord is, and to be wise to salvation. Hence the apostle prays that his Philippians (n) might abound more and more in knowledge and in all wisdom, in order wherein, in all perception, that they might approve the things that are most excellent; and faith to the (o) Romans, be ye transformed by the renewing of your mind, so to discern, that you may discern and approve what is according to the good, and acceptable, and perfect will of God. Again, what makes the will choose, is something approved by the understanding, and consequently appearing to the soul as good; and whatsoever it refuseth, is something represented by the understanding, and so appearing to the will as evil; whence all that God requires of us is, and can be only this, to refuse the evil and to choose the good. Wherefore to say that evidence proposed, apprehended and considered, is not sufficient to make the understanding to approve; or that the greatest good proposed, the greatest evil threatened, which

(n) Philip. i. 9, 10. — (o) Rom. xii. 2.
equally believed and reflected on, is not sufficient to engage the will to choose the good and refuse the evil, is in effect to say that which alone doth move the will to choose or to refuse, is not sufficient to engage it so to do; that which alone is requisite to make me understand and approve, is not sufficient to do so; which being contradictory to itself, must of necessity be false.

Be it then so, that we have naturally an aversion to the truths proposed to us in the gospel, that only can make us indisposed to attend to them, but cannot hinder our conviction when we do apprehend them and attend to them; whence for removal of it, the apostle only prays, (p) that the eyes of our understanding may be enlightened that we may know them; adding, that where the light of the knowledge of the glory of God was revealed, it, after this, (q) their gospel was hid from any, it was only so, because the God of this world had blinded their eyes, or the conceptions of their minds, that the light of the gospel might not shine into them. Be it that there is in us also a renitency to the good we are to choose, that only can indispose us to believe it is, and to approve it as our chiefest good. Be it that we are prone to the evil that we should decline, that only can render it the more difficult for us to believe it is the worst of evils; but yet what we do, really believe to be our chiefest good, will still be chosen, and what we apprehend to be the worst of evils, will, whilst we do continue under that conviction, be refused by us: It therefore can be only requisite, in order to these ends, that the good spirit should so illuminate our understanding, that we attending to, and considering what lies before us, should apprehend, and be convinced of our duty; and that the blessings of the gospel should be so propounded to us, as that we may discern them to be our chiefest good, and the miseries it threatens, so as we may be convinced they are the worst of evils, that we may choose the one, and refuse the other. Now to consider in order to approbation and conviction, to choose in order to our good, and to refuse that we may avoid misery, must be the actions not of God but man (though the light that doth convince, and the motives which engage him thus to choose, and refuse, are certainly from God.)

Section IV.—To illustrate this by a familiar instance taken from ourselves, or our deportment towards others; when a man in words plain and intelligible speaks to another, if he will hearken to what he says, he must understand his mind; for by that very impression the words make upon his brain, he immediately perceives his mind; and cannot the

(p) Eph. i. 18. — (q) 2 Cor. iv. 3, 4.
divine impression on the mind, which is God's speaking inwardly to man, do the same thing? This action is indeed necessary, that, as it is not virtuous or praiseworthy in any man to understand the mind of him that speaks to him, so neither seemeth it praiseworthy in us to understand the mind of God thus speaking to us. Again, these words of man contain sometimes an exhortation to another to do what he desires he would do, taken from the proposal of some advantage, or the promise of some good he shall receive by complying with his exhortation; or they contain some dehorstion from doing what he would not have another do, because it will be hurtful to him, or will be certainly attended with some evil consequences: Is not this the method used by all the world in dealing with another? And do they not all do this with hopes and expectation of success? And is it not a great disparagement to the word of God to say, or think that all his persuasions, admonitions, exhortations, promises and threats, should be insufficient to prevail with us to turn from our sinful courses, and turn to him, when men who use these methods towards their children, servant friends or relations, do it in hopes that they shall be successful by these means?—Moreover, if the person they address to, be slow of understanding, do they not hope to overcome that difficulty by the clearness of their discourse, and by reiterating the same thing in such variety of expressions as he is best able to perceive? If he be averse from doing that which is desired, do they not hope to overcome that averse by repeated exhortations and vigorous impressions of those encouragements they tender, to prevail upon him to comply with their desire? If he strongly be inclined to that from which they vehemently deshout him, do they not endeavor to turn the bent and current of his inclinations by the like repeated exhortations and lively representations of the evils he will be certainly exposèd to by so doing? All men are therefore of this opinion in their practice, that acting with men by convincing reasons and by motives and persuasions, is acting with them suitably to their faculties, and so as that they may prevail. And is not God himself of the same mind? Hath he not revealed his will on purpose that we may know it? Hath he not directed his letters and epistles to us, that by reading we may understand them, and know the things which do belong to our peace? Did not our Savior utter all his discourses to the same end? Why else doth he inquire (r) Why is it you do not understand my speech? (s) How is it that you do not understand? Why doth he prefix them with this instruction, (t) hear and under-

(r) John viii. 43.—(s) Mark viii. 21.—(t) Matth xv. 10.
Doth not God call upon us to (u) to consider of our ways, and lay to heart his sayings and his dispensations?—Doth he not prescribe this as a remedy to prevent his judgments, when he says, (v) Oh consider this, ye that forget God; and of being wife, by saying, (w) Oh that they were wife, that they would consider their latter end? Doth he not represent this as the source of all the wickedness and idolatry of his own people, (x) that they would not consider in their heart? Doth he not make conversion the effect of this consideration, when he faith, (y) because he considereth and turneth away from all the transgressions that he hath committed, he shall surely live? Yea, doth he not represent this as a just ground of hope, that even the most stubborn sinners may be reformed, when he faith to the prophet, (z) remove by day in their flight, it may be they will consider though they be a rebellious people? Does not God require his people to (a) choose life, pronouncing a blessing upon them who (b) choose the things that please him, and threatening destruction to them who (c) would not choose the fear of the Lord, but (d) chose the things in which he delighted not? Now doth he any thing more to prevail with them who do not choose the fear of the Lord, and do not do the things that please him, to engage them to do, or not to do the contrary, but teach them his ways, and persuade them to walk in them? Must it not then be certain that either he tranacts with them as men, who notwithstanding any acts of preterition on his part, or any disaffability or corruption of will on their part, might by these things be induced to choose to fear him, and do the things that please him, and might abstain from the contrary; or threateneth to destroy them for not choosing what they could not choose, for doing what they had not means sufficient to avoid, and for not doing what it was not possible for men so vitiated and so deserted, to perform? Again, doth not God exhort the Jews to be (e) willing and obedient, promising a full pardon and a blessing to them that do so? Doth not Christ resolve the destruction of the Jews into this, (f) You will not come unto me that you might have life? Declaring this to be the reason why they were not gathered, because he often (g) would have gathered them, but they would not be gathered; because being so graciously invited to the marriage, (h) they would not come. Now what did he to engage them to come to him, to gather them, or prevail on them to come to the marriage

(u) Hag. i. 5, 7. (v) Psal. 1. 21. (w) Deut. xxxi. 29. (x) Isa. i. 3. xliv. 19. (y) Ezek. xviii. 29. (z) Psal. cxix. 59. (a) Ezek. xii. 7. (b) Deut. xxx. 19. (c) Prov. i. 32. (d) Isa. lvi. 4. (e) Isa. i. 18, 19. (f) John v. 40. (g) Luke xiii. 34. (h) Mat. xxii. 3.
feast, but shew them the way of life, exhort and invite them to come to that feast? Either then he transfixed with them, as one who knew this was sufficient to these ends; and that these things might have prevailed with them, notwithstanding any decrees of God's preterition, or any disability through the corruption of their wills, to be willing and obedient to his invitation; or else he resolved on their exclusion from the marriage feast, and their not tasting his supper, for not doing what, in that state, they could not do; and condemned them for not coming to him when they could not come, because (i) the Father did not draw them, or give them to him; and for not being gathered, when he would not do that for them without which they could not be gathered. Doth not God earnestly exhort and persuade men to repent and turn from the evil of their ways? Doth he not say, (k) Oh that my people would have hearkened to me, that I might have walked in my ways! (l) O that they were wise, that they would understand this! (m) O Jerusalem, will not thou be made clean, when shall it once be? (n) O that thou hadst known in thy day, the things that belong to thy peace! Now either in these exhortations and persuasions made to men, vitiated not only by original, but many actual corruptions, God dealt with them suitably to their faculties, that is abilities, exhorting them to do, and pathetically willing they had done, what he saw they might have done, though they, for want of due attention, consideration and reflection, did it not; or called them to repent that they had not done what they never could do, or that they did not avoid what it was not possible under their circumstances they should avoid; and seriously and passionately wished they themselves would have done, what if it ever had been done, must have been done by himself, and therefore was not done, because he did not unfruitfully work the change in them; that is, he passionately wished they had been of the number of his elect, when he himself by an absolute decree from all eternity had excluded them out of that number.

In fine, doth not God encourage men to repent and believe to be willing and obedient, by great and precious promises of the most excellent and lastling blessings? Hath he not threatened (o) eternal damnation to them that do not believe? Hath not he told us that (p) Christ will come in flaming fire, taking vengeance on all that obey not his gospel? And must not all these things sufficiently convince us, that God...

(i) John vi. 35, 44. (k) Psal. lxxxii. 13. (l) Deut. xxxii. 29. (m) Jer. xiii. 27. (n) Luke xix. 42. (o) Mark xvi. 16. (p) 2 Theff. i. 7.
with men as one who doth indeed suppose that men may hearken to his exhortations, and comply with his persuasions to believe, and to obey his gospel, may be prevailed on by his promises to the performance of their duty, and terrified by his judgment threatened, from their disobedience? Why else is it said that God hath given us these (q) great and precious promises, that by them we may be made partakers of a divine nature? Why are we exhorted, (r) having these promises, to cleanse ourselves from all filthiness of flesh and spirit, perfecting holiness in the fear of God? Or why doth the apostle say, (s) knowing then the terror of the Lord we persuade men? If beyond all this, there be some physical and untrussible operation on God's part requisite to make men know, and knowing, choose the good and refuse the evil; this being not vouchsafed to, or wrought in them who are not born anew, why is the want of this new birth, and this spiritual regeneration so often implied to the voluntary want of their consideration, and their (t) not laying to heart the things propounded to them? To their not applying their heart to wisdom, not applying their minds to understanding, and their (u) not framing their doings to turn to the Lord? Admit that desperate refuge which the assertors of the contrary doctrine are here forced to fly to, viz. that these exhortations and persuasions may be yet made to us, though we are utterly unable to comply with them, and by God's act of preterition, are left under that disability, because we once had grace and strength sufficient to perform them, though we have lost it by the fall.

What is this to the import of all the exhortations, persuasions and motives contained in the gospel, which are all directed to fallen man; and so, if God be serious in them, declare his great unwillingness that fallen man should perish, and his passionate desire that he should be saved; and if he speaketh in them suitably to the capacities and faculties of fallen man, plainly supposes him in a capacity, by the affinities which he is ready to afford him, and by the consideration of the motives which he offers to him, to understand his duty, and to choose the good and refuse the evil.

SECTION V.—Wherefore, to give to outward means, and inward affinities, their due respective energy:

(1) That honor must be due to God, and to his word, as to assert that the motives there offered, must be sufficient in the way of motives to produce the ends for which they were designed. Seeing then the motives contained in the scripture to engage fallen man to turn from the evil of his ways, were
certainly designed for that end, either they must be sufficient to engage him to turn from the evil of his ways, or else the highest motives that can be offered must be insufficient for that end, all other motives to deter us from any action as disadvantageous and pernicious to us, being as nothing when compared to that, depart from me, ye wicked, into everlasting fire; all evils we can suffer from the hand of man, being little in respect of that we must have cause to fear from him who can destroy both soul and body in hell fire. Seeing, again, the promises of eternal happiness recorded in the same scriptures, as the reward of our obedience, were certainly designed to render us obedient, either they must be sufficient to engage us to yield that obedience to the good and holy will of God, or no inducements can be sufficient for that end; seeing this motive eminently contains all other motives in it, there being in those few words the enjoyment of God and everlasting happiness, more than kingdoms and treasures, and all that can express the good things of this world import; and therefore a more vehement constraining power in them to the performance of our duty, than in the united strength of worldly greatness, honors, pleasures; and that which representeth to our desires, and hopes what far exceedeth all we can hope for, or desire besides. But then,

2dly. Because the blessings and miseries of another world are things invisible, and are discerned only by the eye of faith, they being moral and spiritual motives, which only work upon us as they are present to our minds by actual consideration and reflection on them, which naturally we are not inclined to do; seeing they are not always present with us, when the temptations of the devil, the world, and the flesh, by sensual objects which we much affect, are thus present with us; it is therefore necessary, that at all times when they are not thus present with us, and therefore cannot operate upon us, the holy spirit should, either by representing to us from the scriptures those divine truths with which our understandings have not been sufficiently enlightened or instructed, or else by reviving and inculcating on our spirits those motives and inducements to resist those temptations, and to perform those duties of which we are convinced by the word, affix us so to do. I therefore humbly conceive, that inward operation of the holy spirit to conflict in these two things:

1st. In representing the divine truths which holy scriptures do contain, and press upon us, more clearly to our understandings, that we may have a fuller evidence, stronger conviction and assurance of them: (w) The eyes of our understanding...
sing thus enlightened to know what is the hope of our calling, and the glorious riches of the inheritance of the saints; and this is styled the illumination of the mind.

Adly. In bringing these truths to our remembrance, that so they may be present with us when this is requisite to enable us to resist temptations, and to encourage us to the performance of our duty; and upon supposition of these two things, that God acts with us suitably to the nature of our faculties, in our understandings by representing the light to it, and on our wills by motives to choose the good and refuse the evil; and that the highest motives and inducements possible offered to us in the name of the great God of heaven, when firmly believed and present to the mind, must be sufficient to produce their ends; it can be only requisite to our conversion and sincere obedience, that the good Spirit should affix us in this work by that illumination which is sufficient to produce in us this strong conviction, and should present these motives to our memories, and make a deep impression of them there; which being present, will be sufficient to move our wills and our affections to prosecute the ends for which they are designed.

Section VI.—I know there be many who, beyond all this, require a physical and irresistible motion of the holy Spirit, in which we are wholly passive, to the conversion of a lesser, which assertion shall be afterwards considered; at present I only shall endeavor fairly to compound and state this matter.

Adly. Then, I say, that it must be granted, that in raising an idea in my brain by the holy Spirit, and the impression made upon it there, the action is truly physical.

Adly. That in those actions I am wholly passive; that is, I myself do nothing formally to produce these ideas, but the good Spirit, without my operation, doth produce them in me. And adly. That these operations must be irresistible in their production, because they are immediately produced in us without our knowledge of them, and without our will, and so without those faculties by which we are enabled to act.

But then I add, that as far as they are so, they cannot be imputed to us; that is, it cannot be praiseworthy in us, or rewardable, that we have such ideas raised in us, but only that when they are thus raised in us we attend to them, comply with them, and improve them to the ends for which they were designed by the holy Spirit. To make this evident by an example, it is generally granted, that Satan can so work upon the brain as to raise up in it impure and vile ideas; but then it is as generally held, that the thoughts they immediately produce, will never be imputed to us as our sins, nor will God be dif-
pleased with us for them, if we do not after shew any good liking to them, or consent to them but manfully reject and rise up in detestation and abhorrence of them, and that because the raising these ideas is the devil's action, not our own; and we are purely passive in them till we consent to, or shew some liking of them; and they are also inevitable and irresistible, it being in the power of no man to help them, to prevent their being raised in his brain, or any ways to suppress them, till he perceives them raised there. And therefore for the same reasons these ideas which are objectively good, being thus raised in us, cannot be imputed to us for reward, nor can God be well pleased with us for them till we cooperate with them, because the raising of them is properly God's, not our own action, and we are purely passive in it, nor is it in our power to prevent or resist them; but then God having planted in us a principle of reason and discretion, we can attend to them when they are raised in us, and so improve them to the illumination of our understandings, and to the approbation of them in our minds; he also having given us a will to choose the good, and to refuse the evil, we may consent to the good suggestions and pursue the good motions thus raised in us; for to what other ends can they be raised in us by the holy spirit? as therefore our attending and consent to the suggestions of the evil spirit being free, and avoidable, is culpable, so our attendance to and compliance with these motions of the holy spirit, being things in which we are free and active, and that upon deliberation, and so in them we do perform the free and proper actions of a man, doing that willingly which we ought to do, and refusing to do that which we have both power and temptations to perform, these things must be praiseworthy, and acceptable in the sight of God.

I also add, that these ideas being thus raised up in us by God alone, and even the power of attending and consenting to them being, together with our nature, entirely derived from him, and all the inducements which we have to attend to them, and comply with them, being properly of divine external revelation, or such divine internal operations, as if they had not intervened, we should have had none of these good effects produced in us; these effects are properly to be ascribed to God, and all the praise and glory of them must be due to him alone, because the principle of acting, and the inducement so to act, is solely from him.

Moreover, (1.) As these ideas raised in us are powerful inducements to the performance of our duty, as also all the other motives contained in the gospel revelation are, and as they all proceed from the free grace of God, they may be properly called exciting grace.
adly. As they tend to restrain us from that sin, to which we naturally are too much inclined, and to baffle those temptations which the world, Satan, and our own evil hearts suggest unto us, they are as fittingly restrained grace.

adly. As they are given before we desired them, and these ideas are often railed up in us when we think not of them, they are properly preventing grace.

4thly. As they help us in the consideration of, and our endeavours and inclinations to perform our duty and resist temptations, they may be styled assisting grace. And,

5thly. As they continue to do this more and more, even after the first turn of the heart from sin to God, and after some prevailing dispositions to love, fear and serve God with sincerity of heart, they may be called the subsequent grace of God.

6thly. The distinction of grace into sufficient and efficacious grace is not, as Petavius well observes, Generis in species, sed speciei secundum accidentia, a distinction of grace into different kinds or species, but only a distinction of the same kind of grace, according to its accidentally different effects, all efficacious grace being sufficient, and all sufficient grace being such as would be efficacious, did not the indisposition of the patient hinder the effect of it.

And Lastly. The distinction of grace into common and special may be understood two ways, viz. that grace which is afforded without any condition required on our part, as the vouchsafement of the knowledge of the gospel, and the calling men by it to the faith, may be called common grace, because it is common to all who live under the sound of the gospel; but that grace which is suspended upon a condition, as the receiving the assistance of the holy spirit upon our asking, seeking, knocking for him, or receiving more upon the due improvement of the talents received, the remission of sins upon our faith and repentance, may be styled special grace, because it only is vouchsafed to them who perform the condition; and so it is the same with grace absolute and conditional; or else that may be styled common grace by which we are led to the faith of Christ, and so it includes all those good desires which are excited in us, and all those good dispositions which are produced in the minds of men before they believe, all this grace being common to men before they are admitted into the new covenant; and that will be special grace which is given to believers only, for the strengthening of their faith, the encreating of their good desires, and the enabling them to live according to the gospel.

Section VII.—That any supernatural habits must be infused into us in an infant, and not produced by frequent ac—
tions, or that any other supernatural aid is requisite to the conversion of a sinner, besides the forementioned illumination of the holy spirit, and the impression which he makes upon our hearts by the ideas which he raises in us, is that which my hypothesis by no means will allow; which ideas, though they are raised by a physical operation, yet are they moral in their operations; even as a man’s tongue in speaking to persuade, or to dissuade another, performs a physical operation, though the effect of it is only moral.

Some remonstrants, by granting this necessity of supernatural and infused habits, seem to have run themselves into this dilemma, that either these supernatural habits, viz. of faith and charity, may be wrought in men, and yet they may not be converted; or else that all who are not converted are therefore not converted, because God’s spirit hath not wrought these habits in them, which is the very absurdity they labor to avoid.

CHAPTER II.

Proposing the Arguments which seem to overthrow this Assertion, of an Irresistible or Unfrustrable Grace, necessary to the Conversion of a Sinner.

And this I shall begin with some general considerations, as v. g.

Section I.—1. That which is sufficient to cause any man to distrust, if not entirely to reject this doctrine, is this, that the defenders of it are forced by the evidence of truth, to grant what is inconsistent with their doctrine, and to assert an univerfal grace, which to all, excepting the elect, is really no grace, as v. g.

1β. They grant, “that preventing grace, as it is given irresistibly, to likewise is it given universally to men, and that this initial and exciting grace being once granted, is never taken away by God from any man, unless he first of his own accord rejects it;” and yet they resolve the nonconversion,
or not believing of all those who are not effectually converted into the want of means sufficient for their salvation, or, which is the same thing, into God's dereliction of them in that state of disability into which Adam's fall had cast them; and what Grace is it then, to have that initial and exciting grace which they cannot but reject, and which can never work faith and repentance in them for want of that farther and effectual grace which God will not vouchsafe to them, or that they have a talent put into their hands which they cannot but abuse to their great condemnation, for want of farther talents which he is resolved to withhold from them?

ady. They grant, "that there are certain inward workings and effects wrought by the word and spirit of God preceding conversion and regeneration in the hearts of persons not yet justified, which God ceaseth not to promote and carry on towards conversion; till he be forfaken of them by their voluntary negligence, and his grace be repelled by them;" and yet that he intends to restrain his saving grace to his elect, and to afford means sufficient for salvation to them only; and why again then are these inward workings and effects wrought in them by the word and spirit, from whom God intendeth to restrain his saving and converting grace, without which they cannot but neglect and repel his former grace? Or how can he properly be said to carry on his work towards the conversion of them, whom he hath decreed to leave in an utter disability of being converted, or recovered from their undone condition?

ady. That God doth very seriously and in earnest invite and call all those to faith and repentance, and conversion, in whom by his word and spirit he works a knowledge of the divine will, a sense of sin, a dread of punishment, some hopes of pardon; and yet that all these men, (excepting the elect,) are not converted through a defectiveness in the grace of God to do it, or for want of means sufficient for their conversion or salvation; and because God never intended by these means salvation to any but the elect, he having past a decree of pretension on the rest of mankind, whom therefore he hath left under a necessity of perishing, hence idem et prætermittit ac dimittit, it is the same thing to be omitted out of the decree of election, and to be left to perish; and who then can conceive how his word or spirit should work in any other a hope of pardon? Or how can God be serious and in good earnest in calling them to faith and repentance, and yet serious and in good earnest in his decree to deny them that grace without which they neither can believe or repent; to call them seriously to faith and repentance, being to call them to salvation by faith, and to repent that they may not perish; and to
pass antecedently a decree of preterition on them, is seriously
to will they should inevitably perish. To think to solve all
this by saying God is serious and in good earnest in inviting
these men to believe that they may be saved, and to repent
that they may not perish, because he would save them if they
would believe; he would preferve them from perishing if
they would repent, is vain. For if faith be the gift of God, if
he gives repentance to life, and hath restrained both these gifts
to his elect, and hath left all the rest of mankind under a ne-
cessity to perish for want of an ability to believe and repent,
because this ability was lost to them by the fall of Adam, then
must not all these invitations made to them to believe that
they might be saved, and repent that they might not perish, be
only an invitation to escape perishing, and to obtain salvation
upon a condition which his decree of preterition hath render-
ed it impossible for them to perform; and can he then be se-
rious and in good earnest who only doth invite them to use
things on a condition which he himself hath decreed to leave
them under an utter inability to perform? These are such evi-
dent absurdities and contradictory propositions, that nothing
but a strong and shining evidence of that which manifestly de-
struys their doctrine, would force them to admit them. To
proceed now to the arguments which evidently seem to con-
fute this doctrine.

Section II.—Argument 1. And (1st.) this is evident
from those expressions of the holy scripture, which inti-
mate that God had done what was sufficient, and all that reasonably
could be expected from him in order to the reformation of
those persons who were not reformed; for what could have
been done more, (a) Hebr. What was there more to do for my
vineyard, which I have not done in it? Wherefore then when I
looked, (or expected) that it should have brought forth grapes,
brught it forth wild grapes? for doth not this inquiry make
it evident that the means which God had used to make this
vineyard bring forth good grapes were both intended for that
end, and were sufficient, though not effeetual through her
pervertencies, to produce in her those fruits which he expected
from her; if an unfruitful operation on her were absolutely
necessary to that end, must he not in vain have used all other
means here mentioned to produce it, whilst that was not
vouchsafed? Admit this supposition, and it demonstrably fol-
lows that this vineyard had not grace sufficient to answer her
Lord's expectations, and it to, must he not unreasonably com-
plain that the brought forth wild grapes, and more unreasona-
bly expect good grapes, and chide his vineyard for want of

(a) 1sa. v. 4.
them, and most unreasonably punish her for not doing that which he would not give her grace sufficient to perform, and which could never be performed by her without grace sufficient?

Section III.—Argument 2.—Adly. Of this we shall be more convinced if we consider with what vehemence, and in what pathetical expressions God desires the obedience and reformation of his people. Thus when the Jews said to Moses, (b) Speak thou to us all that the Lord shall speak to thee, and we will hear it and do it; God answers, they have well said all that they have spoken; Mir Jitter, τίς εἴδοτε, Oh that there were such an heart in them that they would fear me and keep all my commandments always! Can it rationally be imagined that he himself, who so passionately desires they might have, and thus inquires who will give them this heart, should himself withhold from them what was absolutely requisite that they might have it? Could he approve their willing to hear and do his commandments, and yet himself deny them grace or strength sufficient to perform them? Who will give that there may be in them such an heart, is, faith the Bishop of Ely, an expression of the most earnest desire; but withal signifies that if what “he had done for them would not move them to fear and obey him, it was not possible to persuade them to it. Not but he could miraculously work upon them (by an irresistible or unfruitful operation) faith (c) Maimonides, and change their hearts, if he pleased, as he miraculously changed the nature of other things; but if this were God’s will to deal with them after this fashion, there would have been no need to send a prophet to them, or to publish laws full of precepts and promises, rewards and punishments, by which, faith he, God wrought upon their hearts, and not by his absolute Omnipotence.” Again, can it enter into the heart of any man to conceive this, God was not so disvious of their reformation and obedience as to do all that was requisite on his part to procure it, and so to give them means sufficient for the performance of their duty, when after all his unsuccessful labors that it might be so, he breaks forth into such ardent wishes, (d) Oh that my people had hearkened to me and Israel had walked in my ways! Even that Israel whom for rejecting me, I have now given up to her own heart’s lusts; Oh that thou hadst hearkened to my commandments, faith God to that obstinate people, whose neck was an iron snare, and their brow brass. Now can these expressions come from one who had from all eternity decreed their reprobation, and con-

(b) Deut. xiv. 27, 28, 29. — (c) More Nov. Part. 3. chap. xxxii. —
(d) Isa. xliv. 18, 17, 19, 3.
sequently the denial of means sufficient to enable them to do what he thus wishes they had done? Can there be any doubt of the sincerity or ardency of Christ's desire of the welfare and salvation of the Jews when his eyes first wept over Jerusalem, and then his mouth utters these words, 'happy had I been had I known in this thy day the things belonging to thy peace; but now they are hid from thy eyes'; they are so now, therefore they were not always so. For Christ here plainly taketh it for granted that the people of Jerusalem in this day of their visitation by the Messiah, might have fainely known the things belonging to their peace; since otherwise, I know not how our Savior's tears could be looked on as tears of charity and true compassion; and either his affection that they might have been happy would have been contrary to truth, or his trouble that they had not known the things belonging to their peace must have been trouble contrary to the decree of his Father; both which are palpably absurd. And seeing the will of Christ was always the same with the will of the Father, it follows also that God the Father hath the same charitable affection to them, and so had laid no bar against their happiness by a decree of preterition, or been wanting in any thing on his part requisite towards their everlasting welfare; and when it must be certain that an unfruitful operation being not vouchsafed to convert them, it was not necessary to that end.

Section IV.—Argument 3.—3dly. If conversion be wrought only by the unfruitful operation of God, and man is purely passive in it; vain are,

3d. All the commands and exhortations directed to wicked men (e) to turn from their evil ways, to put away the evil of their doings, to cease to do evil, and to learn to do well, to wash and make themselves clean, (f) to circumcise their hearts, and be no more stiffnecked, (g) to circumcise themselves to the Lord, and take away the foreskins of their hearts, to wash their hearts from wickedness that they may be saved, (h) to put off the old man and put on the new, (i) to lay aside all filthiness and superfluity of naughtiness, and to receive with meekness the ingrafted word; for to suppose that God commands the duty or imposes that as our duty under the penalty of everlasting wrath, which he both knows, and according to this hypothesis hath declared we never can do without that mighty aid, which he neither doth nor ever will vouchsafe to the greatest part of those to whom these precepts are directed, is to require them in vain to do these things, and in effect to declare they are to

(e) Isa. i. 16.—(f) Deut. x. 18.—(g) Jer. iv. 14.—(h) Eph. iv. 22.—(i) 1 Pet. ii. 1, 2.
look upon themselves as inevitably damned, and that even for not doing that which it is no more in their power to do, than to create a world.

To say here that the end of these commands and exhortations is to declare not what we can do, or God would have us do, but what we ought to do, is, 1/2. To suppose we ought to do what we cannot do, yea that we ought to do what God would not have us do, which is a manifest contradiction, seeing we only ought to do it, because his will requires it. 2dly. It is in express terms contrary to the tenor of those numerous scriptures which say, he hath commanded men to do his commandments, and given them such precepts that they may keep and do them; and 3dly. It is repugnant to the plainest reason, for that one end of the precepts, prohibitions and exhortations contained in God's law is obedience, is therefore evident because they are there inforced with promises to the obedient, and threatnings to the disobedient; the only end of which is to move us to obedience by the inducements of hope and fear.

Now obedience is one thing, and knowledge another; therefore knowledge is not the only end of God's precepts and exhortations, and so the only end of them is not to declare to us what we ought to do. Moreover that is to be deemed the principal end of the law, and of exhortations grounded on it, without which all other ends of the law being attained, do not profit, but do rather hurt. Now thus it is with respect to knowledge of what we do not; (k) for he that knoweth his master's will and doth it not, shall be beaten with many stripes; (l) and he that knoweth to do good and doth it not, to him it is sin; therefore obedience, and not knowledge, is the principal end of these things. Moreover would not God have all men to obey his commands? Are they not declarations of his will concerning what he would have them do, or leave undone? Would he not have us to comply with his exhortations, and hearken to the voice of his word? Is not obedience to them styled the doing of his will? Do not all the world conclude that they should do what he commands? Do they not look upon his precepts as a sufficient indication of his will and pleasure? Are not all men obliged to believe God would have them do what he requires of them? And can they be obliged to believe this if it be not true? Can any person rationally think that an upright God in whom is no hypocrisy or guile, should seriously command that which he is not willing men should do, especially when his commands are so agreeable to his nature, and so beneficial to the souls of men, as the commands of faith, repentance and obedience are.

The only instance produced to the contrary from God is the command to Abraham, to offer up his only son, is both pertinent and inconclusive; it is not pertinent, because not paralleled to the case in hand; had indeed God, after the precepts given a contrary command to the sinner not to obey him, as in this case he did to Abraham, he complained of Abraham, as he doth of them, for not obeying his command; had he threatened to, and executed judgments on him, on that account, as he doth on them; and then only, would the case have been parallel. Adly. Inconclusive; for as precepts of this nature are never made to private persons, so neither are they made concerning that which have a real goodness and suitableness to reason in as the forementioned precepts have; for then they would no temptations; add to this that Abraham obeyed upon the very principle, that God would have him do what he commanded, and ceased to continue in, and to compleat this only by virtue of a contrary command; we therefore see, even by this example so much urged, conclude we must not obey his precepts till he is pleased to give us a command to the contrary.

Now it being thus evident that obedience is the end of precepts, laws and exhortations, it is also evident that precepts which are impossible to be performed, even as possible as for the dead to raise themselves, are vain and ridiculous, and they are yet more so when they are backed with promises and threats; for where the thing required is impossible, it is as vain to hope or fear, as to think of doing it; most of all are those exhortations ludicrous which are grounded on the law, if the matter be utterly impossible; for exhortations carry the appearance of a serious and charitable intention, and some hope of prevailing; whence God so frequently declares he presses them upon his people for their good, that it may be well with them; but nothing of this nature really be implied in an exhortation to another to do that which he knows he never can do, and therefore in such his exhortations can be nothing better than hypocrisy, mockery.

Adly. According to this hypothesis, vain also are all the denounced in the scripture against them who go on with amendment in their evil ways, and who persist in their inconstancy and unbelief, as e.g. that of the Psalmist, (m) the is angry with the wicked, if he turn not he will wile his soul. He hath prepared for him the instruments of death. The

(m) Psal. vii. 21, 12, 13.
the prophet in God's name, (n) I will destroy my people since they return not from their ways. And again, (o) behold I frame evil against you; and devise a device against you; re- turn ye therefore from your evil ways, and make your ways and doings good; and those of Christ himself, (p) If you rep- pent not, you shall all likewise perish. (q) If you believe not that I am he, you shall die in your sins. For either those threats are proper to move the elect to faith, repentance and obedience; and then, s. They may move them so to do, and then an unfruitful action cannot be necessary to their conversion. Then, adly. Seeing threats only move by exci- ting fear of the evil threatened, they may be moved, and God must design to move them by the fears of perishing and dying in their sins; that is, God must design to move them by a false and an impossible supposition. Or, adly. They are proper to move those who are not elected; but this they cannot be, because then they must be moved to endeavor to be- lieve, repent, and turn from the evil of their ways by the hopes of avoiding this death and ruin threatened by so doing; whereas seeing it is the same thing to have God's decree of preterition past upon them, and to be left inevitably to perish, they must, by virtue of it, be left without hopes that they may not perish. True it is, that these decrees are secret, and so neither can the elect know certainly they are of that num- ber, nor they who are not elected, that this act of preterition hath been past upon them; but yet this alters not the case, being, upon supposition of such eternal decrees, they must know disjunctively, either that they cannot die in their sins because they are elected; or that they cannot avoid it, because they are not elected.

(gedly.) Vain upon this supposition, are the promises of par- don, life and salvation made to them who do consider and turn from their evil ways, and who repent of their iniquity, as, v. g. (a) Wash ye, make ye clean, put away the evil of your doings; then though your sins be as crimson you shall be white as wool, though they be red like scarlet, ye shall be as snow. (b) Let the wicked forsake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts, and let him turn unto the Lord, and he will have mercy upon him, and to our God, for he will abundantly pard- on. (c) O Jerusalem wash thine heart from wickedness that thou mayst be saved. How long shall vain thoughts lodge within thee? (d) Repent, and turn yourselves: from all your transgressions, so iniquity shall not be your ruin; for I have

no pleasure in the death of him that dieth, faith the Lord God, therefore turn yourselves, and live ye: For no promises can be means proper to make a dead man live, or to prevail upon a man to act who must be purely passive. Nor can I seriously design to induce him by them to do what I know he can never do himself, and which, whenever it is done, must be done by me alone: To promise therefore, and give no strength for the performance; or to promise on an impossible condition, or on a condition which I only can perform, and which I have determined never to enable him to do, is indeed to promise nothing, because it is to promise nothing that I can obtain; and nothing of this nature being ever done by any wise and upright governor, how absurd is it to impute such actions to a God infinite in righteousness and wisdom, and who is doubtless serious, and not delusive, in all his dealings with the sons of men? When therefore these men say, God promises pardon and life seriously even to those who are not elected, but lie under an act of preterition, because he doth it upon condition that they believe, repent, and be converted, and will, if they perform them, give this pardon and salvation to them; this is as if I should say, God threateneth damnation to his elect seriously and in good earnest, because he threateneth it to all, and therefore to them also; if they do not turn to him; if they continue in impenitence and unbelief; or if they persevere not to the end; whereby notwithstanding he hath in his word of truth declared concerning them that he hath from eternity prepared for them, that grace which will unfruitfully produce faith, repentance and conversion in them, and stands engaged by promise to make them persevere unto the end; no man can rationally conceive he threateneth damnation to them seriously, because then he must only do it on a condition which he himself by his decree and promise hath rendered it impossible for them to be subject to; so in like manner, if God doth only promise this pardon and salvation to the nonelected, on a condition which his own act of preterition, and leaving them under the disability they had contracted by the fall of Adam, hath rendered impossible for them to perform; this being in effect no promise, a promise only made on an impossible condition being equivalent to none at all, how can a God of truth and of sincerity he said to promise to them pardon and salvation seriously and in good earnest, who are by his own act of preterition infallibly and unfruitfully excluded from it?

Section V.—Argument 4.—4thly. If men are purely passive in the whole work of their conversion, and so are utterly void of all power of believing, living to God, or performing any acceptable obedience to his commands, is
righteous to confine them to eternal misery for their disabil-
ity to do that which God sees them unable to do when he lays
these commands upon them? Is not this to require brick
where he affords no straw? Yea, to require much where noth-
ing is given, and then to punish eternally the not doing that
which is so unreasonably required; yea is not this equal to
an absolute decree to damn them for nothing; it being in ef-
fect, and in the necessary event and consequence the same
thing to damn them for nothing, and to damn them for not
doing what they never could do, or for not abstaining from
what they never could avoid. If God makes laws which we
cannot without his assistance observe, and then denies that as-
stance, he by so doing makes obedience to such men im-
possible, and what sin is it not to obey beyond possibility?
If it be said this disability is their sin, I answer, then by the
definition of St. John, it must be a transgression of some law
of God, and then some law of his must be produced, requir-
ing fallen man to do on pain of damnation without divine as-
stance, which he knows he can no more do than he can cre-
ate a world; that is, a law declaring it is his will that they
should do what it is his will they never should have power to
do, or that it is his will we should exert an act without the
power of acting. 4dly. Either this divine law is positive or
moral. If it be only positive, then all the Heathen world must
necessarily be ignorant of it, and therefore not obliged by it.
God having given them no positive laws, and so their state
must be, as to this particular, much better than that of chris-
rians, they being under no obligation to do any thing which
they cannot do. If it be moral, how comes it to pass that all
the Heathen world should be not only ignorant of it, but pos-
essed with a contrary principle, that impossibilium nulla et
obligatio, that there can be no obligation to a thing impossible,
which is, faith (e) Bishop Sanderson, a thing self-evident, and
needs no proof; and that (f) there can be no fault in doing
dash which we cannot avoid, or not doing that which we have
no power to do; and that God could not produce or nourish
that, which when it had done its utmost, must fall into eternal
misery; and that quod omnius nesceret est id ne miserum esse
ad poteft, that which is necessary to all, can be the ground of
misery to none. 3dly. Either this fin is avoidable or it is not;
if it be not avoidable, must it not unreasonably be required
under this dreadful penalty that men should avoid it? If it be

(e) De Leg. Praeleg. V. Sec. 6.
(f) Culpanaullam esse dicit Cicerot, cum id quod ab homine non po-
tur non praetraer etvenire, Tufc. Q. 3. N. 31. Nec id gignere aut
ageret, quod, cum exstalvifet omnes labores, incideret in mortis len-
avoidable, then is there no such disability as is pretended in us, for we are not disabled from avoiding that which we have power to avoid.

SECTION VI.—If it still be said, that it is just to condemn us for what we are now disabled to perform, because this disability came upon us by a guilt which is truly our own, because it came upon us by the sin of our first parents, in whose likeness we then were; this miserable refuge, and first born of absurdities, hath been sufficiently confuted in the state of this question, Sec. 6. It hath been also baffled by many plain and cogent arguments in the discourse concerning the extent of Christ's death. And because it is the foundation of the doctrine of absolute election and reprobation, and the whole system of these men must fall together with it, I shall here show farther the inconsistency of this imagination, both with the tenor of the holy scripture, and with the principles of reason.

And if, This vain imagination seems plainly contrary to the whole tenor of the scripture, and even to ridicule God's dealings in them with the sons of men; for if, as I have largely proved in the state of the question, God dealeth withlapidated man, suitably to the faculties he still retains, endeavoring to excite him to the performance of his duty by hopes and fears, by promises and threats, by prospect of the advantages he will receive by his obedience, and of the miseries to which he will be subject by his disobedience, requiring him to consider and lay to heart these things, that he may turn from the evil of his ways, and do that which is lawful and right; by all these things he manifestly declares he is not under such a disability by reason of the fall of Adam, as renders it impossible for him to be moved by all, or any of these inducements to the performance of his duty; for then he might as well have used them to persuade a blind man to see, or a cripple to walk, or a new born babe to speak, or a fool to understand mathematics, they both equally wanting, or have lost the power to do what is required of them; and though one man should have lost his sight by whoring, another the use of his feet, a third the use of his reason by drinking; though they may be punished for whoring and drinking, they cannot afterwards be justly punished for not seeing, not walking, or not making use of their reason; this being to punish them for not using that which they have not to use; so in like manner, though if the sin of Adam were properly our own, we might be punished for that sin, yet could we not be justly punished for not having the ability we had lost by it, that being equally to punish for not using that ability which we have not to use.

adly. God plainly seeth, by his dispensations with the sons of men in order to their reformation, to declare he doth
look upon them as lying under this supposed disability to
become better, to hearken to his calls and invitations to re-
turn and live; to be drawn to him by the cords of love; to
learn wisdom by his rod, or be convinced of their duty to be-
lieve, and to obey him by his miraculous operations. For,

God represents it as matter of great admiration and
astonishment, and an argument of brutish stupidity, that the
Jews were not restrained from their rebellions against him by
the consideration of his great goodness to them, speaking thus
to them by his prophet, (g) Hear oh heavens, and give ear oh
earth, for I have nourished and brought up children, and they
have rebelled against me: The ox knows his owner, and the aš
his master’s crib, but Israel doth not know, my people doth not
consider: Enquiring thus, (h) Ah foolish people and unwise, do
you thus requite the Lord? Is he not the Lord that made you?
Hath he not created and established you? And saying, (i) they
remembered not the multitude of thy mercies, but were disobedi-
ent at the sea, even at the red sea; (k) they forsook the Lord,
when he led them in the way, they walked after vanity, neither
said they where is the Lord that led us through the wilderness,
and brought us out of Egypt into a plentiful country to eat the
fruits thereof? Again, (l) This people, faith he, hath a re-
voluting and rebellious heart, neither say they, let us now fear
the Lord who giveth us the former, and the latter rain in its
season, and referrath to us the appointed weeks of harvest.
And on the other hand he promiseth, that in the latter days
they shall (m) fear the Lord and his goodness. The apostle
also represents this as the effect of their hard and impenitent
heart, that they (n) despised the riches of God’s goodness, pa-
tience, and longsuffering, and were not led by them to repent-
ance. Now if they lay under an utter inability to be restrained
by all this goodness from their rebellions and their disobedience,
and from walking after vanity, what matter of admiration
and astonishment, what indication of folly and stupidity
could it be in them, that they were not induced by it to ab-
duct from that which they were not able to avoid? Or what
sin was it of a rebellious and revolting, hard and impenitent
heart, that being under this disability to be moved by this
goodness to repent and fear him, they did not do it? Sure he
who designed these means to their respective ends, and doth
thus aggravate the sin of them who do not improve them to
those ends, did not conceive these all were vain and insuffi-
cient inducements without that supernatural aid he was not
pleased to vouchsafe to move them to those duties.

(g) Is. i. 2, 3. — (h) Deut. xxxii. vi. — (i) Psl. cvi. 7. — (k)
Jer. ii. 17. V. 5, 6, 7. — (l) Ch. v. 23, 24. — (m) Hos. iii. 5. — (n)
Rom. ii. 4.
oddly. The scripture is more frequent in representing God's punishments and chastisements as sufficient to engage men to fear him; and to depart from their iniquity. (a) Thou shalt, faith Moses, consider in thy heart, that as a man chasteneth his son, so the Lord chasteneth thee; thou shalt therefore keep the commandments of the Lord thy God, to walk in his ways, and to fear him. God himself declares, that (b) by the spirit of judgment, and of burning, he would wash away the filth of the daughter of Zion, and purge out the blood of Jerusalem; and speaks of it as a thing certain, that (c) when his judgments are upon the earth, the inhabitants of it will learn righteousness, and that (d) in their affliction they will seek him early. And when they had not this effect upon them, he complains grievously against them, saying, (f) This people turneth not to him that smiteth them, neither do they seek the Lord. (e) It vain have I smitten them, they have received no correction; and having mentioned a variety of judgments he had inflicted upon Israel, be still concludeth thus, (a) Yet have ye not returned to me, faith the Lord; and then adds, Ver. 12. Therefore will I do thus unto you. His prophets also complain thus; (c) O Lord, thou hast stricken them but they have not grieved, thou hast consumed them but they refused to receive correction; they have made their faces harder than a rock, they refused to return. And again, (x) This is a nation that obeyeth not the voice of the Lord nor receiveth correction. Yea when these judgments do not prevail upon them to return to him, he looks upon them as incorrigible, saying to them (y) Why should you be smitten any more; you will revolt more and more? And are only fit to be punished seven times more. Thus having threatened to (z) set his face against them, and give them up to be slain by their enemies, who would not hearken to him to do all his commandments; he adds, and if you will not yet for all this hearken to me, I will punish you seven times more for your sins. And if you will not be reformed by these things, but will walk contrary to me, then will I also walk contrary to you, and will punish you yet seven times more for your sins. And if you will not for all this hearken to me, but will walk contrary to me, I will walk contrary to you in fury. Now seeing all these judgments and chastisements were only moral motives, and all men through the fall of Adam are utterly incapable of being moved by them without that supernatural and unfruitful operation, which the event shews God was not pleased to vouchsafe to these lapsed perfons. Why doth

(a) Deut. viii. 5, 6. (b) Isa. iv. 4. (c) Isa. xxvii. 9. lix. 18, 19. (d) Joel. v. 15. (e) Isa. ix. 13. (f) Jer. ii. 30. (g) Amos iv. 6, 9, 11. (h) Jer. v. 3. (i) Jer. vii. 28. (j) Isa. i. 5. (k) Lev. xxvi. 14, 17, 18, 21, 23, 24, 27, 28.
God himself represented them as means proper, and by him designed, and sometimes atrocities to produce these ends?—Why, Job! he speaks:—"If they certainly would do it? Why should he complain so much against them, and denounce such dreadful judgments on them, who were not thus reformed by them? Seeing these things (without that aid he was not pleased to vouchsafe) were as unable to produce these effects as to make a blind man see, or a deaf man hear? Why is the one more punished on this account than the other? Why, lastly, Job he represents them as incorrigible, who were not thus reformed by them, since it was impossible they should be so without that supernatural aid he was not pleased to vouchsafe? Surely these things are demonstrations of the falsehood of this vain opinion.

So God doth continually represent his calls and invitations, and his messages sent to them by his prophets, as sufficient inducements to procure their reformation and repentance, and looks upon them as incorrigible and past all remedy, and worthy of his heaviest judgments, when those things could not engage them to return to him; so we read, 2 Chron. xxxvi. 15. 16. He sent to them his messengers, rising up betimes and sending them, because he had compassion on his people, and on his dwelling place, but they mocked his messengers, despised his word, and refused his prophets, till the wrath of the Lord came upon them, and there was no remedy. So Jer. xxv. 4, 5. The Lord sent to you his prophets, rising up early and sending them, but you have not hearkened, nor inclined your ear to hear (when) they said, turn ye again every one from his evil way. Hence God speaks thus of them, Jer. xxxix. 18, 19. I will persecute them with the sword, the famine, and the pestilence, and will deliver them to be removed to all the families of the earth, because they have not hearkened to my words, when I sent to them by my servants the prophets, rising up early and sending them, but ye would not hear. See also Jer. vii. 19. xxxv. 15: Again, I will bring upon Judah, faith God, and upon all the inhabitants of Jerusalem all the evil that I have threatened. I have spoken to them, but they have not heard, I have sent to them, but they have not answered, Jer. xxxv. 17. So also Isa. lxv. 11. lxvi. 4. Wisdom is also introduced by the preacher crying in the chief places of concourse, turn ye at my reproof; (and) (a) I will pour out my spirit upon you, I will make known my words to you; and at last thus concluding, because I have called, and ye refused, I have stretched out my hand, and no man regarded; but ye have set at nought all my counsel, and would none of my reproof; I also will laugh
at your calamity, I will mock when your fear cometh. In a word, all these things seem to be put together in those words of the prophet Ezekiel, (b) because I have purged thee (i. e. I have done what was sufficient to have purged thee by my mercies and judgments, my calls, my threats, my promises and by my prophets, and what should have purged thee) and thou wast not purged, thou shalt not be purged from thy filthiness any more, till I have caused my fury to rest upon thee. Now could that God who sent these messengers to his people because he had compassion on them, have decreed from eternity, never to have compassion on them in reference to their external interests? Could he see them under an utter disability through the fall of Adam to comply with the requests of his messengers and prophets, and not vouchsafe that aid without which he well knew his messengers and prophets must be sent in vain? And when after all that they had said, there was no remedy of this fatal disability afforded, did the good God threaten thus to percurate with sword and famine, and banishment, his own beloved people, for not hearkening to his words, and not turning from their evil ways, when they were no more able to do than to remove a mountain? Might he not as well have threatened thus the man who by inan- pence had lost his sight and limbs, because he did not see and walk? Especially if we consider that he contrasted this disability by his own personal sin, they only had theirs by the transgression of another, long before they had a being, and before they could be capable of any personal transgression. To what purpose did wisdom say to them who were thus disabled, turn you at my reproof? Or could she, without insulting over the misery of fallen man, thus laugh at the calamity they never could prevent? Or lastly, could God truly say he would have purged them, when he withheld that aid, without which it was impossible they should be purged; or threaten that they should be purged no more, who never were in a capacity of being purged at all?

4thly. God throughout the whole book of the law, and our blessed Saviour in the gospel, still represent the mighty works done for, and before the eyes of the Jews, as strong and sufficient obligations to believe and obey him. Ask now of the days of old, did ever people hear the voice of God out of the midst of the fire as you have heard, and live? Or hath God al- fayed to go and take him a nation out of the midst of another nation, by temptations, by signs and by wonders, and by a mighty hand, and by a stretched out arm, and by great terror as the Lord did for you in Egypt before your eyes, Deut. iv

(b) Chap. xxiv. 13.
3, 34. Thou shalt keep therefore his statutes and his commandments, which I command thee this day, Ver. 40. and Chap. 8. You have seen the chastisements of the Lord; his great mighty hand, and his stretched out arm, and his acts which he did in the midst of Egypt; you have seen all the great acts of the Lord that he did, therefore ye shall keep all the commandments which I command you this day, Ver. 8. and Chap. xxxix. 2, 3. Ye have seen all that the Lord did before your eyes in the land of Egypt, the great signs and the great miracles; keep therefore the words of this covenant, and do. Ver. 8. So also our Lord proves the obligation he had to believe in him, because of the mighty works he had done among them, saying, the works that I do witness of me, that the Father hath sent me, John v. 36. I have not his words abiding in you, for whom he hath ye believe not, Ver. 38. See also John viii. 18, 24. when the Jews came to him saying, if thou be the Christ, thou art a deceiver; his answer is, John x. 25, 26. The works that in my Father's name bear witness of me, but ye believe not, for ye are not of my sheep; and Ver. 37. if I do not the works of my Father believe me not; and Chap. xv. 24. If I not done among them the works which none other man did, had not had sin; but now have they both seen, and hated me and my Father, and so they have no cloak for their sin.

22. Now if the consideration of this mighty hand of, and stretched out arm, was not sufficient to induce them to observe his statutes, why doth he so often say, therefore shall keep my statutes, i.e. why doth he use a reason why he knew was insufficient to produce that effect? If all his miracles, without that supernatural and unfruitful if God, which he would not vouchsafe to the Jews, were sufficient to produce faith in them, why doth Christ tell them if they did not believe in him, they should die in their sins? doth he represent their insidelity as an act of hatred to and his Father, and an evidence that they were not his, nor had the word of God abiding in them? Why, lastly, he say, they had no cloak for their sin, who had this delusive disability to plead in their behalf.

This will be farther evident from God's supposition; it might be, that the methods he and his prophets used did prevail for the producing of the designed effects. Thus a God bids Jeremiah take the roll of his intended judges, and read it in their ears; he adds, (a) it may be that house of Judah will hear all the evil that I purpose to do.

(a) Jer. xxxvi. 3, 7.
to them, that they may return every man from his evil way, and I may forgive their iniquity and their sin; and Ver. 7. It may be they will present their supplication before me, and will return every one from his evil way. To his prophet Ezekiel he speaks thus (b) prepare ye, stuff for removing, and remove by day in their sight, it may be they will consider, though they be a rebellious house. So in the parable of the vineyard, when God sends his Son to the Jews, he faith (c) it may be they will reverence my Son. Now what room is there for any of these suppositions, where the effect depends upon God’s immediate acting upon the heart, and not upon any hearing, or consideration of man without it, or any dispositions, or any means that they can use to move him to enable them to do it? If indeed they lay under this disability by the fall of Adam, it might as reasonably be expected they should move a mountain, as be induced by these considerations to return every man from his evil ways.

6thly. God complains of his own people, that they were (d) a rebellious people, because they had eyes to see, and jaw not, they had ears to hear and heard not; (e) my people, faith he, is foolish, they have not known me, they are fottish children, and have not understanding; they are wise to do evil, but to do good they have no knowledge. And again, (f) to whom shall I speak, and give warning? Behold their ear is uncircumcised, and they cannot hear; (g) can the Ethiopian change his skin, and the Leopard his spots? Then may ye also do good who are accustomed to do evil. And Christ speaks thus to the Scribes and Pharisees, (h) Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell! Now if this were the fad estate of all the lapsed sons of Adam, that they had eyes and saw not, and ears and heard not, that to do good they had no knowledge, and no power, whatever motives God should offer to engage them so to do, why is this represented as the peculiar state, only of the worst of men? If none of them could be induced by all the arguments the gospel offers to do good, why is this made the effect of a long custom to do evil, and an evidence of fottish children? If this be the fad state of all that are, not of the number of the elect, that they cannot escape eternal misery, why is it saied, peculiarly of the Scribes and Pharisees, that they could not escape the damnation of hell? And more particularly concerning Judas, that (i) it had been better for him that he had not been born? In a word, all God’s commands and prohibitions, promises and threats,

and all his exhortations to lapsed men to consider, and lay them to heart in order to their reformation, are demonstrations of the falsehood of this vain imagination.

Section VII. — Argument 5. If such a divine, unfrustrable operation is necessary to the conversion of a sinner, then the word read or preached can be no instrument of their conversion without this divine and unfrustrable impulse, because that only acts by moral suasion. Whereas (k) it pleased God, faith the apostle, by the foolishness of preaching to save them that believe. And St. James, by saying, (l) we are begotten anew by the word of truth, plainly informs us, that this word of God is the ordinary means of our regeneration, it being the word preached, the word we are to hear, Ver. 19, 22. and to receive with meekness, by which God worketh this new birth in us, and which, faith the apostle, is able to save our souls, Ver. 21. And it is surely a great disparagement to the word of God, to think that his persuasions, admonitions, exhortations, attended with the highest promises and threats, should be all insufficient to prevail with men to turn from the known evil of their ways, and turn to him; when all men who do use these methods towards their children, servants, friends and relations, do it in hope that they shall be successful by these means; only this is not so to be understood as to exclude the cooperation of God with his word, or the assistance of his holy spirit setting it home upon our hearts; provided this be not by way of physical but moral operation, by that illumination of the understanding from the word which produceth that renovation in the spirit of the mind, by which we are enabled to discern, and to approve the good, and acceptable, and perfect will of God, Rom. xii. 2. Eph. iv. 23. to discern what is acceptable to the Lord, Eph. v. 10. to understand what the will of the Lord is, Ver. 17. And if the word of God be a perfect rule, (m) able to make us wise unto salvation, and furnish us to every good work; sure the good spirit may by his suggestion of the truths delivered in it, by bringing them to our remembrance, and opening our understanding to perceive the scriptures, remove that darkness which is in our minds either by natural corruption, or by the misits which Satan casts upon them; whence the apostle doth inform us, 2 Cor. iv. 3, 4. That if the gospel be hid from any to whom it is preached, it is because the God of this world hath blinded the conceptions of their minds, that the light of the glorious gospel should not shine into them. And 2dly. by making deep impressions on the mind of the advantages and rewards promised to our conversion and sincere obedience, and the tremendous

(k) 1 Cor. i. 21.—(l) Jam. i. 18.—(m) 2 Tim. iii. 15, 16, 17.
Evils threatened to the disobedient, and bringing these things oft to our remembrance, which in the scripture phrase is putting these laws in our minds, and writing them in our hearts, that we may not depart from him, Heb. viii. 10. (See the note there) for what reason can be given, why the spirit of wisdom having thus enlightened the eyes of our understanding, to know what is the hope of our calling, and the glorious riches of the inheritance of the saints, Eph. i. 18. and made these things, firmly believed, thus present to our minds, they should not have greater prevalence on our wills to obedience than any temporal concerns to induce us to yield obedience to the laws of sin? If beyond this there be some physical and irrefrangible operation on God's part necessary to make us know the things which do belong to our peace, and knowing them to choose the good and refuse the evil, this being wrought in them who are not born anew, why is the want of this new birth, and this spiritual renovation, so oft imputed to men's want of consideration and laying to heart the things, propounded to them, to their not inclining their ear to wisdom, and applying their heart to understanding, to rejecting the counsel of God, and not choosing the fear of the Lord? Prov. i. 24, 25, 29, 30. Why is it said, that they continue thus unreformed, because they would have none of God's counsels, but despised all his reproof, or because they would not frame their doings to return unto the Lord? This also St. Peter teacheth, by saying, (n) we are born again of incorruptible seed, by the word of God; and St. Paul, by letting us know that (o) faith comes by hearing, and hearing by the word of God, and by saying to his Corinthians, in Christ Jesus I have begotten you through the gospel; for if conversion is only wrought by an irrefrangible operation of the holy spirit, and cannot be wrought in us by the word without it, then the word contributes no more to our conversion, than the throwing of a pebble doth to the fall of a strong wall, blown down by the fury of a tempest; since then it can only be the effect of that unchangeable power, and not at all of any refusible motives and persuasions offered from the word; and why then is it said (p) to be quick and lively in its operations? To say that conversion, at the same time, may be the work of that word which sinners cannot but resist, till this unchangeable operation comes, and yet of that operation, and the word, is to speak things plainly inconsistent with truth, and with the nature of a moral instrument, which if it doth not move doth nothing; and if it doth, as far as it doth so, is not refusible. Moreover, where an effect doth so entirely depend upon two causes, that without the concurrence

(n) 1 Pet. i. 23.—(o) Rom. x. 17.—(p) Heb. iv. 12.
of them both it will not be produced, he that hath it always in his power to refit, that is, to hinder the operation of the one upon him, must also frustrate the other, and consequently hinder the effect; so that it being certain that the finner may, and too often doth refit the most powerful persuasions of the word, he may refit the concurrence of the spirit with it, and then that operation cannot be unfrustrable, or irrefrangible. Moreover, if conversion be wrought irrefrangible by the operation of the spirit, then the word which may be refit is unnecessary thereunto, since an irrefrangible operation must do its work as well without it; and if the word cannot but be refit, till the effect is wrought by another power which is irrefrangible, it is evident the effect is owing only to that power, and then the whole ministration of the word must be unnecessary; and what is this but in effect to say, what in express terms would be offensive to tell christian ears, viz. the word of God is of no use towards the conversion, or reformation of a finner.

Section VIII.—Argument 6. Hence it must also follow, that no motive can be offered sufficient to induce the person who believes this doctrine, (as if it be taught in scripture allchristians are obliged to do) to enter upon a change of life, or a religious conversation, till he feel this irrefrangible impulse come upon him; for as an assent to mere truth doth not move the will and affections, unless it be of concernment to us, propounding good to be obtained, or evil to be avoided, so neither can this be sufficient to excite endeavor, if I knew as certainly that till this impulse comes upon me I cannot possibly by my best endeavors either obtain that good, or avoid that evil; which being plain to common sense, I shall not further prosecute.

Section IX.—Argument 7. If man be purely passive in the whole work of his conversion, and it can only be wrought in him by an irrefrangible act of God upon him, then can nothing be required as a preparation, or a prerequisite to conversion; for either that prerequisite is something to be done on our part in order to God’s irrefrangible act, or it is not; if nothing is so to be done on our part in order to the work, no preparation can be requisite in order to it; if anything is to be done on our part, it is certain that we are not purely passive in the whole work of our regeneration, since he that must prepare himself for his conversion, must act in order to it. Now as all God’s exhortations to men to confer and turn unto the Lord, demonstrate, that this conformation is a prerequisite to conversion, so the parable of the seed sown shews (1.) negatively, that the word becomes unfruitful, either because men do not at all attend to it, or because they are diverted.
from that attention by the intervening cares and pleasures of the world, which break off that attention, or are affrighted from it by the fears of suffering; and affirmatively, that it becometh fruitful by being received into a good and honest heart. And sure the devil must be a fool, according to this doctrine, when he comes to take away the word out of men's hearts, lest they should believe and be saved; if that word could have no influence upon men to salvation, when it was not attended with an untriable assistance, and where it was so, all his attempts to hinder the believing of it to salvation must be vain.

Section X.—Argument 8. Were such an irresistible power necessary to the conversion of a sinner, no man could be converted sooner than he is, because before this irresistible action came upon him he could not be converted, and when it came upon him he could not choose but be converted; and therefore no man could reasonably be blamed that he lived so long in his impenitent, or unconverted state: And then God must unreasonably make these inquiries, (q) How long refuse ye to keep my commandments? (r) How long will this people provoke me? How long will it be ere they believe me? (s) How long, ye simple ones, will ye love simplicity, and the scorners delight in scorning, and the fools hate knowledge? (t) O Jerusalem, wash thyself from wickedness that thou mayst be saved? How long shall thy vain thoughts lodge within thee?—And again, (u) O Jerusalem, wilt thou not be made clean? When shall it once be? Seeing none of these changes could be wrought within them, till he was pleased to afford the irresistible impulse: And then it would not be praiseworthy in them, or any other person, that they were then converted, it being not in their power then to be otherwise, since an untriable operation is that which no man can frustrate.

Section XI.—Argument 9. The scripture charges men wickedness not upon their impotency and disability, but upon their willfulness, which therefore doubtless is the true account of the matter. It might have reasonably been expected, that if the disability we had contracted by the fall of Adam had been the true source of all that impotency that is in the sinner to hearken to all, or any of the motives offered by God in the Old or the New Testament, the holy scripture should somewhere or other have given us some express declaration of it; and not have constantly ascribed this impotency to other causes acquired by, and not born with us; whereas I verily believe, that the whole scripture affordeth not one sentence, or

(q) Exod. xvi. 28. — (r) Numb xiv. 11. — (s) Prov. i. 22. —
(t) Jer. iv. 14. — (u) Chap. xiii. 27.
expression, which in the true importance of it bears this sense, as which either in terms, or by just consequence avers, that man is so disabiled by the fall, as that he cannot be reformed by any arguments or motives offered by God for his recovery, or by the grace offered to all men in the gospel, but that they must entirely be frustrated, offered and spent in vain upon him, unless God add unto them an unfruitful operation of the Holy Ghost. The scripture is indeed very copious in representing the ignorance and darkness of the Heathen world, given up to gross idolatry, and lying under the dominion of the prince of darkness; declaring, that their foolish hearts were darkened, and that they were alienated from the life of God through the ignorance that was in them; that through the evil habits they had contracted, the whole Heathen world lay in wickedness, were filled with it, and were even dead in trespasses and sins, and through the customary practice of sin were become insensitive of their own vileness; but nothing of this nature do I find charged on mankind in general, by reason of the fall of Adam. Whereas there is not any thing more frequent or common throughout the whole book of scripture, than the complaints of God and all his prophets, of Christ and his apostles, of the perverseness, obstinacy, rebellion, the inconsideration, folly and stupidity of them with whom they had to do, and only of their indisposition and disability to hearken to their counsels, and do good, by reason of those evil dispositions, customs, prejudices, hardness of heart, or blindness which they had willfully contracted. Now it is reasonable to conclude the fault lies chiefly there where the scripture charges it, and not where it is wholly silent in the case. Now of all these things I have already given instances sufficient; to which may be added the words of Isaiah, (x) Thus saith the Lord, in returning and rest shall ye be saved, and in quietness and confidence shall be your strength, and ye would not. And again, They would not walk in his ways, neither were they obedient to his laws. And of the prophet Jeremiah, (y) Thus saith the Lord, ask for the old paths, where is the good way, and walk therein; and they said we will not walk therein; they hold fast deceit, they refuse to return. I sent to them by my servants the prophets risings up early, and sending them, but they would not hear, saith the Lord. And faith the prophet Hosea, (z) They will not frame their doings to turn unto the Lord. Now, is it to be wondered, is it just matter of complaint, that men who were disabiled by the fall of Adam, from doing any of these things, did not perform them? Were they

not rather objects of divine pity, than of wrath? And was it not worthy of the divine goodness to help their unavoidable infirmity, rather than to punish them so severely for what they could not help, and to impute that to the spirit of whoredom in them, which was the natural result of the whoredom of their mother Eve?

Moreover, Christ charges the impenitency and unbelief of the Jews upon this account, saying, ye will not come unto me, that you may have life. Now if they could not have the will, their condemnation would not be just; if indeed it had once been possible for them to be willing to come, or supposing their will good, it had been possible for them to come without being irresistibly made to come, the fault might have been imputed to themselves; but if it were impossible for them to be willing, how should they come? Or if it were impossible for them to come if willing, to what end should they be willing?

To say, as some do, that God's exhortations to men thus unable to return and yield obedience to him, and his promises to pardon and to save them if they will return, are very ferocious; because he will pardon and save them if they do these things, and only doth not do this because they will not turn unto him; is as if I should say a man is ferocious, when he exhorts a blind or a deaf man, who had contracted these disabilities by their own fault, to see and hear, and promises them the highest advantages if they would do so, because he will give them these advantages if they do see and hear, and only doth not give them because they do not do so; for if you say that these men cannot see and hear, and therefore will not, so is it with every lapsed man according to this doctrine. Moreover it is certain, that what I know I cannot do if I would, I cannot rationally will to do because I cannot rationally will in vain; if therefore God hath taught the sinner that he cannot turn to him, or hearken to his exhortations to repent and believe, were he never so willing; he hath also taught him that he cannot rationally will to do so, and therefore that he must be innocent in not having such a will.

Section XII.—Argument 10. And lastly. Our opinion tendeth much more to the glory of God, than doth the contrary opinion; for seeing God is chiefly glorified by the acknowledgment and discovery of his excellencies, and more particularly of those attributes which do inform us of our duty, and are proposed for our imitation, that doctrine which tends most to the acknowledgment of those attributes, must most directly tend to the advancement of God's glory. Now, 1/2. The wisdom of God is most glorified by that opinion which supposeth he acts with man in all his precepts, exhorta-
tions, invitations, promises and threats, suitably to those faculties that he hath given us, and doth not attempt by them "to engage us to impossibilities; for is it not a soul imputation upon the divine wisdom to suppose that he uses and appointeth means for the recovery of mankind, which he knows cannot in the least degree be serviceable to that end?" But such is the consequence of that opinion which makes it as impossible for the sinner to be converted, as for the dead to be raised by any of those arguments or motives delivered by him in the scripture to engage us to repent and turn unto him; for according to this hypothesis, he might as well lend ministers to preach to fowls, and persuade them to be converted into men; for his omnipotency can, upon their preaching, produce this change in those fowls; and according to this opinion, the conversion of a sinner cannot be effected without a like act of the divine omnipotency.

2dly. Whereas according to our doctrine, the truth and faithfulness of God, and the sincerity of his dealings with men is unquestionable; according to the other doctrine, God seems to promise pardon and salvation to all men sincerely, and yet in truth intends it only to some few persons whom he designed to convert by an irresistible power; leaving the salvation of the rest impossible, because he never designed to afford them this unfruitful operation, inquiring why those men would die? Why they would not be made clean? Whom we knew could not avoid that death, or obtain that purgation without that divine impulse he would not afford them; and saying he had purged them who were not purged, and had done all things requisite to make his vineyard bring forth good grapes, when he had withheld from them that unfruitful operation without which they neither could be purged, nor bring forth good grapes.

3dly. Whereas the justice of God shines evidently from our doctrine, which affirms that God doth only punish men for wilful sins, which it was in their power to avoid; it never can be glorified by that doctrine which supposes that he punisheth men with the extremest and most lasting torments, for not accepting those offers of grace tendered by the gospel, which it was not possible for them to comply with, or embrace, without that farther grace which he purposed absolutely to deny them. Now such is the consequence of that opinion which resolves the conversion of sinners into that unfruitful operation which is vouchsafed only to a few, but is withheld from all the rest of mankind to whom grace is offered by the gospel.

4thly. Is it not for God's glory that the praise of what good we do should be ascribed to his grace, and the shame of our
evil doings should rest upon ourselves, as our own conscience shews it doth by the remorse which follows the commission of sin; but what reason can there be for this, unless we suppose it possible for the wicked to have been converted, or to have ceased to do evil? If indeed you acribe conversion and obedience to a cause that transcends all the power of man under the gospel dispensation to perform, his evil actions may be his misfortunes, but how they should be his faults, it is not easy to conceive.

I should now proceed to shew the concurrence of antiquity with this doctrine; but this will be fully done by me in the following discourse, where it will evidently be proved that the fathers in their confession of the affirers of fate, and of the Herefes of the Valentinians, the Marcionites, the Basilidians, the Manichaens, the doctrines of Origen, and upon many other occasions, use the very same arguments in confession of those Herefes and doctrines, which I have done in confession of this doctrine. To this I shall at present only add,

That the fathers generally teach that God doth only persuade, and by his spirit affit those that are willing to be good; but leaves them still under the power to negleet and refit his persuasions, not laying them under a necessity to be good, because that would destroy the virtue and reward of being in God; faith (a) Irenæus, redeems his from the apostate spirit, non vi, sed suadelā, not by force, but by persuasion; quendam debeat Deum suadentem, et non vim inferentem aciperere quæ vellet, as it became God to receive what he would by persuasion, and not by force. He sent his Son into the world, faith (b) Justin Martyr, ως πιετικας τα βασιλειας, as persuading, but not compelling men to be good. The wisdom of God, faith (c) Cyril of Alexandria, thought fit to convert not rather by persuasion, than by necessity, that he might preserve the liberty of man's will; for because, faith he, the maker of all things autokratou τιν ανήρατου ειναι βληται και θηλαιοι ιδου παθανασθαι προς τα πρακτικα, will have man to have power over his own self, and be governed by his own will, in what he doth, it seemed good to our Savior, πεινια μάκλειν και εις αναγκας αναγκας απαλλαττεθαι μεν των ανθρωπων ανθρωπως και μακλειν τα αμανω, that man should be withdrawn from what is bad, and drawn to what is better, rather by persuasion than by a necessity laid upon him; for if having invincible power he had commanded all men to believe, μακροβιος εις κρενας το πιστεουν ην, πεινιαιν de μακλειν και αμανων επιταγματιν. Faith would not have been the fruit of a full persuasion; but rather of ne-

(a) Lib. 5. chap. 1. page 392. Ed. Ox. Col. 2.—(b) Apol. 2. page 58. Vid. page 80, 81.—(c) Lib. 6. contra Jul. page 115. B. C.
ceffary and unavoidable commands. And again, man, faith he, (d) αὐτοκελίστου εὐ ἄμων φίλτατ ἰτωναι, is carried both to
good and evil by free motions; for αἵτινες τινι καὶ διατετειχατω
συνέλει καὶ ἐξερευνή σωματου ἐκάστης τὸν ἐκάστης τῷ τις αὐταρκη
as, if God by using a divine energy and virtue (i. e. an un-
frustvable operation) should turn the mind of every man to good
works, his goodness would not be the fruit of counsel or praise-
worthy, αὐθυγυς καὶ μάλλον, but rather of necessity. And if God
had dealt thus with man at the beginning, and afterwards (i. e.
after the fall) subjected him, περιτοναυς αὐθυγυς καὶ ὅτι τῶν ἀδελφῶν τις αὐτούς, to necessary turns (to vice or virtue) and
unnecessary concupiscence or lustings, how can he be freed from
blame?

CHAPTER III.

Answering the Arguments produced to prove, first, that
Man is purely passive in the Work of Conversion, and
that it is done by an irresistible or unfrustrable Act of
God.

These arguments, for method sake, may be reduced to
four heads.

1. Arguments taken from the nature of
the work itself; as ν.,
that being represented
by such acts in which
we are constitutionally pa-
sive.

By a resurrection, Eph. i. 19, 20.

By a creation, 2 Cor. v. 17. Gal. vi. 10. Eph.
ii. 10.

A new birth, John iii. 5.

2dly. Arguments taken from the state and
disability of the person
to be converted,

As ν., that he is dead, and so unable to

(d) Lib. 8. page 285. D. C.
Effectual and Special Graces.

1. To discern the things of God, 1 Cor. ii. 14.
2. To think any thing, as of himself, 2 Cor. iii. 5.
3. To do any thing till he be first in Christ, John xv. 5.
4. To come to Christ till he be drawn by God, John vi. 44.
5. To bring forth good fruit, being an evil tree, Matt. vii. 18.
6. To be subject to the law of God, Rom. viii. 7.

Giving faith, Eph. ii. 8.
Opening the heart, Acts xi. 18.

Giving repentance to life, Acts xi. 18.

Or, 3dly, as promising,
1. To circumcise the heart, Deut. xxx. 6.
2. To give a new heart and spirit, Ezek. xix. xxxvi. 26.
3. To write his law in our hearts, Jer. xxxi. 33.

Or, 2dly, as promising,
1. To write his law in our hearts, Jer. xxxi. 33.
2. To write his law in our hearts, Jer. xxxi. 33.

Or, 3dly, as doing this work in us, it being God,
1. That one man makes himself to differ from another, which is contrary to 1 Cor. iv. 7.
2. That man would have cause of boasting; which is denied, 1 Cor. i. 29, 31. Eph. ii. 9.
3. That the whole glory of our conversion would not be due to God.

Section I.—Now before I come to a particular answer to these arguments, I think it proper to premise three things.

1st. That it seems unreasonable to apply all these sayings of the Scripture which concern Heathen nations lying under the most gross idolatry, and under great darkness and confusion, into which the corrupt customs of the Heathens, and the subtility of Satan had reduced them to prove what is the natural estate of all men, even of those who have the knowledge of the true God, and the light of the gospel: For to place them under the same disability with persons sunk into the dregs of Heathenism, feews a very great absurdity; it being in effect to say, that men acquainted with all the inducements, arguments and motives which Christiandry affords to produce faith, repentance and conversion in them, have no more advantages towards repentance and conversion than the worst of Heathens, who to be sure cannot do less than nothing towards their conversion, and would as certainly be converted by an
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of God, and by unfruitable grace, as they who a attained to the exactest knowledge of God, and of the trine of Christianity; and yet it is certain that some of these arguments depend upon such passages as only represent the State of Heathens lying in darkness and gross idolatry, and by Satan captive to his will.

ady. It seemeth also certain that those promises and scriptures which respect whole nations, churches and Christians, without distinction or respect to persons, can afford no justments to prove such operations shall be wrought upon which are peculiar to the elect; the reason is, because the members of any church, nation, or public society, are of the number of the elect, but some few of them only, therefore the promises made to the whole body of them either be conditional, and so require something to be done by them in order to the enjoyment of the blessings promised, and then they cannot be purely passive, or if they be absolute, they cannot be promises peculiar to the elect, as being de to many which are not of that number; and yet that is the nature of many of the promises produced in this air, will be evident. And,

ady. It seemeth very impertinent to produce those places of Scripture which evidently speak of men who have already believed and repented, and upon whom the work of regeneration hath been wrought already, to prove that men are purely passive in the work of faith, repentance and regeneration; the reason is, because such places cannot concern the work of th, repentance and regeneration yet to be wrought upon. If it be said the argument is good, à fortiori, viz. if all these works have been done upon them, men are purely passive in all the good they do, much more must be so before these works are wrought within them; I twer that the argument, were the case truly so, would be good; but the supposition that men are still as unable as they grace received, as before, to do any good, is intolerably absurd, since were it so, men would not be one whit better for their conversion, and the new nature wrought thin them, their faith could not be fruitful in good works, our mind could be no more enabled to approve the things which are of God, nor their wills to choose them, nor their intentions to desire them, nor their executive faculties to perform them; seeing then such places cannot be understood of God's working in them without any cooperation of their n; it is evident they cannot pertinently be alluded to prove such operation upon other men. To come now to a particular consideration of these arguments.
Section II.—Objection 1. When the apostle prays that his Ephesians may know (a) what is the exceeding greatness of his power towards us who believe, according to the working of his mighty power, which he hath already wrought in Christ, when he raised him from the dead: It must be absurd hence to infer, that the power of God working faith in believers, is equal to that which effected the resurrection of our Lord; and that we must be therefore purely passive in the whole work of our conversion; for as this power is not consistent with the persuasions and exhortations used in scripture to move sinners to repent and turn themselves from their iniquity, or with a rational choice, nor could it properly be said that they turned, but only that they were turned to the Lord; so is not the exposition agreeable to the words; for the apostle speaks not of the power exercised on us to render us believers, but of the power which shall be exercised on us who believe already: Not of the power to be exercised on our souls to raise them from a death in fin, to a life of righteousness, but of the power to be exercised on our dead bodies, give them a glorious resurrection to eternal life, as he had done already, in the body of our head Christ Jesus.

Objection 2.—ady. When it is said that this work is compared to a creation, in which it is certain that which is created must be purely passive, as when by it we are said to become new creatures, 2 Cor. v. 17, Gal. vi. 15, we being God's workmanship created in Christ Jesus to good works. Eph. ii. 10.

Answer 1. That this metaphor affords no certain proof that, whereas ever it is used, the person it respects must be purely passive, and have done nothing towards the act styled creation; is evident from many instances to the contrary. Thus God is said to have created Jacob, and formed Israel, when he constituted them to be his church and people, Isa. xlvi. 1: whence the Septuagint faith, μυκριατε των κτισεως ταυτας, (b) remember this creation; and yet they were not purely passive, but entered into covenant to have him for their God. When God makes use of wicked men, or men of war, to punish others, he faith, (c) I create the waster to destroy; and yet it is certain that he is not purely passive in that work; and this is in the case before us certain, from the nature of faith; for faith is man's act, not God's; it is an affient, and from affection of the mind. Godly sorrow, though it ariseth from the motives which God and his good spirit, and which his ministers suggest, yet is it the sorrow of the convinced sinner, and it.

(a) Eph. i. 19, 29. — (b) Psal. lxxiv. 18. — (c) Isa. liv. 16.
faith the apostle, works repentance unto life, which sure it
could not do, if we were purely passive in that work. As for
the work of conversion, God’s frequent calls upon the wicked
to turn themselves from all their transgressions, God’s
commission to his apostles, to declare unto the (d) Gentiles
that they should repent, and turn to the Lord, are certain indi-
cations that they are not wholly passive in that work.

Answer 2.—Adly. But God is in scripture said to create
that which he brings into a new and better state; thus David
prays, (e) create in me a clean heart, O God, and renew in
me a right spirit. Thus he is said to create new heavens and
new earth, by making such a change and alteration for the
better in the face and state of things, that the frame of them
seems not to be the same as it was before, Isa. lxv. 17. And
when he faith, Ver. 19. I create Jerufalem a rejoicing, and
her people a joy; the note of Gataker is this, that restitution
and renovation for the better, is deemed as a creation. Seeing
then the change wrought in us by that faith which purifies the
heart, and makes us fruitful in good works, by a repentance
from dead works to the service of the living God, and by a
conversion from a life of sin to a life of righteousness, is such
a renovation as changes the whole man and all his faculties
for the better: Seeing this renovation is begun, as creation is,
by the power of God working upon the heart of man, we be-
ing made a willing people in the day of his power, here is foun-
dation sufficient for the metaphor of a new creature used in
these texts. To this sense the scripture plainly leads us when
it faith, if any man be in Christ he is a new creature; because
old things are past away, and all things are become new in us;
and faith in one place, (f) we put on the new man which is
created after God in righteousness and holiness of truth; and
in another, which is renewed after the image of him that creat-
ed him; and all the Greek fathers confirm this exposition, by
saying that this new creation only importeth μετατόπισιν το
Χριστον, a change for the better, as you may see in (g) Sui-
ceris.

Objection 3. Regeneration is styled a new birth; as there-
fore we are passive in our generation, so must we be also in
our regeneration.

Answer. The falsehood of this argument is evident from
this consideration; that this new birth is ascribed to the word
of God, which only works upon us by moral suasion; as when
the scripture faith, (h) faith comes by hearing, and hear-
ing by the word of God; (i) that we are begotten by the word

(d) Acts xxvi. 20. (e) Ps. v. 10. (f) Eph. iv. 24. (g) Titus
2. p. 175, 178, 179. (b) Rom. x. 17. (i) 1 Pet. i. 23.
of the living God; yea, that God himself hath (b) begotten us by the word of truth. adly. It also is ascribed to the ministers of God, as when St. Paul tells the Corinthians he had (l) begotten them by the gospel. If then this new birth, when it is ascribed to God’s word or ministers, cannot import that they produce it by an irresistible action, in which we are purely passive, it will not follow that God or his good spirit, doth so convert men, because they are said to be born of God, or of the spirit.

adly. This regeneration being the phrase used by the Jews concerning their prophecies, they being said to be then recent nati, new born babes, and born in holiness; kara tois baptauqes filosqoqos to metuqisai to xap qovqion avprqennis alevetac, Strom. i. 5. p. 552.) Our Lord translates the metaphor from them to his disciples, renewed after the image of God in true holiness, and sanctified throughout in all their whole man. Now here is such an intrinsic change in the whole spirit, soul and body; and the whole tenor of this man’s life for the better, that he may well be said to be born again, who is thus changed into another man; for if when the spirit of prophecy came upon Saul he was (m) turned into another man; much more may he be said to be so who has the spirit of sanctification dwelling in him. And seeing it is by the operation of the holy spirit that this change is wrought within us, it fitly is expressed by being born of the spirit. Seeing, lastly, we are thus born of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, i.e. the word of the living God, who of his own will hath begotten us again by the word of truth, therefore we are as fitly said to be born of God.

Section III.—Objection 4. The unregenerate man is represented as dead in trespasses and sins; and he that is dead, we know, hath no motion in him, and so cannot move towards a new life. Eph. ii. 1. Col. ii. 13.

Answer 1st. That the metaphor of being dead in trespasses and sins cannot warrant our saying any thing of unregenerate persons which may properly be affirmed of the dead, is evident from scripture and experience; for a dead body is void of all sense, whereas the unregenerate man is often under strong convictions, and a deep sense of his present misery. A dead man cannot awake himself out of the sleep of death, but God to the spiritually dead man, Awake thou that sleepest, arise from the dead, and Christ shall give thee life, Eph. v. 14. A dead man cannot hear, but to the spiritually dead God faith, hear, and your souls shall live, 1 Thes. iv. 3. And lastly, it would be absurd to exhort a dead body to turn about and live; whereas God thinks it not incongruous to say to persons...
Sons spiritually dead, turn yourselves, and ye shall live, Ezek. xviii. 9a. xxxiii. 11. Moreover good christians are said to be dead to sin. Rom. vi. 2. vi. 7. 11. Dead to the law. Gal. ii. 19. Dead and crucified to the world. Gal. vi. 14. Now if hence we cannot truly argue that they cannot sin at all; that they can do nothing relating to the world, or could do nothing relating to the law, as St. Paul in compliance with the Jews still did; neither can we argue from the metaphor of being dead in trespasses and sins, that after God's call to hear and live, his excitation by all the motives and incitements of his word and spirit, we can do nothing in obedience to these calls, and in compliance with these motions of his word and spirit.

Answer a.—Adly. This argument offends against the first general rule laid down before; for both the places cited concern only the Gentile world, held under the government of Satan and living according to the evil spirit, who worketh in the children of disobedience, Eph. ii. 2. and the same persons are said to be dead in sins, and in the uncircumcision of the flesh, which put them out of a covenant relation to God; and for their quickening must consist in their conversion from that darkness in which the heathens lay, into the light of the gospel and from the service of Satan to the service of the true God. But this was not the state of the Gentile made a proselyte of justice, or of the few, and much less of the baptized christians; and so we cannot argue from those words which do so certainly relate unto the word of heathens, that this must be the natural estate of all men, or that the same power is requisite to convert the unregenerate christian, and the woful of heathens.

Objection 5. It is still more impertinent to argue this from the words of the apostle, (i.) the natural man receiveth not the things of the spirit of God, for they are foolishnes to him; neither can he know them because they are spiritually discerned.

For, 18. The natural man here is not merely the unregenerate man, but the wife man and disputer of the world, who will admit of nothing but what he can see proved by reason, and so receives not things revealed by the spirit, because he doth not see them proved by philosophical deductions from reason; but deems them foolishness for want of that which only is, in his esteem, true wisdom; as hath been fully proved in the note upon that place.

Adly. When the apostle faith that this man cannot know the revelations of the spirit, he speaks not of the inability of an heathen to understand the meaning of any revelation discovered to him; for how then is it discovered to him? Not
both he say that when they are declared to him, he wanteth further means to attain to the true sense of them; but only that he cannot know them by that human wisdom by which alone he will be guided: for being mysteries, and secret counsels of God's will, they are not knowable by human reason, till God is pleased to reveal them: whence the apostle demonstrates the necessity of a supernatural revelation, that the hidden wisdom of God may be made known unto the world.

Objection 6. The argument from those words of the apostle, we are not sufficient of ourselves to think any thing as of ourselves, is as impertinent to this purpose as the former. For, if it proves any thing it proves too much, viz. that we are not sufficient of ourselves, λόγις ουανί, το, to think any thing at all, whether it be good or bad. And, accordingly. The words relate to the apostles, and to them alone; and are a declaration of their own insufficiency to carry on the great work of the conversion of the world to the Christian faith by their own strength and wisdom; and that their sufficiency for it derived entirely from that God who had made them able ministers of the New Testament, by the assistance of His Holy Spirit; for having proved, in his first epistle, that there was a necessity of a divine revelation to enable them to make known the truths contained in the gospel to the world, because human reason, without this revelation, was not sufficient to discern them, he here disclaims that sufficiency of themselves without divine assistance; that is, without those illuminations and powerful operations of the Holy Ghost, which made them able ministers of the New Testament, assisting them to preach the word with demonstration of the Spirit, and with power.

Objection 7. Christ's faith, without me you can do nothing. John xv. 5. whence it is inferred, that no man can do any thing that is good, till he be first in Christ, i.e. till he be endowed with justifying faith.

Answer 1. This argument offends against the third general rule: for these words of Christ are spoken expressly to those who were abiding in Christ, and truly believed already; i.e. to his twelve apostles, to shew the necessity of their continuance in that state, that they might successfully perform the work he had designed, and chosen them to do, viz. to go forth into the world, and bring forth much fruit, by converting many to the faith, Ver. 16. and so it signifies that without the gifts, and powerful assistance of that Holy Spirit, who belonged only to them who abode in Christ, they could do nothing to convert the world; their sufficiency for that work deriving itself entirely from that God who gives the Holy Spirit to them for that end. a Cor. iii. 5.
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Answer a.—Adly. Cacahe hath well noted, that χωρίς ἐμη, without me, is the same as χωρίς ἵνα, being separated from me, you can do nothing; and this being given as a reason engaging those he spake to, to abide still in him, if you extend it to all true christians, (as it proves that they may not abide always such, so) it only signifies that without abiding in the faith, they cannot be fruitful, in the faith; and without their continuing united to Christ by the Spirit, they cannot bring forth the fruits of the Spirit; but they do not prove that a man cannot hearken or attend to what he hears in order to the obtaining of that faith which comes by hearing; that he cannot ask, seek and knock for the good Spirit, that he may be born of the Spirit; or that he cannot think of his ways, that he may turn his feet unto God's testimonies?

Objection 8. No man can come to Christ, except the Father draw him. John vi. 44. Now he that is drawn is passive.

Answer. To this I answer, (17.) that to be drawn of God, cannot import our being moved by any inward and irresistible impreffions to believe in Christ; for then no man could come to Christ without this irresistible impression, and then no other person could be blameworthy for not believing on him, because they could not do it without that powerful attraction which God was not pleased to afford them; nor could it be praifeworthy to believe in him, because they only did so when they could not choose but do it, as being moved in so doing by a force they were not able to resist; and therefore to be drawn of God can only signify,

17. To be persuaded and prevailed upon to come to Christ, by the consideration of the mighty works which God had done to justify that Christ was the true Messiah, or that prophet which he had sent into the world. To these Christ still appeals as divine testimonies concerning him, by saying, (y) the works that my Father hath given me to do, bear witness of me; and hence he represents the unbelieving Jews as inexcusable, that he had done (x) those works among them which never man did. See the note there.

Or, adly. It is to be moved by the great promise of eternal life, confirmed by these miracles, to do it; for where there is a firm belief and lively sense of that inestimable blessing, it strongly must engage us to use the means by which we may obtain it, and so to come to Christ, when from him only this blessing is to be expected; and this is a familiar sense of the word draw, both in the scripture and in other writings. Thus God is said to draw them to himself by the cords of love, Hosea xi. 4. who yet were bent to backsliding from him, Ver. 7.

and men are said to be (a) drawn aside by their own lusts; for "trahit sua quemque voluptas, every man's pleasure draws him to a compliance with it; see the note there. And that we are only said to be drawn thus by the Father to Christ, viz. by his miracles and divine instructions, is evident from the words following, as a proof of this, it is written they shall all be taught of God, he therefore that hath heard (the) things from the Father (there is God's teaching) and learned, i.e. perceived that is even he that speaks and does those mighty things by me (there is man's duty and his action) he cometh to me. Without this drawing none can come to Christ; for God alone can give this promise of eternal life to encourage us to do so, and no power but that of God could work those miracles which confirmed this promise, and the commission of our Lord.

The 9th and 10th objections are in effect the same, and so will admit of the same answer, viz. that whilst a man is an evil tree, he can do nothing that is good, Matt. vii. 18 and that the carnal mind is not subject to the law of God, nor indeed can be so; whence the inference is this, that this evil tree must be first made good, this carnal mind must be first made spiritual, before he can do anything towards his conversion.

Answer 1. Now (a/1.) the absurdity of these objections is visible in this, that this exposition of them renders all God's exhortations to the wicked to turn from the evil of their ways, all his promises of pardon and salvation if they turn from them, all his threats of death and destruction if they do not turn from them, all his complaints against them that they would not be converted, they would not come to him, vain and absurd; as being exhortations and commands to do what he knew they could not, and he only could do without them; promises of pardon and salvation, if he himself would do what they could not, and threats of damnation if he himself did it not, and in effect complaints against him; for he that complains against me for not doing what he himself alone can make me do, lays the whole guilt of not doing it upon himself.

Answer 2. It is said (in the same place) that a good tree cannot bring forth evil fruit; and elsewhere, that he that is born of God cannot sin. Now if we cannot truly hence infer that a good man, or a spiritual person, can never do an evil or a sinful action, neither can we from the words cited infer, that an evil or a carnal man can never do a good action; for if so, why doth God say to him, cease to do evil, learn to do well? but only that they cannot do it till they will use the faculties which God hath given them, to consider and lay to heart the

(a) 1 Sam. i. 14.
inducements which the gospel tenders to engage them to amend their ways. Hence our Lord faith to these bad trees, make the tree good, that the fruit may be good; which shews, he knew they could and ought to have done something towards that good effect. And the apostle, by his frequent exhortations to carnal men, to mortify the deeds of the flesh, to crucify the flesh with its affections and lusts, to put off the old man with his deeds; by his threats, that if they live after the flesh they shall die, and by his promise, that if through the spirit they do mortify the deeds of the flesh they shall live, plainly demonstrates not only that they can, but that they must be active, if ever this change be wrought upon them.

SECTION IV.—To the 11th and 12th objections the same general answers may be given, they both depending on the same phrase, and making thus one argument: What God gives we only receive, and so are only passive; but God gives faith and repentance. Acts xı. 18. Eph. ii. 8.

Answer 1. To shew the vanity of such objections, I shall confront them thus, what God commands we must do, and therefore must be active in it; but God commandeth all men every where to repent, Acts xvii. 30. and this is his commandment that we believe in the name of the Son of God, 1 John iii. 23. therefore we must be active in the works of faith, John xiv. 15. and of repentance. Yea, by this way of arguing, all that hardness of heart the Jews contracted must be (ἐδοκεῖσθαι) must be ascribed to God, and they must have been purely passive in it, God having given them a spirit of slumber; Rom. xi. 8. Ahab's false prophets must be purely passive; for, faith Micah, (b) the Lord hath given a lying spirit in the mouth of all thy prophets: The enemies of God's church must be passive in all the evils they do to her, God having given them to take peace from the earth, and to slay some; and in the blasphemies they utter against him, God having given to the beast to speak blasphemies. Rev. xiii. 5.

Answer 2. In answer to all the sayings of like nature to these, I lay down this as a general and certain rule, that where God is said to give any thing, the exercise of that faculty is not supposed which he hath given us already, and God is only said to give it by giving us those faculties by which we are enabled to obtain it, and the means and motives which are sufficient to excite those faculties to the performance of their proper actions; I say, the exercise of those faculties is always presupposed, when God is said to give that which it is our duty to perform, and which will turn to our advantage and reward. And,

(b) 1 Kings xxi. 23. — (c) Rev. vi. 4, 8.
Effectual and Special Grace.

1st. Thus is it always with respect to natural gifts; for thus God giveth riches, Eccles. v. 19, vi. 2. because he giveth power to get wealth, Deut. viii. 18. but yet it is the different hand, and the blessing of God upon our labors that maketh rich, Prov. x. 4. 29. He giveth us daily bread, yes, he (d) giveth food to all flesh, and yet we must eat it (e) in the sweat of our brow, and with the labor (f) of our hands procure it. So he gives all things to all men, Acts xviii. 25, and to the beasts their food, Psal. cxlviii. 9. but then they must employ those faculties which God hath given them to procure it: Wherefore to argue thus, God giveth faith and repentance, therefore we do nothing to obtain them, but God does all, is as if I should argue, that because God giveth us our daily bread we were not to labor for it, because he giveth food to all flesh, they were not to seek out after it.

2nd. Thus is it also with respect to spirituals; for God giveth wisdom, Eccles. ii. 26 but it is only the man of understanding who hath it, Prov. x. 23. we must be at some pains to get it, Prov. iv. 5. and must apply our hearts to seek her out, Eccles. vii. 25. So that to argue as these men do in this case, is plainly to contradict the mind of the Holy Ghost, who for this very reason that God is the giver of it, requires us to incline our ear to wisdom, and apply our hearts to understanding; to cry after knowledge, and lift up our voice for understanding; to seek for her as (men do) for silver, and to search for her as for hid treasure, suspending our enjoyment of her upon all this diligence, by saying, then shalt thou understand the fear of the Lord, and find the knowledge of God, for (to such persons) the Lord giveth wisdom, Prov. ii. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. And thus hath God given us an understanding to know the true God, 1 John v. 20. by sending his Son to reveal him to the world, John i. 18. Thus the Jews say, that God hath given repentance to the Gentiles, when by Peter preaching to them peace through Jesus Christ, and promising remission of sins upon their repentance, they repented and believed in Christ, Acts x. 36. 48. So also though faith be an attaint to a divine revelation, and so an act of the understanding, requiring only the evidence of the truth of that revelation to produce it, yet is it said to be the gift of God, because the objects of our faith are only by divine revelation made known to us, and only are confirmed, and so made credible to us by the testimony which God hath given to them.

Objection 13. The Lord opened the heart of Lydia; therefore conversion is wrought by his immediate impulse and powerful influence. Acts xvi. 14.
Answer 1. That God inclined her to do this is not denied.

He question only is, whether he did this by an extraordinary and irresistible influence? And this it seems reasonable to deny; for either the soul was, else, prepared, disposed and fitted to receive this influence, and then she had done something already towards her conversion; or if it were absolutely necessary that she might believe, and yet the soul (though no more fitted or prepared for it than the rest) received it, the other auditors for want of this extraordinary influence must lie under a necessity of not believing, and so it could not be blameworthy in them that they did not believe.

ady. To open the heart, and to open the ear, are scripture phrases of like import; for the effect of both is the same, viz. the rendering the person willing and inclined to do the thing. See 1 Chron. xvii. 15. Psa. xl. 7...

Now this God is sometimes said to do when he awakeneth men by his afflicting hand; for thus speaks Elihu, (g) if they be bound in fetters, and holden in cords of affliction, he openeth their ear to distingue, he openeth their ears in oppression, and yet these things can only do it by awakening men to consider of their ways. And sometimes he doth it by the preaching of the word; for as they who are taught by the word, or the example of God, are said to be taught of God; so they who have their hearts affected with it, and inclined by it to what is good, may be said properly enough to have their hearts opened by it. Thus our Lord represents himself as knocking at the door of men's hearts by the preachers of his word, and the suggestions of his Spirit; but entering only when men open their hearts to receive him. In fine, God is here said to open the heart of Lydia, not to believe, but only, χρηστεύειν, to attend to the things spoken by St. Paul; i.e. to weigh, and seriously consider of the greatness of the blessings promised to believers, viz. remission of sins, and eternal life; and that attention produced this faith in her.

SECTION V.—Objection 14—15: God promiseth to circumcise the heart, Deut. xxx. 6. and Ephraim prays thus, thus shall we be turned; he therefore doth these works in us, and we are purely passive.

Answer. Now in answer to such texts as these in general, I lay down this as a most certain rule, that when God doth require us to do what he himself doth promise, and hath made it our duty to perform, his promise is only to perform what is required on his part towards the work, he certainly expecting we ourselves should do what he commands; and the tenor of

(g) Job. xxxvi. 10, 15. See the note on John vi. 45. 1 Theol. iv. 9.
those prayers is only to afford his assistance to help our infirmities when we are truly willing and desirous to perform our duty by virtue of those inducements he hath already laid before us; for if in such cases the whole was to be done by God immediately, not by way of persuasion only, but by unimpeachable influence, his command to us to do it, could only be to this effect: Do you upon your utmost peril, what I alone can do, or be you Gods? For a command to men to do what divine power can alone effect, doth signify no less; and we by praying he alone would do what he requires us to do, pray in effect to be excused from obeying his commands, and that he would do himself what he expects from us.

But, seeing the same God who promiseth to (a) circumcise the hearts of his people, requires them to (b) circumcise their own hearts, and calls upon the men of Judah to circumcise themselves, and take away the forefains of their hearts, left his fury break forth upon them, and threateneth to punish all the house of Israel because they were uncircumcised in heart, and yet he cannot rationally be supposed to punish and break forth in fury on them, because he had not performed his promise, it is demonstrably certain that promise could not signify that he alone would do that work without their concurrence, or their endeavor to do something towards it; so that it is superfluous to add that this promise was apparently conditional, viz. If they would call to mind the blessings and the cursings which he had pronounced, Ver. 1, and turn to the Lord their God, Ver. 2, or that it is a promise made to all that were brought back into the land from their captivity, and to all their seed, and to so many who were not elected to nations, not to particular persons.

And, seeing God so frequently requires of the same persons that they should turn themselves from their transgressions, promising life to the penitent because he considereth, and turneth away from his iniquity, and threatening that if they would not turn they should die in their sins: seeing he complains so oft of his own people, that they would not turn to him that smote them, and of that very Ephraim which made his prayer, that they would not frame their doings to turn unto the Lord, Hof. v. 4. It must be absurd to urge this prayer to excuse men from a duty required by God under such dreadful penalties. Add to this, that by comparing this prayer with the preceding chapter, in which God promises so oft to turn their captivity, it appears this is only a prayer that God would bring them out of that thralldom, like that of the Psalmist, turn our captivity, O Lord. Psal. cxxvi. 4.

(a) Deut. xxx. 6. (b) Jer. iv. 4. Is. xvi. 30.
Effectual and Special Grace.

Objection 16—17. God promiseth to (c) write his law in the hearts of his people, and to put it into their inward parts; that he will give them one heart and one way, that they may fear him forever, and will make an everlasting covenant with them; that he will not turn away from them to do them good, but will put his fear in their hearts that they shall not depart from him. Jer. xxxii. 39, 40.

Answer. These promises are made expressly to the whole house of Israel, and to all the children of Israel and Judah, to all with whom the old covenant was made, and whom God brought out of Egypt, and would bring again out of captivity; it therefore, by the second general rule, can be no promise made to, or covenant made with, the elect of the house of Israel and Judah. Is. Because then the whole nation of the Jews must have been elected and converted. ady. Because it is made with those who kept not his former covenant, and therefore he regarded them not; whereas the elect always persist, lay these men, in their covenant with God, and he doth always regard them; they always are his people, and he is still their God; this therefore can be no new covenant with them. And therefore,

Answer a.—ady. These words, I will put my law or my fear into their hearts, and write it in their inward parts, import two things: if. That he would clearly make known his will to them, so that they need not be at much pains to find it out, as in these words: (d) The commandment which I command thee this day is not hidden from thee, neither is it far from thee, the word is near unto thee in thy mouth, xai ev xa'pia oE, and in thy heart, that thou shouldst do it; see, I have set before thee life and death; and fo, faith the apostle, is it with the word of faith which we preach, (e) it is nigh to the christian in his mouth to confesse; and in his heart to believe it. And the law written in the hearts of the Heathens, Rom. ii. 15. is the law so plainly taught them, that their own consciences do inwardly condemn them when they do transgress it. Hence the effect of writing this law in their hearts here, and Heb. viii. 13. is this, that they should all know him from the least to the greatest; fo Jerom, Chrysostom, Theodoret and Cyrel, of Alexandria. See the note on Heb. viii. 13.

ady. An inculcating them on the soul by the holy spirit, so as that they may be still fresh upon the memory; fo Deut. vi. 6. These words that I command thee this day shall be in thy heart; that is, faith Bishop Uriel, they shall be written.

(c) Jer. xxxii. 33, 34. —(d) Deut. xxx. 14. —(e) Rom. x. 8, 9.
al luach lebbichun, upon the table of your hearts; so Prov. iii. 1, 3. My son forget not my law, but let thy heart keep my commandments, write them upon the table of thine heart. And again, Chap. vii. 1, 3: My son keep my words, and lay up my commandments with thee, bind them upon thy finger, write them upon the table of thine heart. Thus the sin of Judah is said to be writ (f) upon the table of their hearts; as if their memory of, and affection to it could scarce be obliterated; and Clemens gives this commendation to the church of Corinth, that (g) the commandments of the Lord, εἰ δὲ μὴ ἔχεις τὰς νάρθεις αὐτῶν ἐν τῇ παρασκευῇ, were written upon the tables of their hearts. And seeing God so expressly required of his people that they should lay up his words in their hearts and souls, Deut. xi. 18. that they should write his commandments upon the table of their hearts, and by this prophet Jeremy, that his law should not depart from their hearts, 2 Macc. ii. 3. It follows by the rule laid down in answer to the former objection, that these promises cannot be so understood as if God by them engaged to do that whole work which he hath engaged us to expressly to perform. And therefore, 2dly. The promise made, Jer. xxxii. 39, 40. is plainly conditional. I will gather them, &c. Ver. 37. If they will diligently learn the way of my people, Chap. xii. 16. I will give them one heart, and one way that they may fear me, &c. Chap. xxxii. 39, 40. i. e. When they shall return to me with their whole heart, Chap. xxiv. 7. and not feignedly, as Chap. iii. 10. See Gataker there. And then they shall be my people, and I will be their God, ibid. And I will make an everlasting covenant with them that I will not turn away from them to do them good, Ver. 49. If they will call him Father, and not turn away from him, Jer. iii. 19. Incline your ear and come unto me, hear, and your soul shall live, and I will make an everlasting covenant with you, Isa. lv. 3. I will put my fear in their hearts that they may not depart from me, Jer. xxxii. 40. This doubtless was God's end, as it was also of his punishments; for, faith he, they shall bear the punishment of their iniquity, that the house of Israel may go no more astray from me, Ezek. xiv. 10, 11. But this was not the event; for, God faith, in this very (h) prophet, I have caused to cleave to me the whole house of Israel, and the house of Judah; but they would not hear.

3dly. This text only contains a promise that when the Jew-nation shall be converted at the close of the world, they should never fall off any more from being his people, as

(f) Jer. xvii. 2. (g) Ep. ad Cor. Sec. 2. (h) Ezek. xiii. 11.
they had done before. See Catesber, and the note on Heb. viii. 19.

Objection 38-40. God faith concerning his people, (i) I will give you one heart, and I will put a new spirit in you, and take the stony heart out of their flesh, and give them an heart of flesh, that they may walk in my statutes and keep my ordinances. And again, (k) I will sprinkle clean water upon you, and you shall be clean from all your filthines, and I will put my spirit within you, and cause you to walk in my statutes, and ye shall keep my judgments to do them: where a new heart and spirit are said not only to be given, but put into them by God, and therefore they were to do nothing towards it.

Answer. The arguments taken from both these places have two of the general faults which render all arguments of this nature null, v. g. 1st. That they speak of all the whole house of Israel, Chap. xi. 15, xxxvi. 81, 82. to all that were gathered out of all countries, and brought to their own land, Ver. 24. And then it is certain from the second general rule, that it belongs not to the elect only. It is also certain from the event, if it respect their return from the Babylonish captivity, that it must be conditional; the books of Ezra and Nehemiah, and especially the complaints of the prophet Malachi, shewing, that they were never fulfilled in many of them; and from Chap. xi. 20. which speaks of those whose heart would still walk after their detestable things; or else it relates to the conversion of the Jewish nation yet to come; of the whole house of Israel; and then it can relate to them alone, and all Christians may as well expect to be exempted from famine, Ver. 30. and to have increase of corn, Ver. 29. and their waste places and fenced cities built, Ver. 33, 35. as the other blessings promised here. Moreover, according to this exposition, it must follow that not one good man came out of the captivity, not one of them with a new, or a clean heart; but all of them with an heart of stone, which was to be taken away.

Answer 2. This objection is contrary to the other general rule laid down in answer to the 15th objection; for God expressly doth command them by the same prophet, to make themselves a new heart, and a new spirit, Chap. xviii. 30, 31. and everywhere faith unto them, wash ye, make you clean, put away the evil of your doings from before mine eyes, Isa. 1. 16. O Jerusalem, wash thy heart from wickedness that thou mayest be saved, Jer. iv. 14. And St. James speaks to the same people thus, wash your hands, your inners, and purify your

(i) Chap. xi. 19, 20.—(k) Chap. xxxvi. 25, 27.
Effectual and Special Grace.

Hearts, ye double minded, Chap. iv. 8. all which things do assure us, that something was required on their parts towards the completion of this promise. God therefore may be, and is in scripture said to do these things, when by his providential dispensations, his rich mercies, or his judgments, or his miraculous dealings, he doth that which affords a powerful inducement to engage men to cleanse themselves from their defilements, and turn to him with a perfect heart; and doth design these actions for such ends; though through the wickedness of men, the event proves often otherwise. Thus God declares that he would purge his people by his judgments; as when he faith, I will turn my hand upon thee, and (by the calamities I shall inflict upon thee) will purely purge away thy dross, Isa. i. 25. And that he will wash away the filth of the daughter of Zion, and purge the blood of Jerusalem from the midst thereof: by the spirit of judgment, and by the spirit of burning, Isa. iv. 4. By his mercies, as when he faith, I drew them with the cords of a man, the cords of love, Hos. xi. 4. By his miracles, as when he upbraids the Jews that having seen the great signs and miracles he had done for them, yet had they not hearts to perceive, nor eyes to see, nor ears to hear, Deut. xxix. 3, 4. Hence when his vineyard brought forth wild grapes, he inquires, what could I have done more (in reason) to my vineyard, that she might bring forth good grapes; Isa. v. 4. And faith, he had done, by these means, what through the obstinacy of his people was not done; for thus he speaks, I have purged Jerusalem, and she was not purged, Ezek. xxiv. 13. I have caused the whole house of Israel to cleave to me as a girdle cleath to a man's loins, but they would not hear, Jer. xiii. 11. Note also, that this is one of those texts the heretics, who destroyed free will, and held that men were necessarily good or evil by nature, used to confirm that doctrine, as you may see in Origen, peri Arch. l. 5. c. 1. F. 138. and Philocal. c. 21. p. 59. and the objection following, from Philip. ii. 13, is another, ibid.

Section VI.—Objection 20—21. The apostle informs us, Phil. ii. 13, that it is God that worketh in us both to will and do; and prays he would work in us what is well pleasing in his sight, Heb. xiii. 21. Whateover therefore we will, or do, that is good, God doth it in us.

Answer. That God doth this is not denied; the question is, whether he doth it by a physical operation, unfruitful by the will of man, or by internal motion or inducements to prevail upon us thus to will and do: And that he doth this only in this latter sense, is evident from these very words, not only in my presence, but much more in my absence, work out your own salvation with fear and trembling; for it is God
that worketh in you, &c. for it beyond his inward suggestions and persuasions, some phyical and irresistible operation were required on God's part, which makes it necessary for us to will and do, why are we then commanded to work out our own salvation? For can we act where we are purely passive? Or can that be a reason why we ourselves should work, that another will effectually do that very thing without our cooperation? Is it not rather a manifest reason why we should neither will, nor work at all, since both is, and will be irresistibly performed without us? Why, say. Are we bid to work out our salvation with fear and trembling? For can there be any cause of fear lest that salvation should be not wrought out, which God works in us, irresistibly? Surely if God unstruckably works in us both to will and do, there can be no possibility of our miscarriage, and no ground for fear and trembling. Why, say. Are the Philippians exorted to do this much more in St. Paul's absence than in his presence? If when he was present God wrought in them irresistibly to will and do, and could do no more in his absence, surely no reason can be given of these words, but this: That whereas he being present stirred them up by his counsels and exhortations to do what was according to the mind of God, they, in his absence were immediately excited to those things by the suggestions of the holy spirit.

Say. That the word epevs doth not require this sense is evident, because the scripture it occurs very often, where it must be understood not of a physisal, but only of a moral operation; as when Satan is laid, epevsi, (a) to work in the children of disobedience, and the mystery of iniquity to work. Say. When it is attributed to those causes which produce not their effects by a physical, but only by a moral operation; as when the word is said to be, epevsi (b) powerful; the word epevsi, (c) which works effectually in them that believe; when it is said that (d) faith worketh by love; that (e) charity is, epevsi, effectual; and of concupiscence, that epevsi, it worketh in our members. Say. When it is ascribed to God fending upon men, epevsi shewing the efficacy of deceit; for surely God worketh no evil physically.

Say. Both of these places speak of men already believing and converted, and therefore, by the third general rule, must be impertinently alleged to prove men must be purely passive in the work of conversion.

Section VII.—Objection 22. If man doth any thing towards his conversion, which another negligently to do is not

(a) Eph. ii. 2. (b) Heb. iv. 12. (c) 1 Thess. ii. 13. (d) Gal. v. 6. (e) Phil. vi. 1.
converted, he makes himself to differ from that other, which yet seems not consistent with St. Paul's inquiry, who made thee to differ from another? 1 Cor. iv. 7.

Answer. The apostle manifestly speaks here of those extraordinary gifts of the spirit, the gifts of tongues, and prophecy, &c. on the account of which they were put up for one against another, counting one a man of better gifts than another. Now these gifts being immediately infused without human industry, and conferred upon Christians without any such cooperation of their faculties, as is required to the exercise of any christian duty, or moral virtue, it cannot, with like reason, be inquired of these duties, as it may be of those gifts, who made thee to differ from another in them? Nor can it from them be duly inferred, that no man doth any thing to make himself differ from another in any virtue, or pious dispositions; nor to what purpose are men continually exhorted and stirred up by powerful motives to all christian duties, and particularly to excel in virtue, if these exhortations and motives be not proposed to engage them to exercise these christian virtues, to choose the good and refuse the evil? And if one man, upon consideration of those motives, doth choose to live a pious life, whereas another will not be persuaded so to do, doth he not differ from that other by virtue of that choice? And though the grace of God by way of excitation worketh in us thus to will, yet since our faculties do first deliberate upon, and then comply and choose to do the thing to which this grace excites us; if to consider, be to differ from him that doth not consider, and to comply with and to embrace the call of God, be to differ from him that disobeys the same call, it must be certain, that as God's grace preventing and exciting, so my faculties cooperating, tend to make me differ from another. And doth not God himself declare, that men do somewhat to make themselves differ from others, by praising them who did what others neglected to do; as in the case of the (g) Bereans, the elder and the younger son, the Publicans and Harlots compared with the Scribes and Pharisees, the penitent Publican and the proud Pharisee? To the question then, when two are equally called, and one converted, who is it that puts the difference? The answer grounded upon God's own righteous judgment will be this, that man puts the difference, and not God only; because God judgeth not his own acts, but the acts of men, dealing with every man according to his own works; and because every righteous judge finds a differ.

(f) Acts xvii, 10, 11.
Section VIII.—Objection 28—24. That by this doctrine we administer occasion of boasting to all that are converted and faved, by attributing their conversion and salvation partly to their works; whereas the apostle faith, by grace we are faved, not of works, lest any man should boast; and moreover, according to the same doctrine, the whole glory of conversion will not be due to God, because man cooperates with him; whereas the divine wisdom hath so contrived the business of our salvation, that no flesh should glory in his sight.

1 Cor. i. 29.

Answer. To the first part of the objection taken from Eph. ii. 8, 9. I have already given a full answer, by shewing that these words, we are faved by grace through faith, bear this sense, that through the saving grace of God appearing to us by the preaching of the gospel, and believing by us, we are put into a state of salvation; and that all this is done to any church or nation, through the free grace and mercy of God, without any thing done by them antecedently to this grace; and more especially by shewing that though our actual salvation depend upon good works, or on sincere obedience to be performed after faith, yet is all boasting utterly excluded upon several accounts; &c. Because that revelation which contains the matters of our faith, and all the powerful motives to embrace it, and all those miracles which rendered that revelation highly credible, and so engaged us to believe it, is the free gift of God. adly. Because the good works we do, proceed not from ourselves, but are the fruits of that faith, which, in the sense now mentioned, is the gift of God, and from that word and spirit of God which worketh in us both to will and to do of his good pleasure. As therefore the apostle faith is the gift of tongues and prophecy, what (gift) hast thou which thou hast not received; and if thou hast received it, wherfore dost thou boast as if thou hadst not received it? So may we here; what faculty of believing, or willing what is good, hast thou which thou hast not received? What motive thus to will, or to believe, which hath not been vouchsafed by the free grace of God? What good work dost thou when this grace hath made thee willing, but in the strength of God, and by the aid of that good spirit by whom we are strengthened with might in the inward man to do his will? And if thou hast received strength from God for the performance of them, wherfore dost thou boast? This being the apostle's rule, that we can boast of nothing but that which we have not received.
Effectual and Special Grace.

2dly. Though God is pleased to require of us to be willing, yea to (g) cease to do evil, and to learn to do well; to qualify us for his mercy in the pardon of our sins, to make our faith the condition of justification, and our good works the condition of salvation; yet is all boasting utterly excluded, because it is still of grace that any of these things do find acceptance. It is of preventing and exciting grace that we thus will, choose and refuse; of assisting grace that we are enabled to perform that will, and persist in this choice, or refusals; and of true mercy, that the pardon of all our crimson sins is annexed to so doing; it is of grace that faith is imputed to justification; it being of faith, ἵνα κατά γραμμα, that it might be of grace. Rom. iv. 16. It also is of grace that our imperfect works are accounted good, and are at all rewarded by God. Now upon what account can any of us boast of doing that which in itself deserves condemnation, though through grace it finds acceptance: Boasting, faith the apostle, is not excluded by the law of works, Rom. iii. 27. because to him that worketh the reward is not reckoned of grace but of debt, Rom. iv. 4. grace and works that deserve justification and salvation, being perfectly opposite one to another; but it is, faith he, excluded by the law of faith; where therefore the acceptance of the act to such a purpose is of free grace; where the reward is still of grace, and not of debt; where it is given on the account of works imperfect and deserving nothing from God, there boasting is excluded.

3dly. Observe, that the scripture plainly grants that there is καύχημα, or matter of glorying, in things done by the assistance of the grace of God, and it is for the glory of a mad to do them: St. Paul faith, it were better for him to die, than that any man should make void, τὸ καύχημα, his boasting in preaching the gospel without charge, 1 Cor. ix. 15, 16. Yes, he swears that no man should stop, τὴν καύχημαν αὐτῷ, his boasting in that kind, 2 Cor. xi. 10. And in behalf of all his fellow workers, or apostles, he faith, this is καύχημα, our boasting, or rejoicing, in the testimony of our conscience, 2 Cor. i. 12. and this advice he gives to all christians, let every man approve his own work (to his own conscience) and then shall he have, τὸ καύχημα, boasting, or rejoicing, in himself, and not in another, Gal. vi. 4. The glorying, therefore, or the καύχημα, which the apostle elsewhere doth reject and exclude, is only that of the merit of our works, or their sufficiency to procure the justification of a sinner, Rom. iii. 27. iv. 2. or that which doth exclude the help, and the assistance of the grace of God in Christ, 1 Cor. i. 29, 31. To proceed

(g) Isa. i. 16, 17, 18.
then to the second part of this objection, that by our doctrine
the glory of our conversion will not be wholly due to God:
because man cooperates with him; this will be sufficiently
accounted for by observing, that the principle by which man
cooperates with him in this work is derived from him, and
all the motives which excite this principle to act, arise purely
from God’s preventing and exciting grace: Now where both
the principle of acting, and the sole motives to act, are from
God alone, there the whole glory of the action must be
due to him alone. Thus though wealth is the fruit of indus-
try, and it is the diligent hand that maketh rich; yet because
God gives the power to get wealth, and it is his blessing on
our enterprizes which maketh rich, the glory of it is due to
God alone; and we must say with David, (h) riches and hon-
or come of thee, and of thine own have we given thee; all this
store cometh of thy hand, and it is all thine own. After all
our industry to find out wisdom, and to search for her as for
hid treasure, we must give the allwise God the glory of all
the wisdom we attain to, as knowing that the Lord giveth
wisdom, out of his mouth cometh understanding. Thus St.
Paul faith, (i) I labored more abundantly than they all; and
yet ascribes all to the grace of God that was in him; and
though Paul did plant, and Apollos water, yet because God
gave the increase; (k) neither faith he, is Paul or Apollos to
be deemed any thing, but all must be ascribed to God that
giveth the increase. So when the apostle faith, (l) it is not
of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that
sheweth mercy, most of the fathers descant thus upon those
words, it is not of him that willeth nor of him that runneth
only, but of God that sheweth mercy, and crowns the work by
his assistance; for otherwise, say they, it cannot be our duty
either to will or run, provided we can neither by willing, or
by running, do any thing to incline God to shew mercy; and
why then doth God blame us for not willing, Matth. xxiii.
37. John v. 40. and requires us (o) to run that we may obtain?
1 Cor. ix. 24. Heb. xii. 1. And whereas against this it is
objected, that according to this interpretation it might be said,
it is not of God that sheweth mercy only, but of man who wills
and runs; Origen, St. Chrysostom and Theophylact answer,
that this follows not, because man’s willing and running would
not avail without the divine aid to enable him to run, and his
grace and mercy to accept his running; and therefore accord-
ing to the custom of the scripture, the effect is to be ascribed

(b) 1 Chron. xxix. 12, 14, 16. — (i) 1 Cor. xv. 10. — (k) 1 Cor.
iv. 6, 7. — (l) Rom. ix. 13.
to the chief agent only, as when it is said, except the Lord build the house, they labor in vain that build it. Psal. cxxvii. 1.

vedly. Our Lord and his apostle often commend the good actions of men, and Christ will at last say to the righteous man, well done thou good and faithful servant; therefore he that turns from his evil ways, and doth that which is right in the fight of God, is commendable, and doth that which is praiseworthy; for God doth not judge of things, or persons, otherwise than they are. If then this be the consequence charged upon our opinion, that it makes some praise belong to the convert and the believer, God himself owns the truth of it, by requiring us to do what is honorable and praiseworthy, Philip. iv. 8. to suffer for conscience towards God, ταύτα προς τη ευαγγελίαν, for this is thankworthy, 1 Pet. i. 19, 20. and saying, that our faith will be found to our praise, honor and glory at the revelation of Christ Jesus, 1 Pet. i. 9, 10. The contrary doctrine is liable to this just exception, that it doth consequentially affect, that no thanks are due for any kindness received if he to whom it is done be not merely passive, and if he that receiveth a kindness be but so much as active in receiving or accepting it, the glory of it redounds to him rather than to the benefactor; so that we must not expect from Christ the praise and glory of feeding his hungry members, unless we put the meat into their mouths; or of clothing them when naked, unless we put the clothes upon them; or of receiving them into our houses, though we do invite and open the door for them, unless we force them in; that he who gives a prisoner money sufficient to pay off his debt, is not to have the glory of his release, if he require the prisoner to tell out, and deliver the money to the creditor; and that the prince who pardons his condemned subject, upon condition that he will plead his pardon, is not to have the sole glory of that pardoning mercy; and the true consequence from this is, faith Dr. Claget, that the glory of God's grace wholly depends upon the fullness of and obstinacy of men, and that the only way to advance it, is by a stout opposition and sputter of resistance, Part ii. p. 208.

SECTION IX. — Objection 25. Lastly, it is objected, that the opinion which makes the grace of God resistible, leaves it uncertain whether any one will be converted by it, or not.

Answer 1. To this I answer; (1st.) That it leaves it as uncertain whether any one will be unconverted, or not; and surely, that opinion which affords the encouragement to all, that God, notwithstanding their fall, will afford means sufficient to convert them, if they do not neglect and refuse to use them, is much to be preferred before that which tells them be hath from eternity passed an act of preterition on them, and
by that excluded them out of the number of the cleft, i.e. of them who only shall be saved.

Answer 2. —Adly. A man may, notwithstanding this opinion, be infallibly certain, otherwise, that many will be found true converts at the last, because he knows that many have already died in the fear of God, and in the faith of Christ, and because the holy scriptures do assure us that some shall arise to everlasting life, and receive the end of their faith in the salvation of their souls.

Answer 3. —Adly. To say that it is barely possible in the nature of the thing that none may be converted hath no inconvenience in it, because it tends not to hinder any man's endeavors after his conversion, any more than the like possibility, that no man may thrive by his industry, or grow rich by his trading, or have a safe voyage at sea, or a plentiful crop by sowing, or health by taking physic, hinders men from doing any of these actions. It is no imputation upon divine wisdom, that God himself complaineth he had given his law to the Jews in vain; nor did St. Paul conceive it any defect in the grace of God, that it might be received in vain by the churches of Corinth, 2 Cor. vi. 1. of Galatia, Chap. iii. 4. and of Thessalonica, 1 Thess. iii. 5. and by parity of reason by all other churches. It is possible, that no one subject may obey the laws of his superior, because they have free will, and may do evil under the strongest obligations to do well; but should the world be left therefore without human laws, or be governed by irresistible force, or not at all? Nay, rather that freedom which includes a bare possibility that all may disobey, proves the wisdom and justice of governing mankind by laws attended with moral inducements to obedience; whereas if we suppose men to be under a necessity either of doing what is required, or of doing the contrary, it is very hard to understand how governing them by moral means should be wise in the former case, or just in the latter.
DISCOURSE IV.

OF THE FREEDOM OF THE WILL OF MAN.

CHAPTER I.

The State of the Question.

Or the due stating of this question concerning the liberty, or freedom of the will of man, let it be noted,

SECTION I.—1/2. That the state of man, in this world, is a state of trial or probation, as will be evident,

1/2. From all those places in which God is said to exercise his dispensations towards his people, to prove them whether they would walk in his ways, or not; as in those words, I will rain bread from heaven, to prove them whether they will walk in my ways, or not, Exod. xvi. 4. i.e. Whether the constant provisions I make for them, will induce them to continue steadfast in my service. When they were terrified at the
dreadful sights, and the voice they heard at the giving of the law, \textit{Moses speaks to them thus, fear not, for God is come to prove you, Chap. xx. 20.} (i.e. to try whether you will be true to the promise made Chap. xix. 8. viz. all that the Lord hath spoken we will do) and that his fear may be before your faces that you sin not. And so in many other places, which will hereafter be considered.

2dly. From all those places in which God is said to try men. Thus St. Paul speaks of the trial of men's works by fire, 1 Cor. iii. 13. of the trial of the Macedonians by afflictions, 2 Cor. viii. 7. St. James faith, that the trial of our faith, by temptations, worketh patience, Chap. i. 3. St. Peter, that the trial of our faith, (by manifold temptations, if we continue steadfast in it) will be found to our praise, honor and glory at the appearing of Jesus Christ, 1 Pet. i. 7. and speaketh of a fiery trial which was come to try them, Chap. iv. 12. Our blessed Lord faith to the church of Smyrna, behold the devil will cast some of you into prison that he may try you, Rev. ii. 10. and prophesies of an hour of temptation, which shall come upon all the world to try them that dwell upon the earth; to omit many places of like nature in the Old Testament, viz. Psal. lxvi. 12. Jer. ix. 7. Dan. xi. 35. xii. 10. Zech. xiii. 9.

3dly. From all the promises and threats recorded in the scripture, to engage all men to repent and turn to God; for no such thing is, or can reasonably be offered to them who are already in a fixed state either of happiness or misery; and it is contrary even to the nature of those motives to be offered to them, who neither can be induced by the hopes of promises, or fears of sufferings, to change their present state.

4thly. From all the exhortations of the holy scripture to men, to watch and pray that they enter not into temptation, and to pray they may not be led into temptation; from the supposition that men in the time of temptation may fall away, Luke viii. 13. and that Satan may so tempt good christians, that the labor of the apostles may be in vain among them, 1 Thess. iii. 5. For what is temptation but a trial of our faith, sincerity and constancy? What is it to enter, or be led into it, but to be in danger of falling by it? And must not therefore all who are in a state of temptation, be also in a state of trial or probation? And,

5thly. This will be evident from the temptations of Satan, who goes about continually seeking whom he may devour. For to what end should he tempt, that is, endeavor to destroy them, if he knows he never can succeed in his temptations to destroy the elect; and as for others, \textit{quos ad perditionem Deus praeripserit,} and who are left by God infallibly to fail of
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salvation, he need not do it, since God himself, according to this doctrine, hath done that work effectually to his hand?—

To what end should he strive to hinder the progress of the gospel, seeing, according to this doctrine, it must have its effect upon the elect infallibly and unfruitfully, and upon others it can only be a Savoir of death unto death, and an aggravation of their condemnation? To what end should he go about to hinder the conversion of any man? Must he not know his labor will be certainly in vain, where this is wrought by a divine unfruitful operation, and is as needless where God hath decreed not to vouchsafe that operation? Now hence it follows,

Coroll.—Section II.—That the liberty belonging to this question, is only that of a lapsed man in a state of trial, probation and temptation; whether he hath a freedom to choose life or death, to answer or reject the calls and invitations of God to do, by the assistance of the grace afforded in the gospel to him, what is spiritually good as well as evil; or whether he be determined to one, having only a freedom from coercion, but not from necessity. This liberty is indeed no perfection of human nature; for it supposes us imperfect, as being subject to fall by temptation, and when we are advanced to the spirits of just men made perfect, or to a fixed state of happiness, will, with our other imperfections be done away; but yet it is a freedom absolutely requisite, as we conceive, to render us capable of trial or probation, and to render our actions worthy of praise or dispraise, and our persons of rewards or punishments; nor is this liberty essential to man as man, but only necessary to man placed in a state of trial, and under the power of temptation. And therefore vain are the ensuing arguments.

1. That God is a free agent, and yet can have no freedom to do evil, since he is in no state of trial, nor can he be tempted to do evil. Or, 2dly. That the confirmed angels have not lost their freedom though they cannot sin; for if there was a time when they were not confirmed in goodness as now they are, they have lost that liberty, ad utrumque, they then had; and being thus confirmed they are not in a state of trial, nor under any temptation to do evil, nor are their actions now rewardable, since they already do enjoy the beatific vision, and so they cannot act out of respect to any future recompense, or be induced to act out of hope or fear, as in this state of trial all men are. Or, 3dly. That the devils, and the damned spirits lie under no capacity of doing good, or under a necessity of doing evil, and yet do it voluntarily, their state of trial being past, and they having no farther offers of grace, and so no motive to do good; and as for any evil they are
now necessitated to do, or any good they do not, they are not subject to any farther punishment, the damned spirits being only to receive at the day of judgment, according to what they have done in the body, or in their state of trial, and the damned angels, being referred to the day of judgment to be punished for what they did in a like state of trial; and if they are to suffer any thing on the account of their temptations of men to do evil, or to draw them from their obedience to the will of God, they so far lie under no necessity of doing this, but might abstain from those temptations. Excellent to this purpose are these words of (2) Mr. Tho.:" We say not that indifference is requisite to all freedom, but to the freedom of man alone in this state of travail and proficience, the ground of which is God's tender of a treaty, and conditions of peace and reconciliation to fallen man, together with those precepts and prohibitions, those promises and threats, those exhortations and dehoration it is enforced with; so that it is utterly impertinent to allege here, the freedom of God and angels, the freedom of saints in the world to come, the freedom of Christ's human soul, to prove that this indifference is not requisite to the freedom of man, because it is not found in that freedom which they are arrived to, to whom no covenant is tendered, no precept requisite, no exhortation useful."

And hence ariseth a necessity of saying,

SECTION III.—Here. That the freedom of the will, in this state of trial and temptation, cannot conflict with a determination to one, viz. on the one hand in a determination to good only by the efficacy of divine grace, infallibly or unfruitfully inducing to that operation, or engaging men, respect, Divine Ordination is certo et infallibiliter agere, in respect of the divine appointment infallibly and certainly to act, so that he cannot fail of acting; seeing this determining operation puts him out of a state of trial, and makes him equal, when this divine impulse comes upon him, to the state of angels; since as who must certainly, and without fail, do what the divine impulse doth incite him to do, is as much determined to one, as they are. And this is farther evident from the general determination of the schools, and of all that I have read upon this subject, that the general will to be happy, and not to be miserable, though it be voluntary is not free; because we cannot choose either not to be happy, or to be miserable; and on the same account, say they, this will is not praiseworthy, or rewardeable. There also is no place for election and deliberation about this action; because all election and deliberation is about the means and not about the end. If therefore,
where I am by the divine influx determined to one, there is equally no place for refusing that one, or for not choosing it; that action, though it may be voluntary upon the same account, it cannot be free, praiseworthy or rewarable.

Nor can this liberty conflict with the contrary determination to one, viz. with an incapacity in men, through the fall, to do good but evil only: for then man, in the state of trial, must be reduced to the condition of the devil, and of damned spirits, who though they are not determined to evil actions in particular, are yet determined to do evil in the general, and not good. This, indeed, some suppose he is by being given up to hardness of heart, and a judicial blindness, or by a customary habit of iniquity; but this doth rather prove the contrary, as being not the natural, but the acquired state of fallen man; it is the consequent of a course of sin, to which he never was determined, and which he never can lie under without abusing of that grace which was sufficient to prevent it. For as (a) Bishop Bramhall truly faith, “God never forsakes his creature, by withholding his grace from him, until his creature hath first forsaken him; he never forsakes his creature so far, but that he may by prayer, and using good endeavors obtain the aid of God’s grace either to prevent or remove hardness of heart.” Moreover, though these things do render it exceeding difficult for such men to do good, they do not render it impossible for them to do so; though they do give men a strong bent and powerful inclination to what is evil, yet do they not determine him to do it, as is evident from God’s applications to such men to reform and hearken to his exhortations; as when he faith, 1st, xlili. 18. hear ye deaf, and look ye blind that ye may see; and to Jerusalem accustomed to do evil, Jer. xii. 23. will thou not be made clean, when shall it once be? Ver. 27. When he sends his prophet to the impudent and hard hearted house of Israel which would not hearken to him, saying, go and speak unto them, whether they will hear or will forbear; Ezek. iii. 7, 11. from the calls of Christ to the obdurate Jews who had eyes to see, and saw not, &c. Matth. xiii. 12. for unto them he faith, these things I say unto you that ye might be saved; and again, while ye have the light, believes in the light, that ye may be the children of the light; and lastly, from St. Paul’s desire and prayer for Israel when blinded, and lying under a spiritual slumber, that they might be saved, Rom. x. 1. and his endeavor to save some of them, Chap. xi. 14. And if such perfors are not by these things determined only to do evil, or incapacitated to do good, much less can this be the safe state of fallen man in general, before

(a) Callig. of Mr. Hobbs, p. 745.
be hath contrasted these additional indispositions to do good, and inclinations to do evil. This will be farther evident, as to both parts, from this consideration, that it is generally owned that the actions of the understanding or the mind deserve neither praise nor dispraise, reward or punishment, as they proceed purely from the mind, but only as they result, ab immersed voluntatis, and come under the power of the will; or that they deserve praise or dispraise, not as he understands, but as he wills to understand, of which the reason can be only this, that as they proceed from the understanding they are necessary; for when evidence is propounded and discerned, the mind doth necessarily assent unto it. If therefore in like manner when God unfrufrably moves the will it cannot but consent, why should that action be more praiseworthy, than the assent of the mind to what is evident? And as it is not culpable in the mind not to assent where it hath no evidence; nor can it properly be said to do so, because it is only real or seeming evidence which causeth that assent; so if it be only this unfrufrable operation on the will which causeth it to repent and turn to God, and it cannot will to do so without this powerful motion, but must refuse all invitations or inducements so to do, which do not come attended with that operation, when that is not vouchsafed; why should it not be as blameless in not choosing to repent and turn to God, as the mind is in not assenting without evidence, seeing this operation is as necessary to that choice of the will, as evidence of truth is to the assent of the mind? Why also is it not as blameless in refusing to repent without that operation, as the mind is in refusing to assent without evidence? For if necessity in the mind, though it be not extrinsic, or that of coercion, (of which both will and mind are equally uncapable) takes away from its actions praise or dispraise, and renders them incapable of either of them, why should not an extrinsic necessity laid upon the will do the same? Add to this, that those schoolmen, who assert that the will may be free where the act is necessary, do yet confess that in that case the will cannot be deliberans; whereas, it is certain, that the liberty of man in this state of trial and temptation must be deliberative, if it doth choose, there being no election without deliberation. And hence in order to the performance of his duty, God requires him to ponder and consider, to bring again to mind, and lay to heart his sayings, proposes motives and inducements to him to do, and promises and threats to excite him to it by his hopes and fears; whereas no promises are made to the confirmed angels, no motives offered to engage them to choose the good, no evils are threatened to the devils.
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or the damned spirits to deter them from doing evil. I con-
clude then with that of the judicious Bishop Bramhall, God
may, and doth sometimes determine the will of man to one;
but when it is so determined, the act may be voluntary, but
not free.

Section IV. — 2dly. This is called, or free will of man,
being neither an act, for that is the exercise of the will; nor an
habit, for that only doth facilitate and incline to action; but
a faculty or power; and the object of that power being in
moral actions, something morally, in spiritual actions some-
ting spiritually good to be chosen or spiritually evil to be
avoided; that which disables any man from choosing what is
spiritually good, or refusing what is thus evil, and therefore is
destructive to his soul and spirit, must also take away his libe-
mony to choose what is spiritually good, and to refuse what is
spiritually evil.

To say here the man thus disabled hath still a freedom in
reference to these actions, because he hath still the power of
doing otherwise; that is, the faculty of willing otherwise is
not taken from him, even as a man when he sits is free to walk,
though he cannot walk while he sits, because he hath still the
power or faculty of walking; is as if I should say, that a
man blinded by a cataract, or made deaf by an impediment or
stoppage in his ear, had still the freedom of his sight and hear-
ing, because the faculty of seeing and of hearing still remained
though the exercise of it was obstructed; for if the exercise of
the faculty of the will to what is spiritually good be as much
obstructed by this disability, as is the exercise of these facul-
ties obstructed by the disability of these organs to convey the
motions of the objects of sight or hearing to the brain; there
is no more freedom in the one than in the other, to the ac-
tions proper to each faculty. Nor is the example of walking
at all pertinent; for therefore am I free to walk hereafter,
though I now sit, because no obstruction lies upon my faculty
of walking afterwards, because I do at present sit; but were I
uttered to my chair, or confined to it by an incurable lame-
ness, I could not be said to be free to walk whilst that force
or lameness lasted. So neither can a man be said to be free to
do what is spiritually good because his faculty of willing still
remains, provided he be equally lame and impotent as to spir-
iritual things, and therefore equally disabled from walking in
the ways of God. Thus Bishop Bramhall, “To say a man
still retains the faculty or power of willing, though the exer-
cise of it be in this case determined, is in effect to say, a bird
is free to fly when I hold his wings, because he still hath
wing’s to fly; and a man chained and fettered is still free to
walk, because he still retains the faculty of walking."

Now hence it follows,

(13b.) That the doctrine which teacheth that man is so utterly disabled by the fall of Adam, that without the efficacious grace which God vouchsafteth only to some few who are the objects of his election to salvation, he hath no power to do what is spiritually good, or to avoid what is spiritually evil, must be destructive of the liberty belonging to man in a state of trial, probation and proficiency; and so must be sufficiently confuted by all those scriptures in which God declares that he dealt thus and thus with his people, to try whether they would walk in his statutes or not; of which nature are the passages cited already from Exod. xvi. 4. xx. 20. and these following, Deut. viii. 2. He led thee these forty years in the wilderness, that he might humble thee, and prove thee, and know (or discover) what was in thy heart, whether thou wouldst keep his commandments or not; and Ver. 16. He fed thee with manna in the wilderness, that he might humble thee, and prove thee, (whether thou wouldst be obedient to his laws) that (so) he might do thee good in thy latter end. And Chap. xiii. 3. The Lord thy God proveth thee (by the false prophet) to know whether ye love the Lord your God with all your hearts, and with all your souls. And Judg. ii. 21. The Lord drove not out the nations which Joshua had left, that by them he might prove Israel, whether they would keep the way of the Lord to walk in it, as their fathers did keep it, or not. And Chap. iii. 4. They were left to prove Israel, to know whether they would hearken to the commandments of the Lord. For to what end should God thus prove them who lay under so great a disability that they could not obey his precepts, or avoid the violation of them, without that efficacious grace he was not pleased to vouchsafe to the most or them? Or why does he attempt to move such perions to this obedience by the consideration of his great goodness, and his tremendous majesty, and by the remnant of the nations left among them, who were not to be induced unto it by rational motives, or moral persuasions, but only by an unfruitful operation? Why, lastly, doth he try whether they would hearken to his commandments as their forefathers did, provided their forefathers did this only by virtue of that efficacious and special grace, he was not pleased to vouchsafe to them? To try men whether they will do what he knows they are disabled from doing, is as unsuitable to the divine wisdom, as to make laws for lapse man impossible to be performed by him, and then to punish him for not doing what he could not do, or performing what he could not avoid, is unsuitable to the divine justice; and as to excite them to their duty by motives which he knows cannot
work upon them, is unsuitable to the sincerity of God. For did ever any wife man go about to try whether he could persuade a blind man to see, or a deaf man to hear, or an impotent man to walk?" Or did he ever think it sufficient to require them to do so under the highest penalties? Or is it to punish them all their life long for not doing so, because they had contracted these disabilities by their own personal iniquity? If therefore by the fall of Adam all men are as truly disabled from doing that good which God requires of them afterwards, or from avoiding what he by a following law forbids, can it be suitable either to the divine wisdom or justice to make him to exact these impossibilities under far more durable and heavy penalties from men disabled thus by another's personal fault, before he had a being, or any of these laws were made.

And as this is plainly inconsistent with a state of trial and probation; so is it also inconsistent with the new covenant of grace, established in the blood of Jesus, and tendered to all to whom the gospel is vouchsafed. For they who are excluded from the benefits of that covenant, remission of sins and salvation and by a decree of preterition, are left under a disability to perform the conditions of that covenant, faith, repentance and obedience, before it was made or ratified in the blood of Jesus, can never be admitted to it, or be the better for it; for if you say it is tendered to them as well as others on the conditions of faith and repentance, yet if these conditions were rendered impossible to them by the fall of Adam whilst he was under the first covenant, this is indeed no tender; for what is only tendered on an impossible condition is indeed not tendered, but in effect denied; according to that rule of logic, impossible habet in se vim adverbi universali terminis, an impossible condition hath in it the force of an universal negative. Nor is it easy to discern how God was in Christ reconciling the world to himself, not imputing to them their sins; if first the sin of Adam was by God's arbitrary imputation formally their sin, and then the supposed disability contracted by it, was by God so far imputed to the greatest part of mankind, as that he not only decrees to leave them under it without mercy, pity or compassion, but also punisheth them for ever for not doing what they were utterly disabled by this law to do.

Section V.—To say here that men thus disabled may deserve punishment for the evil they do, though they cannot do otherwise, because they disobey willingly, and choose to do so, is to make the devils and the damned spirits further punishable, because they also choose to do evil; and the blessed angels rewardable, because they choose to do good, and do
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it willingly. If, indeed, man doth choose at all, he must choose willingly; for that is only to say, he chooseth by his will. But yet, if he lies under an utter disability of willing and of doing otherwise; and upon that account doth only what is evil and not spiritually good, no man can reasonably judge he is still left under a state of trial or probation whether he will do good or evil, much less that good and evil, life and death is set before him; and that it is in his hand or power to choose either of them; and yet that this was the ancient doctrine of the Jews we learn from these words of the Son of Suraich; Chap. xv. 11—17. He himself made man from the beginning, and left him in the hands of his counsel; if thou wilt; to keep the commandments, and to perform acceptable faithfulness, he hath set fire and water before thee, stretch forth thy hand to whether thou wilt. Before man is life and death, and whether he liketh shall be given him; and this he learnt from those words of Moses, Deut. xxx. 15. I have set before thee this day life and good, death and evil, in that I command thee to love the Lord thy God, and to walk in his way. And Ver. 19. I call heaven and earth to record against you that I have set before you life and death, blessing and cursing, therefore choose life. Where Maimonides faith, (b) That the wills of men are under no force or compulsion, but are free agents; and therefore precepts are imposed upon them with punishments threatened to the disobedient, and with rewards promised to them who keep God’s commandments. And from the words preceding, it is evident that these commandments were neither so abstruse that they could not know them, nor so difficult to the lapsed Jews that they could not do them; for thus they run, the commandment which I command thee this day is not hidden from thee, neither is it afar off, that ye should say, who shall go up to heaven, or beyond the sea: to bring it to us, that we may hear it and do it? But the word is very nigh to thee, in thy mouth, and in thy heart that thou mayest do it; i.e. that thou mayest have nothing more to do than to put it in practice. Now to say to men utterly disabled from choosing life or keeping God’s commandments, he hath left thee in the hands of thy counsel, if thou wilt; to keep the commandments; that before them is life and death, and whether they shall be given them, is purely to delude them with vain words; to say, see I have set before the life and death, good and evil, in that I command thee to love the Lord thy God, and to walk in his way; i.e. in that I command thee upon pain of my eternal displeasure to do what I know thou canst not do, yea, to do this with the solemnity of

(b) Com. on Pirke Avoth. c. 8.
calling heaven and earth to record against them who would not embrace life and bliss; to bids them choose life who could no more choose it under this disability than they could rend a rock in sunder; to tell them for their encouragement who were spiritually blind, that the word was not hidden from them, or difficult to be known by them; and them that were spiritually deaf, and hard of heart, that the word was in their heart that they might hear it and do it, is that which cannot possibly proceed from a God of truth, uprightness and sincerity; but is rather like the temptations and allurements of Satan, mere delusions, false promises, and pretences of kindness to those souls whose ruin he designs. And then when we consider that St. Paul hath transferred these very expressions (c) to the word of faith which the apostles preached; it is also certain that men under the gospel dispensation lie under no such disability of believing unto righteousness now, which the grace tendered with the gospel is not sufficient to remove. And, indeed, to introduce the blessed Jesus declaring that the Jews were given up to the severest judgments, because he would have gathered them, and they would not be gathered; and that they would die in their sins, because they would not come unto him that they might have life; when, 1st. By virtue of an act of divine preterition, they were left, faith Bishop Davenant, infallibly to fail of obtaining life; and, 2dly. They were left by the fall under an utter disability of being willing, is such an imputation on the blessed Jesus as cannot be thought on without horror.

2dly. That opinion which faith man cannot be induced to do any thing which is truly and spiritually good, without that efficacious grace which makes the doing of that good inevitable to him, and the act unfrustrable; must also destroy the liberty belonging to man in a state of trial and probation. For what is it to say a thing is to me inevitable or unfrustrable by me, but to say I have no power to avoid or frustrate; and no power to resist that action; and if that action be the action of the will, it is to say I have no power to will otherwise, and no power to choose otherwise; since what I choose I choose by my will. And then, 1st. I am no more rewardable for choosing as I do, than the blessed angels are for choosing as they do; and it is as vain to excite men to choose the good, or refuse evil by arguments or motives from promises and threats, as to move blessed angels by them to choose or to refuse as they do; for as they being determined to one, cannot need these motives, so when this unfruitable operation comes upon men, they can as little need them as

(c) Rom. x.
these angels do, because they are as certainly infallibly and unerringly determined to one as the angels are; and as they being antecedently determined to one, cannot use these motives to induce them or incline them to that good they choose, so till lapsed man be thus determined to one, he cannot use them to incline him to the performance of his duty; and, for the same reason, as long as this action is deferred or withheld, we are as little liable to punishment for not doing what is spiritually good, for not repenting and believing, as are the devils and the damned spirits, because we are as much disabled as they are, it being evidently the same to have no motives so to do, which is their wretched case, and to have none by which we can be moved so to do, without that action which will not be vouchsafed; and as the devils are not determined to one in individuo, but in kind only, as being determined to do evil in the general, and that only privately for want of motive or inducement to do otherwise, so is it upon this supposition with lapsed man left in that state, without provision of this unfruitful grace.

SECTION VI.—To say that men under this unfruitful operation are still free, because what they are moved thus to do they will to do, and do it with complacency, is only to say man herein hath the freedom of an elect angel, which is not rewarable; but not that he hath the freedom of a proficient, or of one in a state of trial and probation. Again, either this divine action only enables the will to determine itself, or it necessitates it to act; i.e. to will: If it only enables it to do so, it renders not the action certain and infallible, for the will even of the regenerate person doth not always necessarily, or certainly choose what it is able to choose, for then regenerate persons would be guilty of no sin. If the divine motion doth necessitate the will, then is there no power in the will to do otherwise, and so there is no freedom either in that will, or that complacency which necessarily follows upon that divine impulse.

3dly. God thus unerringly moves the will either by rational motives and persuasions only, or by some physical influx upon it, which it cannot resist; if he uses the first way only, it is plain that his motion may be frustrated, since the regenerate does often act against the highest motives and the most powerful persuasions; if by a physical influx, which the will cannot resist, though it hath contrary motives so to do, what can be further requisite to the compulsion of the will? For it my hand be compelled to act, when it is moved by an external force which I cannot resist, why is not my will compelled also, when it is acted by an extrinsical influx of God which it cannot resist? In fine, if the will and influx of God does thus
unfutrably interpose to determine the will of man before it determines itself, it is no more liable to an account for acting, or not acting than the earth is for standing still, or the heavens for moving; for this they do only because of God in putting that motion into the one, and not into the other, makes it necessary for them to do. If then man can do nothing that is spiritually good till this divine motion determine him to do, and then he cannot but do what he is thus moved to do; there is the same necessity for that which he doth, or doth not in this kind, as for the heavens to move, or the earth to stand still. To say there betwixt these two cases, because man hath of doing otherwise, solves not the difficulty; for if that capacity cannot be exerted without this determining impulse, it is as none at all without it, it being, as to our spiritual interests, the same thing to have no capacity of doing good, as to have none that we can exert.

That this is the true state of the question cannot be reasonably doubted, if these things seriously be considered.

1st. That the contrary doctrines of the determining influx on the one hand, and the supposed disability which renders it necessary on the other, without the special grace of God, to be still doing evil, have no countenance from, nor firm foundation in the holy scriptures.

2dly. That these new notions concerning the confidence of a liberty that is rewarable, or penal, with necessity, and a determination to one, and an invincible necessity connate to fallen man, and rendering it impossible for him to do what is commanded, or to avoid what is forbidden under the highest penalties, is evidently repugnant to the common sense and natural reason of mankind, and as such hath been rejected by the christian writers. And,

3dly. That the christian world for four whole centuries condemned it as destructive of true liberty, of the nature of vice and virtue, of rewards and punishments, of the equity of the divine precepts and of a future judgment, and also contrary to the plain declarations of the holy scripture. And,

1st. That the doctrine of the determining influx renders faith, repentance and conversion in man unfutural and impossible by man, when the divine influx comes upon him; and by plain consequence impossible to him till it comes upon him, hath no foundation in the holy scriptures, hath been sufficiently demonstrated in the third discourse concerning special and effectual grace; to which I shall only add this observation, that some of those scriptures, which are now used to prove it, viz. God’s promise to take away the stony heart and give us hearts of flesh; the apostle’s words, That it was
of him that wills or runneth, but of God that sheweth mercy; and that it is God that worketh in us both to will and to do, were the very arguments used, faith (a) Origen, by them who destroy free will, dia to phusis eisagein aperoménas anamikhtas to oikogénis, kai etéra soiroménas eídous tois ékstatas peri to apóleías, by introducing natures lost and incapable of being saved, and others saved which could not possibly perish; which as we learn from (b) Clemens of Alexandria, was the doctrine of the Basilidians and Marcionites, and which, faith he makes faith involuntary, and unworthy of praise, or incredulity of dispraise, as prōsphronaín eishez phorin anázhyn, depending on an antecedent necessity; and by destroying liberty overthrows, tôn theoloi tou Sotrias, the foundation of salvation, and renders all retribution unjust, and so destroys the doctrine of us christians who have received from the scriptures that God hath given (c) axios kai phorin autokratorik, a power from ourselves to choose one thing, and fly from another; and puts this plea into the mouths of wicked men, I did this unwillingly, and was compelled to do it.

Section VII.—Again, that the doctrine which teacheth (d) that man by the fall hath contracted such a disability to what is good; that, without the special grace of God, he can do nothing that is truly good, and is fallen under such a servitude to sin, as renders it necessary for him to be still doing evil, hath no foundation in the holy scriptures, is also easy to demonstrate; this I shall do, first, by laying down the doctrine of those divines who do maintain this opinion, as it is faithfully delivered by Le Blanc; and then producing what they allege from scripture to confirm it.

Now Le Blanc, in his theses of the liberty of man in the state of lapsed nature to what is morally good, hath given us the doctrine of these reformers thus.

1st. That (d) there be some moral precepts which man in this lapsed state cannot do at all, viz. that which faith negatively, thou shalt not covet; and that which faith positively, thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart; whence these things necessarily follow, that God must lay on lapsed man an obligation to impossibilities, and must command him under the severest penalties, to do what he could never do from his birth, and to avoid what he had never power to avoid; unless he had this power before he had a being, or any faculty at all; and consequently that he can only require these impossibilities to increafe his fin and enhance his damnation.

(a) Philoc. c. 21. p. 43, 44. (b) Strom. ii. p. 363. (c) P. 409. (d) Part ii. Sec. ii. and 48.
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2dly. That according to the doctrine of the (e) Protestants, those actions of theirs which are materially good, are yet formally sins, because they are neither done out of love to God as the principle, or for his glory as the end, and so have two essential defects inconsistent with the nature of an action morally good; whence it must follow, that by endeavoring to obey God's commands as well as they can, they must formally sin.

3dly. That (f) most Protestants deny that man, in the state of lapsed nature, is free to choose what is morally good, and so hath left the freedom of his will as to those actions; and consequently, if God damn him for not doing what is morally good, he must damn him for that which he could not have the will to do.

4thly. That therefore (g) he is so far become the servant of sin, that whatsoever he doth, non posuit nisi peccare, he cannot but sin; and then St. Austin's definition of sin, that it is the will to do that, a quo liberum fuit abstinere, from which he could abstain, must be false; though he faith that the confidences of all men attest the truth of it.

5thly. The (h) Protestants, faith he, teach that the grace without which, nemo bene operari potest, et vel unicum opus revera bonum efficere, esse ipfam gratiam regenerantem et justificantem, no man can do what is good, or perform one good action, is regenerating and justifying grace; that is, without justifying faith, as he expounds them: (i) And this grace, faith he, hath its beginning, progress and completion from that efficacious grace, by which God in us doth abolish the dominion of sin: Whence it must follow, that no man can begin to do one good work till God vouchsafe that efficacious grace, which will end in his sanctification; and therefore all that hope, fear, grief for sin, love and imploration of the divine grace, which doth not end in this sanctification, must be sin, or at least no good work.

Now to prove things so absurd and contrary to the first principles of reason, it is very reasonable to expect both plain and frequent testimonies of the holy scriptures, saying, that man is by the fall of Adam become utterly unable to do any thing that is good, or any thing that God requires of him in an acceptable manner: Yea that, by reason of that fall alone, his faculties are so horribly perverted, that he can do only what is evil, and cannot but do evil; whereas the whole scripture hath not one saying of this nature; it no where any farther charges the wickedness committed in the world upon this fall than by saying that by one sin of one man, sin entered into the world, and death by sin: but doth ill charge it either up-

(e) From Section 11 to the 20th. — (f) Section 43. — (g) Section 10, 11, 39. — (h) Section 25. — (i) Section 33.
on men's want of consideration, or their unwillingness to do what they know to be their duty, or on the corrupt dispositions they had contracted through a long course of sin. It faith indeed that (k) no man can bring a clean thing out of an unclean; that is, that from parents obnoxious to sin, will spring forth children, that when they come to discern between good and evil, will be obnoxious to sin also; for in many things we offend all, and therefore cannot be justified before God, but by an act of grace; for (l) how can man be just before God, or how can he be clean that is born of a woman? And therefore when the Enractites used these words of Job and his friends against marriage, as introducing a polluted seed, (m) Clemens of Alexandria, and the (n) author of the questions and answers ascribed to Justin Martyr, say to them, these things you can, μὴ νὺ ἐφεστὶν ἡμῖν διὰ τῆς ἐσθῆτου, by no means apply to children, as if they were sinners. David faith also, behold I was shaped in wickedness, and in sin did my mother conceive me; that is, say (o) Clemens of Alexandria, Chrysostom and Theodoret, he speaks this of his mother Eve, and our first parents, whose posterity was begotten after they had sinned; and faith not, as the Enractites, αὐτῶς ὑπεκαλυν, foolishly interpreted these words. The (p) Jews and (q) Isidore interpret this of his mother's conceiving him in promiscuity, which, say they, usually produced, σῶμα ἵνα καθαίρω, καὶ ἐκπαιρῇ, a body impure, untempered, and subject to evil passions. But, adly. The usual interpretation, (without that hyperbole which Grotius, and before him Hefchius, noted in these words, comparing them with those of the same Psalmist, (r) the wicked go astray from the womb, as soon as they are born speaking lies; and those of the prophet Isaiah concerning Israel, (s) thou wast called a transgressor from the womb; where, faith the judicious Gataker, he cannot mean from their natural birth, this passage implying something not common to all, but peculiar to that people) doth indeed make him say what (t) Clemens of Alexandria absolutely doth gain say, to wit, that he was born in sin; but doth not in the least say, or hint that he could therefore do nothing that was truly good, or that it was necessary for him to do that, or any other evil that he did.

Adly. Some urge to this effect the complaint of God against the sinners of the old world, whole (u) imagination.

(k) Job xiv. 4. (l) Job ix. 2, xv. 14, xxv. 4. (m) Strom. iii. p. 463. D. (n) Qu et Resp. 88. p. 445, 446. (o) 15. p. 469. (p) Vide Muis in Locum. (q) Isidor. Caten. in Nat. c. 8. p. 263. (r) Psal. lvi. 3. (s) Isa. xxviii. 8. (t) He was born when his parents were sinners, ἀλλ' ἐν ἁμαρτία, is ἁμαρτία, Strom. iii. p. 469. A (u) Gen. vi. 5.
and thoughts of heart, faith he, were evil, and only evil continually; therefore man in his lapsed state, say they, can only do evil.

Answer. This is almost the continual mistake of these men, that they ascribe that to man’s lapsed state which belongs only to the worst of men who had corrupted themselves by a long course of continual impiety: For instance, they make all men (w) children of wrath, from those words of the apostle to the Ephesians, which plainly relate to their former state when they lived in their abominable idolatries, and under the government of the prince of darkness, as the word were, and the context plainly shows; see the note there. And so was it here, God himself declaring that the deluge came upon the old world, not for the sin of Adam, but for the wickedness of men grown (v) great upon the earth, and continued in after the warnings of his prophets, and his long-suffering exercised towards them for 120 years; and whereas, to strengthen this argument they add, that after the flood the same thing is affirmed more emphatically of mankind in general in the promise made to Noah, viz. I will not smite the earth any more for man’s sake, for the imagination of man’s heart is evil from his youth. Gen. viii. 21.

18. These words will very well bear a quite different sense, viz. I will not thus smite man upon the earth any more, though the imagination of his heart be (again) evil from his youth, as before the flood it was: That the particle chi thus often signifies, will appear from several places in which we do translate it, viz. Ex. xiii. 17. God led them not through the land of the Philistines, chi, although it was near. Deut. xxix. 19. If any man say I shall have peace, chi, though I walk in the imagination of my heart. Josh. xvii. 18. Thou shalt drive out the Canaanites, chi, though they have iron chariots, and chi, though they are strong; so the word chi is used thrice in one verse. Jer. iv. 30. Though thou cloakest thyself with crimson. See Noldius de Partic. Hebr. p. 399. Or else retaining the common sense of this particle, the words may bear this sense, I will not any more smite the earth for this, or upon this account, that the imaginations of their hearts are evil.

ady. The word Mineorihu doth not signify from their birth, but only from their youth; for he speaks of the imaginations of their hearts, and so only of the time, when they are able to entertain and prosecute the thoughts of their evil hearts. Nor doth the phrase signify an original, but only a
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long contracted custom, or an habitual course of doing what is good, evil, or indifferent. Of doing what is evil; thus (w) the enchantments with which the Chaldeans labored from their youth, are the enchantments which they had long practiced. (x) Our fathers have sinned from their youth, faith Jeremy, unto this day; i.e. they have continued in a long course of disobedience. And the whoredoms of Israel from her youth, Ezek. xxiii. 8. is her long course of idolatry begun in Egypt, and continued in the wilderness, and in the land of Canaan. See also, Jer. xxii. 21. xxxii. 96. Accordingly it signifies, when it hath a good, or an indifferent sense; as when Obadiah faith, he had feared the Lord from his youth, 1 Kings xviii. 13. i.e. he had lived long in the fear of God; and Job, that he had done offices of charity from his youth, Ch. xxxii. 18. and David, that he had placed his hope and trust in God, from his youth, Psal. lxxi. 5. Thus is it said of Joseph's brethren, that they were shepherds from their youth, Gen. xlvi. 34. and of the prophets in Zachary, that men taught them to keep cattel from their youth, Chap. xiii. 5.

Objection 4.—Athly. They cite to this purpose those words of Christ, that which is born of the flesh, is flesh. John iii. 6. whence they infer, that man in his natural estate can do nothing but what is carnal, and therefore sinful, and only can begin to do what is good spiritually, when he is born again of the spirit; whereas,

Answer (i.e.). This exposition renders it impossible for a man to do any thing towards his own conversion, and so renders all God's commands and exhortations to the wicked to turn themselves from their iniquity, all his promises of pardon and reconciliation to them that do so, and all his threats of death, if they neglect to do so, all his complaints against them that they would not do so, vain and absurd; and as (y) Cyril of Alexandria faith ἡ ἁρματικὴ φύσις ἄπειρος ἀπιθανόντως, a vain trifles, they being then only commands and incitements to perform what, if it ever be done, he must do himself.

adyly. This exposition makes the words flesh and fleshly birth, to signify one corrupted by his fleshly appetite, and acted by his carnal wisdom, and so led and governed by the motions of the flesh; whereas (z) Tolet hath well observed, that to be born of the flesh here only signifies, to have that natural generation by which a man is born into the world of the will of the flesh, this being the only birth Nicodemus spake of as a thing

(z) Mutum a vero fenum loci habet aberrans qui carnem hoc loco exponunt de carne peccati, cum nihil hic de peccato agatur; et quamvis nullo effe peccatum, verum est; quod naturae eis ex carne caro est. Comment. in Arcum.
incredible; because then a man must enter twice into his mother's womb. This therefore is the plain meaning of our Lord, that besides that natural birth, by which we receive only our flesh and body from our parents, there is need of a spiritual birth to fit us for the kingdom of God; he therefore faith no one word of any necessity lying upon us till we be thus born again to do only evil, or of our incapacity to do anything which may contribute towards this new birth.

5thly. They argue from the words of the apostle, complaining in the person of the natural man thus, the good that I would do, that I do not, but the evil that I would not do, that do I. Rom. viii. 17, 19. and that by reason of that sin that dwelleth in me, Ver. 29. For I see another law in my members, warring against the law of my mind, and bringing me in captivity to the law of sin which is in my members, Ver. 23. Now he that cannot do the good that he would, can do nothing that is truly good; and he that through the power of sin dwelling in him, and leading him captive to the law of sin, doth the evil that he would not do, is a slave to sin.

Answer 1st. It is observable from the fathers, against these objectors, that they who held that there was in man a natural principle of evil, and that the flesh and body of man laid a necessity upon us of doing evil, founded their plea upon these words, as we learn from Methodius. And hence (a) Cyril of Jerusalem advertiseth us not to attend to this false interpretation of the apostle's words, as being destructive of our liberty, and in the opinion of (b) Methodius and of St. Cyril's, subverting God's future judgment of the world.

2dly. It is observable, that these men generally interpret these words of a regenerate person; and then, if they prove any thing, they prove that this is also the state of men renewed by the spirit; and how are they then freed from sin, and become servants to righteousness? And, 3dly. Whereas they make their lapse of man to have lost even the power of willing to do good, and to be totally enslaved both as to will, mind and action, the man here mentioned hath a will to do the good that he doth not, and to avoid the evil that he doth; yea, the evil that he doth is hateful to him, and he delighteth in the law of God in the inner man, and with his mind serves the law of God. And so as Origen upon the place faith,
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...non itaqueque alienus est à bonis, sed proposito quidem, et voluntate cœpit bona requirere; he is not wholly alienated from good things, but in his purpose, and his will inclined to them, though not yet sufficient to perform what he thus proposes through the power of custom and of evil habits; citato enim est voluntas, for the will is quick in operation, and soon purpolet; opus vero est dum est, but we are slow in performance, that requiring use, and labor, and art, and freedom of impediments. Now I inquire, whether in this will to do good, this delight in the law of God, this hatred of sin, this man doth well or ill? If well, he can, even in the state here mentioned, do something that is good; if evil, it must be evil to hate sin, and be unwilling to commit it, and to be willing to do good, and to delight in the law of God in the inner man, and to serve God with the mind; and then, how doth that differ from serving with the flesh the law of sin?

St. Chrysostom, Theodore and others, interpret these words of men under the thraldom and dominion of sin through a long use and custom; and this interpretation is certain from the words of this Chapter, the apostle speaking of men that were carnal, sold under sin, which is the character of the greatest sinners, 1 Kings xxi. 20. Isa. li. 1. and even of revolters from the true religion, 1 Maccab. i. 15. and this is represented as the cause of all that follows from Ver. 14. to the 24th.

Lastly. They conclude this from those words of the apostle, Chap. viii. 6, 7, 1. to be carnally minded (Gr. the wisdom of the flesh) is death; because the carnal mind (Gr. the wisdom of the flesh) is enmity to God; for it is not subject to the law of God, neither indeed can be: so that they that are in the flesh cannot please God, they therefore can do nothing that is truly good, nothing that is not evil, and displeasing to him.

1 Answer 17. That this was another of the texts abused by the ancient heretics, to prove that the flesh was by nature evil, imagining that these words tended, ἐν διακοινωνίᾳ τινι σαρκίδως, to the condemnation of our flesh, or mortal bodies, we learn from (c) Epiphanius and St. Chrysostom upon the place; who therefore well observes, that by flesh here we are not to understand the body, or the substance of the body, ἀλλὰ τὸν σαρκικὸν ζῶν καὶ κατασκευήν καὶ τροφήν, καὶ ἀπώτατα γείμωνα τὸν ὅλον σώματα περιστᾶ τὸν ἄνθρωπον, but a worldly carnal life, full of luxury and riotous living, and turning the whole man into flesh, as is evident from the phrase; the wisdom of the flesh engaging us to mind only the things which belong to the flesh, and to be of the flesh, as the true Christian is of the spirit, being led by, and walking in the spirit. And faith (d) Methodius, were this.

(c) Haer. 62. c. 49. 51. (d) Apud Epiphanius. Ibid. c. 7.
said of them that are in this body of flesh, that they cannot please God, they who commit theft, whoredom, or any other like sin, could not on that account be subject to reprobation by a just judge, it being impossible for the flesh to be subject to the law of God; πῶς γὰρ ἂν μετατρέψει μᾶν, τὸ βάμα κατὰ τὴν προσόντας αὐτῷ φύσιν βίως (e) ἦν, are for how can it be blameworthy in the body that it lives according to the condition of its nature? Nor could then the intemperate person be reduced to chastity and virtue, the body lying under a natural necessity not to be subject to the law of God.

adly. The apostle doth indeed say, that they who thus mind carnal things, while they continue so to do, cannot please God; this being only in effect to say, in the words of St. Chrysostom, ἀναξίωτον πονηρόν μένοντα, that whilst men go on in their sinful courses they cannot please God, or live in subjection to his laws; but then, faith he, as Christ only faith an evil tree whilst it continues such, cannot bring forth good fruit; but doth not an evil tree cannot be made good; but on the contrary, faith, make the tree good that the fruit may be good, so is it here; for this apostle by his frequent exhortations to these carnal men to crucify the flesh, and mortify the flesh with its lusts, or the deeds of it, and to put off the old man with its deeds; by his threats that if they live after the flesh they shall die; and by his promise, that if through the spirit they do mortify the deeds of the flesh, they shall live; plainly shows that men may cease to live according to the flesh, and may obtain that assistance of the spirit by which they shall mortify the deeds of the flesh, and live after the spirit. God, faith Chrysostom, hath put this, εἰς ἐλευθερία προφητείας, in our free choice, so that, εἰ σοὶ λογον ἐγὼ καὶ τέκτο γένοιει κράνειον, since the vouchsafement of the gospel, it is in thy own power to be carnally minded or spiritually; and now, πολλὰ ἐν ἐκκλησίᾳ τῆς φίλοτροπίας, there is greater strength and inducement from the gospel so to be than ever.

SECTION VIII.—To proceed to the second particular.
Then these new notions of liberty are contrary to the sense, and repugnant to the common reaon of mankind, will be evident by the rules laid down by them who were guided only by the light of nature, viz.

(e) That a (a) lawgiver must all absurdly in commanding that which it is not in the power of his subjects to perform.

(a) Note, That ἱππος seems here dislocated.
(A) Ἀτομος ἅν εἰς τάσην ἔμπρασκε αὐτοῦ ἐν ἑαυτῷ ἰσόροι ἐν πράγματοι. Afr. in Moral. L. i. c. 9.
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3dly. That vice and virtue must be, (b) τὰ ἐπ' ἑαυτῷ, things which we are free to do, or to abstain from, because they are worthy of praise or dispraise, neither of which agree to things done unwillingly, necessity rendering things unblameable, that being only to be blamed which is in our power; and therefore that fate or necessity which leaves not our affest or actions in our power, takes away praise or dispraise, honor or reward.

4dly. That that only is (c) voluntary which we lie under no necessity to do or to forbear, and what we do being unwilling, we do out of necessity, liberty being a power of acting from ourselves, or doing what we will; whence they infer that (d) vice and virtue must be voluntary, and that there can be no necessity of doing evil, and that on this account only is vice worthy of dispraise.

4thly. That man can be guilty of no crime in doing that which he could not avoid; for (e) what is evil is a fault, saith Cicero, there can be no fault in not doing that which we have no power to do.

5thly. That what is natural to all men, cannot be evil to any man; (f) for no man is angry, faith Seneca, ubi vitium natura defendit, where nature defends the vice; nor can that be evil, saith Cicero, quod à natura patente omnium confitatum, which owes its original to nature; seeing that being which consults the good of mankind, would neither produce or nourish that, quod cum exantlavitisset omnes labores incideret in mortis malum sempiternum, which when it had done its utmost, must be subject to eternal death.


(d) Τὸ τοῖς κακοῖς ἐποίησεν ἀλάς. Κηψολ τὸν, ἀλάς, ὡμέρας γὰρ ἀλάσθη τὰ μεχρικοὶ πράαμα, διὰ ταῦτα κηψολ τὸ κακοῖς, κηψολ ἀλάς ἔπαινος. Aristot. Euipt. L. 2. c. 11.

(e) Tuic. Querct. 3. n. 31.—(f) Ep. 94.
6thly. That there can be (g) no consultation or deliberation about things which are not in our power, nor any rational persuasion to do them, because these actions can only be performed in order to that end; and therefore when the end cannot be obtained, must be done in vain. And hence it clearly follows that if this be a doctrine of christianity, that men not yet converted, or in their lapsed state can do nothing which is truly good, and also lie under a necessity of doing evil, they ought not to think or deliberate how they may do good, or may avoid the doing evil, or pray for the divine assistance, or be sorry for their sins, nor ought any man to persuade them so to do; for if they ought not to do evil that good may come, and whatsoever they do, whilst they continue in that state, though it may be materially good, is formally evil, they ought not to do it to obtain the divine assistance, or any other good.

To this may be added the arguments of the heathen philosophers against fate, produced chapter the fourth, section the third.

Nor ought these arguments to be slighted as being only the sayings of philosophers, guided by the dim light of reason, when they deliver only that in which the common notions of mankind have long agreed; for that would be a dreadful consequence to the whole christian faith; for our belief of it must bottom upon some rational inducements, and common principles of reason, which if they may be false, christianity may be a false religion; if they be evident and certain truth, whatsoever contradicts them must be false; if therefore any article of our faith should to the best of our judgments plainly contradict it, it must shock the foundation of our faith by engaging men to believe that false which alone engaged them to believe that faith was true. That this would also render us unable to convert Jew, Heathen or Mahometan, to confute the Tritheite, or such like Heretics, or to reject any interpretation of scripture as absurd and contrary to reason, I have fully proved in the appendix to the idolatry of host worship, Chapter 11, from Section first to the sixth.

As for the 3d particular, that the christian fathers for four whole centuries condemned these new notions as destructive of true liberty, of the nature of vice and virtue, of rewards

(g) Τὸ αὐτόν προαίρεσιν οὐ ἔθελε, ἡδ' ὢνις ὁ δυνατὸν μὲν μὴ ἱρ' αὐτῶν ὅτι τὰς πράξεις, ἤ μὴ πράξεις ὡς τὸτὲ μὲν φανερὸν ἢ ὡς αἰάλην τὸ προαιρεῖσθαι τοῦ ἢ ἀυτοῦ τινα, Ἀριστ. Εὐδημ. L. 2. c. 10. and M. Moral. L. 1. c. 18. ἢ δὲ προαίρεσις ἡ ἤ αἰάλην αἰτθελεις. Eud. L. 2. c. 8. ἢ δὲ προαιρείσθαι τῷ πρεῖς τὸ τῆς. de Morib. L. 3. c. 4.
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and punishments, of the equity of the divine precepts and of a future judgment, and also as contrary to the plain declarations of the holy scriptures, will be fully proved in the sixth and last chapter of this discourse.

CHAPTER II.

Proposing Arguments to prove the Freedom of the Will as well from Necessity, as from Coaction.

The question being thus fully stated, let it be observed that the liberty or freedom of the will we contend for, is.

1st. Plainly delivered in the holy scripture, and may abundantly be confirmed by arguments grounded upon scripture.

2ndly. That it is demonstrable from reason, and hath been constantly asserted both by heathens and christians in their discourses against fate.

3rdly. That it hath the constant suffrage of all the ancient writers of the church, by whom it is delivered, as a fundamental article, or as a truth on which all good or evil, piety or virtue, praise or dispraise, rewards or punishments depend. And,

SECTION I.—1st. The scripture affords us express declarations, or plain intimations, that the liberty of the will, even in christian virtues of the highest nature, is opposite not only to coaction, but necessity. Thus in the case of choosing that high state of virginity, that (g) they might serve the Lord without distraction; the apostle determines that (h) he that tach no necessity but, ἐξοικεῖν ἔχει τῷ ἑαυτῷ θελήματος, hath power over his own will, let him retain his virginity. Where freedom is declared to be a power over our own will, to choose or

(g) 1 Cor. vii. 37. (h) 1 Cor. ix. 1, 2, 4. ἐξοικεῖν ἔχει τῷ ἑαυτῷ θελήματος (h) ὑπὸ. Theodoret.
not to choose, and stands opposed to necessity. See Oecumen-
tus and Theophylact upon the place. Again in the case of his
working with his own hands, and receiving nothing of the
churches of Corinth, and throughout the regions of Achaia,
which he thought of so great importance to the promotion of
the gospel as to say, it was better for him to die than vary
from it; he yet asserts his liberty by these inquiries, ἐὰν ἴσι
ἐλευθερος; am I not free? Have I not power to eat, and to
drink (on the church’s charge without laboring thus?) Clearly
proving his freedom in that action, from his power to ab-
stain from it, and to do the contrary. So also in the case of
charity, that most excellent grace, he faith, (i) every man as he
purposeth in his heart, so let him give, μὴ ἐὰν ἀναγκασία, not of
necessity; plainly opposing necessity to the free purpose of the
heart; not of necessity, say Chrysostom and Theophylact, τὸ γὰρ
ἐὰν ἀναγκασία ὑποτίθεμεν τὸν μισθὸν γιὰ necessity cuts off the reward.
So he speaks to Philemon in the case of Onesimus, whom, faith he,
(k) I would have to minister to me in the bonds of the
gospel; but without thy mind, I would do nothing, that thy
benefit should not be, ὡς κατ’ ἀναγκασίαν, άλλ’ κατ’ ἐκδοσιν, as of
necessity, but willingly. St. Peter (l) also instructs Bishops and
Elders to feed the flock of Christ, μὴ ἀναγκασίας, άλλ’ ἐκδοσιν,
not of necessity, but willingly: so plain an opposition do these
inspired writers put betwixt doing a virtuous action freely
and willingly, and doing it out of necessity.

Argument 2.—(adly.) God and his servants have sufficient-
ly confirmed the liberty we contend for in this state of trial,
by setting life and death, good and evil before our eyes, and
putting it to our choice which we will have; as in all the in-
stances forementioned, and in those words of Joshua to all
Israel, (m) choose you this day whom ye will serve, the God
that brought you out of the land of Egypt, or of your fore-
fathers, or of the Amorites. The reason is plain; for whoso-
ever hath a liberty to choose, hath also a liberty to refuse, et
vice versa; according to those words of the prophet, (n) be-
fore the child shall know to choose the good and refuse the evil;
and were it otherwise, how can we imagine that a gracious
God, and lover of his people, should make this the condition
of his pardoning mercy, (o) that they should choose the good
and refuse the evil, that he should condemn them for (p) not
choosing the fear of the Lord, or (q) for choosing the thing
that he would not; or represent it as an act of faith in Moses,
that he (r) chose rather to suffer affliction with the people of

---
(i) 2 Cor. ix. 7. (k) Ver. 13. 14. (l) 1 Pet. v. 2. (m) Joth. xxiv. 15. (n) 1 Pet. vii. 10. (o) 1 Cor. i. 18. (p) Prov. 29. (g) 1sa. i. 4. (r) Heb. xi. 15
God, than to enjoy the pleasures of sin for a season? That, lastly, he should promise the greatest blessings to those who chose the things that pleased him, and threaten the severest judgments to those who (f) chose the things in which he delighted not; seeing choice or election, in the very nature of it, is of more than one, whereas there can be no choice of more than one, where a person is determined to one. If then the elect are so determined by God's absolute decree to conversion, that when the divine impulse comes upon them, they must untrustingly be converted to God, and choose the thing that pleaseth him; how are they in a state of trial? Or why are they bid to choose whether of the two they will have? If on the other hand they who from eternity are reprobated, are determined so far to one, that though they have a liberty of specification, as the schools barbarously speak; i.e. a liberty to do this or that evil, yet have they no liberty of contrariety; i.e. of doing good as well as evil, and so are determined to do evil and not good, and so lie under a sad necessity of choosing that which God would not, or in which he delighteth not; because they cannot choose the fear of the Lord, or the thing that pleaseth him; they who affect these things must grant, that he who hath sworn he would not the death of him that dieth, but would rather that he should return from his iniquity and live, had, before this serious oath, suspended the avoiding the death of him that dies, and his obtaining life upon impossible conditions, and that he offers to such persons life only on the condition of doing that which under the disability they had contracted before that offer, it is impossible for them without that special grace which they can never have to perform. Now to pass an act of preterition on creatures under this known disability, and then to offer life unto them only upon impossible conditions; and when, by reason of this act, it is made certain and infallible that they shall fail of obtaining life, to bid them choose life rather than death; what is it in effect but to infilt over the dreadful misery of men; and with a hypocritical pretence of kindness, and a desire of their welfare, to condemn them to eternal death without a possibility of having life? Since the known rule of logic, of the civil law, and even of common sense and reason teacheth, (z) that a conditional proposition having an impossible condition annexed to it, is equivalent to a negative.

Coroll.—Section II.—Now hence we may easily discern the vanity, the falsehood and hypocrisy of all these tenders of the gospel to reprobates, as they are expounded by these men,

(f) Is. lxv. 12. lxvi. 4.—(z) Conditionem si Cœlum digito tetige—ris impossibilem negativam commentatores appellant. Calvin.
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That when God offers to them life and salvation, pardon of sin, deliverance from death, and all other spiritual blessings, he dealeth very sincerely, and in good earnest with them, because he will certainly afford them all these blessings, and deliver them from all the dreadful evils he hath threatened, upon performance of the conditions upon which they are tendered, viz. If you be willing; if you repent and turn from your iniquities; if you believe, all your iniquities shall be blotted out, you shall live and not die; if you believe not you shall die in your sins; if you repent not you shall perish. And again, whoever will, let him come and drink of the waters of life freely. Ho, every one that thirsteth, come ye to the waters; whoever believeth in me shall not perish, but have everlasting life; with infinite passages of the like nature: All these, say they are offers made in good earnest, and with sincerity to all; and therefore to the reprobate, because (a) God's meaning, when he offers glory to any man if he believe and persevere, is truly to perform it, if he do so. And (b) as for Christ's coming into the world to save sinners, it was not to save the elect but under conditions of repentance and perseverant faith; and no decree of reprobation excludes any man from salvation, provided always that he repent and believe: For hence it plainly follows, that his true will is flatly to deny them these blessings, and to promise them nothing. And such a promise, faith (c) Puffendorf, differs only from a downright negative in this, that it is more affronting, by making the promise run affirmatively, and yet clogging it with an impossible condition. Seeing then these men teach (d) that the absolute decree of God not to give faith, repentance and eternal life to Judas, (or to any other reprobate) is that which we understand by the word reprobation, and this is never abrogated by any conditional promise; and it is impossible he should have faith and repentance, if God hath absolutely decreed not to give it to him, must not the remission of sins and salvation promised to Judas only upon condition of faith and repentance be promised upon an impossible condition, and so be equivalent to this negative, Judas shall not be saved? Seeing they (e) say the elect, by a special mercy of God are so guided and ruled, that they, and they alone, perform the condition, and that the non-elect are always permitted to fail in the performance of the condition; teaching that (f) God hath not prepared for them and therefore never gives such grace as finally freeth them from sin; and it is certain, that what he never gives they never can.

(a) Bishop Davenant against Hoard, p. 352. — (b) P. 399. — (c) L. 3. c. 8. Sec. 5. — (d) Bishop Davenant, p. 323. — (e) P. 257. — (f) P. 258.
Seeing (s) they are of opinion that to have sufficient
ns of salvation administered which shall prove no other-
effectual than upon this condition, Si homines sibi non
it, is an argument of nonelection; i.e. of reprobation;
an argument that they cannot be saved; which one would
k is also an argument of an absurdity of the supposition
they had sufficient means of salvation administered. See-
Lastly, they say, (h) the decrees of election and reprob-
are indeed, and in truth, decrees finding all men in a mis-
le and damnable estate, and out of it determining to bring
, and to fit them for eternal happiness, and not to bring others
of it by fitting them thereunto, but to permit them to the de-
nt rule of their own will. And seeing the reprobates al-
s fail in the performance of the condition, and must do so
rule God hath not prepared for them; and therefore neve-ther such grace as finally frees them from sin, and with-
which they cannot be so. Since to have means effectual
salvation tendered to them only on this condition, if they be
wanting to themselves, is an argument that they are repro-
men that cannot be saved. Since, lastly, to be
1 in a miserable and damnable estate, and under a deter-
ation not to be brought out of it, or fitted for eternal life,
mitted to the deficient rule of their own will, which
after all that they can do, will be defective and
sufficient to attain salvation: Must it not clearly fol-
from all and every one of these assertions, that salvation
only be tendered to them upon conditions impossible to
performed by them, and so by such a seeming and hypo-
tical tender must be effectually denied them?

SECTION III.—(g) This plainly follows from all those
ptures which have been offered in the state of the ques-
1. See. 1. and 4. to prove that men at present are in a state
rial and probation; it being evidently absurd to make a
or experiment whether men will repent, believe, or perse-
re, who are determined by him who makes the trial to do
; or whether they will come out of their miserable estate,
lfit themselves for salvation whom God hath determined
all eternity, by his decree of election, to bring out of that
e, and render fit for that happiness; or whether they will
this whom by his decree of reprobation he hath determined
not to bring out of it; and this being only in effect to try
ether they will null his absolute decrees; whether they
I do, or neglect what these decrees have rendered it impos-le for them to do, or neglect.
2dly. From all that hath been offered there, Sec. 3. to shew that God calls, and makes his applications even to habitual sinners, to reform and hearken to his exhortations; to turn to him from their evil ways; it being evidently vain and absurd to make these exhortations to men determined to the contrary, and left by God’s decree of reprobation to the deficient rule of their own wills, and so under a necessity of being deficient in the performance of what is necessary to their reformation.

3dly. From all those scriptures produced there, Sec. 5. to shew that God hath set before men good and evil, life and death, and left it to their choice, upon the motives and powerful incitements offered to them in the word, to embrace the one or the other; this being in effect a declaration on God’s part, that he hath not by any action or decree of his, determined some to be good, or to obtain salvation, or left others under a necessity of failing of salvation, or choosing evil, and not good.

4thly. From all that hath been said from scripture of God’s fervid invitations of all men to repent, believe, and be converted, and his pathetical desires of their reformation and obedience, produced Discourse 3. Argument 2. they being certain demonstrations that he did not conceive they lay under an incapacity of repenting, believing and turning to him.

5thly. From all his commands and exhortations to wicked men to turn from the evil of their ways, that iniquity may not be their ruin; and all his threats of the most dreadful judgments to them who still continue in them; and all the promises of pardon, life and salvation made to those who do sincerely turn to him; of which I have discoursed, Argument 3. Section 3. For as these things plainly shew that what God requires may be done; what he exhorts to, is possible for them to perform, by the assistance of that grace which he is ready to afford them; that the evils which he threatens may be avoided, and what he promises may be obtained by them; so are all these arguments strongly confirmed by the concurrent suffrage, and the express and frequent declarations of the ancient fathers, saying,

Section IV.—1/1. In the general, that the scriptures every where assert, and give plain testimonies of: the liberty of the will of man to choose the good and refuse the evil.—Thus (i) Justin Martyr having told us, that man would not be worthy of praise or recompense, ἕν ἀφ’ εαυτῆς ἐλπίδως τῷ ἀναβὴν, did he not choose good of himself, nor worthy of punishment for doing evil, if he did not this, ἀφ’ εαυτῆς, of himself; faith, this the holy and prophetic spirit hath taught

(i) Apol. 2. p. 80. B. C. D.
us by Moses in these words; see I have set before thee good and evil, choose the good, &c. and also by Elias the prophet, speaking thus in the name of God; if you be willing and obedient, ye shall eat the good of the earth; but if you will not hear, you shall be devoured by the sword, for the mouth of the Lord hath spoken it. The prophecy of Isaiah, faith, (k) Clemens of Alexandria, faith, if you be willing, &c. ἐὰς ἐφάνεται διαλέγω ἐπὶ σα καὶ τὴν ἀρχὴν καὶ τὴν ἐκτερψίν, demonstrating that both the choice and the refusal (viz. of faith and obedience of which he there speaketh) are in our own power. (l) Tertullian pronounces them unsound in faith, and corrupters of the Christian discipline, and excusers of all sin, who so refer all things to the will of God: Dicendo nihil fieri fine ejus natura, ut non intelligimus aliquid esse in nobis, ipsis, by saying nothing is done without his appointment, as that we cannot understand that any thing is left to ourselves to do; whereas though we learn from his precepts both what he would, and would not have done, tamen nobis est voluntas, et arbitrium eligendi alterum, sic ut scriptum est, Ecce posui ante te bonum et malum, yet is there in us a liberty of choosing either, according as it is written, behold I have set before thee good and evil. (m) St. Cyprian proves, Credendi, vel non credendi libertatem in Arbitrio positam, that to believe or not was left to our own free choice. From the same texts, Deut. xxx. 19. Isa. i. 19. (n) Epiphanius against the Pharisical fate, cites those words of Isaiah, if ye be willing and obedient; whence, faith he, it is plainly manifest and indubitable, that God hath granted to man free will, ut e per ἐν ἀνθρωπον εἰναι τὸ αὐθαίρετον, ἢ τῶν φυσικῶν εἰσεῖσθαι προσμάτων, that it is in his power to do the good, or to choose the evil. (o) Theodoret having cited those words of Christ, if any man thirst, let him come to me and drink; adds, Ἀλλὰ δὲ μόρια ἐπροὶ τις ἅν κρίνῃ τών ἄνωτέρων ἐν καθότι τῶν Ἀποστόλων καθότι τῶν Ανθρώπων θέλεις τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ τῆς ἀνθρωπον τῆς θεὸς τοῦ ἀνθρωπον τῆς θεοῦ, ten thousand things of this nature may be found both in the gospels and other writings of the apostles, clearly manifesting the liberty, or self-elevation of the nature of man. (p) St. Chrysostom speaks thus, God’s faith if you will, and if you will not, ψεύδοις, εἰς πάντας τῆς ἀρχῆς καὶ καταρασθήσεται, giving as power, and putting it in our own option to be virtuous or vicious. The devil’s faith, thou canst not avoid thy fate; God’s faith, I have put before thee fire and water, life and death, stretch forth thy hand to whether of them thou wilt. The devil’s faith, it is not in thee to stretch


ΚΚ
forth thy hand to them. And (q) St. Cyril establishes this doctrine from the same texts of scripture. And (r) St. Austin proves from those words of Christ, either make the tree good and the tree will be good, or make the tree evil and the fruit will be evil, in nostra potestate situm esse mutare voluntatem, that it is put in our own power to change the will. It would be endless to transcribe all that the fathers say upon this head.*

ady. To the same effect they speak, when they say the scripture testifies that God hath left man in a capacity of doing good or evil. Thus (a) Irenæus having laid down this as a rule, that it is in the power of man to work and retain what is good; and again, not to do, or to lose the good he hath done: For this cause, faith he, do the prophets exhort men to work righteousness, and to do good, ɔς ἐφ' ἡμῖν ὄντος τοῦ τοιθα, as having it in their power to do, as we have shewed by many testimonies, and our Lord doth the same in many places, viz. Matth. v. 16. xxiv. 48, 51.—Luke vi. 46. xii. 35, 36, 47. xxi. 34. τέλων γὰρ Πάντως τοῦ αὐτοκρατοῦ ἐπιδέξητοι τὰ αὐθορία, all which words demonstrate the free will of man. And the apostle exhorts men in many places to do good works, which he could have no reason to do, if in nobis non esset facere hæc, aut non facere, if it were not in our power to do, or not to do what our Lord and his apostles do exhort us to do. Then he goes on to prove that God hath preferred to man, non tantum in operibus, sed etiam in fide liberum esse et potestate arbitriam, that man hath free will, or hath it in his power not only to do, but also to believe, from Matth. viii. 19. ix. 29. Mark ix. 29. And he concludes, that all these texts shew man to be free in his power and will, free as to his faith; for as (b) Clemens Alexandrinus faith, τὸ ποιεῖν τε και, πε- βεβαιω ἐφ' ἡμῖν, it is in our power to believe and obey. (c) Tertullian is as express in this, saying, that God made man at first after his own image, arbitrii fui libertate et potestate, with liberty of, and power over his own will; and that this appears from the law that he gave him, non enim poneretur lex ei qui non haberet obsequium debitum legi in sua potestate, nec rursus comminatio transfreggeri adscriberetur, si non et contemp- tus legis in arbitrii libertatem homini deputaretur; for neither would God have given a law to him who had not freedom to obey it, or have threatened death to the transfregger, if he

(q) L. i. in Ep. p. 21.—(r) Contra Adiman. c. 26.
had not a freedom to contemn it; sic et in posteris legisbus Creatoris invenias, and so was it also in the laws given by God after the fall, in which he sets before man good and evil, life and death; nor would the order of discipline be disposed by precepts in which God calls us from, and exhorts us to things, and threatens our disobedience, nisi et ad obsequium et ad contemptum, libero, et voluntario homine, did not man freely and voluntarily obey, or contemn them. (d) Origem is alio copioso in this assertion, for having cited those words, and now Israel, what doth the Lord thy God require of thee? He adds, Let them blush at these words, who deny that man hath free will; for, quomodo polceret ab homine Deus, nisi haberet homo in sua potestate quod poscenti Deo deberet offerre, how could God require that of man which he had not in his power to offer to him? And again, (e) The soul, faith he, doth not incline to either part out of necessity, for then neither vice nor virtue could be ascribed to it, nor would its choice of virtue deserve reward, nor its declination to vice punishment; sed servator in omnibus libertas arbitrii, ut in quodcumque voluerit ipsa declinet, but the liberty of its will is preserved in all things that it may incline to what it will; as it is written, behold I have set before thee life and death. (f) St. Austin also, from many passages in which the scripture faith, do not so, or so; or do thus, or that, lays down this general rule, ubi dicitur noli hoc, aut doli illud, et ubi ad aliquid faciendum, vel non faciendum in divinitis monitis opus voluntatis exigitur, SATIS LIBERUM DEMONSTRATUR ARBITRIUM, (g) that all such places sufficiently demonstrate the liberty of the will; and this he faith against them, Qui sic Gratiam Dei defendunt, ut negent liberum Arbitrium, who so affected the grace of God, as to deny the liberty of the will, or thought it was so defended by him and his partners, as to destroy it.

3dly. They add, that all God's commands and prohibitions, exhortations and dehortations, all his threats and reproofs, all his encouragements and promises, would be vain and unreasonable, and all his punishments unjust and his rewards groundless, if man after the fall had not still the liberty to do what is commanded, and forbear what is forbidden. For if the faith (h) St. Austin, Ipsa divina praecpta homini non prodefent, nisi haberet liberum voluntatis Arbitrium, quo ea facientes ad promissa praemia perveniret; the divine precepts would profit none, if they had not free will, by which they doing them might obtain the promised rewards assigned to the doers of them.

(d) Hom. 12. in Numb. Fol. 113. F.—(e) Lib. 1. in Rom. Fol. 138. B.—(f) Tom. 7. de Gr. and Lib. Arb. c. 2.—(g) Cap. 1.—

2) Ibid. Cap. 2.
These precepts, faith he, cut off mens excuse from ignorance; or saying I did not this because I did not know it was my duty; but then because others, faith he, accuse God of being wanting in giving them power to do good, or inducing them to sin; against these men he cites that known passage of the son of Sirach, [God left man in the hands of his counsel, si volueris, servabis mandata, if he would to keep the commandments.] He had set before him fire and water, and to which of them he pleased he might stretch forth his hand; he had placed life and death before him, and which he pleased should be given him: And then cries out, Ecce apertissime videmus expressum librum humanæ voluntatis Arbitrium, behold here a very plain proof of the liberty of humane will, or an express in what it consists; and this, faith he, is also evident from all God's injunctions to do and keep his commandments; for quomodo jubet si non est liberum Arbitrium? For how (or why) doth he command, if man hath not free will or power to obey? This therefore, if St. A"quin answers pertinently, must be the true import of these men's excuse, that they wanted free will, or power to obey God's precepts; and therefore he inquires (i) what do all God's commands shew but the free will of man? Neque enim praecipimentur nihil homin habercet propriam voluntatem qua divinis praecipitis obedi: for they would not be commanded, if man had not that freedom of will by which he could obey them; and therefore in his book de fide against the Manichees, who denied that man had free will, or that it was, in potestate hominis facere bene aut male, in his power to do well or ill; he makes this an indication of their blindness, (k) quis enim non clamet futu: esse præcepta dare ei cui liberum non est quod praecipitur facere, et iniquum esse eum damnare cui non fuit potestas justa completere; et habat injustitias, et iniquitates miseri non intelligunt Deo se adscribere? For who, faith he, will not cry out that it is folly to command him who hath not liberty to do what is commanded, and that it is unjust to condemn him who hath it not in his power to do what is required; and yet these miserable men understand not that they aferibe this wickedness and injustice to God? Whosoever, faith (l) Eusebius, doth industriously pursue, or command, or teach any thing, or exhort any man to obey, or not, to sin, or not; or reproves any for sin, or condemns any for doing well, is he not thereby plainly convinced that he only retains the name of fate, το μεν ἔργον καταξιωται το παραμικην και την αυτην, but leaves the actions to our liberty and our own power? (m) Clemens of Alexandria declares, that neither praises nor reprehensions, rewards or

punishments are just, μὴ τὰς ψυχὰς εὐχόντως τὴν ἐκσαίνα τὰς ὁμοίας, καὶ ἀφορμῆς, ἀλλ' ἀκούσα τὰς κακίας ἑαυτῶν, if the soul hath not the power of choosing, or abstaining, but evil is involuntary; yea, he makes this the very (n) foundation of salvation, without which there could be neither any reasonable baptism, nor divine ordering of our natures, because faith would not be in our own power. Sui Arbitrii est anima, 

et in quam voluerit partem eff ei liberum declinare, the soul, faith (o) Origen, acts by her own choice, and it is free for her to incline to whatever part she will; and therefore God's judgment of her is just, because of her own accord she complies with good or bad monitors. Upon this supposition, faith he, it is that (p) good men are praised, and that God faith reasonably, well done good and faithful servant; and again, O thou wicked and slothful servant; that he faith to them of the right hand, come ye blessed, &c. and to them of the left hand, depart from me ye cursed, &c. One of these two things are necessary, faith (q) Epiphanius, ἢ γενεσθω ἐκαταρχησθω, either that a necessity arising from our being born, there should be no judgment, διὰ τὸ τὸν πράττοντα ἐκ αὐτῷ πράττειν, because men act not freely; or if laws be justly made by God, and punishments threatened to, and inflicted on the wicked, and God's judgments be according to truth, there is no fate; for διὰ τὸν δυνατόν ἀμαρτάνειν, καὶ μὴ ἁμαρτάνειν, τὸν μὲν διὰ τὰ ἁμαρτάνειν διὰ τοῦ νου πραγάοναι, therefore is one punished for his sins, and another praised for his good works, because he hath it in his power to sin, or not. For how, faith (r) Theodoret, can he, justly punish a nature, ἀγαθὸν τι δημαρτήσει μὴ δυναμένη, ἀλλὰ τὸς τὰς κακίας πεποιημένης δεσμοίς, which had no power to do good, but was bound in the bonds of wickedness. And again, (f) God having made the rational nature autēs, with power over its own actions, averts men from evil things, and provokes them to do what is good by laws and exhortations, ἡν αὐταχαί, δὲ μὴ βαλομένων τῶν ἁμαρτιῶν μεταλαμβάνει, γιὰ μὴ παρακατῆσθαι τῆς ἁμαρτίας τὸς εἰρήνης, but he doth not necessitate the unwilling to embrace what is better, that he may not overturn the bounds of nature. Innumerable are the passages of this nature which might be cited from the fathers; but these at present shall suffice, because some of them may be mentioned hereafter.

(n) "οἱ ἐν οἷς 

CHAPTER III.

Propounding Arguments from Reason to evince this Freedom of the Will from Necessity.

To proceed, secondly, to the rational inducements to evince this freedom of the will from necessity, or a determination to one, i.e. either to good or evil only. I argue.

SECTION I.—1st. From what I have inferred on more largely in the preface to the third discourse, to shew that God acts suitably to our faculties; to wit, by the illumination of our understanding, and by persuading of the will; for if God work only on the will by moral causes, then lays he no necessity upon it, since moral causes have no necessary influence on the effect; but move only by such persuasions as the will may refit; as when St. Paul persuaded the Corinthians to give alms. And whereas too many divines take this for granted, that though God hath laid no necessity on man to do evil by his own decrees, yet fallen man lies under a necessity of doing evil since the fall, by reason of that disability he hath contracted by it to do any thing which is truly good: I have demonstrated the falsehood of that supposition, in the second part of that discourse, Sec. 6, and shewed in Sec. 3. of the state of the question in this discourse, that though the evil habits added to our natural corruption do render it exceeding difficult, they do not render it impossible for them to do what is good and acceptable in the sight of God.

SECTION II.—Argument 2.—2dly. I argue from the received notion of the word; for as (a) Le Blanc observes, according to the common sense of mankind, and the received use of speaking, that only is laid to be free for us to do; 1. Which it is in our power to do; 2. Which may be done otherwise than it is done; and 3. About which there is ground for consultation and deliberation. Seeing then, necessarium est quod non potest altera in hac rerum, that only is necessary to be done one way which cannot be done otherwise; and that which is thus necessary cannot be free, because that only is so which may be done otherwise. 2dly. Seeing that is not in our

(a) De Lib. Arbit. part 2, Sec. 20.
power to omit which we are determined to do, nor is it in our
power to do that which we are determined to omit; if that
be only free which it is in our power to do, or not to do;
that evil which through the fall we are determined to do, or
that omission of good we are necessitated to; and that good
which by the divine influx we are necessitated or determined
to perform, cannot be free; and so can neither be blame-
worthy, or rewardable. And 6dly. Seeing there can be no
rational consultation or deliberation about those things, which
antecedently are either necessary or impossible; and so when
persons are infrustrably determined to one, that one thing be-
comes necessary; and any other thing is thereby made to
them impossible; they who are only free in matters about
which they can reasonably consult and deliberate, cannot act
freely in those things which they are thus determined to do, or
not do. Moreover, all consultation and deliberation is in order
to choice and election; now choice, or election, in the very
nature of it, is of more than one; but there can be no choice
of more than one in him who is determined to one, and so a
consequent election cannot consult with an antecedent deter-
mination to one. If therefore the divine grace in man's conver-
sion infrustrably determines him to one; or if the disabili-
ity contrasted by the fall determines men to choose that which is
evil only, and to omit that which is truly good; both these
determinations must take away the freedom of men's actions,
at least as far as they are worthy of praise or dispraise, of re-
ward or punishment. For,

1/6. Either the divine influx leaves men room to choose to
turn to God, or it doth not; if it doth not, they do not choose
to turn to God when they are thus converted; if it doth, it
cannot infrustrably determine them to turn to him, because
it leaves it to their choice whether they will turn or not. A-
gain, either this disabiliy determines lapsed man to what is
evil only and so to the omission of what is truly good; or it
doeth not so; if it doth not so, it leaves him an ability to do
good; if it doth he cannot properly be said to choose not
do good. In a word, when God calls, invites and exhorts
him to choose the thing that is good, and to learn to do well;
when he attempts by threatenings to affright him from continu-
ance in his evil ways, and by his promises to allure and to in-
cite him to return unto him; are not these things designed to
engage him to consider of, and attend to God's exhortations;
to consult and deliberate how he may avoid the evils threatened,
and obtain the blessings promised? But if they lie under an
utter disability of doing what is spiritually good, and so of ob-
taining the blessings promised, to what purpose should they de-
}
fider how they may avoid the evil that they do? I conclude therefore this argument with that which (a) Gennadius delivers as the doctrine of the church of God, that though man by the fall hath lost, vigorem arbitrii, the vigor of his free will, non tamen electionem, ne non effet fumum quod evitaret pecatum, nec merito indulgeretur quod non arbitrio diluifset; yet hath he not left his choice, lest it should not be of his choice that he avoided sin, nor should that be accounted to him for reward which he did not freely put away; manet ergo ad quem tandem salutem Arbitrii libertas, sed admonente prius Deo, et invitante; there remains therefore yet to fallen man a freedom of will to seek after his salvation, though God must first admonish and invite him so to do.

Section III.—Argument 3.—Ibid. Le Blanc adds, that all the actions which proceed freely from us may be subject to a command, and by the law of God or man may be enjoined or forbidden; but this cannot agree to those acts, circa quos voluntas immutabilitur se habet, in which the will is so immutably determined that it never can or could do otherwise. So that if this be the case of lapsed man, his fin cannot proceed freely from him, and so cannot be reasonably forbidden; for as (b) St. Austin faith, peccati teneri rem quempiam quia non fecit id quod facere non potuit, summam iniquitatis et infamiae est; it is the height of madness and injustice to hold any person guilty because he did not that which he could not do; as will be farther evident even from the essential condition of a law, viz. that it be just: Those laws being certainly unjust which prohibit that under a penalty which a man cannot possibly shun, or require that which cannot possibly be done by him of whom it is required: And the greater is the penalty, the greater still is the injustice.—For, 1st. Just laws are the ordinances of wisdom and right reason. Whereas that which commands impossibilities can never be required reasonably or wisely. (c) quix enim non clamet stultum esse præcepta ei dare cui liberum non est quod præcipitur facere? for who, faith St. Austin, will not pronounce it folly to command him who is not free to do what is commanded? 2dly. Just laws are instituted for the public good, and God hath made this declaration concerning his own precepts, that he commands them for our good; but that law which prescribes impossibilities under a penalty upon nonperformance, cannot be instituted for the public good, but rather for the greatest evil to the generality of mankind; who are said to be left to the defect and disability of their own wills. 3dly.

(a) De Dogm. Eccl. cap. 21.—(b) L. De Duabus anim. c. 12.—
(c) L. de Fide contr. Man. c. 10.
Good laws do shew to a man what is to be done by him, and what is to be shunned; but those laws which prescribe what cannot be done or avoided, cannot direct a man to what he is to do, or what he is to shun; and, indeed, who feels not the truth of those words of (d) St. Austin, iniquum esse eum damnare cui non fuer intellatum jussa completere, that it is unjust to condemn him as disobedient who hath no power to obey, or to punish men for doing evil, though they lie under a necessity of doing it, only because they do it willingly, seeing they must do it willingly, if they do it at all; because they must first will to do it, and so it is as necessary for them to be willing, as it is to do it.

Section IV.—Argument 4.—Fourthly, If wicked men be not necessitated to do the evil that they do, or to neglect the good they do neglect, then have they freedom from necessity in both these cases; and if they be thus necessitated, then neither their sins of omission or commission could deserve that name; it being essential to the nature of sin, according to St. Austin's definition of it, that it be an action, (e) à quo liberum est abscondere, from which the sinner might abstain.—Three things seem plainly necessary to make an action or omission culpable. (1st.) That it be in our power to perform or to forbear it; for, as Origen, and all the fathers say, ζησις αυτων μη τοιοσ ξετος εσι, no man is blameworthy for not doing what he could not do. (2dly.) That we be obliged to perform, or to forbear it; for where there is no obligation, there can be no transgression. (3dly.) That we omit that which we ought to have done, or do that which we ought not to have done; now seeing, nemo tenetur ad impossibile, no man can be obliged to what is to him impossible, it cannot truly be affirmed that any man ought to do what he never had the power to do, or to leave undone that which he could not shun, for then there would be no place for expostulation; for chiding or reprehending men on these accounts, seeing they could not help it. (f) O Jerusalem! wilt thou not be made clean? When shall it once be? Saith God; to what purpose if by the fall they were disabled even from being willing to do? (g) I will destroy, faith he, my people, since they return not from their evil ways; why, might they answer, O thou righteous God, was it ever in our power to turn from them, or convert ourselves? when, Lord! was it in our father Adam before his fall? then sure we did not need to be converted: Or was it after this fall? alas then were we utterly disabled from doing this without that special grace thou hast not yet been pleased to vouchsafe. (b) O Jerusalem, how oft, faith Christ,
would I have gathered thy children as a hen gathereth her chickens, under her wings, and ye would not? and again, (i) you will not come unto me that ye might have life; how could we help it? might they truly answer; provided they were disabled both from coming, and from being willing so to do.

CHAPTER IV.

Shewing the Affinity of the Opinions of our Adversaries concerning Liberty, with that of Mr. Hobbs; and with the Fate of the Philosophers, condemned by the Christian Fathers.

The peculiar notions of Mr. Hobbs, and of those who concur with him in these opinions (that our liberty is well consistent with necessity, as being only a power to do what we will, though we lie under a necessity to have that will; and that it is sufficient that we choose to do what we do, though we lie under a necessity, if we choose at all, to choose as we do) lie under this considerable disadvantage, that they were universally condemned by all Christians for the first four centuries, who affirmed, that a liberty from necessity was a fundamental principle, without which there was no place for vice or virtue, praise or dispraise, rewards or punishments, heaven or hell; but an introduction of stoical fate; confuting that and manichæism, and other heresies on this very account, that they destroyed the liberty of man's will, and left them under a necessity, and a determination to one. I therefore shall endeavour to shew,

1st. That there is a plain agreement betwixt the doctrine of Mr. Hobbs, and of these men concerning this matter, as to the great concernments of religion.

(i) John v. 40.
...edly. That their opinion differs very little, and in things only of little moment, from the fœcal fate, and lies obnoxious to the same absurdities which the philosophers and christians did object against it.

edly. That their doctrine hath been condemned by all the primitive christians for the first four centuries. And,

4edly. That St. Austin, who first introduced the contrary doctrine, is forced by it to contradict his former self, to renounce what he had said in confutation of the manichees; is unable to answer his own arguments, and falleth into manifest absurdities. And,

SECTION I.—1/2.—That there is a manifest agreement betwixt their opinion and that of Mr. Hobbs, will be evident from an exact comparison of their words together. Mr. Hobbs then affirms,

1/2. That though the will be necessitated, yet the doing what we will is liberty; which is perfectly free with their alteration, that (k) the liberty of the will consists not in a freedom from necessity, but only in a freedom from compulsion.

2edly. That (l) he who takes away the liberty of doing according to our will, taketh away the nature of sin; but he that denies the liberty to will, doth not do so. And do not they say this who teach (m) that though fallen man is become so far a slave to sin, that whatever he does he cannot but sin; yet that necessity of doing evil takes not away his natural liberty, and therefore not his sin.

edly. That (n) if liberty cannot stand with necessity, it cannot stand with the decrees of God; of which decrees necessity is a consequence. And what do they say? Who teach (o) that them whom God calls according to his purpose, he so moves to believe in God and to love God and his brother, that faith, and love infallibly thence follow; and when that motion comes, it is impossible for man not to believe and love God.


(o) Deus hominem quem secundum propositum vocat, sic movet ad credendum Deo et ad diligendum Deum et proximum; ut infallibiliter inde sequatur ejus et dilecto, et posita tali motione impossibile est hominem non credere arque diligere. Le Blanc de Lib. Hom. Arb. Part. 2. Sec. 17. and Sec. 21. Utique in eo conveniunt, necesse est ut agari ille quem ad agendum movet, atque impellit Divinae Gratiae, vel providentiae vis et efficacia, q. ab illa actione Dei actio hominis separari non potest.
4thly. That a man's will is something; but the liberty of his will is nothing. And most protestants, faith (p) Le Blanc, deny that after man's fall there remained any liberty in him to do what is morally good.

5thly. That (q) he is free to a thing, who may do it if he have the will to do it, and may forbear if he have the will to forbear; and yet if it be necessary that he should have (r) the will to do, the action is necessarily to follow; and if there be a necessity that he shall have the will to forbear, the forbearing also will be necessary. So that according to him, he that hath a will to do, lies under a necessity to have that will, and he that hath a will to forbear, lies under a necessity to have the will to forbear; his choice being determined to what he shall choose by precedent necessary causes. Now he is not under a necessity to have the will to forbear what is morally good, who hath lost his liberty to what is so; and is not he under a like necessity of willing only what is evil, who, whatsoever he doth, and therefore whatsoever he wills to do, can only do evil?

6thly. He adds, that (s) the necessity of an action doth not make the law that prohibits it unjust; for it is not the necessity, but the will to break the law that makes the action unjust; and what necessary causeforever precedes an action, yet if that action be forbidden, he that doth it willingly may justly be punished. And do not they say the fame who make it necessary for man in a lapsed state to sin; that is, transgress the law of God; and yet add, (b) that this hinders not that, quo minus liberè in peccatum feratur, et bonum legem præceptum averetur et omissit, his finning and omitting the good required by the law is done freely.

7thly. And lastly, he affirms, that (c) things may be necessary and yet praiseworthy, and also necessary, and yet dispraiseworthy; which plainly is the same with the doctrine of those men who say that, inevitabile non tollit rationem meriti, aut demeriti, the inevitable necessity of an action hinders not the merit or demerit, reward or punishment of that action. And further to complete the parallel, observe.

1st. That sin having no efficient, but only a deficient cause, to lie under an unfruitful defect of doing that which is after that defect commanded, and under a like disability of avoiding that which is afterwards forbidden, is to be determined to and lie under a necessity of finning, that is, of transgressing

(p) Negant protestantium plurimi post peccatum in homine manife
liberum arbitrium respectu boni moralis. Le Blanc. de Lib. Homi
Arb. circa bonum Morale, Par. 2. Sec. 43.
p. 678. — (b) Le Blanc, 18. Sec. 50. — (c) Br. p. 679.
Freedom of the Will of Man.

these laws, because it is to lie under a necessity of being thus deficient.

ady. That though it seemeth certain, that this necessary defect is ab extrinseco, from an external cause, it being, faith St. Austin, Poena Peccati, the punishment of sin, and so the action of the judge; it being, faith Bishop Davenant, the result of God's arbitrary imputation when he was free, if he had pleased to do otherwise; it being, adly, a defect immediately resulting from the action of others, the transgression of Adam, that is, of one person, and the birth of all men from him, and without any other requisite than that of being born; yet were it ab intrinsceo, from an internal cause, as blindness, deafness, disability to speak, is in them who are born blind, deaf or dumb, it must be still as inevitable as the defect is in these instances; and lapsed man thus born, must be as much disabled by it, as he could be from any extrinsic cause whatsoever; and therefore seeing by it he lies under as great necessity of being thus defective as he who is determined by an extrinsic cause to be so; and that extrinsic necessity is allowed to render the action of him who lieth under it unculpable, it must be likewise thus with him who lies under the like necessity from an intrinsic cause immediately resulting from his birth.

ady. Observe, that it is the same thing as to my obedience or disobedience to have a disability by nature, as to have it from the substance or matter of which I am composed; because by having it from the sin of my nature before I had a being, I come into the world as much disabled as I could be by the other, from the performing due obedience, or the avoiding disobedience to the laws of God.

adyly. Observe, that it is the same thing as to my eternal interest to have no free will at all, as to have none in reference to the conditions on which that interest depends; and therefore it is no great difference betwixt the opinion of these men and that of Mr. Hobbs, that the one destroys the liberty of all our actions, and theirs only destroys our liberty in spiritual and moral actions; seeing both equally destroy our liberty of choosing life eternal, and of avoiding everlasting death.

Section II.—With these we may compare the fate asserted by the Stoicks and by many others; for though they talk more than others of the τὸ ἐκ ἡμῶν and the αὐτέχισιον, the things we have in our power, and the power we have over ourselves; yet all this at the last amounts only to an αὐτέχισιον ἐκ αὐτῶν, a liberty of doing what must be done out of necessity; for all the liberty they allow to the will of man is this, that he may freely do what if he doth refuse to do, fate will compel him to do; or may refuse to do what if he would do, fate will compel him to leave undone. This they explain by the ex-
ample of a dog (d) tied to a cart’s tail; he may follow without being drawn, but if he doth not, he must follow by being drawn. To autò δό τε ναί καὶ εἰς τῶν αὐθάρατων καὶ μὴ βεβλήμενον γερ αξιολογεῖ να’ αἴνευτιστὸν πάντως εἰς τὸ πεποίημενον εἰσελθεῖν. And this also is the case of men, for if they will not follow fate, they shall by all means be necessitated to come under the laws of fate. Their wife man, faith (e) Seneca, doth nothing unwillingly; Necesse tatem effugiit quia vult quod ipsa coactura est, he avoids necessity by doing willingly what otherwise she would compel him to do; and this is the plain import of the prayer of (f) Epictetus out of Cleanthes, lead me, O fate, to that to which thou hast ordained, that I may follow willingly; for if I do not follow so, I shall be compelled to do it.

ady. This necessity, say they, proceedeth from external and antecedent causes; as either; i.e. The eternal decree of God disposing all things to happen as they do. (g) Omnia certà, et in æternum dictà lege decurrunt, all things, faith Seneca, follow by a certain law established from eternity; Olim constitutum est quid gaudeas quid fleas, it is of old ordained at what we shall rejoice or weep. (h) Fata nos ducent, we are led by fate; and the first law of fate is this, stare decretum, that God will stand to his decree, and not be moved from it by prayers or sacrifices. If any man doth profitably use them, Id ipsum quoque in fato est, it also his fate to use them.—Accordingly (i) the concourse of causes, faith Mr. Hobbs, where of every one is determined to be such, may well be called the decree of God in this respect, that they were all set and ordered by the eternal cause of all things, God Almighty. Or, adly. being an eternal series of causes upon which all effects depend, (k) ordinem rerum fati æterna feriae rotat, causa ex causa pendent, the eternal course of fate turns about all things, faith Seneca, one cause depends upon another. It is, say all, (l) an immutable series of causes, by virtue of which thus knit to one another, all things are produced; or a fatal necessity, by virtue of which whatsoever happens flows from a continuation of causes. Now here observe with Bishop Bramhall,

(d) Liber inéd. apud Menaque in illud Stoicorum apud Laert. καὶ ἐν
μαρταίνει διά φασιν πάντα γενέσαι. 1. 7. p. 459.
(e) Ep. 54.
(f) Ἀγι μια—οὐ το ταιερετίτικον το το ἄνισκ διακατακρίνων
(g) De Provid. c. 5.—(h) Natural. Qu. c. 36, 37.—(i) Br. p. 665.—(k) Ubi supra.
(1) Immutabilis caurum cohærentium series, Sen. ad Helvid. c. 8.
Freedom of the Will of Man.

1st. That (m) "it is not much material whether they ascribe this necessity to God's decrees, or to the flairs, or to a connexion of causes, so as they establish necessity; for necessity upon what ground soever it be established renders the doom of all the fame." Either they must run into this absurdity, that the effect is determined, the cause remaining undetermined; or else hold such a connexion of causes as the Stoicks did.

Adly. That whereas they say the Stoicks took away liberty and contingency, but they allow them; as this is false as to their notion of liberty joined with necessity, so is it little to the purpose, since they allow it only in actions which will do us no good as to our spiritual and eternal interests, depriving men without that grace God hath decreed not to afford to reprobates, of liberty to choose what is spiritually good; for surely men thus determined to one, that is, to do evil only, have only the liberty to choose by what actions they will incur damnation.

Now the natural reason of mankind induced the heathen philosophers to condemn this doctrine of fatal necessity upon these several accounts.

Section III.—1st. That it fundamentally destroyed the liberty of humane actions, and left nothing in our power; for no man can be said, (n) ἀντίξενοις ἐπερεῖν, to act freely, who by external causes is necessitated to act, or not to act; and they, faith, (o) Cicero, who introduce an eternal series of causes, ii mentem hominis volutante liberâ spoliatam necessitate fati definitiunt, they rob the soul of its liberty, and leave us nothing in our own power; Nam nihil effet in nostra potestate si res ita fe habet. It is the most ridiculous of all things, faith (p) Oeumans, to say τα και επι τοις οφρωνις τι εἶναι, ται ειμιν εκιν αυτον ειναι, that anything is in the power of man, and yet that there is a connexion of causes; for this therefore, say they, destroys the liberty of our desires, choice; and consequently of our actions, because it introduceth an antecedent and external cause on which they depend, as the effect upon the cause; for a cause being that, (q) Quae id efficit cuius est Causa, which produceth the effect. A cause which necessitates the action, renders the effect necessary; and when the effect depends on my appetite, will, desire and choice, must lay the like necessity on them. And whereas the patrons of fate would sometimes own, that they did many things willingly, but added, that (r) μετὰ τοῦτο ἀντα γενεθαι καθεμαρτο, they were determined by fate to will them, and so their very will

(m) P. 693, 693.—(n) Simpl. in Epict. p. 23.—(o) De Fato, n. 16.—(p) Ibid. n. 7.—(q) Ibid. n. 26.—(r) Diog. apud Eufeb. Præp. Ev. l. 6. c. 11. p. 266. B. D.
Freedom of the Will of Man.

was, περιεκτημένον ὑπὸ τῆς εἰμαρχῆς, involved in, or contained under the decree of fate. This fate, say they, can no more confit with the liberty of the will, than sweet with bitter, white with black, cold with heat; for as those things are said to be done by fate, δόσα καὶ ἐκόπτων καὶ ἀκόπτων ἡμῶν πάντως γίνεται, which are certainly done whether we will or no: So those things are said to be done, παρὰ ὑμᾶς, by our will, δοκιμάζοντες ἡμᾶς καὶ ἐνεργεῖν ἐν τῆς τεῖχος ἐρχόμεθα, which are brought to pass by our study and labor, and only through our toil and negligence are left undone.

ady. That if our actions did proceed from such a fate, no man could deserve praise or dispraise, reward or punishment, for any of his actions; for hence, faith (f) Cicero, it follows that our actions and choice is not in our own power; ex quo efficitur ut neque laudationes justae sint, nec vituperationes, nec honores, nec supplicia; whence it follows, that there is nothing in our actions which justly can deserve praise or dispraise, reward or punishment. If such a fate should be allowed, faith (t) Alcinous, τὸ ἐφ᾽ ὑμῖν ὀφειλέται, καὶ ἐπικοίνων, καὶ συμπαθητήν, καὶ τὸ μαλακόν τὸ τοιούτου παραπληκτόν, our liberty is destroyed together with praise and dispraise, and every thing that is like to it. They, faith (u) Simplicius, who take away, τὸ ἐφ᾽ ὑμῖν our liberty, οὔτω καὶ τὸς ἀριστοτέλειος καὶ τὸς καλὰς φυσικὸν διαφόρον αναρχίας, they take away the natural difference between vice and virtue, and leave no place for praise or dispraise; for to praise and honor men, faith (x) Oenomaus, for being good, or good out of necessity, is as absurd; ὡς εἰ τὸς μὲν καλὸς τὰ σώματα ἐπαινοῖν, καὶ γεραιοῖν, τὸς δὲ αἰσχρὸν ἄρες καὶ κολάζοιν, as if a man should praise and honor them for having handsome bodies, and dispraise and punish them for being deformed. And lastly, these very men, faith (y) Alexander Aphrodisiensis, by exhorting men to use discipline and study, and by leaving volumes to instruct young men how to live, and condemning others who, ἐν τῇ προσωπικῇ δίψῳ, do not things convenient, or what they ought to do, curt the necessity of fate, ἀνίσσομαι δὲ κατὰ φόβον ἡμῖν ὁ πάρτος τὸν ἀντεχόμεθα, and established the natural liberty of the will.

ady. This doctrine of fate, say they, leaves no place for repentance, or for accusing of ourselves, or others; whereas, faith (z) Diogenianus, when we do any thing without deliberation, πολλακὶς μετανοιαῖς, we frequently repent, and blame ourselves for our rashness; and when we see others act in like manner, we pronounce them offenders, ὡς εἴ ἡμῖν ἐν τῷ τοῖς ὑπὸ τοιαύτα, as believing these things are in our own power.

4thly. They add, that were this so, God could not justly punish men for their iniquities, they being only the result of unavoidable necessity; for thus they who are punished plead their cause in (a) Oenamachus, with Jupiter and Apollo, so say the poets of Apollo, thou dost not with us justly, Apollo; thou dost not righteously punish us who have not offended; and why doth Jupiter himself and the heavens defend themselves, the impulsive cause of our necessity, punish us rather than himself who hath imposed upon us this necessity. Cæs. Jupiter, be angry, &c. &c. For this is only done which faileth, and the series of causes ordered to be done, and we are not able to resist. We justly say to you (b) Oh ye Gods that you permitted us not to be good; and not only so, &c. &c. &c. &c., but ye have also laid upon us a necessity to be evil. Note,

adly. That this faileth was brought into the church by the (c) Colobotarii, the followers of Bardelanes, and the Priscillianists, and before them by the (d) Marcionites; who abstained from matrimony on this opinion, that nature was evil by reason of the evil matter of which we were composed; and this doctrine, faith Clement of Alexandria, they learned from the philosophers, to all whom it was common (e) saevo adscribere conversiones hominum, to ascribe the actions of men to fate. Now the doctrine of the Christians is this, faith (f) Justin Martyr, that nothing is done or suffered, but is willed according to fate. Allo xathà mei epoiçen eis Ænaon katopon, h Ænaon, but that every man doth good or evil according to his own free choice. And in this they all agree for these reasons.

18. Because no man can be worthy of punishment for what he was made, and cannot alter: No man, faith, Justin Martyr, would be worthy of reward and praise, in ex èkayı étémata to agathon allo xai touton gnómenos, who doth not of himself chooseth to be good, but is made such; nor if he be wicked, can he be justly punished, in ex èkayı toutos ou, allo xai xwrikos, xwrikos, xwrikos, xwrikos, as being not such of himself, and being not able to be otherwise than he was born. God made us so that we should be good not by nature, but by our own free will and purpose; (h) nihil enim laudis est id esse à quo te mutari.

naturally; for it is not praiseworthy to be such as the necessity of nature forcest thee to be. So Pseudo
Clement.

34. That a necessity of sinning would free men from all
fault, as doing nothing of their own accord, nor being so much
the cause of their actions, as he who laid this necessity upon
them. Thus (a) Justin Martyr argues, if all things flow from
fate, we do not have any choice, and we have no freedom of will,
but all is due to necessity, neither is one to be approved, nor another blamed: Again, if mankind hath not power
by his choice to avoid evil, and to choose the good without
choice, he is blameless whatever he doth. (b) Origen, in his dissertation against fate, declares that the affairs
of fate, do, ἑπισταντατος ἐγκλήματος, (c) free men for all fault, and cast the blame of all the evil that is
done upon God; and that this is a doctrine, ἀντιπέρ στη ὑπηγείην,
which subverts our liberty, νικεί διὰ τετράς, and by that all vice
and virtue, all praise and dispraise. (d) Eusebius declares
that this is the opinion, τον ἐκ τοις ἐστερεαις διαφέρει, ἡ μονή τοις
ἀτομον ἐκ συνιστά της δοιρᾶς της ἀνθρωπείας, ἀδισθανητής, as doing
nothing on their own actions which was evil, and would
cast all the blame of all the wickedness committed on the
world upon God, and upon his providence; if that were admitted
by the affairs of fate, whether he himself, did not of necessity
or any other cause. See the like arguments in Epiphanius's Har. 16. p. 35:

34. They add, that this opinion renders all commands,
admonitions and exhortations not to do evil, or to do good,
useless; he who is thus admonished, being instructed by it, to
reply after this manner, (e) τί μοι ἕκαστος νοεῖται, so what
shall I do them admonish me, O man, when fate hath foreclosed
me, and not left it in my power to change my mind? τί ὑπὲρ
ἀντιτεθείον ἡ μονὴ ἐκ τοις ἐστερεαις διαφέρει, To what purpose
therefore is it to strive after that which I have not the power to
defer or will, unless also, it be my fate thus to will? That men
lie under the necessity from God's foreknowledge, which was of
old, the chief argument of the fatalists, espoused of late by Mr.
Hubs, and is still made the refuge of the predestinarians, may
be thus proved, faith (f) Origen, because the prophets are exhorted
in the holy scriptures to call men to repentance, and to do
this in such words, as if it were unknown whether they would
turn to God, or would continue in their sins; as in those words

B. — (c) P. 287. A. — (d) Prepar. Ev. I. 6. c. 6. p. 257. per Tot. and
289. B. C.
of (g) Jeremiah, perhaps they will hear and turn every man
from his evil way, &c. and this is said, not that God under-
stood not whether they would do this or not, but to demonstra-
te the almost equal balance of their power so to do, and that they might not de-
spend or remit of their endeavors by an imagination that God's
foreknowledge laid a necessity upon them; οὐ δὲ ὅτι εἰπτε αὐτοῖς
τῷ ἐπιστήμονα, κατά άντικείμενον, as not leaving
in their power to turn to him, and so was the cause of
their sin.

4thly. They argue from the deportment of men towards
them who offend out of necessity, allowing this as a sufficient
excuse that they could not do otherwise. You would not,
faith (k) Athenagoras to the Roman emperors; honor the good,
or punish bad men, εἰ μὴ εἰπτε αὐτοῖς ὅτι ἡ κακία ἡ ἁρετή ; if
vice and virtue were not in their power. And if men; faith
Chrysostom, do thus pardon their fellow men when they are neces-
sitated to do a thing (l) τοῦ δὲ ὧν εἰρωμένοις ἁθέτω μᾶλλον συνι
κινοῦντες ἔχον πολύς more should this be done to men compelled
by fate to do what they do; for if it be absurd to punish them
who by the force of barbarians are compelled to any action, it
must be more so, τοῦ ὧν δυνατώτερον δυνάμεως κατανεικαζόμενον
δίκεν διδόμεναι, to punish him who is compelled by a stronger power.

3thly. They add, that if fate obtain, then the divine judg-
ment must be overthrown; for they, faith (k) Origen, who take
away, τὸ ἐφ’ ἑαυτῷ, this liberty, take away with it praise and dis;
praise, and good and evil actions, with them a future judgment,
and all threats against and punishment of offenders, and all the
promises made, and the rewards annexed to a life of holiness;
καὶ τὰς τῶν ἔνοχον ἐφ’ τιμωσίαν ἐτέλεσί τιμωσίαν, for upon this supposi-
tion none of these things will rationally be done. If fate be es-
tablised, faith (l) Eusebius, oικόσελεῖον πιθοσοφία, οἰκόσελεῖον Ἐν
πιθοσοφία, philosophy and piety are overthrown; there can be no
religion, no praise for persons studious of virtue, no blame of
men addicted to the greatest impiety, all these things depending;
ἐν άὐτοπροοιμίαται μελῶσι κατόπιν, ἀλλ’ ἐν τάς τῶν ἀποκλίσεως,
was upon the necessity introduced by the starts, and not upon
meditation and exercise proceeding from our own free choice.

(g) Chap. xxvi. 3. xxxvi. 3. — (b) Legat. pro Christ. p. 27. — (i)
CHAPTER V.

Showing that these late notions, concerning the liberty or rather Servitude of the Will of lapse Man, were generally condemned by the Primitive Christians.

This will be evident from the following considerations.

SECTION I.—16. That they place the freedom of the will from necessity amongst the doctrines, quæ per praedicationem apostolicae manifeste traduntur, which were manifestly delivered to the church by the preaching of the apostles, and of the Christians; faith (a) Stoicks, that all things are done according to fate; and kai ἐνὶ ἀληθείᾳ, in veritate; but that every man, according to the freedom of his will or choice. (b) Origen speaks thus, Est et illud definitum in Ecclesiae Prædicatione omnium animarum esse rationabiliter liberi arbitrii, et voluntatis; hoc est, is defined by ecclesiastical tradition, that all souls are rational, and have free will and choice. Whence we may gather, that they are not subject to necessity so as to be compelled to do good or evil; for we are under no necessity to do well or ill. And though there is in the rational soul a power to do evil, it is not evil upon that account, faith (c) Didymus Alexandrinus: but because she will freely use that power; ἢ εἰ ἐκεῖναι μοναχή ἡ Νεκρότε φημεῖται, εἰλήφει τοὺς λογικοὺς Χριστοσοφοὺς, and this is not only ours, but the opinion of all who speak *Orthodoxy of rational beings. ' (d) Theodoret' Having established this doctrine of the liberty of man's will from necessity, and his power to choose good or evil by reason, and by the figure of the holy scriptures, concludes thus; τῶν ἐκκλησιαστῶν, et aliorum, thus have we (Christians) been taught by our ancestors, and thus we teach. St. Augustine lays down this as the true definition of sin, (e) peccatum est voluntas retinendi, vel consequendi id, quod justitia vetat, et unde liberum est.

abstinere; fin is the will to obtain or retain that which justice forbids, and from which it is free for us to abstain. Whence he concludes, that no man is worthy of displeasure or punishment, qui id non faciet quod facere non potest, for not doing that which he hath no power to do; and that if sin be worthy of displeasure and punishment, it is not to be doubted, tunc esse peccatum cum et liberum est nolle. These things, faith he, the shepherds sing upon the mountains, and the poets in the theatres, and the unlearned in their assemblies, and the learned in the libraries, and the doctors in the schools, et Antistes, in sacris locis, et in orbe terrarum genus humanum, (f) and the bishops in the churches, and mankind throughout the whole earth.—Yea this, faith he, is so manifest, nulla hinc doctorum pauciorum, nulla indoctorum turba dissentiat, that it hath the universal consent of the learned and unlearned. (g) Genadius, in his account of ecclesiastical doctrines, lays down this for one, that no man perisfeath by the will of God; sed per seipsum electiones Arbitrii, ne ingenium atque potestas semel homini attributa, ad servilem cogitationem, but only by himself, and his own free choice, left the free choice, liberty and power once granted to man, should be reduced to a servile necessity.

Section II.—ady. This will be still more evident from what St. Austin, with the concurrence of all the ancient fathers, have said in contemplation of the Manichees who taught these three things.

1st. That there were two principles, the one good, and the author of good, the other evil, and the author of evil.

ady. That the God of the law was not the true God, and that therefore the Old Testament was to be rejected.

ady. That sin arose not from the free will of man, but from the substance of matter, and so some souls were wicked not by choice, but by nature. Now against this wicked hereby St. Austin urgeth many arguments, in which he hath the tuffrage of the ancient fathers.

And (1/f) he lays down these as certain and indisputable (h) rules, viz. de quo residerre non valete quisque quidpium mali fecit justè damnari nullo modo potest, that no man can justly be condemned for doing that evil which he was not able to resist; for all men, faith he, will pronounce without any hesitation, nihil iflos omnino peccasse, that these men have done no evil. For if souls be so compelled to act, ut resistenti potestas nulla sit, non peccant, that they have no power to resist, they sin not. If therefore, as Le Blanc faith, the reformed teach

men are so depraved by the fall, ut non possint nisi male agere, that they cannot but do evil; they offend not in so doing.

ady. This he propounds as a thing manifest, (i) non pecce- re animas ex eo quod non sunt tales quales esse non possunt, that no souls offend in not being such as they cannot be: See- ing then they who cannot do what is truly good, cannot be good; it must be also manifest that if the souls of lapid men cannot do what is truly good, they offend not in not being good.

ady. He affirms, that no man is worthy of dispraise, or pun-ishment, (k) quid id non faciat, quod facere non potest, for not doing that which he cannot do; and this, faith he, will be owned by every man who consults, secreta conscientiae tuae, legelque divinas penitus nature, infitas apud animam, the secrets of his conscience, and the divine laws written in his heart. Whence also it most clearly follows, that lapid men cannot be worthy of dispraise or punishment, for not doing that good they can- not do.

ady. His fourth rule is this, (l) Ex eo quod non accept, nullus reus est, no man is guilty for not having that which he hath not received; and his inference this, that no man can duly blame another for being deficient, quia non ultra esse accepit, where he hath no power to go further; since he owes only what he hath received, and cannot exceed the bounds set him; If then man by the fall hath lost all power of doing good, and hath not since received strength to do it, this deficiency cannot be his guilt. Now in these rules the ancient fathers expressly accord with him; for we have heard already from Justin Martyr, Pseudo Clements, Origen, Eusebius and Epiphanius, that a necessity of fining trees men from all fault, for that which he cannot avoid, or for being that which he could not cease to be (b) Origen lays it down as a most certain rule, that no man can be blameworthy for not doing what he cannot do; and that as for Judas himself, in 

fulfil the law, and not sin, which is beyond our strength, could punish man for transgressing it; it being manifest, that no man is criminal for not doing that which is beyond his power, and therefore is to him impossible) answers, that God condemns us not for not doing what is impossible, but for not willing to do what is possible; thus yap δουλεύοι, καὶ ἐφ’ ἐν μίαν νὰ ἐκκαθήμενον, for praise or disgrace belongs only to things possible, and which are in our power to do or not; and that we all offend, διὰ τὸ μὴ μετρεῖσαι, καὶ διὰ τὸ μὴ δοκεῖν, not because we cannot, but because we will not do what we ought to do. Add to this what hath been before cited from Irenæus, declaring, that our Lord and his apostles could have no reason to require us to do good, if it were not in our power to do what they exhort us to: From Tertullian, saying, that God would not have given a law to him who had it not in his power to obey it: From Origen, inquiring, how could God require that of man which he had not in his power to offer to him? and that of Theodoret, that God cannot justly punish a nature which hath it not in his power to do good, but is bound in the bonds of wickedness.

2ady. St. Austin lays down this as the true definition of sin; (e) That it is the will to do that from which we have the liberty to abstain; for, faith he, (f) if men, libero ad faciendum, et non faciendum, motu animi careant, si denique his abstinendi ab opere suo potestas nulla conceditur, peccato teneri non possunt, want the free motion of the will to do, or not to do, or if they have not the power to abstain from what they do, they cannot be guilty of sin; for if a man cannot do otherwise, he is not. (g) Acknowledge, faith he, that sin must be free from necessity, non quia homines non possunt, sed quia nolunt servare legem, and must be done, not because men cannot, but because they will not observe the law; and hence thou wilt discern the rise of all sin, and the distribution of punishments.—Accordingly we have learned from Origen, that the soul doth not incline to either part out of necessity, because then neither vice nor virtue could be ascribed to it. God, faith (h) Hila-ry, hath permitted to every man, libertatem vitae senfuitique the liberty of life and judgment, non necessitatem in alterutrum affigens, laying them under no necessity of doing good or evil, ut praemium libi voluntatis bonitatis acquireret, that he might be rewarded for the goodness of his will.

And again, (i) God, faith he, hath permitted to every man the liberty of choosing and doing what he will, et ob id unius-

---

(f) La de fide contr. Man. c. 44. (g) De Actis cum Felice Man. i. 2. c. 5. (h) In Pf 21. p. 662. (i) In Pf. 114. p. 968, 969.
et quæque aut potest, aut præmissa a deficitur elección, atque foedus
reason shall every man be punished, or rewarded for his choice.
God, faith (1) St. Basil, loves them who do what is right, not
from necessity but virtue. Now virtue; faith he, arifeth, in
apostolos, &c. in deo, deus, from choice, and not from man.
For this cause, faith (1) Chrysostom, hath God given thee free
will, he addit: don esse deos, &c. is aris the deus, that man
may not accuse God as being bound under a necessity of doing
evil. Man, faith (m) St. Cyril Alexandria hath a free inclination
to what he will choose to do, &c. aris the deus, or who do
infamy, and a freedom from necessity in what he will turn
himsell unto; that Jo., and not otherwise the good may be war-
thy of praise and reward, and the wicked may be justly punish-
ed. The devil faith (n), Cyril of Jerusalem, suggests to thee a
thought of fornication, if thou wilt, thou will, thou shall
receive to a, xis xai deus. xis xai deus. xis xai deus. xis xai deus.
Jesus in hases ymagni, &c. of Theos; for if thou didst lie under a
necessity of whoring, why hath God prepared
hell? If faith (o), Macarius, the bodies of christians shall have
been made immortal, the world beholding &c. the
strangeness of the thing, would have been converted to good,
calling still, &c. in hpmag, not by their own free will,
but by a kind of necessity, and therefore God to preserve its
liberty of man's will, suffered their bodies to die, that it might
be, in their choice to turn to good or evil; for man is not
bound to do evil, avian thei, by any necessity, but hath a liberty,
ri ymagni xai thei inagias, to become a vessel of election and life,
and they who are called by the holy spirit, in avian thei xai-
agias, are not held under any necessity, but have a liberty to
turn themselves, and do what they will in this life.
3dly, St. Austin offers to prove to Felix the Manichee, &
divinæ Scripturæ, from the divine scriptures, that man hath
the freedom of his will; antque inde pecasse quæmque fi velis,
non pecasse fi nolit, and therefore that every man, fi. if
will, and fi. if he will not. Now that all the fathers ac-
cord with him in this, that the holy scriptures do acribe to
man this freedom of the will, hath been largely proved; and
to the passages already cited from them, may be added a large
discourse of Origæn, in his Philologia, where he confirms us
from variety of demonstrative arguments from the holy scri-
ptures. (p) St. Basil, faith, there is a manifest demonstration
of the free will of man in those words of Isaiah, if you will,
and if you will not, &c. whence it is manifest that all his hap-

(p) Tom. 2. p. 259.
Freedom of the Will of Man.

The freedom of the will, to be free in all things, depends on our free will. Hearken not to them, faith (q) Cyril of Jerusalem, who falsely interpret that of the apostle, if what I would not that I do; but remember him who said, if ye be willing and obedient, and if you will not, &c. There is not an order of souls, κατά φύσιν ἁμαρτάνοντων, who sin or do good by nature, ἀλλ' ἐν προαίρεσιν ἁμαρτάνει; but both proceed from our own free will. (r) Sin, faith he, is an evil proceeding from our own free will; ὅτι γε ἐν προαιρεσεῖς ἁμαρτάνομεν; for that we sin by our own free choice the prophet teacheth, Jer. ii. 21. Eccl. vii. 30. As for the second part of this assertion, that the freedom of the will is, ἐστὶν ὕθελεν, to good or evil, to sin if we will, or not to sin if we will not, they perfectly accord with him, declaring that there is no true liberty where there is not, poteftas ad utrumlibet, a power to do both; where we are not, actionum nostrarum Domini, masters of our own actions; that God hath given us in respect of what is good or evil, αὐτὸν ομοῦ διανοια, a mind that is a law unto itself, αὐτοκρατορικὴν κινήσιν, a self commanding motion, αὐτοκράτορον γνώμην, ād- τοῦ ἐνέσχον τὴν προεξοικαί, a free council, a self commanding purpose, ἐνέχθον ὑπὸς, a free turn, προαίρεσις εἰς ἁμαρτίαν, to ἐν ἁμαρτίαν βαδίζον, a power to go or turn both ways; with many other expressions of a like nature which will occur under their proper heads, and leave no room for doubting in this case; especially if we consider, 1st. That they make this power necessary both to vice and virtue; thus (w) Justin declares, that no actions of men would be praiseworthy, if he had not the power, ἐστὶν ἁμαρτεῖν τρέφεσθαι, to turn to either of them. (x) Macarius faith, that if we were δεσμος φύσεως, of a nature bound, we should neither be capable of honor and glory, or of hell and punishment, both these being prepared, τῇ τρεῖσι φύσει τῇ δυναμίῃ ἁποφύγει μὴ παθεῖν, καὶ τρέφεσθαι εἰς τὸ ἄγαθον, καὶ δεσμὸν μέρος, for a mutable nature which can fly from evil, and turn to what is good and right. (y) Athanasius proves that man hath free will, δύναται γὰρ ὅσπις τὰ καλὰ νεκρῶν ὅτι καὶ τὰ καλά ἁμαρτέσασθαι, because he can incline to what is good, or decline from it. And this is so agreeable to the light of nature, that (z) Cyril of Alexandria introduceth Porphyry, placing the freedom of man in this, that he hath εἰς ἴδειν ἀληθινῶς ἐξωκόηθα τὴν ἐκ ἰδίου ἀφε- σίν, the freedom to choose vice or virtue; this being, faith he, necessary, that he may be capable of praise or reprehension, honor or dishonor, rewards or punishments.

adly. They add, that by reason of this free will which God hath given him, he hath it in his power to believe, or disbelieve; as we have heard from Irenæus, and Clemens of Alexandria, to be, or make himself a vessel of election, or of reprobation; faith: Macedius, and Ipe libii caulis et, he of himself is the cause. (a) Irenæus, why he becomes good corn or chaff, that is, because he will, (b) Clements of Alexandria; (c) St. Basil faith, that every man may act, in scripture, proaristos is o patera aion einai, that is to say, if his own free will be either a holy or a bad. And in his answer to the ninth question, we have received, faith be, from God; (e) the power of acting, or not acting, that is, we have the power to act, to do things righteously, and to forbear what is unrighteous; when therefore we act thus, we are duly crowned: but when we transfer this power to what is evil, or neglect to do what is good, and we act otherwise, we are duly punished: we are therefore justly crowned or punished, dia to epi aion, for what we freely choose. (f) Chrysostom, spoke many things of the kingdom, and of hell, x' than epi ekatera omon na eidothen tis diaphantasias, x' en to tis miros tis kalofyrias, and shewed that we had power to choose either of them, by punishing sinners, and honoring them that do well; For faith be, (g) x' hagia xaris epive x' the kaiexin, x' to eis geoun na eidothen tis dekiadias epituxein, we have it in our power to choose this, or that, to fall into hell or enjoy the kingdom. And sure these things must be sufficient to convince us that these fathers believed nothing of the doctrine of absolute election and reprobation, or of that miserable servitude of the humane will, on account of the fall of man, which these men do so much insist upon.

382 Freedom of the Will of Man.

Freedom of the Will of Man.

When they could not think, or do better, or worse, we must obtain from praising the good, or reprehending the wicked; all the admonitions of fathers to their sons will be, εἰσιν ὑμῖν γὰρ ἀλήθεια, a vain thing; all the inducements of youth, will be superfuous, καὶ ἀφανήμαν καθό, a vain trifle; and we must take away all laws, καὶ μὴ γὰρ ἐπίσκοποι ἔχων ὑμῶν ὑπελείπον τῷ θεῷ διατείματί ὑμῶν, for if every man hath it not in his power, to choose what way of life he would, but hath δυνάμενον, καὶ ἀνέμοις ἐπὶ τῷ δεόντω, an indissoluble and inevitable tie to this or that, farewell all laws, or whatsoever else is profitable. (i) Maccarius adds, that a law is given, τῷ δυναμένῳ τραίνεται εἰς ἀμφισβητα τὰ μέρη, to him that can turn to both parts, i.e. obey or transgress it; δεν γὰρ φύσις νόμος ὑπεβάλλεται, but no law can lie against him who is bound by nature.

Athly. (k) St. Aulin disputes against the doctrine of the Manichees, from the equity of the divine judgment, declaring that if men did not sin voluntarily they would be judged unjustly; for, if God, faith he, had not given free will, judicium puniendi nullum jussum esse posset, nec meritorum rector faciendi, nec praeceptum divinum ut ageretur pœnitentia de pecatis, there could be no justice in punishing the offender, nor any reward for well doing, nor any divine precept requiring men to repent of their sins; for neither the wages of good or evil can be duly given to him, qui aut bonus aut malus necessitate fuerit inventus, non voluntate, who was good or evil, not out of necessity, nor is any man to be blamed at all who doth not freely do evil. And in this we have seen the agreement of Origen and Eusebius, and the words now cited from him (l) Tertullian, Irenæus, Clemens of Alexandria and others who are of the same judgment. God hath given to man, faith (m) Tatian, freedom of will, ὅπως ὑμῖν διὰ τὸ ποιεῖται ὑμῖν τὸ δεόν, ὅτι ἐν τῇ ἔργῳ αὐτῶν ὑμῶν, that bad men may be justly punished, as being wicked from themselves; and that good men may be praised, καὶ αὐτῶν, who freely do comply with the will of God. God always preferred the liberty of the will in man, faith (n) Irenæus, ut justè damnentur qui non obedirent ei, quoniam non obedierunt, et qui obedierunt, et crederunt ei, honorentur incorruptibili; that they might justly be damned for their disobedience who did not obey him, and that they who believed and obeyed, might be honored with incorruptibility. And Athenagoras proves

to the Emperors Antoninus and Commodus, that man is, assolu-
ners, free of himself to choose virtue or vice; for, neither
faith he, would you honor the good, or punish the wicked, ει μη
τω καταφαίνω για ουτον ουκαι ουτον ουκαι ουκαι, if it were not in their pow-
er to choose either. God, faith (o) St. Jerom, hath framed us
with free will, nec ad virtutes, nec ad vitia necesse sit, tanta-
mur; aliquo ubi necesse sit, nec damnatio, nec corona
eft; nor are we necessarily drawn to vice or virtue; for when
there is necessity there is neither condemnation nor crown.
And again, God, faith he, hath given us freedom of mind, ut
vivereet uniusque non ex necessitate, sed ex voluntate, ut
virtus haberet locum, that every man might act from freedom,
not from necessity, that so there might be place for virtue.

389. (s) Thyl. St. Austin argues against the doctrine of the Mani-
chees from the duty of repentance; for, faith he; (p) it is
manifest to all that it is profitable to repent of our sins; now I
desire to know what persons must repent, seeing I know it can
be the duty, neque illius qui malè facere, neque illius qui bene
facere non potest, neither of him who cannot do evil, nor of
him who cannot do good; for that repentance which profit,
malè facie, pœnitentem, et bene facere potuisset testatur, leifi-
ates that the penitent hath done ill, when he might have done
well; and with this argument Alexander Aphrodisius ac-
cords, and (q) Clements of Alexandria adds, that if there be
free will, καταθετώσα μετανοώ δεν απεναι μερίδαν; where
is that repentance of the unbeliever by which he obtains remis-
sion of his sins?

6thly. (r) St. Austin faith, the Manichees held that the
nation of darkness waged war with God, before the foundation
of the world, and that in this war, nos, hoc ess. animas qua
modo indigere liberatore manifestum est commixtas esse omn
malo, et morti implicita, we, that is, the souls which now were
a deliverer, were blended with all evil, and entangled or detain-
ed in death. Now against this absurd imagination St. Austin
argues, that this (f) renders God cruel, in being willing to send
the soul into such miseries; and therefore he inquires of Fortu-
natus, Quare hanc animam ad miseries, ad errorem, ad ipsa
quae patimur misfit? Why did he send the soul into the world
to be subject to those miseries, that error, and those evils which
we suffer? He also introduces the soul thus expostulating
the cause with God, and pleading in its own excuse, Quid fe-
ci? Quid commifi? Apud te fui, integer fui, nullà labe con-
taminatus fui, tu me huc misisti, (t) What have I done, or
committed? I was with thee, I was upright, and undealt by

G. 2. p. 102. — (s) P. 172, 173. — (t) P. 168, 169.
any stain, thou fentest me thither. Cum ergo noverit necessitas quem a his oppressus sum, quae respirare non potui, cui resistere non potui, quid me accutas quafi peccatem? since then thou knowest the necessity with which I am oppressed, from which I can have no respite, and which I am not able to resist, why dost thou accuse me for sin? Some small resemblance of this mad doctrine of Manes was found in that opinion of Origen, that the souls of men had sinned in heaven (said he, in Adam say others) and therefore were united to such bodies as were the clog and prison of the soul, and that the flesh laid upon it a necessity of finning; which he endeavored to prove from the same scriptures, which these men use to prove that man, since the fall, lies under a necessity of doing evil only. Now there be three advantageous differences betwixt this opinion of Origen, and that of these Decretalists.

1/3. That he made the souls to suffer for their personal sin alone; they make them suffer for another's sin, or for a thing impossible, viz. a personal sin committed by them when they were no persons.

3/6. His punishments were medicinal and purgative, and so designed for their eternal good, whereas the punishment inflicted on the povertie of Adam for his fall, are, in most persons, according to their doctrine, only a sad preface to eternal punishments.

3/6. He was so merciful as to assure and believe that after these souls had suffered in these bodies for some time, they should at last be saved and admitted to the enjoyment of God, whilst these men leave the generality of them inallibly to fail of salvation, and obnoxious to eternal misery. And yet against this and other doctrines of Origen allied to it, the fathers argue from those grounds which do as manifestly destroy the necessity of finning they assert, and the foundation of it, viz. the imputation of the sin of Adam to all his posterity.

For 1/3. (v) Methodius speaks thus, If, as Origen faith, the Soul for Sin was united to the Body, μὴ δὲ τὸ ἡμαρτάνων ἐμὸν ἐν μόνῳ, how did they sin by that which yet was not.

Answer. (w) Even as the wills of all men sinned in Adam when as yet they were not? And because Origen attempted to prove his doctrine from those words of the Apostle, I am carnal, sold under sin. He asks him how he could reconcile his sense of these words with the liberty of the will? εἰ ἡμῖν γὰρ καὶ τὸ πιστεύει καθια, καὶ τὸ μὴ πιστεύει, (x) for it lies in our power to believe or not; εἰ ἡμῖν, it is in our power to amend our actions or to sin; εἰ, we are free to do good or

(v) Section 3. — (v) Apud Epiph. Hier. 64. n. 4. — (w) N. 46. — (x) Ibid.
evil; for that which hath not its own commanding power, of choosing what course of life it would lead, but is bound to follow, so that it may be honored or blamed for what is properly its own, must necessarily be exempted from all fault. 4. Theologically, he adds, that according to the doctrine of Origen, neither soul nor body could be judged; for if the soul, faith he, were placed before the judgment seat, it will plead that sin proceeded not from me, but was united to the body to which thou didst unite me; and since I quitted it, I neither sinned, nor had any carnal lustings; and the body, might say, for it was united, I sinned but, the soul, for since that was separated from me, I have done no evil; and he concludes that both these pleas were made, rationally, and yet it is manifest that all souls united to bodies since the fall of Adam, might plead thus.

4. Their second argument against this doctrine of Origen, is this, that whereas God blessed man (created male and female) and said unto them, increase and multiply, &c. This doctrine turns God's blessing into a curse. 2. Jam enim non erit bene disillusioned maleficius secundum Origenem; for, according to Origen's doctrine, it will not be a blessing, but a curse. Faith Epiphanius; for how, faith Theophilus of Alexandria, is the marriage bed undefiled, for anima vitiiis circumdata, if by it the soul is surrounded with vice? Then Moses in praying that God would multiply the seed of Israel, and make them a thousand times more; seeing this was to pray, ut animarum Cultivæ in Coelo peccantes Israelitici populi gentem conderent, that the nation of the Jews should be made up of souls that had sinned in heaven, and that they might increase, animarum ruinæ, by the ruin of souls; whereas he ought rather to have prayed, ne propheticum melioris substantiæ, vilior natura conderetur, out of regard to the corruption ensuing to the better part that the viler body might not be produced. Yea, why, faith he, doth David pray thus, the Lord blessthee out of Zion, that thou mayest see thy children children. Si animarum jacturæ jussi viri auguretur genus, if the offspring of the just were to be increased by the loss of souls? Or why doth God say by his prophet, if thou hadst hearkened to my precepts, thy seed should have been as the sand, and as the dust of the earth? For they who observe God's precepts, Non debent accipere præmium animarum ruinæ de Cælo quæ aligata corporibus sobolis eorum incrementum multiplicent, ought not to receive as their reward, the ruin of souls to in-
dease their offspring. And again, were this so, increase and multiply would be no blessing to Adam and Eve. Cum causa peccati maledictionem potius mereretur, since that which is the cause of sin ought rather to be deemed a curse. And if these things be so, why doth St. Paul say, I will that the young women marry, and breed children; for then they must do this, not for the order of generation, sed propter penas animarum, but for the punishment of souls; which far be it from us to believe. Si enim propter peccata in Coelis praecedentia, ad terras misse sunt anime, ut corporibus ligarentur, for if souls were sent from heaven to be united to bodies for their preceding sins, Paul lied when he said marriage is honorable, and the bed undefiled; nor can the same thing be a benediction, and a punishment. Now the sin of Adam being the cause of all the sins of his posterity, and they having all souls sent pure from heaven into those bodies, by the sole union to which they instantly become sinful and corrupted, and mostly lie under a necessity of doing evil to their inevitable ruin, it may with much more truth and certainty be said, that such a generation turns God’s blessing into a curse, endangers souls, and increaseth posterity by the loss and ruin of them. Cyril, the successor of Theophilus, in his see, and also in his attempts against this doctrine of Origen, declares in his commentary on those words of St. John, “this is the true light.

1st. That according to his opinion, it would be unjust in God to require of the soul thus united to the body, that it should not sin, it being thereby placed, in verbo: άμαρτιας, in the defilement of sin; which is more sadly the case of the posterity of Adam, lying under a necessity to do evil.

2dly. That (a) then God promising to Abraham that his seed should be multiplied as the stars of heaven, promised him only an ignoble rout of damned persons, and alien from all virtue (as by these men the generality of the seed of Adam are supposed to be) και έκ μακαλων ευλογιας μετοχον στερημα, and not rather a seed partaking of a blessing.

3dly. That (b) according to St. Paul, we are only to be punished or rewarded for what we have done, δια το σωματος, by the body, αλλ’ απειροβετερον της γενεσεως εναλησην ζυγοποιησει, nor will any fault antecedent to the body, be charged upon it; and therefore not the sin of Adam.

4thly. That (c) St. Paul teacheth that death reigned over them who had not sinned after the similitude of Adam’s transgression; which, faith he, cannot be according to the opinion of Origen (much less according to them who say that we all per-

(a) P. 79. (b) P. 83. (c) P. 84.
Finally sinned in Adam; for then where will they be found who have not thus sinned?

Lastly. That Christ by saying concerning the blind man, (d) neither hath this man sinned, nor his fathers, said what is to be interpreted of the time preceding their nativity, as being now regenerant, when being not yet born, they had not sinned; πως γαρ ἐγὼ ἐν οἷς ἐμετατίθητο τοὺς αὐτοὺς; for how can the soul sin that did not exist? (Add, or that soul which had no being when Adam sinned?)

Moreover they condemn Origen's opinion concerning the resurrection, not of the same body, on this score, (e) that it was unjust that one body sinning another should be punished; and must it not be more unjust that one Adam sinning all mankind should be punished? Against his other opinion, (f) St. Jerome thus disputes; if it be an offence to be born of humane bodies, quomodo Isai, Sampson et Johannes Baptista de repromissione nascuntur, how were Isaac, Sampson and John the Baptist born by promise? And if it be no offence to be thus born, it can be no offence to be born of lapsed Adam; if the cause of vice and virtue be not, as he faith, (g) in the seed, but in the will of him that is born. If he could not sin by the body, as Methodius faith, who yet was not; neither could men sin by the soul which yet was not. If, as he faith, they who act intemperately cannot be worthy of reprobation by a just judge, εὐνάχτως ἐξέχων τῆς σαρκὸς ὑποτάσσεσθαι τῷ νόμῳ ἐκ τοῦ θεοῦ, if the flesh could not be subject to the divine law, neither can the sons of Adam, lying under the same difability by reason of the flesh, be subject to reprobation. In a word, (h) Epiphanius truly blames Origen and John of Jerusalem, for laying that the image and similitude of God was lost in man after the expulsion of Adam out of paradise; and yet according to the doctrine of these men this must be a most certain article of faith.

SECTION IV.—Now be it so that St. Austin, to defend himself against himself, renounced in his discourses against the Pelagians most of those things which he had laid in conflagration of the Manichees; yet seeing the things he had then laid were evidently the voice of nature and by his own confession the voice of every man's conscience, and that which learned and unlearned, poets and orators and civilians, heathens and christians did unanimously own; seeing the christian fathers who lived before him, in his time, and after him, and equalled or much excelled him in learning and judgment, said constantly the same things

(d) Ibid. — (e) Hieron. ad Pam. Tom. 2. t. 6. E. — (f) Hieron. ad Pam. Tom. 2. t. 6. t. K. — (g) Ibid. — (h) Apud Hieron. Tom. 2. 57. 58, 59.
and never thought fit to renounce one title of any thing they had thus said, or ever excepted, as he did afterwards, the case of infants, or original sin: it is manifest that his innovations and discord from his former, and better self in this manner, ought not to be regarded in opposition to the constant sense, and the concurring judgment of all these fathers of the church: especially if we consider,

...That he hath been able to say nothing in answer to some of the arguments produced by him in confusion of the Manichees, viz. (§ 6.) To the arguments taken from the divine precepts, the Mosaical precepts, do this and do not that, being given to fallen man, and therefore if it be, as he saith, folly and injustice to lay them upon him who hath no power to do what is commanded or omit what is forbidden, it cannot consist with divine equity, to lay these precepts upon fallen man, had he no power of himself, and no assurance of divine assistance to enable him to do them. Such, adly, is his argument taken from the duty of repentance; for it that testifies that the penitent hath done ill when he might have done well, when was it that the posterity of Adam might have done well before they were his posterity? But then they were not; if after, then if they contracted the guilt of original sin, they had done ill, when they were not able to do well.

...adly. That the exceptions which he makes to some of his own rules, and the answers he attempts to make to some of his own arguments are vain, false and absurd.

Thus when in defence of his definition of sin, that it is the will to do that from which we have the power to abstain: he saith, that he defined that which was only sin, and not that which is also, poena peccati the punishment of sin. He speaks a contradiction to himself, and to the plainest reason, it being evident that what is properly sin, can never be the punishment of sin: for (i) all punishment, faith he, being from God, must be just; et bonum est omne quod est iustum, peccatum ergo quod est poena peccati erit peccatum et bonum et iustum, and whatsoever is just is good; that sin therefore which is the punishment of sin, must be a good and a just sin. Moreover, all punishment inflicted by God, is the action of a just judge, proceeding from his holy will; whereas sin can never be the action of God, or issue from his holy will. By sin all men are worthy of punishment; but no man can deserve punishment for being punished. By punishment some satisfaction is made for sin; but no man can make satisfaction for a past sin by another sin...adly. Whereas he adds, that this penal necessity of sinning consists well with the nature of original sin.

(i) L. Retract. c. 26.
this may be sufficiently confuted from his own words, that (k) the defect which is called sin, if it seized on a man against his will, retributio injusta peena videretur quae peccamentum consequitur, et quae damnatio nuncupatur, the punishment which follows the sin, and is styled damnation, might rightly be esteemed unjust; seeing therefore original sin is a disease necessary, and more inevitable than a fever, and comes upon us before we can will any thing; the punishment and damnation inflicted for it cannot, according to this principle, be just. Lastly, Whereas, he says it is natural and well appointed, ut malum meriti prioris natura frequenti sit, (l) that the ill defect of a former sin should be the nature of the following; this is very absurd from his own principles. For if, as he says, no man is wise, valiant, or temperate with the wisdom, valor or temperance, of another, aut justus justitiæ alterius quisquam efficitur or righteous with the righteousness of another; how comes he to be made willing, with the will of another, or sinful with the sin of another? Especially when he not only adds, that (m) no nature can be corrupted by the vice of another, nullo ad juncto vitio suo, without an addition of a sin of its own; and if it could be so, injuste vituperaretur, it would unjustly be blamed on that account. But proveth this; (n) Because, (n) nemo debet quod non acceptit, ex eo igitur quod non acceptit, nemo reus est, no man owes what he hath not received, and so no person can be guilty for the want of that original righteousness he never did or could receive. 

DISCOURSE V.

CONCERNING THE PERSEVERANCE OF SAINTS.

CHAPTER I.

The State of the Question.

OR the better stating of this question, it will be useful to premise that which is granted on both sides; for by that it will be easy to discern,

1st. That many of those scriptures, which are produced to prove the doctrine of the saints perseverance, do not reach the point; they proving only that they who do thus persevere are preserved by divine assistance, and not that God hath absolutely engaged to afford them that assistance which will unfruitfully preserve them.

2dly. That many of the arguments produced to confirm this doctrine, are inconsistent with the foundations on which alone they ground that doctrine.
SECTION I. Then we own that they who are preferred to salvation, are so preferred (a) by the power of God through faith; and that they who are thus kept are (b) kept by Christ, he alone being able (c) to keep them unblameable; but then we deny that God hath absolutely promised to keep them by his power from making shipwreck of this faith; or that (d) the just man, who lives by faith, shall never draw back to perdition.

ady. We own that God hath engaged his faithfulness, that all who do not wickedly depart from him, shall never be forced from him by the power of any adversaries; for (e) none shall ever be able to pluck them out of his hands, not death itself; for (f) the gates of Hades shall not prevail against them; not persecutions, or the most fiery trials. He who requires us to be faithful to the death, being obliged in equity and honor to enable us with christian patience to bear them; for (g) he is so faithful that he will not suffer us to be tempted above what we (in this fallen state) are able, but will with the temptation (so far) make a way to escape that we may be able to bear it; so that we may triumphantly cry out, (h) who shall separate us from the love of God which is (hewed to us) in, i. e. through Christ Jesus? Shall tribulation, or distress, or persecution, or famine, or nakedness, or peril, or sword? nay in all these things we (who continue in his love) are more than conquerors, through (the assistance vouchsafed by) him that loved us. And after such happy experience of the divine assistance, I am persuaded, faith the Apostle, that neither (fear of) death, nor (hope of) life, nor (evil) angels, nor principalities, nor powers (persecuting us for Christ's sake) nor (the) things (we endure at) present, nor (the) things (we may suffer for the time) to come, nor height (of honor) nor depth (of ignominy) nor any other creature (or thing) shall be able to separate us from the love of God which is (vouchsafed to us) in (and through) Christ Jesus our Lord; but then the fame God requiring them who were come to the city of the living God, the heavenly Jerusalem, and to the general assembly, and church of the first born who are written in heaven to look diligently, with ties, lest any of them fall from the grace of God, and to hold fast that grace by which alone they can serve God acceptably, because our God is (to them who do fall from it) a consuming fire, Heb. xii. 15, 29. and to take heed lest there should be in any of them an evil heart of unbelief in departing from the living God; and that for this realion that

(a) 1 Pet. i. 5. (b) Jud. i. 24. (c) 1 Tim. i. 19. (d) Heb. x. 35, 39. (e) John x. 28, 29. (f) Mat. xvi. 18. (g) 1 Cor. x. 33. (h) Rom. viii. 35, 39.
they could be made partakers of the blessings of Christ only on this condition, that they held fast the beginning of their confidence (or expectation) steadfast to the end, Heb. iii. 12, 14. That they continue rooted and grounded in the faith, and be not removed away from the hope of the gospel, Col. i. 23. Seeing he bids them who were already in grace, and had received (i) like precious faith with them, to beware lest being led away by the error of the wicked, they fall from their own steadfastness, 2 Pet. iii. 17. Hence we conceive we have just reason to deny that God hath from eternity decreed, or absolutely promised to preserve them from falling into those sins which he thus cautions them to avoid, or to perform himself what he requires, as their duty.

9dly. We grant that God hath promised perseverance in the ways of righteousness to the end, to those who constantly and conscientiously use the means by him prescribed for that end; he will present us holy and unblameable and unreprouvable in his sight, if we continue in the faith rooted and settled, and be not moved away from the hope of the gospel, Coloss. i. 22, 23. He hath assured us, that if we cast not away our confidence, but patiently continue to do the will of God, we shall inherit the promises, Heb. x. 35, 36. That if we give all diligence to add to our faith, virtue, knowledge, godliness, patience, temperance, brotherly kindness and charity, we shall never fall, 2 Pet. i. 5, 10. That if we build ourselves up in our holy faith, and pray fervently in the Holy Ghost, we shall keep ourselves in the love of God, Jude xx. 21. That if we hold fast till he come, and keep his works to the end, we shall reign with Christ, Rev. ii. 25, 26, 27. But then we deny that God hath absolutely promised to interpose his power untrustrably to engage all true believers to use these means, and judge these very texts to be so many evidences to the contrary. The adherents of this doctrine hold.

Section 11.—10. That the foundation of this perseverance is the absolute election of those that persevere unto salvation, and consequently to the means which shall untrustfully conclude in their salvation. And this shews the inconsistency of two of their arguments for perseverance, taken from the prayers of the saints that they may persevere, and from the supposed intercession of Christ to the same effect; for, as it cannot be proved, that either Christ intercedes, or the saints pray more for perseverance to the end, than for their preservation from those sins to which experience and scripture shews they are obnoxious to; so it is as absurd to pray or intercede for that which God hath absolutely decreed.

(i) 2 Pet. i. 1.
from all eternity shall come to pass, as to pray and intercede that the world may not be drowned again; or that Christ may come to judgment; or be the judge of the quick and dead; or that the bodies of the saints may arise; or for any other thing which shall infallibly come to pass by virtue of God’s absolute decree from all eternity; it being, upon this supposition, as certain that this absolute decree concerning their perseverance shall come to pass, though Christ did never intercede, or the saints pray it might do so; as that the other decrees now mentioned shall certainly have their effect, with his or our intercession that it may be so.

2dly. They also grant that it is not from the strength of the new nature in them, from the steadiness of the renewed mind, the immutability of the renewed will or affections, that true believers cannot fall away; but purely from the promise of God, that though they are obnoxious in themselves to fall away, he will keep them by his power from falling finally. And hence it is obvious to discern that all the arguments produced in this cause from the nature of true faith, conversion, or the new birth, are insufficient to prove this doctrine; because it is granted that it is not from the nature of this faith, the strength of this conversion, or the immutability of this new birth that they thus persevered; but from the power of God, by virtue of his promise, preferring them from that fall, to which they in themselves are still obnoxious.

When therefore they argue for the perseverance of the saints to the end, from the words of the Psalms, (k) he whose delight is in the law of the Lord, and who meditates in it day and night, his leaf shall not wither. (l) That he who hears Christ’s sayings and doth them, shall be like to a wise man who built his house upon a rock; and so when the wind and the floods came it fell not. From the good ground which (m) brought forth fruit with patience. From St. Paul’s question, (n) how shall we that are dead to sin live any longer therein? And from the words of St. John, (o) this is the victory over the world, even our faith. As all these places are manifestly impertinent, because they only shew the effect of good dispositions remaining with us, or how it will be with the man who always delights in the law of God, who still doth Christ’s commandments, hears the word and keepeth it, as the good ground did; is still dead to sin, and still lives by faith; but not that these good dispositions must be always in us.

3dly. They grant that though true believers cannot fall totally and finally, yet may they fall into drunkenness and inceft, as Noah; to into murder and adultery, as David; into
gros idolatry, as Solomon; into denials of our Lord, with oaths and imprecations, as St. Peter did; and into such horrid sins as render them at present unfit to enter into the kingdom of heaven, and which require a renewal of their faith, and their repentance; and that by the guilt of those sins they stand condemned, till they are renewed by faith and repentance. And this demonstratively shews the fallhood of their arguments from such texts as these; (p) he that is born of God sinneth not, neither can sin; he keepeth himself so that the wicked one toucheth him not. (q) The Lord is faithful, who shall establish you, and keep you from evil.

CHAPTER II.

Containing Arguments from Scripture against the Doctrine of the Perseverance of Saints to the End.

The Scriptures which do expressly assert the possibility that true believers, true penitents, men truly just and righteous may fall away from their righteousness, and die in their iniquity, are, among many others, these following.

1st. (a) When the righteous turneth away from his righteousness and commiteth iniquity, and doth according to all the abominations which the wicked man doth, shall he live? All his righteousness that he hath done shall not be mentioned, in the trespass that he hath trespassed, and in the sin that he hath sinned, in them shall he die. When a righteous man turneth away from his righteousness, and commiteth iniquity and dieth in them, for his iniquity that he hath done shall he die. (b) When I shall say to the righteous he shall surely live, if he trust to his righteousness, and commit iniquity, all his righteousness shall not be remembered, but for his iniquity that he hath com-

(p) 1 John iii. 9. v. 18.—(q) 2 Thess. iii. 3.—(a) Ezek. xviii. 24, 26.—(b) Chap. xxxiii. 13, 18.
mited he shall die. (c) When the righteous turneth away from his righteousness, and committeth iniquity, he shall die thereby. Where, observe,

1st. That God is here ascerting the righteousness of his ways against the murmurings and the repinings of the Jews, that they died for their fathers sins; for that this was the import of their proverb, the fathers have eaten four grapes, and the childrens teeth are set on edge; is evident from God's answer to this proverb, that every one should die for his own iniquity; Jer. xxxi. 29. and to these murmurers, that the soul that sinneth, it shall die; Ezek. xviii. 3. This scence of these murmurers the prophet represents more plainly in these words; Chap. xxxiii. 10. If our iniquities and our sins be upon us, and we pine away in them, how shall we then live? And this shews the vanity of that answer, which some return to this argument: That the prophet here speaks of afflictions and not death; to which may be added, that this answer contradicts the express words of the prophet about twenty times.

2dly. Observe, that the righteous man here spoken of is one truly righteous; for he is one who (d) sinneth not, committeth not iniquity, and turneth not away from his righteousness; one who walketh in God's statutes and keeps his judgments; yea, (e) who walketh in the statutes of life, without committing iniquity; and therefore assuredly is one who is truly and inwardly righteous, and not in outward profession only. For, faith Dr. Prideaux, should he only turn away from his counterfeit and hypocritical righteousness; should he not rather live than die, insomuch as he would put off the wolf to put on the lamb. "To affirm, faith (f) Mr. Thondyl, that the prophet of God speaking in God's name, and of the esceem and reward which God hath for the righteous and unrighteous, speaks only of that which seemeth righteousness and unrighteousness to the world, or which an hypocrite himself thinks such, is such an open scorn to God's word, as cannot be maintained but by taking righteousness to signify unrighteousness, and turning for not turning, but continuing in

(c) Sicut justum ante peccatorum non praegravant antiqua delicta, sic peccatorum qui prius justus fuerit non juvant veteres justitiae. Hieron, in Ezek. 18. F. 196. L. Quibus omnibus demonstratur nec peccatorum fatalem desperare debere si agat penitentiam, nec juvum in sua justitiae confidere si perderit; negligenter quid magno labore quiserit. In C. 33. F. 221. K. 'ης του δικαιου ονημη η πας' ιμω γνωρισθη των αγαθων ὑποστη τη μη ιπτησιν ει τω των αγαθων ιραγατα άλλα δοσι δικας τω βεβαιωμεν αξιας. Theodoret. in Locum.

the wickedness which was at the heart when he professed otherwise.

9dly. The man who is here said to die, is said to die not only for, but (g) in his iniquity, and to be taken away in his iniquity; and so must die not only temporally but eternally. The way which God directs him to, that he may escape this death, is to (h) walk in the statutes of life without committing iniquity; (i) to repent and turn himself from all his iniquity, and make him a new heart, and a new spirit, and then the promise is, that all his transgressions shall not be mentioned, that is, imputed to him; and therefore the life promised to him that doth so, must be life eternal; and consequently the death following on the neglect to do so, must be death eternal. And lastly, the righteous man who turneth away from his righteousness, is one who (k) committed iniquity, and doth according to all the abominations which the wicked man doth; and therefore must be one to whom belongs the portion of the wicked, which is death eternal; that therefore must be the punishment here threatened to him for turning away from his righteousness.

And 4thly. Whereas some take refuge in the supposed conditional proposal of the words which, say they, affect nothing, (l) They fly for refuge to a mere mistake, the words in the original being not if, but besubh, ἐν σῷ ἡμερα ἐστὶ, in the day that he turns away from his righteousness. And again, when I say to the righteous he shall live, veru, and he trust in his righteousness. 9dly. The same form of words is used concerning the wicked turning away from his wickedness; and yet none doubts but the prophet then speaks of what is very possible. And 9dly. Even (l) Dr. Prideaux confutes this answer thus, "though such hypotheticals, as are only made use of for the amplification, or the aggravation of matters, as if I climb up into heaven thou art there, infer not the possibility of the thing; yet such conditional sayings, upon which admonitions, promises and threatenings are built, do at least suppose something in possibility, though by their tenor and form they suppose nothing in being; besides in the case in hand, he that had a mind to deride the prophet, might readily come upon him thus, but a righteous man according to the truth, cannot turn away from his righteousness, therefore your threatening is in vain." Nor can it reasonably be supposed that an allwise God should go about to justify the equity of his ways only by supposing things impossible by virtue of his own decree and promise.

---

(g) Ezek. xxxiii. 8, 9. (b) Ver. 15. (i) Chap. viii. 30, 31.
Section II.—Argument 2.—Adly. This doctrine of the possibility of the final departure of true believers and penitents from the faith, is as fully contained in these words, Heb. vi. 4, 5, 6. It is impossible for them who were once enlightened, N. B. and have tasted of the heavenly gift, and were made partakers of the Holy Ghost, and have tasted the good word of God, and the powers of the world to come, xai παραπεσόντας, and yet fall away, to renew them again to repentance, &c. For, 1/1. That this is spoken of them who were once true believers, is evident, (1/1) From the word πορευεῖτε enlightened; for the same apostle, in the same epistle, and in a place of the same import, speaking to the same persons, faith, that (1/2) after they were enlightened they endured a great fight of afflictions, and took joyfully the spoiling of their goods, knowing they had in heaven a better and more enduring substance. They therefore who were so enlightened as to know they had an inheritance in heaven, and a great recompence of reward, and that they should inherit the promises, if they did not cast away their confidence, but with patience continue to do the will of God, were doubtless sincere christians and true believers. Adly. From the words following, it is impossible to renew them to repentance from dead works, Ver. 1. they therefore had once truly repented; (for I suppose the apostle did not speak of laying again the foundation of an hypocritical repentance, nor did he judge it a thing impossible to produce that in them;) the phrase, it is impossible to renew them again to repentance, seems plainly to imply that they were once truly in that state to which they were to be renewed, and also their loss of it. And so shew how opposite their interpretations are to the sentiments of the ancients, who say all these things may be said of hypocritical professors, the Greek fathers, by the heavenly gift, understood ἀφανισμὸς τῶν ἁμαρτιῶν, the remission of sins conferred in baptism; by the participation of the Holy Ghost, τῶν χάριτων καὶ ματαιώματος τοῦ θεοῦ πνεύματος, the grace, and the participation of the holy spirit, conferred by imposition of hands; and by the powers of the world to come, either ἀγγελικὴ διάσωσις, an angelical life, or τὸν ἀντίκειμα τοῦ πνεύματος, the earnest of the spirit, by which they may obtain the blessings promised, or that faith is the earnest of the world to come. So Chrysostom, Theodoret, Photius, Oecumenius, Haymo and Theophylact in locum.

Adly. That the persons here mentioned must fall totally and finally, is also evident, because the apostle doth pronounce it a thing impossible to renew them to repentance. And Adly. He declares their repentance impossible on this account, that they

(m) Heb. x.
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crucified to themselves afresh the Son of God, and put him to an open shame; i.e. they again declared him worthy of that punishment they had inflicted on him; and, so to them there remained no more sacrifice for sin, but a fearful looking for of judgment. Chap. x. 26, 27.

Section III.—Argument 3.—adly. This also seemeth evident from the place parallel to this, (n) if we sin willfully (by falling off from Christianity) after we have received the knowledge of the truth, there remains (to us) no more sacrifice for sin, but a fearful looking for of judgment and fiery indignation. He that despised Moses’s law died without mercy, &c. Of how much forer punishment suppose ye then shall he be thought worthy, who had trodden under foot the Son of God, and hath accounted the blood of the (new) covenant, wherewith he was sanctified, an unholy thing, and hath done despite to the Spirit of Grace? For,

1st. That the apostle speaks of them who had received the remission of their past sins by faith in the blood of the New Testament shed for the remission of sins, and so of them who had true justifying faith, is evident from these words, that they were sanctified by his blood; for to be sanctified throughout this whole epistle, and more assuredly to be sanctified with the blood of Christ, hath still relation to our justification, or the remission of our sins procured by the blood of Christ, and not to the inward sanctification of our nature by the spirit of Christ; to, v. g. that Christ was consecrated to his office of a priest, to make atonement for our sins by his sufferings, the apostle proves, Chap. ii. 11. because ἀ ἐπικαίρων, he that purgeth away the guilt contracted by our nature, and οἱ ἐπικαιριοί, they who are cleansed from it, are one in nature; see the note there.

So Chap. ix. 13, the blood of bulls and goats, ἐπικαίρων, sanctifies to the purifying of the flesh, i.e. to the cleansing from legal defilements. So Chap. x. 10. ἐπικαίρων ἐπικαίρων, we are sanctified or purged from the guilt of sin by the body, or, as some copies read, by the blood of Christ offered once for all; and Ver. 15. by one offering he hath perfected for ever them that are sanctified; i.e. hath entirely purged them from the guilt of sin; and Chap. xiii. 12. wherefore Jesus, that he might sanctify the people with his own blood, suffered without the camp; where both the blood sanctifying, and ἐπικαίρων ἐπικαίρων, the sacrifice for sin to which it answers; Ver. 11. shew that Christ sanctified the people by the oblation of himself as a sin offering to cleanse them from the guilt of sin.

2dly. That they who so sinned that there remained no more sacrifice for their sin, but only a fearful looking for of judg-

(n) Heb. x. 26 — 29.
ment and fiery indignation, and so as to do despite to the spirit of Grace, by rejecting him as a lying spirit, and his gifts and miracles as illusions, and so were guilty of the sin against the Holy Ghost, fell totally and finally, is so exceeding evident, that I know none who ever ventured to deny it.

Section IV.—Argument 4.—4thly. This is still farther evident from the following words of the same chapter, Ver. 38. now the just shall live by faith, καὶ ἐὰν ὠφσείληκται, but if he draw back, my soul shall have no pleasure in him. Where observe,

1st. That the word ὠφσείληκται signifies to draw back, refuse, and fly from a thing; and so the object of it being here faith, must signify the drawing back of the person spoken of from the faith, or refusing still to profess it, and so as it here follows: must be his drawing back unto perdition; and because this is done usually as here, Ver. 33, 34, out of fear of persecutions, and want of patience to bear them, Ver. 36. Hence it is joined with fear; as Gal. ii. 12. Peter ὠφσείληκται εὐαερός, withdrew himself fearing the circumcision, and often signifies fear, as Deut. i. 17. μὴ ὠφσείληθ, thou shalt not be afraid of the face of man; and because men's cowardly fears make them to hide and conceal their profession, to dissemble and play the hypocrite; hence it also signifies, to (ο') conceal and hide; and by Hesychius and Suidas, is rendered ὠφσηρίεται, δαλευταῖ, he plays the hypocrite, and deals deceitfully; all which, in things which do respect our God and our religion, are pernicious to the soul; especially if we consider that he that draws back stands opposed to him that believeth, Ver. 39. and here to him that liveth by faith, and therefore can have none, or only a dead faith; and lastly, that God here solemnly declares his soul shall have no pleasure in him, and then he must still lie under his sad displeasure. Note,

2ndly. That ἐὰν ὠφσείληκται, if he draws back, refers plainly to the just man who lives by his faith; and in the prophet, to him who is with faith and patience to wait for the accomplishment of the vision; and Ver. 39. δ. ὠφσείληκται, the draw-back stands opposed to him that believes to the salvation of his soul; the words do therefore plainly suppose, that the just man who liveth by that faith, in which if he persisted he would have his soul, may draw back to perdition; and this is also evident from the ensuing words, my soul shall have no pleasure in him; for they do plainly intimate that God took pleasure in him before his drawing back; for other wise this threat would signify nothing, the Lord taking pleasure only in just men, and such as live by faith. Note,

(o) See Suicerus in Verbo.
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glyl. That καὶ τὰς may be rendered not hypothetically, and
if, but, and when the just man draweth back: for that this
is a very common sense of the particle, ἄν, see note on Heb.
iii. 15. But if we read the words hypothetically, the suppo-
sition cannot be of a thing impossible, for then God must be
supposed to speak thus: if the just man do that which I know
it is impossible for him to do, and which I am obliged by
promise to preserve him from doing, my soul shall have no
pleasure in him. Which is to make God seriously to threaten
men for such a sin of which they are not capable, and of which
they are obliged to believe they are not capable, if they be ob-
liged to believe the doctrine of perseverance, and so to make
his threatenings of none effect.

Section V.—Argument 5.—5thly. This may be strongly
argued from these words of the apostle Peter, (a) they allure
through the lusts of the flesh, through much wantonness, (or to
lasciviousness) those who were clean escaped from them that
live in error; for if after they have escaped the pollutions of the
world through the knowledge of the Lord and Savior Jesus
Christ, they are again entangled therein, and overcome, the lat-
ter end is worse with them than the beginning: for it had been
better for them not to have known the way of righteousness,
than after they have known it to turn from the holy command-
ment delivered to them. But it is happened to them according
to the true proverb, the dog is turned to his own vomit again,
and the sow that was washed to her wallowing in the mire.

Where note,

1st. That the apostle speaks in the beginning of this chapter
of some who should bring in damnable heresies, i.e. heresies
which they who embraced should receive damnation: and
these men, faith he, εἰς ἑαυτούς, shall make merchandise
of, or gain over to these damnable heresies (c) some of you,
who have received like precious faith with us. And here he
proceeds to shew how they should do it, viz. by tempting
them to filthy lusts: they therefore who were thus allured,
must have once had like precious faith with the apostles, and
therefore must have been good Christians. Note,

2dly. That these persons whom they thus allured to un-
cleanness, had once, ὅτοι, truly and really escaped from those
who lived, ἐν ῥαμώ, in error, not of judgment, but of deceit-
ful lusts; for so this, ῥαμώ, error, is expounded in the epist-
tle by St. Peter, when he faith, (d) beware lest being led away,
ἀπὸ τῶν ἀθέτηματος, by the deceit of men, praftifing these un-
natural lusts, ye fall from your own steadfastness: plainly sup-

(a) 2 Pet. ii. 18, 20, 21, 22. (b) Ver. 3. (c) Chap. i. 1. (d) Chap. iii. 17.
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Posing that even sedfast christians might thus fall. Now they who had thus escaped, were not any longer in bondage to sin, or overcome by it, Ver. 19. which yet, faith St. Paul; Rom. vii. 19, 23. is the state of every unregenerate person. See the note there.

gdly. The apostle adds, (c) That they had escaped the pollutions which are in the world through lust, by coonversion, by the acknowledgment of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ; i.e. by the acknowledgment of (f) that truth which is after godliness, which they who are laden with sins cannot attain to; which is the consequence of true repentance, and which recovers them (g) from the snare of Satan, who were led captive by him at his will; and so by such an acknowledgment of Christ, as only true christians have, and which is joined with the faith of the elect. Tit. i. 1. Moreover, by virtue of this acknowledgment, they had so far escaped the pollutions of the world through lust as to be disentangled from them, not overcome by them; as is plain from these words, if after they have thus escaped, they be again entangled and overcome; they also had turned to, i.e. obeyed the holy commandment delivered to them; for otherwise they could not afterwards have turned from it. Now evident it is, that neither all, nor any of these things can truly be affirmed of hypocritical professors, who only are in outward show, but never in sincerity of heart, turned from the service of sin, or obedient to the holy commandment.

2dly. That these men after fell away totally and finally, we learn from these words; that they were again allured to wantonness; again entangled and overcome by their polluting lusts; and so again in bondage to them, Ver. 19. That they turned from the holy commandment delivered to them to their former vomit, and wallowing in the mire; and that so fatally, that it had been better for them not to have known the way of righteousness.

Section VI.—Argument 6. All the formentioned texts seem directly to assert this may be done. I proceed secondly to those scriptures which seem as plainly to assert it hath been done, and therefore may be done again. Now of this we have an instance,

1st. In Hymenæus and Alexander, and their associates; which St. Paul, introduceth with a charge to Timothy to hold (i.e. retain) faith and a good conscience, which some having put away concerning faith have made shipwreck; of whom is Hymenæus and Philetus. Now to put away a good conscience, belongs to them alone who once had and ought to have

(e) Tit. i. 1. —(f) 2 Tim. iii. 7. —(g) 2 Tim. ii. 25, 26.
retained it, and to make shipwreck of the faith, so as to blaspheme that doctrine which they once professed, is surely to fall off from the profession of it. Lastly. The faith and that good conscience he charges Timothy to retain, is doubtless a sincere faith, and a good conscience, that (h) unfeigned faith, and that good conscience he then had; by saying therefore that others had laid aside both these, he, in effect, declares that they were totally fallen away, which is sufficient conformation of all their arguments produced from scripture for the doctrine of perseverance; which if they prove any thing, they prove that true saints cannot fall totally, nor can it reasonably be thought that when so many do thus fall away, all of them should return by a sincere repentance.

A second instance is that of Hymenaeus and Philetus, who, faith the apostle, (i) have erred from the truth (περὶ τῆς ἀληθείας ἐσορνήσαν have fallen off from the truth) and overthrown the faith of some, (k) (τὸ περὶ τῆς ἀληθείας τούτον, 1 Tim. vi. 21. is to fall away from the faith, and is another instance of this nature;) for seeing Christians believe to the salvation of their souls, Heb. x. 39. and the end of their faith, is the salvation of their souls, 1 Pet. i. 9. they who do overthrow their faith must overthrow that in them which had it continued, would have ended in their salvation.

Adly. We have just reason to suspect this of many Jews in the church of Galatia; for as the apostle declares, that they had (l) received the spirit through the hearing of faith; that they were all made (m) the sons of God by faith in Christ Jesus, and by baptism had put on Christ, and that (n) because they were sons, God had sent the spirit of his son into their hearts, crying Abba Father; that they once (o) ran well; so doth he marvel that they were (p) so soon removed from him that called them in the grace of Christ to another gospel, by which Christ’s gospel was perverted. He inquires, (q) Who had bewitched them that they should not obey the truth? And how it was (r) that after they had known, or rather were known of God, they returned again to the beggarly elements of the world to which they desired to be in bondage; declaring that he was (s) afraid of them left he had besought upon them labor in vain; and that he (t) travailed in birth with them to renew in them that faith from which they were

(b) 2 Tim. i. 5.—(i) 2 Tim. ii. 18.
(k) So, μὲν ἀληθεία γυναικὸς σοφὸς καὶ ἀγαθής, depart not from a wise and good wife, and ἀγαθὴ δύναμις ἡ προορίζω ζωῆς, is to fall from our hopes and expectations, Occam. in locum.

(i) Chap. iii. 2, 5.—(m) Ver. 26, 27.—(n) Chap. iv. 6.—(o) Chap. v. 7.—(p) Chap. i. 6, 7.—(q) Chap. iii. 1.—(r) Chap. iv. 9.—(f) Ver. 11.—(i) Ver. 19.
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fallen, and to (u) form Christ in them; that they now did not obey the truth. And seeing they now desired to be circumcised, and to be under the law, he plainly tells them that (w) if they were circumcised Christ should profit them nothing; that he was become of none effect to as many of them as sought for justification by the works of the law, they being fallen from grace, and therefore must have been formerly in a state of grace. It is therefore evident that the apostle believed that they who had begun in the spirit might end in the flesh; that they who were made the sons of God by faith in Christ Jesus, might be so changed that Christ should profit them nothing, and be of none effect to them, and that they who were once known of God, might fall from his grace and favor.

To this head also are to be referred the predictions of the scripture concerning persons who should fall away; for being divine predictions they must come to pass, and being predictions of things which were to happen long before our times they must be also instances of what hath come to pass. Now such are,

1st. Christ's declaration that by reason of the extreme affliction of the times, in which Jerusalem was to be destroyed, many should be offended; i.e. should fall off from the faith: And that because iniquity shall abound, the love of many shall wax cold; but he that endureth to the end shall be saved, Mat. xxiv. 12, 13. Where that Christ speaks not of an hypocritical outward profession of affection to him, may be gathered from his styling it not pretence, but love; his supposition that it was fervent love; for what was never hot cannot wax cold; yea such love in which had they continued they would certainly have been saved; and yet he doth not only intimate that some would not continue in that love to the end, but plainly doth foretell that in many would wax cold.

2dly. As our Lord here foretold that there should be then an apostasy of the believers of the Jewish nation; so also did St. Paul speak of the same apostasy, as a thing that was to happen before the coming of the man of sin, 2 Thess. ii. 3 adding, that the spirit said expressly that in the latter times, (the times then instant, Ver. 6.) some should depart from the faith. Now to prevent this apostasy of the believing Jews; the epistle to the Hebrews was manifestly written. And as the excellent Dr. Barrow used to say, that it was written against the doctrine of perfeverance; so is it certain that it containeth many cogent arguments against that doctrine, besides those three produced already from it. As will be evident,
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18. From the manifold exhortations, to hold fast their hope, which gave them ground of rejoicing, Chap. iii. 16. To hold fast their confidence steadfast to the end, Ver. 14. To hold fast their profession, Chap. iv. 14. To hold fast the profession of their faith without wavering, Chap. x. 23. To retain grace whereby to serve God acceptably, Chap. xii. 28. To labor to enter into the rest prepared for the people of God, left any of them (who believing had a present right to it, Chap. iv. 3) as the Jews had to enter into the land of Canaan, whilst they believed in God, and believed his servant Moses, Exod. xiv. 31.) should fail from it after the same example of unbelief, Chap. iv. 11. they being excluded from entering into that land by their following unbelief, Numb. xiv. 11. Chap. iii. 19. To shew the same diligence to the full assurance of hope to the end, and to be followers of them who through faith and patience did inherit the promises, Chap. vi. 11, 12. To consider him who endured such contradiction of sinners, left they be wearied, and faint in their minds; to lift up the hands that hang down, and the feeble knees, and to make straight paths for their feet, lest that which is lame be turned out of the way, Ver. 12, 13. Where we have four agonistical terms, all importing fainting in our christian warfare or race, and giving over the fight as being able to hold out no longer; for καλλίνι is to give over the fight as being weary; εκλύειν is to faint and be dispirited, so that we can run or fight no longer; to have τὰς υπερμενὰς χείρας, hands hanging down is to give over the combat, they stretching out their hands; and to have γονατὰ τὰ παραλεμένα, knees languishing, or paralytic knees, imports the same thing; they fighting in the Olympic games, ὑποσκόρυμα, standing upright, and to make straight paths, is not to turn out of the way of christianity for fear of persecution, and by our example to teach the infirm christian so to do. See the notes there.

2dly. From his frequent cautions to them who believed, to beware left there should be in them an evil heart of unbelief in departing from the living God; or left any of them should be hardened through the deceitfulness of sin, Chap. iii. 11, 12. To look diligently to it lest any of them should fall from the grace of God, lest any root of bitterness should spring up among them by which many should be defiled; lest there should be among them any fornicator or profane person as Esaú, who for one mess of pottage sold his birthright; and, to see to it, that they did not, ἀπορεῖν, turn away from him that spake from heaven.

3dly. From his promises to them that persevered, as in these words, cast not away your confidence which hath great recompense of reward, for you have need of patience, that hav-
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ing done the will of God, you may inherit the promises. Chap. x. 35, 36.

4thly. From his declarations that they only belonged to the household of Christ, if they hold fast their confidence and rejoicing of hope firm to the end, Chap. iii. 6. and could be only made partakers of the blessings Christ had purchased for them, by holding the beginning of their confidence steadfast to the end. Ver. 14.

5thly. From the dreadful threats he pronounces against those who fell away, and drew back to perdition, after they had repented, lived by faith, and been justified through faith in the blood of the new covenant, viz. that it was impossible to renew them to repentance; that there remained no more sacrifice for their sin, and that God would have no farther pleasure in them. Now, for confirmation of this argument, observe.

14. That the apostle here speaks of true believers, even of such who had a present right to enter into rest, Chap. iv. 3. who at present rejoiced in hope, Chap. iii. 6. and had such an expectation of future blessings, which if they held fast they would be partakers of Christ, Ver. 14. That they had freedom of access into the holy of holies through the blood of Jesus, Chap. x. 19. had their hearts sprinkled from an evil conscience, and their bodies washed with pure water, Ver. 22, 23. and a Christian hope, Ver. 24. and knew they had in heaven a better, and a more enduring substance than that which they had lost on earth, Ver. 34. and would receive the promise, and a great recompense of reward, if they did not cast away their confidence, but patiently continued in well doing, Ver. 35. 36. that they were come to that Jerusalem which is above, and the assembly of the first born, whose names were written in heaven, Chap. xii. 22, 23. and so undoubtedly were once true converts and found believers. And yet,

2dly. It is also evident that the apostle supposes that they might not retain this hope, or hold this expectation steadfast to the end, Chap. iii. 6, 14. that they might fail of the rest prepared for the people of God, as the Jews did through unbelief, Chap. iv. 11. that they might depart from the living God, Chap. iii. 19. that they might decline from their hope, Chap. x. 33. and cast away their confidence, Ver. 35. that they might faint under and give over the Christian combat, Chap. xii. 3. might let their hands hang down, and their knees be feeble, Ver. 11. that they might be defiled, and fall from grace; that they might become fornicators, and profane persons, as Esau was, and so might lose their spiritual birthright. Ver. 16, 17. And, lastly, that they might turn away from him,
who spake from heaven to them, Ver. 25, and so unquestionably might fall away both totally and finally.

Section VII.—Argument 3. A third head of arguments against this doctrine is to this effect, that all the commands and exhortations directed by God to the faithful to persevere in well doing, and to continue faithful to the end; and to fear lest they should fall away; all cautions directed to them to take heed they do not do so; all places which contain a supposition that they may do so, and which suspend our future happiness on this condition that we continue steadfast to the end, and promise salvation upon so doing, and which pronounce the most dreadful and abiding threats to them who do not so, are so many pregnant evidences of the possibility of doing so, and are plain indications, that God hath made no absolute decree, or promise, that good men shall not do so; for, as when these motives are used to induce men to embrace christianity, or perform any other christian duty, they contain an evidence that it is possible for men to do otherwise; so also when they are used to induce men to persevere in that profession which they have undertaken, they must necessarily, for the same reason, contain an evidence that it is possible for any man who is induced by them to persevere in the course of a christian, not to persevere. For whereas some think fit to answer that these commands and exhortations, these cautions, promises and threats directed to true believers, are well consistent with God’s absolute decree and promise of their perseverance, as being the means appointed for the accomplishment of that which he hath made necessary by his decree and promise; the falsity of this answer, shall be fully detected under all these heads. At present let it only be observed,

1st. That this is the sentiment of all mankind; for how much soever they vary in other things, they all agree in this, not to exhort men to what they know they never can refuse to do; not to fear they should neglect such things, not to terrify them by threats from neglecting, or allure them by promises to perform them; on the contrary they generally agree, that as a promise, so a threat of what I know to be impossible, is as none at all; that (a) there is no need of exhortations to incite men to what they certainly will do. That a promise must be of some thing which at present is uncertain, and suspended on a condition which may not be performed; and that a command or law obliging us to will and do, must suppose in us a freedom not to will, or to consent to that which is re-

(a) Super vacaneum est enim, in quod imus, impelli; nemo in amore lucti cohortandus est, quem, dum pastitur, trahit. Sen. de Ben. 1. 4. 16, 17.
quired; for he only wills, say the (b) Civilians, and confesses, who hath it in his power to be unwilling and different.

2dly. Observe that God himself approves of this deportment; for though the elect angels are yet under the law of love and obedience, yet are they not exhorted to that obedience, they have no promises to move them to it, nor are they threatened with God's displeasure if they neglect to do it, because, being confirmed in their blessed state, they cannot fail of doing it. Again, our blessed Lord, as he was a prophet, spake as his father gave him commandment, for so all legats must do; as he was a priest he became obedient to the death; but I know of no exhortations directed to him to perform faithfully the office of a priest or prophet; no threats, should he neglect to do so; no promises to encourage him to do that duty of which he could not fail, but only a declaration of that glory which would succeed his sufferings. Lastly, we find no exhortations directed to the evil angels not to tempt God's servants, not to be adversaries to Christ's kingdom; no threats denounced upon their doing so, but only a declaration of their present state, and of their future doom. Now seeing the elect on earth, according to this doctrine, can no more fail of perseverance to the end, than the elect angels, seeing they can no more finally neglect, or be unfaithful in the use of the means which will unfruitfully produce that perseverance, than Christ could fail of faithfully discharging his prophetic and his priestly office, how is it the divine wisdom sees it meet to ply us on earth continually with those exhortations, threats and promises which he saw needful in the cafe, and inconsistent with the state of the elect angels and our blessed Lord? Have we not reason to conceive it is because we have a liberty ad utrumque which they had not, and are at present in a state of trial, whether we will stand or fall, whereas they both were under no such possibility of failing in their duty? And,

3dly. This is evident from the nature of these things, for whatsoever is a means for the producing an effect, or the bringing it to pass, must contain in it nothing repugnant to, but only subordinate to that end; but such exhortations as these, (c) hold fast your profession without wavering; (d) hold till I come, that no man take away thy crown; (e) look diligently that you fall not from the grace of God, (f) lest being led away by the error of the wicked you fall from your own steadfastness, &c. do in their proper nature and tendency import a dan-


(c) Heb. x. 23. — (d) Rev. ii. 25. — (e) Heb. xii. 15. — (f) F. iii. 17.
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 germ, and tend to raise a fear in men, lest what they are cautioned to beware of, should happen to them; whereas an unfruitful decree and absolute promise made known to all believers that they shall persevere to the end, tends to exclude all dangers, and prevent all fear of falling from the grace of God, and therefore must be contrary to the purpose of these exhortations; and so these exhortations can be no means to beget perseverance in them. And since threats are more naturally designed to beget in us a sense of the danger, and an impression of the same fear, which these decrees and promises entirely exclude, these decrees and promises must be repugnant to these threats. Since, lastly, promises tend to excite hope, inflame endeavors, and render us solicitous, let us not fail of the blessing promised; and where we know such absolute decrees are made, and promises engaged to confer the promised blessing, there can be no ground for this solicitude, no need of hoping for that which faith makes certain to us, or of quickening our endeavors after that in which it is impossible we should miscarry; hence also it must follow that these absolute decrees and promises must be repugnant to these conditions of perseverance laid down by way of promise in the holy Scripture, (g) we shall reap if we faint not; (h) if ye continue in the faith, and be not moved away from the hope of the gospel, ye are made partakers of Christ; (i) if ye hold fast your rejoicing in hope, and the beginning of your confidence firm to the end; and so I come to a particular consideration of these respective heads. And,

Section VIII. All commands to persevere and to stand fast in the faith, shew that they to whom they are directed may not stand fast, or persevere unto the end; for, as Suarez well argues, all laws, that is, commands of our superiors, are made concerning actions to be done, or left undone by man as a free agent, who hath potestatem ad utrumque, a power to obey or not; but in commands respecting what it is not possible to leave undone, there can be no such liberty to leave undone, what is commanded, and therefore in such things there can be no law properly commanding that. adly. Laws are attended with the sanctions of a penalty to the transgressor of them, and a reward to the obedient; but where there is no power of obeying, it cannot be our fault that we do not obey, and to our disobedience can delive no punishment, nor can it be rewardable to do that which is simply necessary for us to do. Now such commands are these, (k) watch ye, praying at all times, that ye may be worthy to escape all these things; and

(g) Gal. vi. 9.—(b) Heb. iii. 14.—(i) Coloss. i. 23.—(k) Luke xxi. 36.
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to stand before the son of man. (l) Watch ye, stand fast in the faith, quit yourselves like men. (m) Put on the whole armor of God, that ye may be able to stand against the wiles of the devil. Take to yourselves the whole armor of God, that ye may be able to withstand in the evil day, and having done all to stand. (n) Cast not away your confidence which hath great recompence of reward. (o) But ye beloved, building up yourselves in your most holy faith, praying in the Holy Ghost; keep yourselves in the love of God. To the church of Thyatiira, Christ speaks thus, (p) What thou hast attained to hold fast till I come: To that of Philadelphia, hold fast till I come, that no man take away thy crown. Now do not these things plainly seem to intimate that their salvation depended on their watching, their using the whole armor of God, their steadfastness in the faith, without casting away their confidence, and that they might lose their crown by neglecting to do, and be unable to withstand temptations, or to stand before the son of man?

SECTION IX. — adly. All exhortations to perseverance, or to continue in the faith, the knowledge, or the love of God, must be so many evidences, that they to whom they are directed may not do so; and that he who tenders them to true believers, hath not obliged himself by promise absolute, to preserve them in the faith, or in the love of God. For either they need these exhortations, or they do not; to say they do not need them, is in effect to say that they are needless exhortations, and therefore are unworthy of the wisdom of the Holy Ghost; to say they need them in order to their perseverance, is in effect to grant that they are instrumental to their perseverance, and that without them they might fail of it; for that cannot be needful to that end, without which they shall as assuredly perverere, as if no such exhortations had been offered to them. And seeing exhortations are only moral motives, which we may resist or frustrate, if they be means toward the production of perseverance, it must depend upon such means as we may frustrate and resist, and so it is possible it may not happen. If it be answered, that these exhortations therefore become effectual, because God's Spirit unfrustrably persuades the saints to obey them; this is a plain acknowledgment the exhortation is no means of the saints perseverance; because an action which I can always resist and frustrate, can be no means of an unfrustrable, or irresistible effect, and therefore it must be the operation of the holy Spirit, alone, which doth unfrustrably perform it; and yet the scripture abound.

(1) 1 Cor. xvi. 13. — (m) Eph. vi. 11, 13. — (n) Heb. x. 35. — (o) Jude xx. — (p) Rev. ii. 25.
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To them whom he acknowledges to be the temples of the living God, by virtue of his spirit dwelling in them, St. Paul directs this exhortation, that not to receive the grace of God in vain. He exhorts the Saints at Ephesus, and the faithful in Christ Jesus, who were blessed with all spiritual blessings, and chosen before the foundation of the world through Christ, that they should be holy and blameless in love; to put on the whole armor of God, that they may be able to stand in the evil day, and having done all to stand. He exhorts the Saints at Philippi, in whom God had begun the good work, to hold fast the word of life, and to stand fast in the Lord. To the Saints and faithful brethren who were at Coloss, and had an hope laid up for them in heaven, a fruitful conversation and love in the spirit, he speaks thus: as ye have received Jesus Christ, to walk in him, rooted and built up in him, and established in the faith. Having told the converted Jews that he hoped of them things which accompanied salvation, God being not unrighteous to forget their work, and labor of love which they had shewed to him; he defines them to (y) have the same diligence to the end, to the full assurance of hope, and not to be slothful, but followers of them who through faith and patience did inherit the promises; and to retain grace, by which they might serve God acceptably in reverence and godly fear, because he is to the wicked a consuming fire. To the (z) elect, according to the foreknowledge of God, St. Peter writes thus: be sober, be vigilant, because your adversary the devil, as a roaring lion, walketh about seeking whom he may devour; whom receivest faith in the faith. To them who had obtained like precious faith with the apostles, he faith; add to your faith, virtue, knowledge, godliness, &c. for he that lacketh these things is blind, and hath forgotten that he was purged from his old sins. Wherefore brethren be diligent to make your calling and election sure; for if you do these things you shall never fall. To them whose sins were forgiven, and who had overcome the wicked one, St. John writes thus: love not the world; neither the things of the world; for he that loveth the world, the love of the father is not in him; and the world passeth away, and the lusts thereof, but he that doth the will of God abideth forever. Now do not these exhortations plainly intimate, that Christians may receive the grace of God in vain; that they may render the labors of the ministers of

(q) 2 Cor. vi. 1. — (r) Eph. i. 3, 4, 5. — (s) Chap. vi. 13. — (t) Phil. i. 2. — (u) iv. 1. — (w) Coloss. i. 4, 5, 7. — (x) Chap. ii. 6, 7. — (y) Chap. vi. 9, 12. — (z) Chap. xii. 28, 29. — (a) 1 Pet. i. 1. — (b) 2 Pet. i. 1. — (c) Ver. 5, 10. — (d) 1 John ii. 12, 13, 15, 16, 17.
the gospel vain, by not holding fast the word of life: that Satan may devour them if they be not sober, vigilant and steadfast in the faith; and that their assurance of not falling, depends upon their diligence in the performance of their duty?

Section X.—And 3dly. This will be still more evident from all the exhortations directed to churches and persons to fear lest they should fall away, and finally miscarry; (e) for fear is that passion which raises in us an apprehension of some approaching evil, which may possibly betal us, which is not easily resistible by our strength, and which when it befalls us, will either be very burthenfome or destructive to us. Now it is impossible to fear that evil should be irresistible by our strength, which God hath engaged to support us under, or be conceived destructive to us, from which he stands engaged to exempt us; since it is impossible to fear that his decree should be frustrated, or his promise fail. Even the nature of a religious fear, tends to engage us to use our greatest diligence that we do nothing which may forfeit the divine favor, or render us obnoxious to his just displeasure; and if we are obliged to (p) serve God always in reverence and godly fear; if happy is the man that feareth always; if it be our wisdom to be thus (q) in the fear of the Lord all the day long, then must there be just ground or reason for this fear; and the more inconsistent are these fears with an absolute decree or promise of exemption from the evil feared, the stronger evidences do these exhortations thus to fear, administer against the pretensions of any such decree or promise. Now exhortations and directions of this nature are very frequent in the scripture: Thus, to those were grafted in, and partook of the root and fatness of the olive tree, St. Paul speaketh thus: (r) Be not highminded, but fear lest thou also shouldst be broken off, as the unbelieving Jews now are. For if thou dost not continue in his goodness thou also shalt be broken off; and if God spared not the natural branches, thou haft cause to fear lest he also spare not thee. To them who were at present in a state of favor with God, and in whom God had (s) begun the good work, the apostle directs this exhortation, to work out their salvation with fear and trembling. Now what ground of fear can there be, where God hath absolutely decreed to confer this salvation, and stands obliged by promise, to afford these means which will infallibly produce it? Let us, (t) who have believed, fear, faith the apostle, left a promise being made us of

(e) See Dr. Reynolds of the passions, Chap. 25. (p) Heb. xii. 26.
(q) Prov. xviii. 14. (r) Rom. xi. 20, 21, 22. (s) Philip. i. 6.
(t) Heb. iv. 1, 3.
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entering into rest, any of us should fall short of it. Now seeing no man can fall short of it but by negligence of the means to which God hath annexed this promise; if all true believers have a sure promise from God both of the end, and of the means unintelligibly conducing to it, and it is absurd to fear lest God should be unfaithful to his promise, what ground can any such person have to fear lest he should fall short of the promised rest? To them who had sanctified their souls through the Spirit to obey the truth, St. Peter faith (z) if ye call on him who without respect of persons judgeth every man, pass the time of your sojourning here in fear (viz. of condemnation from this righteous judge;) which fear is surely inconsistent with a promise that they shall never be condemned. Now is it not hence evident that these apostles believed, or at the least knew nothing to the contrary, but that they who at present stood by faith, might afterwards be broken off and not continue in God's goodness? Or that believers who had a conditional promise of entering into rest might yet fall short of it? And hence must it be also evident, that they believed and knew nothing of the doctrine of the saints' perseverance to the end.

Section XI.—But 4thly. The tears of the apostles affrighted by the Spirit of God, left pious persons should miscarry, add yet a farther strength to this argument. For, if they, by the dictate of the holy Spirit, had declared that God had absolutely promised, that men once truly pious, should persevere to the end, how could they reasonably express their fears lest it should be otherwise; i.e. lest God should fail of the performance of his word of promise? And yet the apostle speaks to his Corinthians thus, (a) I am jealous of you with a godly jealousy; for I have espoused you to one husband Christ; but I fear lest as the serpent beguiled Eve by his subtlety, so your minds should be corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ. In the Epistle to the Galatians he declares, that he was (b) afraid of them lest he should have bestowed among them labor in vain. He declares concerning the Thessalonians, that they had (c) received the word with much affection, and yet with joy of the Holy Ghost, and much assurance, not in word only, but in power; that they had (d) shewed the work of faith, and labor of love, and patience of hope in Christ Jesus, and were the elect of God in whom the word wrought effectually; and yet he doth express his fears, lest (e) Satan should have tempted them so far as to render all his labors among them vain. He therefore thought them not secure by the election mentioned Chap. i. 4. from falling so as that his labor among them might have been spent in vain.

(z) 1 Pet. i. 17, 23.—(a) 2 Cor. xi. 1, 2, 3.—(b) Chap. iv. 11. 
—(c) 1 Theff. i. 3, 6.—(d) Chap. ii. 13.—(e) Chap. iii. 5.
5thly. All cautions directed to good christians not to fall away, not to fall from grace, from their own rediuness, to as to loose their reward, are also evidences, and even suppositions that they may do so; and it cannot reaslosly be conceived that the same spirit of wisdom should absolutely declare they could not fall away, and yet be thus concerned to caution them against what he had told them was as impossible as that God should fail of performing his promises. For we have just reason to caution any man against, must be something which may come to, pass, and without his care and diligence to prevent it, will in likelihood come to pass, and when it comes to past, will be very dangerous and hurtful to us. Now such caution Christ gives all his disciples in these words, (f) take heed to yourselves lest at any time your hearts be overcharged with surfeiting and drunkenness, and the cares of this life, and that day (of judgment say most interpreters) come upon you unawares; watch and pray always, that ye may be accounted worthy to escape all these things, and to stand before the Son of man. Now sure this care, vigilance and constant prayer required for this end, shews that without it they were not likely to escape these judgments, and also that they might be subject to these miscarriages which would render them unprovided for that day, and unable to stand before the Son of man.

To the Corinthians, whom the apostle had represented as the temple of God by virtue of his spirit dwelling in them, St. Paul speaks thus; (g) our fathers were once as dear to God as you christians are, yet many of them fell under the displeasure of God, and were overthrown in the wilderness; adding, that these examples should make them careful that they listed not after evil things as they did. Then he proceeds to shew the judgments of God upon them for their idolatry, fornication, unbelief and murmuring; adding, that these things were written for our admonition, that we be not guilty of the like sins, and so fall under the like judgments; and then concludes, let him that thinketh he standeth take heed lest he fall. Where it is observable, 1st. That fornication, idolatry and unbelief, are by the apostle pronounced things inconsistent with a state of grace; for he that doth these things, saith he, hath no inheritance in the kingdom of God, or of Christ. And yet these are the sins be admonishes them to avoid, and to be careful that they be not overtaken with them. 2dly. Observe, that he that thinketh he standeth must comprehend him that truly thinketh so, as well as him who mistakes in judging so; for the apostle speaks to the whole church of Corinth.

(f) Luke xxii. 34, 36. (g) 1 Cor. x. 11, 12.
among whom there were many truly pious. And therefore the apostle plainly supposes that he who truly stood might fall, and would do so if he used not great diligence to keep his standing; for had not this taking heed been the condition of their standing; had they been of the number of those who by God's decree or promise infallibly were assured of standing; this exhortation to take heed must have been superfluous, since men can need no admonitions to do that, which God's decree and promise secures them they cannot omit, much less to do it to prevent what cannot possibly befall them.

To them who holding the beginning of their confidence steadfast to the end, would have been made partakers of salvation by Christ, St. Paul speaks thus; (h) take heed, brethren, lest there be in any of you an evil heart of unbelief, causing you to depart from the living God, and to fall from the rest promised to you; (i) look diligently to it lest any man fall from the grace of God. So that according to St. Paul's doctrine, they who were in a condition of salvation, may apostatize from the living God, misfit of the rest prepared for them, and fall off from the grace and favor of God.

The same apostle declares to his Colossians, that they were translated from the power of Satan into the kingdom of the Son of God; and yet he bids them (k) beware lest any man should beguile them (i.e. seduce them from Christ) with enticing words; and faith to them whose steadfastness in the faith he then beheld with joy, beware lest any man make a prey of you through philosophy and vain deceit, seducing you to walk after the tradition of men, after the rudiments of the world, and not after Christ; (l) let no man beguile you of your reward by seducing you to the worshipping of angels, and so seducing you from Christ your head. So that, in his apprehension, they were still liable to be seduced from Christ, and so to fall of their reward.

To them who had like precious faith with the apostles; St. Peter faith, (m) beware lest being led away by the error of the wicked you fall from your own steadfastness. He therefore did not look upon this as a thing impossibly.

Lastly, St. John faith to the children of the elect lady, (a) walking in the truth, and having the truth dwelling in them, look to yourselves that we lose not the things that we have wrought; but that we may receive a full reward. Whence it doth plainly seem to follow that they who once walked in the truth as they had received a commandment, Ver. 4. and had Christian charity, Ver. 5. might loose those things which they had wrought.

(b) Heb. iii. 12, 13, 14.—(f) Chap. xii. 15.—(k) Coloss. ii. 4, 6. —(l) Ver. 18.—(m) 2 Pet. iii. 17.—(a) 2 Ep. Ver. 2, 8.
Section XII.—Giddly. It seems incongruous to imagine that God should make an absolute promise, that true believers should persevere to the end, and be unfrustrably saved, and yet suspend their happiness and reward on this condition that they do persevere unto the end; for a conditional promise must have these two requisites; that it hath in it a power of suspending the obligations, and that the event expressed in it be yet dubious or uncertain till the condition be performed. Moreover, this is to make his own promise to be performed on his part or by himself, the condition to be performed on their part in order to the obtaining the same end, and to suspend the end upon his own engagement. And yet this, according to this hypothesis, must be done by Christ himself, when after he had said, that (b) because tribulations do abound, the love of many shall wax cold: he adds, but he that endureth to the end shall be saved; and when he said to the church of Smyrna, (c) be thou faithful to the death, and I will give thee a crown of life. This also must be done by the spirit of God, or by St. Paul, assisted by him, when he faith to the Colossians; (d) Christ will present you holy and blameless before him, if you continue in the faith rooted and settled and be not moved away from the hope of the gospel: And to the believing Jews, (e) you are Christ's house, if ye hold fast the confidence, and the rejoicing of hope to the end; you are made (f) partakers of Christ, if you continue the beginning of your confidence steadfast to the end. These words were plainly directed to the Colossians and Jews to deter them from wavering in, and departing from the Christian faith. And is it credible that the apostle should use this argument to deter them from those sins, and yet declare and require them to believe it was impossible they should do those things? And thereby render his own motive wholly inessential? Sure it is impossible to believe that the same divine wisdom should say that God hath absolutely engaged to preserve you from departing from him, or being moved from the hope of the gospel; and yet it highly concerneth you to fear and to take heed lest you depart from him, or should be moved from this hope; that is, it highly doth concern you to fear lest the God of truth should be unfaithful to his promise.

Section XIII.—Lastly. This is apparent from those many threats God hath denounced against those who shall fall away, and not continue steadfast in their faith, and their obedience to the end; for as a promise made on a condition im-

(b) Matth. xxiv. 12, 13.—(c) Rev. ii. 10.—(d) Coloss. i. 23.—(e) Heb. iii. 6.—(f) Ver. 14.
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possible to be performed, say the civilians, is as none at all, so is a threat of that which cannot possibly befall us, because it cannot rationally excite me to dread, and therefore to decline the threatened evil. All rational threats suppose the evil to which the punishment is threatened may be done, and declare such punishments shall follow when it is done. Now of these threatenings, the most dreadful are those which we have already mentioned; that it is impossible to renew them to repentance; that there remains no more sacrifice for their sin; that they draw back to perdition; and that it had been better for them not to have known the way of life, than afterwards to depart from the holy commandment. Our Lord tells his disciples, that (a) if any one abide not in him, he is to be cast out as a withered branch, gathered up, and burnt; where it is observa-

ble, that he speaks of that abiding in him, in which if they continued, he would abide in them, Ver. 4. and they would bring forth much fruit, Ver. 5. He faith to the church of Ephesus, (b) remember whence thou art fallen, and repent; and do thy first works; else I will come unto thee quickly, and remove thy candlestick out of its place, if thou repent not. Now would Christ exhort them to return to those works which were not the works of a true christian? Or could this punishment be inflicted, and no foul peril by it that otherwise might have been saved? To the church of Pergamos he faith, (c) repent, or I will come unto thee quickly, and fight against thee with the sword of my mouth. To the church of Sardis, (d) remember how thou hast received and heard, and hold fast and repent, or I will come unto thee as a thief. Now, that he himself should threaten what his own decree and promise had rendered im-
possible, is very difficult to believe.

To strengthen these arguments, let it be seriously considered how unlikely it is that all these exhortations and commands, these cautions, threats and conditional provisions, should pro-
ceed from the same God who had before made known to the same persons his absolute decree and promise that they should certainly be preferred to the end; and made it one article of that faith, which is the expectation of things hoped for, the evidence of things not seen, that they shall be infallibly thus pre-
ferred: Seeing, according to this doctrine, God must be sup-
poed to speak thus to them.

"I lay upon you strict commands, to hold fast till I come, that no man take your reward from you, and to keep yourselves in the love of God; but know that I have absolutely engaged

(a) John xv. 6.  (b) Rev. ii. 5.  (c) Ver. 16.  (d) Chap. iii. 2, 3.
for your steadfastness and perseverance in my love, and so have rendered it impossible that your reward should be taken from you.

"I frequently, and with some seeming earnestness, exhort you, not to receive the grace of God in vain, to take to yourselfs the whole armour of God, that you may be able to stand in the evil day; and having done all, to stand; to stability in the faith, and diligence in order to the full assurance of hope, that you may make your calling and election sure; but then know it is as sure, and hath been so from all eternity, as my decree can make it: You have of this stability and diligence as full assurance of hope, as the promise of that God who cannot lie, can minister."

"I indeed require you to work out your salvation with fear and trembling, and to pass the time of your sojourning here in fear: And though you do at present stand by faith, and in my favor, to fear lest you should not continue in my goodness, and I should not spare you; yea, to fear lest you should fall short of that rest which I have promised to believers; but then know that all this is fear where no fear is, and in effect to fear lest my absolute decrees should be made frustrate, or my promise fail.

"My Apostles indeed, out of their great affection to you, seem jealous lest you should be corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ, or that their labor should be in vain among you; but since they write thus to you, knowing your election of God, you may be sure their fears proceeded not from their judgment, but affection only.

"I also give you many cautions, lest the tremendous day should come upon you unawares, to take heed lest you fall from my grace, and favor, and fail of your reward; but who shall separate you from the love of God, which hath chosen you to salvation from all eternity, and hath engaged invincibly to confer it on you, since he is faithful that hath promised?"

"I do indeed, in words, suspend your salvation, and your crown of life, on being faithful to the death, and enduring to the end, and on holding your confidence and hope of rejoicing steadfast to the end; but be not troubled or concerned for that, for I require nothing of you but what I stand myself engaged to perform for, and work in you; and so you are as sure of this salvation, as if I had engaged for it without these conditions.

"I, Lastly, have threatened that if true penitents, and men who live by faith, and have obtained remission of their sins, do fall away, there remains no more sacrifice for their sins, nor shall my foul have pleasure in them; but then I stand en-
gaged by my decree and promise that they shall not thus fall away, and so I have secured them from those fears."

Section XIV.—Lastly. This follows from many places, which plainly do suppose, that Saints, or true believers, or men once truly good, may cease to be so. And,

1st. This is supposed in that metaphor in which our Lord compared his disciples to falt, saying, (e) ye are the falt of the world, but if the falt hath lost its favor, wherewith shall it be falted? It is thenceforth good for nothing but to be cast out, and trodden under foot of men; for sure good falt must signify good men, the falt of the earth, such men as by the purity of their doctrine, and by the favor of their good conversation, are to purify the world from that corruption in which it lies: Nor can this falt lose its favor, and become good for nothing, but by ceasing to be good falt, and unfit for these uses any longer.

2dly. Such also is the similitude in which our Lord faith, that (f) as a piece of new cloth is not to be put to an old garment, lest the rent be made worse; nor new wine into old bottles, lest the bottles burst; so his young disciples must not pretendly be put upon severe duties, lest they should be discouraged, and fall off from him. Such,

3dly. Is that condemnation against them who shall offend one of Christ's little ones believing in him, viz. that (g) it were better for him that a millstone were hanged about his neck, and he cast into the midst of the sea; where that to offend one of those little ones, is to occasion his ruin, and falling off from the faith by the scandal which we lay before him, hath been proved in the note there, from the import of the words σκανδάλι ζησθαι, and is also evident from the words (h) it is not the will of my heavenly Father, that one of these little ones should perish. False therefore is it, that they who truly do believe in Christ, and are of the number of those whom God would not have to perish, cannot be so offended as to fall off from the faith to their ruin; and were this so, wherein lies the force of this pathetical discourse? And why are such dreadful woes and punishments denounced, to deter men from doing not only what is in itself impossible, but that which they also who are thus threatened, must believe to be so?

4thly. Suitable to this are these expressions, for meats destroy not the work of God, Rom. xiv. 20. that is, the christian convert, 1 Cor. iii. 9, and so through thy knowledge shall thy weak brother perish for whom Christ died, 1 Cor. viii. 9, 11. For if Christ died only for the elect, and God hath promised

they shall never perish, and if he that hath begun a good work in Christians will always perfect it; if the apostle knew and taught this doctrine to them, why doth he go about to fright them from this destructive scandal, by telling them it might have that effect which he before had told them was impossible? For he that faith such persons cannot actually perish, faith in effect, there can be no reason to abstain from scandalizing them, lest they should perish.

5thly. Such, Lastly, are those words of the Psalmist. (i) the rod of the wicked shall not always rest upon the back of the righteous, lest the righteous put forth their hands unto iniquity; for these words seem plainly to intimate, that great and long oppressions might have this effect upon them; and surely that which God is thus careful to prevent, might possibly befall the righteous, there being no need of care to prevent that which he absolutely hath engaged to preserve them from.

CHAPTER III.

Containing an Answer to those Texts of Scripture which are produced to prove, that true Saints cannot fall finally from Grace, but will assuredly persevere to the End.

HAVING thus proved the possibility, that persons truly regenerate may fall from grace, and so eternally miscarry; I proceed to answer the objections offered to the contrary, from Scripture, and from reason.

Some of the arguments produced from Scripture need very little answer, as being wholly alien from the purpose. As, e. g.

That passage of St. John, (k) Christ having loved his own, which were in the world, he loved them to the end. For

(i) Psal. cxxv. 3. — (k) John xiii. 1.
these words only signify, that he loved them to the close of his life, and shewed this affection to them by washing their feet when he was to leave them. This passage therefore can afford no argument to prove that the regenerate cannot fall away, because Christ speaks not of them whom he had chosen to eternal life, but of them only whom he had chosen to be his apostles, Chap. xv. 19. not of his love of them to the end of their lives, but of his own life on earth.

2dly. Of like impertinency is that other passage, (1) those whom thou hast given me have I kept, and none of them is lost, but the son of perdition. For (2d.) That this was spoken only of the twelve apostles is evident from the whole context, and so there is no reason to extend it to all true believers. (3dly.) The very next chapter shews that this was spoken of their preservation from temporal death; Christ questioning that his disciples might be permitted to go away when he was apprehended, that this saying of his might be fulfilled, John xviii. 8. And (3dly.) This passage taken in the sense of the objectors, is rather an argument that some of them who were given by God to Christ may perish, because it is affirmed that one of them who were thus given to Christ did so. Such, 3dly. Is that passage cited from Rom. xi. 29. that the gifts and callings of God are without repentance; this being evidently spoken of those Jews who were then hardened, given up to a spiritual slumber, broken off from their own olive tree, and in that state of infidelity in which they have continued almost 1700 years, and only intimates that God will in his good time receive them again into his favor.

The arguments which seem to have a greater force in them, are taken either from those scriptures which seem plainly, or by just consequence, to assert this doctrine, or else to promise this perseverance of the Saints.

Section 1.—Argument 1. And (1st.) If the elect cannot be seduced, or deceived, they cannot fall away; but that they cannot be thus deceived, Christ plainly seems to intimate in these words, (m) false Christs and false prophets shall arise, who shall deceive, if it were possible, the very elect. But that this text proves not that the elect can never fall away, is evident,

Answer 1.—(1st.) Because Christ so solemnly exhorts them to use the greatest caution, that they be not seduced by these men, saying, Ver. 4, 5. Let no man deceive you, for many shall come in my name, saying, I am Christ and shall deceive many; and Ver. 23. If they say here, and there is Christ, believe them not; for many false Christs, and false prophets shall arise to

(i) John xvii. 12.—(m) Matth. xxiv. 24.
deceive the elect; look ye therefore to it, behold I have foretold you all things, Mark xiii. 22, 23. Now is it suitable to the wisdom of our Lord to exhort his disciples to use so great diligence and caution, lest they should be deceived by those men, and at the same time to assure them it was utterly impossible they should be deceived? Moreover (I ask) to whom doth he direct this exhortation to the elect? Then must he do it to them who could not possibly be deceived, and so his words must bear this uncouth sense, be very careful, lest ye be deceived by these men, for I assure you, it is absolutely impossible they should deceive you. Or was he thus concerned only for reprobates? And why then did he decree they should be from all eternity, and never should have grace effectual, or sufficient to preserve them from the wiles of Satan?

adly. In the same chapter, our Lord exhorts them to see to it, to (n) watch and pray, lest the day of affliction, the hour of temptation, coming suddenly upon them, should find them sleeping; and lest their hearts should be overcharged with surfeits and drunkenness, and that day should come upon them unawares; to watch and pray that they may be counted worthy to escape all these things. Now are these suppositions which can agree to men who cannot possibly be found sleeping in this spiritual sense, on whom the day of Christ cannot come thus unprepared, and who never can be unworthy to stand before the Son of man? Or were not his apostles to whom he speaks these things of the number of the elect? Can there be any need of such vehement exhortations to constant prayer and vigilance, heed and circumspection, to prevent what could not possibly befall them? Or was our Lord thus concerned only to exhort them who he well knew could never possibly avoid these things?

adly. Christ here declares, that by reason of the extreme affliction of those times many should be offended; that is, should fall off from the faith. See the note on Math. xviii. 6. and adds, that because iniquity shall abound the love of many shall wax cold, but he that endureth to the end shall be saved, Ver. 12, 13. where, that Christ speaks not only of an hypocritical outward affection to him, may be gathered from his flying it not pretence, but love; his supposition that it was fervent love, for what was never hot, cannot wax cold; yea, such love in which had they endured, they would have certainly been saved; and yet he doth not only intimate, that some would not continue in this love to the end, but plainly doth foretell, that it in many would wax cold. To answer

(*) Mark xiii. 33, 35, 36.—Luke xxii. 34, 36.
therefore directly to the argument urged from this text, I say,

Answer 2.—18. That the phrase εἰ δύνατον, if it be possible, if it may be, doth not denote an absolute impossibility, but only a great difficulty in the performance of an act possible. So Αχαὶς ζευ. 16. Paul hastened, εἰ δύνατον δεῦτε, if it were possible for him, to be at Jerusalem before Pentecost; and yet sure he made not all this haste to do what was impossible. The apostle commands us, εἰ δύνατον, if it be possible, as much as in us lieth, to have peace with all men, Rom. xii. 18. and yet doth not exhort us to use our utmost endeavors to do what was impossible. Εἰ δύνατον, if it be possible, faith Christ, let this cup pass from me, Matth. xxvi. 39. and yet he adds, all things are possible to thee, O Father, Mark xiv. 35, 36. And hence the phrase is changed by St. Luke into εἰ θελεῖς, if thou willest. Now that the deceiving of christians in those times of miraculous endowments was very difficult, is evident from that speech of Galen concerning a thing hardly feasible, διότι τις ἄνδρα Χριστὸς μεταδίδει, sooner may a christian be turned from Christ.

2dly. This phrase imports not what the event would be upon the elect, but the vehemency of the endeavors of seducers, that they would do the utmost they could to seduce the christian from his steadfastness; and this is evident from the words of St. Mark, (a) they will shew signs and wonders, μόροι ἐξουσίων εἰ δύνατον, to deceive, if they are able, the elect. Now to say such a one will do you a mischief if he can, is surely no security that he will do you none. And,

3dly. Should this phrase respect the event, it may do it not absolutely, but only with relation to the means here mentioned; i.e. they shall shew signs and wonders which shall prevail to seduce Jews, Samaritans and Heathens and even christians, were it possible for impostors by lying signs and wonders, to deceive them who are invested with a power of working greater signs and wonders by the assistance of the holy ghost, and will attend to the things wrought among them, or by them.

Section II.—Objection 2. It is (b) the will of the father, that every one that believeth in Christ, and is given to him by God, should not perish, but have everlasting life: But this he cannot have, unless he persevere to the end; therefore every true believer shall thus persevere.

Answer. Now in answer to this, and to all other promises, or declarations of like nature, which engage that God will give eternal life to the believer, I say that nothing is more certain than that they are only to be understood of such a faith as

(a) Mark xiii. 22.—(b) John vi. 39, 40.
doth endure to the end, and so includeth perseverance in it. Matth. xxiv. 13. For either these promises are made to temporary believers, which is disowned in the objection, and is contrary to the tenor of the scripture, and makes the promises of eternal life, and the threats of perdition belong to the same persons; or else they belong only to such as do continue in the faith, and then it is demonstratively evident that perseverance is included in them, and therefore cannot be proved from them without begging the question.

Reply. If it be said that the promise of eternal life being made to the believer, argues that they who fall away were never true believers;

Answer. To this I answer, (15.) That they are as expressly styled true believers as others are. The little ones who are supposed such as may be offended, and perish, are those that believe in Christ, Matth. xviii. 6, 14. Those represented by the frowzy ground are such as for a while believe and their only fault is, that afterwards they are offended, and fall away from this faith. So the weak in faith who may perish through the scanda of the strong, have a true, though a weak faith; such a one is Christ’s servant, Rom. xiv. 14. one for whom Christ died, Ver. xv. 1 Cor. viii. 11, and his faith is the work of God, Rom. xiv. 20. among the Samaritans many believed in Christ John iv. 39, 42, and yet they all fell off from him to Simon Magus, Acts viii. 10. and many of those Myriads of Jews that believed, Acts xxii. 20. fell away afterwards, as hath been proved already. Now what ground hath any man to say, that the word believers doth not bear the same sense, when it is used of such persons, as when it is used of those who do not fall away? That they believe only for a time, that they are not fledfaft and rooted in the faith, who are after moved away from the hope of the gospel, is said by the spirit of God; but that they did not truly believe as well as others, is never said.

2dly. That this faith, as to its kind, is true, is evident from this consideration, that Christ and his apostles require such persons not to change it, but only to continue in it; not to believe with a faith, true and real as to kind, but to be fledfaft in the faith they had already. Thus when the Jews believed in Christ, Jesus said to those who believed on him, if you continue in my word, then are ye my disciples indeed, John viii. 30. i. e. such disciples on whom I shall confer the life and blessed resurrection promised, Chap. vi. and Paul and Barnabas exhort the believers at Lystra, Iconium and Antioch, to continue in the faith.

3dly. This answer thwarts those numerous texts of scripture which suspend the benefits promised to believers on their con-
xiinance in the faith (it being certain that no such benefits can
belong to them which continue in a false faith) as, v. g. Ye
shall be presented blameless, (b) if ye continue in the faith root-
ed and grounded, and be not moved away from the hope of the
gospel. (c) They shall be saved if they continue in faith. (d)
Ye are Christ's house, if ye hold your rejoicing of hope firm to
the end. (e) Ye are made partakers of Christ, if ye hold the
beginning of your confidence steadfast to the end. (f) If that
which ye have heard from the beginning, abide in you, ye shall
continue in the Son, and in the Father; thou standest by faith,
if thou continue in his goodness. And,

4thly. It enervates all the exhortations of the Holy Spirit
to hope to the end, 1 Pet. i. 13. to be steadfast in the faith, i
Cor. xv. 58. 1 Pet. v. 9. and to take heed that we fall not from
our steadfastness, 2 Pet. iii. 17. and all the declarations of the
scripture: That (g) we must be faithful to the death, that we
may inherit eternal life; (h) we must endure to the end, that
we may be saved; and that (i) if the just man who lives by
faith draw back, God's soul will have no pleasure in him; for
if he that hath true faith and hope must believe and hope to
the end, he cannot fall from his steadfastness, and so these ex-
hortations must be needless; the supposition that he may draw
back to perdition must be false; and he that is once faithful
must be so unto the death. In a word, the only distinction
betwixt a living and dead faith is this, that the first is fruitful
in good works, the second is faith without works; so that as
long as faith is attended with the good works required of the
faithful, the man lives by faith; and when it ceaseth to do so,
his faith is dead. Nor is there any other difference betwixt
temporary and saving faith but this, that the temporary be-
liever is (k) moved, and falls away from his faith and hope,
and (l) holds not the beginning of his confidence firm to the end,
as the other doth, as is demonstrable from this, that the only
fault of the man that believes only for a time is this, that he
after falls away, and when persecution or temptations do a-
rise, he is offended; and the only thing that renders the faith
of the other faying, is, that he perseveres in it to the death.

Section III.—Objection 3.—3dly. They argue thus, the
Lord hath not cast off his people whom he foreknew, Rom.
xi. 2. whereas they who persevere not to the end will be cast
off by him; they therefore whom he foreknows will perse-
vvere.

Answer. That this text cannot relate to any foreknowledge
God hath of his elect from all eternity, but only to his fore-

(b) Col. i. 23. — (c) 1 Tim. ii. 15. — (d) Heb. iii. 6, 14. — (e)
1 John ii. 24. — (f) Rom. xi. 21, 22. — (g) Rev. ii. 10. — (b) Mat.
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Knowledge and choice of the Jewish nation before any other nations of the world, and only signifies that God had not entirely cast off his people Israel. Now to confirm this interpretation, observe,

1/4. That there be two questions handled in this chapter; the first is this, Hath God cast off his people? To which the answer given to the 10th verse, is this, God hath not entirely cast them off, he having among them a remnant of believers; as in the great apostasy from him to Baal, he had a remnant who bowed not the knee to him. The second question is this, Are the obdurate Jews so fallen as that they shall never rise again? Is that nation to call off as never to be received again to favor? And to this also he answers in the negative, from the 11th verse to the end of that chapter. Observe,

62. That of the people of Israel, Moses speaks thus, thou art an holy people to the Lord, the Lord thy God, who only hast chosen thee to be a peculiar people to himself, 160 before all the people that are on the face of the earth, Deut. viii. 6. x. 16. now to be chosen, and to be known of God, are the same thing in scripture, and therefore the phrase is elsewhere varied thus, you have I known, Michol, before all the families of the earth, Amos iii. 2. And these words of Hosea, Chap. xi. 12. Judah yet ruleth with the Lord, and is faithful with the Saints, are by the Septuagint thus rendered, καὶ ίσχυρόν χρήμαν ὃ θεός καὶ ὁ λαὸς ἡμῶν κρατήσεται τῷ θεῷ, as for Judah now God hath known them, and he shall be called the holy people of God: So that the people whom he foreknew, may be here only a Periphrasis of the Jewish nation, as will be evident from this consideration that these words, ἐκ ἀπωθετο ο θεός τον θεόν αυτόν, the Lord hath not cast off his people, are plainly cited from Psal. xciv. 14. xcv. 3. in both which places they are spoken of the whole Jewish nation, and therefore it is reasonable to conceive that the words added to them by the apostle must relate to the same people, especially if we consider that the whole argument of the apostle here confirms this interpretation; for (1/4.) He proves that God had not cast off his people utterly, and without exception, because he was an Israelite. (62.) He confirms this from what the Scripture faith in the history of Elias, complaining of a revolt so general, that he only seemed to be left among them who adhered to him; and receiving this answer from God, that they were not all revolted from God as he supposed, he having reserved seven persons who had not bowed the knee to Baal, and thence concluding, that so it was now with the same people, there being now a remnant of them that believed according to that election of grace, which hath chosen them to be members of the church of Christ. And(63.) The election, faith he,
obtained, but the rest were blinded, Ver. 7. Who sees not
now that all this is spoken of God’s people Israel, and conse-
quently that the people whom he foreknew must signify that
very people?

Section IV.—Objection 4. Whom God justifies, them he
also glorifies; Rom. viii. 29. And therefore they who are once
justified can never fall from grace, because they cannot fail of
glory; and because nothing can separate them from the love
of God which is in Christ Jesus, Ver. 35.

Answer 1. To this argument I have returned one satisfac-
tory answer when I disfraced of this text under the head of (a)
election, viz. that all the sufferings of those who loved God,
and were called according to his purpose of making them sons
of God, and joint heirs with Christ, shall work together for
their good, Acts viii. 39, for whom he thus foreknew, he pre-
destinated to be conformed to the image of his Son, i.e. to be
like him in sufferings, ver. 17. 2 Tim. ii. 11. who suffered first,
then entered into his glory, leaving them an example that they
should follow his spirit. 1, Pet. ii. 21. whom he thus fore-
appointed to sufferings, 1 Thess. iii. 3. he in due times called
to suffer; and whom he thus called, upon their faith and pa-
tience under their sufferings, for his sake, he justified, i.e. he
approved of them as faithful servants to their Lord, and after-
ward gave them a glorious reward of all their sufferings, they
having through faith and patience, inherited the promises, Heb.
vi. 12. or he made them glorious under sufferings by the spir-
it of glory and of God reposing on them, and rendering them
happy sufferers, 1 Pet. iv. 14. This interpretation I have
there confirmed, and if it stand good, it affords a double an-
swer to this argument, viz. that to be justified here, doth not
import to have their past sins pardoned, but to be approved of
God as patient sufferers, (a) That upon their being faith-
ful to the death, he hath given them the crown of life.

Answer 2. adly. The word glorified, he hath glorified them,
upon the sense of which the whole strength of this argument
depends) is by the fathers thus interpreted, he hath made them
glorious, by giving them his holy Spirit, to enable them to work
the greatest miracles, and to endow them with spiritual gifts,
and all those Christian virtues which are the fruits of the spir-
it, and to be to them an earnest and confirmation of that future
glory he hath promised to them; and according to this sense of
the word, it is evident that this argument is of no force, as
being wholly built upon a false sense of this word.

Now according to this interpretation the import of these
words is this, all things shall work together for good to them

(a) Answer to Argument 2.
that they love God, to the called according to his purpose of bringing sons to glory by Christ Jesus, &c. for whom he hath thus foreknown, i.e. hath chosen for his church and people now, as he did the Jews of old, them he predestinated or foreappointed to be conformed to the image of his Son, their elder brother, that is to be sons of God, and joint heirs with Christ; and the method he used to bring them to this adoption was this, (1st.) To call them to the faith of Christ; (2dly. To justify them upon their cordial embracing of this faith from their past sins, so that there might be no condemnation to them being in Christ Jesus, Rom. viii. 1. and 3dly. To render them a glorious people by the holy spirit given to them, because they are sons, as an earnest of their future glory. To confirm this interpretation let it be noted,

1st. That the connective particle, ἐπεράστη, ver. 29. signifies that the words following are introduced as a proof of the preceding words, all things shall work together for good to them that love God, that are called according to his purpose; for whom he foreknew. Note,

2dly. That this whole proof refers not to any thing yet to come relating to the persons called according to his purpose, but to the time past, and what hath been done for them already; for the words are whom he hath foreknown, he hath predestinated, he hath called, he hath glorified. Note,

3dly. That, ἐπεράστη, whom he hath foreknown, doth not relate to God's knowledge of these persons from all eternity, but to his affectionate knowledge of Christians as his church, his chosen generation, his peculiar people, 1 Pet. ii. 9. as the Jews are styled, Chap. xi. 2. See the answer to the foregoing objection. These who are thus converted and become his peculiar people before, and above other nations, are said to be known of God in a peculiar manner, and with an affectionate knowledge; as in these words, 1 Cor. viii. 3. If any man love God, he is known of God. Gal. iv. 8. But now that ye know God, or rather are known of God 2 Tim. ii. 19. The Lord knoweth who are his. These things being thus noted, I conceive the sense of the whole to be this; all these afflicting things shall work together for good to them that are called according to his purpose; for those whom he hath so foreknown, as to make them, γενόσε ἐκλεκτος, his elect, and his peculiar people before others; for them he hath designed the choicest blessings, even the adoption of sons, and their being cobeirs with Christ, and in order to this it is that he hath chosen them out of the world to be his church, an holy nation, and a peculiar people to himself; and hath justified them, or given them a full remission of their sins, and hath already made them glorious by causing the spirit of glory and of God to rest upon them.
he hath made them all glorious within by adorning them with
the fruits of the holy spirit, he hath made them glorious in the
light of the world, by giving them those gifts and powers of
the Holy Ghost which cause men highly to esteem of them;
and to glorify God in their behalf, he hath hereby conformed
them already in a great measure to the image of his son; for
they with open face as in a glass, beholding the glory of the
Lord, are changed into the same image with him, from his glory
to glory derived upon them by the spirit of the Lord, 2 Cor.
iii. 18.

To proceed to answer the second part of the objection from
those words, who shall separate us from the love of Christ, or
the love of God in Christ Jesus?

Note 18. That this inquiry is not who shall separate us
from the love with which we love God or Christ; but who
shall separate us who love God, and testify that love by keep-
ing his commandments, John xv. 10. from his affection to-
wards us. The apostle therefore only intimates that such per-
sons continuing in the love of God, shall be preferred by him
from the temptations here mentioned, and supported by his
grace and spirit, as to be able to bear them; but he doth not
say the love of no Christian shall wax cold, Matth. xxiv. 12:
That none of them shall lose his first love, Rev. ii. 6. Were
there no cause to fear this, why doth Christ exhort his disci-
pies to abide in his love, John xv. 9. and his apostles exhort
others to keep themselves in the love of God, Jud. xxi. to look
diligently to it that they fall not from the grace and favor of
God, Heb. xii. 15. and to continue in the grace of God, Acts
xiii. 43.

ady. I answer that the apostle doth not say that nothing can
separate true believers from the love of God or Christ; but
only declares his persuasion that nothing would do it, or that
they had no cause to fear these things, or to be shaken from
their steadfastness in expectation of those inestimable blessings
God had promised to, and Christ had purchased for them, by
any of these tribulations, these light afflictions being not wor-
thy to be compared with the glory that shall be revealed, Ver.
18. And they having good ground to hope that all the evils
they shall bear shall conduce to their good, that Christ will still
be ready to support them under them by his power, and to
help their infirmities by his spirit, and at last give them the
glory prepared for the sons of God; he might well persuade
himself they should not separate them from the love of God.
The apostle therefore doth not by these words intend to teach
believers that they could not be shaken by any of these things;
for that would have contradicted the drift of all his epistles, in
which he doth so oft express his fears lest they should be shak-
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en with them, and so far tempted by them as to be moved away from the hope of the gospel, and render all his labor vain, and offers so many arguments and motives to prevent this effect of these temptations; but only doth intend to say, that from these considerations, they had so great inducements to persevere and continue steadfast in the love of God, as gave him a strong ground of persuasion that they would do so.

Section V.—Objection 5. If true believers have that spirit of God who seals them up to the day of redemption, Eph. iv. 30. and is the earnest in their hearts of the inheritance of life, 2 Cor. i. 21, 22. Eph. i. 13. then all who are once the sons of God, and therefore have the spirit of God dwelling in them, must be assured that they shall enjoy this inheritance; but true believers have this spirit of God; for because they are sons, God hath sent the spirit of his son into their hearts, Gal. iv. 6.

Answer 1. That these Metaphors neither do, nor can signify that they who have once the spirit can never lose him, or cause him to depart from them, is evident from these considerations.

1st. That they who have been the temples of God, by virtue of his spirit dwelling in them, may so corrupt this temple as to be themselves destroyed as is demonstrable from the words of St. Paul to the Corinthians, (b) know ye not that ye are the temple of God, and that the spirit of God dwelleth in you? If any man defile the temple of God, him will God destroy; for the temple of the Lord is holy, which temple ye are. See the note there. He adds, that they whose bodies are (c) the members of Christ, and who are one spirit with him, may make these bodies the member of an harlot, and may defile that body with fornication, which is the temple of the Holy Ghost, and so may deprive themselves of their interest in Christ’s kingdom; for (d) no fornicator shall inherit the kingdom of God. So certain is that of Hilary, that membra adhaerentia meretrici, defunt et membra Christi, they who are guilty of fornication, cease to be the members of Christ, and so agreeable to all (e) antiquity, who generally teach that God dwelling in us by his spirit may be provoked to quit his habitation; and that he whose body is the temple of the Lord by virtue of his spirit

(b) 1 Cor. iii. 16, 17. — (c) Chap. vi. 15, 19. — (d) Ver. 9, 10.

(c) Cum omnes Templum Dei, illato in nos et consecrato Spiritu Sancto, ejus Templi edixit et Antifita pudicitia eft, quae nihil im- mundum, nec profanum inferri finat, ne Deus ille qui inhabitat in- quinatam fedem offensus dereliquit. Territ. de cultu fam. l. 2. c. 1.

(d) Epeotho ângou iâw o râv ângou iâv to îwâvâvta, kâv nûâvta ëwâv ëwâv râv ëwâv. Occum. in locum. Tonçwou o pôvou o ëwâvta ëwâv ëwâv to îwâvâvta ëwâv o pôvou râv ëwâv to îwâvâvta ëwâv ângou iâw. Occum. in locum.

(e) Theoph. in locum.
dwelling in him, may defile that temple to his own ruin, and cause the holy spirit to depart from it.

And, similarly, this is farther evident from the apostle's fears that Satan might so far have tempted his Thessalonians as to render (f) all his labor vain among them; for he acknowledges that the Thessalonians had (g) received the word with much affliction, and yet with the joy of the Holy Ghost; that they received it not in word only, but in power, and in the Holy Ghost, and in much assurance; that they had shewed (h) the work of faith, and labor of love, and patience of hope in Christ Jesus, and were the elect of God in whom the word wrought effectually. They therefore had assuredly received the spirit of God, and yet he fears they might so fall away as to render his labor among them vain, and therefore to as to cause this holy spirit to depart from them. And,

3dly. This is farther evident from the exhortations in these epistles, directed to those men who are said to have this seal, and earnest of the holy spirit; for to the Corinthians thus sealed the apostle sends this exhortation, (i) I beseech you that you do not receive the grace of God in vain, plainly supposing that this might be done; He adds, that he was (j) jealous over them, lest having espoused them to one husband, Christ, their minds should be corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ; and doth express his fears lest he should (k) bewail many who had sinned already by uncleanness, fornication and lasciviousness; i.e. by sins which did corrupt the temple of God, which made the members of Christ the members of an harlot, and excluded them from the kingdom of God and had not repented of those sins.

Again in that epistle to the Ephesians, in which the apostle faith, they were sealed with the spirit of promise, and made an habitation of God through the spirit; he exhorts them to avoid all fornication, uncleanness and covetousness, as knowing that no fornicator, unclean or covetous person hath any inheritance in the kingdom of Christ, and of God; and therefore no such person can have the spirit of God abiding in him. (l)

Let no man, saith he, deceive you with vain words; for because of these things cometh the wrath of God upon the children of disobedience. Now if this sealing, this earnest of the spirit, had absolutely secured them from these sins, and from the wrath of God, due to as many as are guilty of them; why is he so concerned to deter them from them? And to exhort them not to be so deceived as to fall under this wrath? Such cautions naturally tending to express the danger men lie under.
of the judgment threatened. Yea, why doth he desire that
(m) they faint not at his tribulations, and exhort them to
(n) put on the whole armor of God that they may be able to
withstand (the temptations which might beset them) in the evil
day, and having done all to stand, if he thought them abso-
lutely secure from fainting or falling in that day?

Answer 2. These expressions therefore cannot be designed
to teach us that they who have once received the holy spirit
cannot quench him, or grieve him so as to cause him to depart
from them, that being the natural consequence of grieving
him, as hath been shewed in the note there; but only to in-
form us that the holy spirit yea such as to Christ's church and
members, gave them a just assurance of the truth of christian
faith, and consequently of the farther blessings Christ had
promised to his faithful persevering servants in the world to
come. To give a true account of this, consider that christian-
ity, when it first came into the world, required of all who
should embrace it, the duties of self-denial, taking up the crofs,
and being faithful to the death, and the encouragements it gave
them to perform all this was only the promise of the holy spirit
to be with them, and be their comforter at present, and the
promise of eternal life hereafter. Now that Christ made good
to them this first promise, and that the primitive christians
were plentifully, and wonderfully endowed with the gifts and
graces of the holy spirit, is fully evident from the epistles and
acts of the apostles, and hath been fully proved in the preface
to them both; and by the vouchsafement of this spirit they
are said to have an earnest of their future inheritance, and to
be sealed up to the day of redemption, they through this spirit
(o) groaning for the redemption of the body, and knowing that
(p) if this earthly tabernacle were dissolved, they had a building
made without hands eternal in the heavens. Whence it is evi-
dent that they who had these first fruits of the spirit, had there-
upon an argument to satisfy them of the future blessings prom-
ised to them; and hence they by this spirit are said to have the
carest of their future inheritance, and to be sealed up to the
day of redemption.

Section VI.—Objection 6. Whereas some from these words,
(q) the foundation of God standeth sure, the Lord knoweth who
are his, argue thus, that the foundation of God is his election;
and that though the faith of some be overthrown, yet the Lord
knoweth his elect; and will not suffer their faith to be so:

I answer,

Answer. That this argument depends upon two suppositions
already proved to be false, viz. (1st.) That the foundation of

(m) Chap. ii. 13.—(n) Chap. vi. 14.—(o) Rom. viii. 23.—(p)
2 Cor. v. 1, 5.—(q) 2 Tim. ii. 18, 19.
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God is his election; whereas it is indeed the doctrine, and promise of a blessed resurrection, denied by Hymenæus and Philetus. adly. That God's knowledge of his, is his knowledge of his elect; whereas in truth it only signifies that the Lord knoweth and approves of them who are commissioned to preach his doctrine to the world, or that he loves his faithful servants. See this proved in the discourse of election in the answer to the fourth objection.

Section VII.—Objection 7. They who are kept by the power of God through faith to salvation cannot fall away; but all the faithful are so kept. 1 Pet. i. 5.

Answer. To this I answer, (1s.) That this place only proves that all who are preferred to salvation are so kept by the power of God; but not that all believers are so kept. adly. It proves only that they are kept through faith, i.e. if they continue in the faith rooted and grounded, and are not removed from the hope of the gospel, Col. i. 23. If they hold the beginning of their confidence steadfast to the end, Heb. iii. 14. For this faith being the steadfast expectation of things hoped for; the evidence of things not seen, will render us victorious over the world, 1 John v. 4. Enable us to refit the temptations of the devil, 1 Pet. v. 9. To prefer affliction with the people of God before the pleasures of sin for a season, Heb. xi. 25, 26. And even to suffer death, not accepting a deliverance, in expectation of a better resurrection, Ver. 35. And, lastly, This faith engageth the power of God in our preservation, and so caueth us out of weakness to be strong, Ver. 34. But this place proves not that they shall certainly continue in the faith.

Section VIII.—Objection 8. If they who fall away were never truly faithful, they who are truly so can never fall away; but the first is proved from these words of St. John, They went out from us, but they were not all of us; for had they been of us, they would no doubt have continued with us, &c. 1 John ii. 19.

Answer. To this I answer, that the whole force of this argument depends upon these things; 1. That to be of us is to be elected, truly justified and sincere believers. 2. Not to be of us is never to have been elected, or sincerely christians. 3. That to go out from them is to renounce the church of Christ, so as only reprobates could do. 4. That to abide with them was to continue faithful and sincere christians; all which things are uncertain, if not plainly false: For that these words, they were not of us, cannot signify they were not of the number of the elect, but only they were not of the church in general, and of the mind of the apostles, and the church that adhered to them, is evident from this; that from them they went out, and with them they might have remained: Whereas they
could not go out from the e¢e® only who are not visible; nor could they have remained with them who were never of them. adly. Their going out from them for a reason was no certain argument that they were not of the e¢e®; since it is confessed they may fall totally, though not finally.

Answer 2.—adly. The true sense of the words seems plainly to be this, these antichrists or deceivers went out from us of Judea; for some, κατελήλυτες απὸ τὸν Ἰδαίαν, (r) going out from Judea taught the brethren that except they were circumcised after the manner of Moses, they could not be saved. They went out also from the apostles; for (f) we have heard, say they, that τινες ἐκ οὗτων κατελήλυτες, some going out from us have troubled you with words, perverting your soul, saying that ye ought to be circumcised and keep the law. The persons who taught these doctrines are styled, (t) false brethren, false apostles, deceitful workers, ministers of Satan, dogs, evil workers, the concision. Their doctrine tended to the subversion of souls, to the corrupting and turning men's minds from the simplicity that is in Christ, to hinder the truth of the gospel from continuing with them, to make Christ die in vain, to cause christians to fall from grace, so that Christ should profit them nothing, so that they were false prophets, false apostles and antichrists in the world of fencers. And by their going out from the apostles and churches of Judea to preach this destructive doctrine, to the Gentiles, (which both the church of Judea and the apostles assembled for that purpose, flatly disowned and censured) it sufficiently appeared that all the preachers of these doctrines were not of them; these therefore must be some of those many antichrists which the apostle writing to the Jews here speaks of, and they also divided and separated from the church, and became heretics under the names of Cerinthians, Nazareens and Ebionites, and their heresy prevailed in Asia. Where St. John's province was. 2 Tim. i. 15.

Objection 9. He that cannot fin, and that for a perpetual reason, cannot fall away and perish by sin; but every one that is born of God sinneth not; for his seed abideth in him, neither can he sin, because he is born of God. 1 John iii. 9.

Answer 1. That these words cannot be intended to signify that he who is born of the spirit and the word can never fall from that state, is evident partly because it hath been proved already that the holy spirit may depart, and quit his habitation, and so he who was once born of the spirit may cease to be so partly because men may not continue in the word, but may be removed from the hope of the gospel, as is apparent from the words of this apostle, who having told the converted Jews

(r) Acts xv. 1.—(f) Ver. 24.—(t) 2 Cor. xi. 13, 15.—Gal. ii. 4.—Philip iii. 2.—Acts xv. 24.—2 Cor. xi. 3.—Gal. ii. 5, 21. v. 2, 4.
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(u) That the old commandment was that which they had heard from the beginning, he adds, (v) let that which ye have heard from the beginning abide in you; for if that which you have heard from the beginning abide in you, ye shall abide in the Son and in the Father. And again, Little children, abide in him, that when he shall appear you may not be ashamed at his coming; clearly intimating by these exhortations that they might not abide in him, and his word might not abide in them. In his second Epistle, he tells the elected lady and her children, (w) That many deceivers were gone out into the world who denied that Jesus Christ was come in the flesh; and therefore bids them look to themselves that they lose not the things that they had wrought, viz. by embracing the doctrines of such deceivers; and to make them the more cautious, he adds, (x) He that transfareth, and abideth not in the doctrine of Christ, hath not God, plainly inquiring, that they might be drawn away by these deceivers as not to abide in the doctrine of Christ, and so might lose their interest in God, and the things which they had wrought. And this he learned from his great master, who declared that they only were his true disciples who continued in his word, and that they who did not keep his word, did not truly love him.

Answer 2. As these words of Christ, a corrupt tree cannot bring forth good fruit, to wit, because it is corrupt; and these of the apostle, (y) the carnal mind is not subject to the law of God, neither indeed can be, viz. because it is carnal; and they that are in the flesh cannot please God for the same reason, do not prove that a corrupt tree cannot cease to be corrupt, or become good, or that the carnal mind cannot cease to be so, and become spiritual; so neither do these words, He that is born of God cannot sin, because he is born of God, prove that he who is born of God cannot cease to be so, and then go on in a course of sin to his own destruction.

Again, As these words How can you that are evil speak good things, the world cannot hate you that are of it, the Jews could not believe, the world cannot receive the spirit, do not signify an impossibility that it should be otherwise, but only their present indisposition to the contrary, and the aversion of their minds from those things which it is said they cannot do: So these words, He that is born of God cannot sin, do not import any impossibility that they should do so, but only that they have at present that frame of spirit which renders them strongly averse from sin, and indisposed to yield to any temptations to commit it.

(u) 1 John ii. 7. — (v) Ver. 24, 27, 28. — (w) Ver. 7, 8. — (x) Ver. 9. — (y) Rom. viii.
CHAPTER IV.

Containing an Answer to the Pretended Promises of the Saints Perseverance to the End.

To those now considered, some add divers texts from the Old and the New Testament, in which they do pretend God hath engaged himself by promise absolute to preserve true christians to the end. The texts produced from the Old Testament, are these.

Section I.—Argument 1. The mountains shall depart, and the hills be removed, but my kindness shall not depart from thee, neither shall the covenant of my peace be removed; Isa. liv. 10. My spirit that is upon thee, and my words which I have put into thy mouth, shall not depart out of thy mouth, nor out of the mouth of thy seed, nor thy seed's seed, from henceforth and forever, Chap. lxxix. 21. They shall be my people, and I will be their God. And I will give them one heart, and one way, that they may fear me forever. And I will make an everlasting covenant with them, that I will not turn away from them to do them good, but I will put my fear into their hearts that they shall (may) not depart from me, Jer. xxxii.
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38, 39, 40. I will betroth thee to me forever, yea I will betroth thee to me in righteousness, and judgment, and loving kindness, and mercies.

Answer. (1st.) It is exceeding evident that all these places speak of nations in the general, and not of a few private persons among them; of her who was, when Ifatah prophesied, as (a) a woman forsaken in widowhood, and grieved in spirit; and yet had the holy one of Israel for her husband and her redeemer; of Zion and Jacob; of the (b) children of Israel and Judah to be gathered out of all countries into their own land; Of them (c) who came out of the land of Egypt, who had burnt incense to Baalim, and whole feast days were new moons and sabbaths. Now from this observation arise these arguments to prove these places cannot concern the elect only, or their final perseverance.

For (1st.) If here be any promise of final perseverance, it must be made good to all to whom the promise doth belong, and so it must be made good to all who came out of Egypt first, and afterwards out of the captivity; to all that dwelt safely in their own land; which the whole tenor of the scriptures both of the Old, and the New Testament disproves.

3dly. If these spiritual promises respect the elect, then the promises of temporal blessings being made to the same persons, must respect them also, and so they must all (d) abide safely in the land of Canaan, and buy there fields for money; they must be (e) far from oppression, from fear and terror; they must (f) abound with corn, and wine, and oil; which yet were never looked upon as promises made to the elect, much less as things peculiarly belonging to them. And,

3dly. If these promises belong to the elect, the seed of these elect, and their seed’s seed, must be elected also; for so the promise runs, Isa. lix. 17. The promise also in Jeremy is for the good of their children after them, Jer. xxxii. 39. And this the everlasting covenant, the covenant of peace which shall not be removed, feem plainly to require, as it is made to be a covenant respecting the elect; whereas it is certain from experience that the seed of the elect are often very wicked persons, and therefore not elect, but reprobates.

Answer 2. —3dly. All these are only promises that he would not wholly forfake that nation to as never to have any farther thoughts of kindness to them, he having made an everlasting covenant with their fathers to be the God of their seed after them, Gen. xvii. 7. whence the apostle concludes, that though

the Jews are now broken off, they shall be grafted again into their own olive-tree, because if the root be holy, so are the branches, Rom. xi. 16. and adds, that even after their rejection they were still beloved for the fathers sake, for the gifts and callings of God are without repentance, Ver. 28, 29. They also chiefly do concern the time of the Jews general conversion to the faith, to which St. Paul plainly refers the words of Isaiah, Ch. lix. 20. proving there shall be such a conversion from those words, there shall come a deliverer out of Zion, who shall turn away iniquity from Jacob. And St. John, Rev. xxi. 23, 25. refers the like words, Ch. ix. 1, 2, 3. to the same time. This also is the time of which the same prophet speaks, Chap. 54. as is evident from these words, I will lay thy stones with fair colors, and thy foundations with sapphires; I will make thy windows of agates, and thy gates of carbuncles, and all thy borders of pleasant stones, as is evident from the like description of the New Jerusalem, Rev. xxi. and Tobit, xiii. 16, 17, xiv. 5, 6, 7. And of the prophecy of Jeremy, the learned and judicious Dr. Gataker speaks thus, Note on Jer. xxxii. 40. Whence may well be gathered, that when this people shall be brought home to Christ, they shall never fall off from him again; Isa. lix. 20, 21. Nor can any other time be probably aligned when these great promises were accomplished; not the time following their return from their captivity, when so many of their princes, rulers and people were joined in affinity with the heathens against God's express law, and could not stand before God by reason of their trespasses, Ezra ix. when they were in great affliction and reproach, when they were servants, and others had dominion over their cattles, and their bodies at pleasure, and they were in great distress, Neh. ix. 36, 37. For surely then they did not live in safety and far from oppression, when the priest despaired and prophesied the name of the Lord, and offered polluted bread upon his altar, and brought the torn, the lame and the sick for offerings, Mal. i. 6—13. When they departed out of the way, caused many to stumble at the law, and corrupted the covenant of Levi, Chap. ii. 8. When Judah dealt treacherously, and an abomination was committed in Israel and in Jerusalem, by profaning the holiness of the Lord, and marrying the daughter of a strange God, so that he regarded not their offerings, any more, Ver. 11, 13. When they said (profanely) every one that doeth evil is good in the sight of the Lord, and he delighteth in them, and where is the God of judgment, Ver. 17. when they were all under a curse for rebelling God in tithes and offerings, Chap. iii. 8, 9. Yea, when they atheistically said, it is vain to serve God, and what profit is it that we have kept his ordinances? Complaining
that the proud were happy, and they that work wickedness were set up, and they that tempted God were delivered. Ver. 14, 15.

Or could these promises be fulfilled in the times of the Messiah, that is, in those very times when (g) the axe was laid to the root of the tree to cut it down; when they were (h) given up to a spiritual slumber; when (i) the kingdom of God was to be taken from them; when they were (h) broken off from their own olive tree, and (l) wrath came upon them to the uttermost?

Answer 3. The promise made to Israel, Hof. ii. 20, is only made to her returning to her first husband, Ver. 9. the promise, Hia. liv. of a covenant of peace that should not fail was made under a like condition, as these words in the following chapter shew; (m) incline your ear and come unto me, hear and your soul shall live, and I will make an everlasting covenant with you. The promise, Jer. xxxii. 39, 40. I will give them one heart and one way, that they may fear me; I will not turn away from them to do them good, but I will put my fear in their heart that they may not depart from me, is not an absolute promise that they should fear him always, but only an indication that his kind providences should be such towards them, as should lay upon them the highest obligations to continue steadfast in his fear, Le, and Lebels, being often used, not to signify the certainty of the event, but the design and purpose of God in affording the means: So Deut. x. 19. The Lord requires thee to keep his commandments, and his statutes, letob leca, that it may be well with thee, Chap. xvii. 19, 20. The king shall read in the book of the law, that he may learn to fear the Lord, that he turn not aside from the commandment, that he may prolong his days. And, Chap. iv. 10. I will make them learn my words, that they may fear me. So John xvi. 1. These things have I spoken to you that you may not be offended; and especially in thine, Ezek. xi. 16—21. viz. I will gather you from the people, and assemble you from the countries where you have been scattered, and I will give you the land of Israel, and I will give them one heart, and will put a new spirit within you, and will take the stony heart out of their flesh, and will give them an heart of flesh, that they may walk in my statutes, and keep my ordinances, and do them. And to shew that this signifies not the event, but only God’s design; and that these means are proper to produce this end, it follows, Ver. 21. But as for them whose heart walketh after the heart of their detestable things and their abominations, (which I said, Ver.

(g) Matth. iii. 10. (b) Rom. xi. 8. (i) Matth. xxvi. 43. 
(h) Rom. xi. 20. (l) Thee. ii. 16. (m) Chap. iv. 7.
18. they shall take away) I will recompense their way upon their heads.

Section II.—Argument 2. Our Lord hath promised that he who drinketh of the water that he shall give him shall never thirst, because that water shall spring up in him to eternal life, John iv. 14. and that the comforter given to believers shall abide with them forever, John xiv. 16. That he who comes to him shall never hunger, and he that believeth in him shall never thirst, John vi. 35. Therefore he that is once a believer, shall be ever so, and he that hath once the Spirit, shall never lose him.

Answer 1. I have already shewed, in answer to the fifth objection of the former chapter, that the holy Spirit may entirely depart from them in whom he once inhabited; whence it must follow that two of these texts cannot bear the sense this argument requires. I have also proved, in answer to the second objection, that the promises made to believers in the gospel of St. John, respect only such believers as shall continue steadfast in the faith, and so have answered the third text alleged.

Answer 2.—1dly. To answer to these places in particular. (vii.) The promise of the abode of the Spirit with any Christian, John xiv. 16. is only made on this condition, that they continue so to love Christ as to keep his commandments. 2dly. It is a promise made to recompense Christ's departure from them, and so seems only to concern Christ's apostles, with whom he was then corporally present, or to concern only the Spirit's presence with his church in general, not in the heart of every Christian, for so Christ himself abode not with them. 3dly. The other two texts may be both understood of Christ's doctrine, he that cometh to learn my doctrine, and believeth it, when he hath learnt it shall need no farther teaching in order to his future happiness, because the observance of what he hath learned from me already, will bring him to eternal life. And 4dly. If you expound the words, John iv. 14. of the receiving of the holy Spirit, they can be only meant of him in whom this Spirit still abides; for therefore shall he never thirst, faith the text, because the water given shall be in him as fountain water springing up to life eternal; whereas it can no longer spring up than it abideth in him: The import of the words seems therefore to be this; that whereas the water which we drink to quench our natural thirst, will neither abide long in us, nor long quench our thirst, this holy Spirit will abide forever with them who do not by their first expel him, and so abiding, he will forever quench and satisfy their thirst after eternal life, as being in them the earnest of their future inheritance.
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SECTION III.—ARGUMENT 3. If Christ hath assured his sheep that they shall never perish, and that none shall snatch them out of his hands, then hath he assured them that they shall never fall away finally, seeing they who so fall away will perish; and also that no tempter, or temptation shall effectually seduce them from the way of piety, since then they would snatch them from Christ's hands.

Answer 1. The frequent cautions and exhortations directed in the Scripture to Christ's (z) sheep not to fall from grace, but continue steadfast in the faith, are certain demonstrations that they may so do; for if the sheep of Christ can no more cease to be so, than a man, whilst he lives, can cease to be a man; these exhortations to take care they fall not off from being so, must be as vain as a caution to a man would be, not to outrage his manhood. To answer therefore directly to this text, I add,

2dly. That Christ here only promises his sheep should never perish through any defect on his part, or by the force of any plucking them by violence out of his hands, so the particle και, which is here illative, shews, viz. they therefore shall not perish, for none shall pull them out of my hand; and this is still more evident from the reason following, my Father is greater than all their adversaries; so that none can pull or force them out of his hands, now his power and mine is one and the same. Well therefore, said Isidore Pelusiota, no man can snatch them away by force and tyranny, for then they must perish without and against their wills; but this may be done by deceit and allurements, γινεται εν τοις διεργασίαις των ἁγίων, through the negligence of men who have the freedom of their wills; for such men, who by the allurements of the world, the flesh and the devil, thus cease to obey Christ's laws, are not snatched out of his hands, but choose to go from him.

3dly. This text seems only to speak of such sheep who have already persevered so as to receive the reward of their obedience, eternal life, assuring them that their felicity shall be incapable of interruption; for so the whole verse runs, I give to them eternal life, and they shall never perish. And this seems fairly gathered from the former verse, where he describes his sheep as such who hear his voice and follow him; and then he adds, to them who do so, I give eternal life. He therefore cannot reasonably be supposed to promise that which he here affirms they did, that they should hear his voice, and follow him, still unto the end; but rather that which is the sure reward of them who do so.

(z) John x: 28.
Section IV.—Argument 4. If God’s fidelity be engaged to confirm them unblameable to the end whom he hath called to the communion of his Son, 1 Cor. i. 9, 10, if his faithfulness will not suffer them to be tempted above what they are able to bear, Chap. x. 13, if St. Paul had ground of confidence that he who had begun the good work in his Philippians, would perfect it unto the day of the Lord Jesus, Philip. i. 6, if it be part of God’s fidelity to sanctify them whom he hath called wholly in body, soul and spirit, 1 Thess. v. 23, 24, and to establish them, and keep them from evil, 2 Thess. iii. 9, then must they persevere to the end; but all these are the express assertions of the holy scripture. Ergo,

Answer 1. Now for a general answer to all these texts, let it be considered, that God in scripture is often said to do a thing, when he does that which hath a proper tendency to the effect, and is sufficient to procure it, and hath done all that was requisite on his part, in order to it; so that if the effect be not wrought in us, it is by reason of some defect in us, or some neglect of doing that which he hath given us sufficient means and motives to perform. Thus it is said to have (a) purged Jerusalem, though he was not purged, because he by his prophets had vouchsafed proper and sufficient means for her purgation, by his frequent admonitions, exhortations, expostulations, promises and threatenings, and by the livings of his holy spirit with them, though by their obstinacy in their sinful courses, they obstructed the influence of God’s word and spirit upon them. He cauised the whole (b) House of Israel to cleave to him, as a girdle cleaves to a man’s loins; though all that he had said and done in order to it proved inefficual, because she would not hear. He is said (c) to teach them to profit, and lead them by the way that they should go, who neither were led, nor taught, because (d) they hearkened not to his commandments; and faith, (e) This people have I formed for my praise; and yet he adds, but thou hast not called upon me, O Jacob, thou hast been weary of me, O Israel; thou hast not honored me with thy sacrifices, but thou hast made me to serve with thy sins, thou hast wearied me with thine iniquity; and of Babylon, he faith, Riphenu, (f) we healed Babylon, and she was not healed. Thus are they said to know God, who had such means to know him imparted by his providence, as rendered their ignorance of him, and their idolatry (g) without excuse. (h) The riches of God’s goodness, patience and long suffering, is said to lead them to repentance who continued

(a) Ezek. xxiv. 13.—(b) Jer. xiii. 11.—(c) Isa. lxviii. 17, 18.—(d) Chap. xiii. 27.—(e) Ver. 22, 23.—(f) Jer. li. 9.—(g) Rom. i. 20, 21.—(b) Rom. ii. 3, 4.
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in the hardness, and the impenitency of their hearts; and God is said to be in Christ reconciling the world to himself, not imputing to them their iniquities, because he had made him (s) a sacrifice for their sin, that they might be made righteous through faith in him; and yet that he was doing this for them who were not actually reconciled to him, and to whose sins were not forgiven, appears from the words following, we therefore beseech you to be reconciled unto God. Thus the grace of God is called (k) saving grace, because it teacheth us to do that which if we conscientiously perform we shall be saved. The converted Jews are styled, of oμοιομενον (l) the saved; though many of them after fell away, as hath been proved, note on 2 Thess. ii. 3. And all the members of the church of Corinth arestyled (m) the saved, though there were found many among them who had (n) not repented of the lasciviousness, uncleanness and fornication which they had committed, and so lay under the guilt of those works of the flesh, which they who do, faith the apostle, shall not inherit the kingdom of God.

Answer 2. To proceed to a particular answer to the texts alluded: 1st. The words cited from 1 Cor. i. 9, 10. Who shall confirm you to the end, that you may be blameless in the day of our Lord Jesus Christ, Ver. 10. God is faithful, by whom ye were called to the fellowship of his Son Jesus Christ: I say these words cannot contain a promise of perseverance made to the elect only among the Corinthians, (1st.) Because the apostle plainly speaks to the whole body of the church of Corinth; to the church of God which is in Corinth, to all that call upon the name of our Lord Jesus Christ. adly. Because he speaks not only of their not falling away finally, but of their being preferred blameless; whereas it is certain that the elect are not always so preferred. adly. These words are by the ancients thus interpreted; God (in the day of the Lord Jesus which you expect) will confirm you forever blameless; for, say they, God is faithful who hath promised to them that obey the gospel, vιωθαιζυ, (o) the adoption, that is, the redemption of the body, or that they shall be partakers of that kingdom and glory to which he hath called them, 1 Thess. ii. 12. Or, adly. They are well expounded by Grotius thus, he will do, quod suarum est partium, all that is requisite on his part to render you unblameable to the end; so that you shall not fail of being so through any want of divine grace requisite to that end, or any unfaithfulness on his part to his promise, who hath already reconciled you to himself through the death of Christ, to represent you holy and unblameable, and

(i) 2 Cor. v. 19, 20, 21. (k) Tit. ii. 11, 12. (l) Acts ii. 47. (m) 1 Cor. i. 18. (n) 2 Cor. xii. 20, 21. (o) Rom. viii. 23.
unreprovable in his fight, if ye continue in the faith grounded and settled, and be not moved away from the hope of the gospel, Col. ii. 23.

2dly. To those words, 1 Cor. x. 13. God is faithful, who will not suffer you to be tempted (by persecutions) above what you are able (by his grace) to suffer; but will with the temptation make a way (so far) to escape, that you may be able to bear it; and therefore if you will use the strength which he is ready to afford, shall do so. I answer, (1st.) That these words ought not to be restrained to the elect; for the preceding words, no temptation hath happened to you but such as is common to men, are spoken to the whole church of Corinth. Moreover, God hath doubtless engaged to all that enter into covenant with him, to enable them to perform the conditions of that covenant, since a covenant upon an impossible condition cannot be performed, and all are bound to pray in faith that they may not be tempted above what they are able, through his strength, to bear. (2dly.) This text must be impartially alleged, because it only contains a promise of ability sufficient to resist temptations if men will use it; but doth not contain an engagement that this strength shall be effectual, or certainly improved to that end. And (3dly.) I have shewed when I discoursed of the cautions given to believers, that in the words immediately preceding, wherefore let him that thinketh he standeth take heed lest he fall; and in the words following, wherefore, beloved, flee from idolatry, there is a plain indication that they who truly think they stand may fall, as did the Jews there mentioned; and might be guilty of idolatry, which he himself declares to be a sin exclusive from the kingdom of Christ, 1 Cor. vi. 9.

3dly. To the words cited from Philip. i. 6. viz. being confident of this, that he who hath begun the good work in you will perform it to the day of Jesus Christ; I answer, that it is evident the apostle speaks not out of any opinion of the election of any, much less of all the Philippians to eternal life, or of the certainty of their perseverance to the end; for why then doth he exhort them (p) to work out their salvation with fear and trembling, (q) to stand fast in the Lord, and to retain the word of life, that he might have joy in the day of Christ, that he had not run or labored in vain among them? He speaks this therefore from a judgment of charity; because faith he, it is fall or fit for me to conceive thus of you, by reason of that great affection you have for me, and your patience under the like sufferings. Now he who only gives these reasons of his confidence, gives us just reason to conceive he knew nothing of

(p) Chap. ii. 12.—(q) Chap. iv. i.—ii. 16.
the necessity of their perseverance by virtue of their election to salvation.

4thly. Those words, 1 Thess. v. 23, 24. I pray God your spirit, soul and body may be preserved blameless to the coming of the Lord Jesus: Faithful is he who hath called you, who also will do it; do only signify that he will not be wanting on his part towards it: I say his part; for if the fidelity of God required that he should sanctify and preserve them blameless to the end without their care and industry, or should work in them certainly and absolutely that care, and the apostle believed this; how could he fear lest these Thessalonians should be so overcome by Satan's temptations as that (r) his labor with them might have been in vain? This being in effect to fear that God might be unfaithful to his promise. And for the same reason the words cited from 2 Thess. iii. 9. must be thus interpreted, the Lord is faithful, who (therefore) will (do all that is requisite on his part to establish you, and preserve you from evil.

CHAPTER V.

Enquiring which of the two opposite Opinions tends most to administer true Comfort, and to promote Holiness of Life.

The only objection from reason against this doctrine that saints may fall away from grace, is, that it is obstructive of the peace and comfort of believers, it impairs their humble confidence in God, and fills them with continual fear and dread of falling from that happy state.

Whereas, indeed our doctrine only teacheth, with the holy scriptures, that a well grounded peace is (a) the fruit of righteousness, and consequent that by going out of the way of righteousness, we go out of the way of peace. That all true peace and comfort ariseth from the testimony of an upright conscience; this being the foundation (b) of our rejoicing, even the testimony of our conscience, that in simplicity and godly sincerity, we have had our conversation in this world; that then only have we ground of confidence with God, when (c) our heart doth not condemn us of wilfully departing from

(r) 1 Thess. iii. 5. (a) Jam. iii. 18. (b) 2 Cor. i. 12. (c) 3 John iii. 21.
him. That we ought (d) to work out our salvation with fear and trembling; and seeing we serve that God, (e) who without respect of persons judgeth every man according to his works, to pass the time of our sojourning here in fear. That (f) happy is the man that feareth always with that fear of caution which renders him more watchful against sin; this being a demonstration of his uprightness. And further let it be considered:

That a doctrine is not therefore true because it is conformable; if it be liable to just exceptions upon other accounts. For very comfortable to the Jews was the doctrine of their Rabbins, (g) That all that were of the seed of Abraham according to the flesh (styled in the Old Testament the seed) should inherit the everlasting kingdom; καὶ εὐαγγελον ὅσιοι, καὶ ἄνωτεροι, καὶ ἰδιωτείς τῶν ἄνω, though they were sinners; and unfaithful and disobedient towards God; and that, καὶ εὐαγγελον ὅσιοι, θεὸς δὲ γενόμενος, though they were sinners yet, if they knew God, he would not impute to them their iniquities.

Comfortable to his followers was that doctrine of (h) Simon Magus, That they who believed in him, and his Helen, might freely do what they pleased, as being to be saved not by good works, but by his grace; which also was the doctrine of the Valentinians, who also held that they were perfect, and, σωσταῖς ἐπιθυμίαις, children of the election, receiving grace from the unexpressible conjugation, (i) so that it was impossible they should be corrupted whatever they did: To which I might add the doctrines of our Antinomians and other Solifidians, all very comfortable to men of carnal minds; but very opposite to, and destructive of, that doctrine which is according to Godlinefs.

That a possibility of falling into a very great evil, though it be such a one into which I see daily others fall, and to which I may be obnoxious, creates no trouble or anxiety to any man, provided he knows he cannot fall into it unless he will, and choose so to do, and unless he acts contrary to all the rules of reason and discretion, and the strongest motives and sufficient means vouchsafed to avoid it. Men may very possibly, and too often do embezzle their estates by gaming, whoring, drinking, gomandizing and contention; they often forfeit them by midistemans, hazard and lose their lives by duelling, sedition, rebellion, pride and ambition; and yet no wise man is therefore tormented with continual fears that this


(b) Ut liberis agere quae velint, secundum enim ipsum Gratiam salvari homines, sed non secundum operas justas. Iran. Lib. i. cap. 20. Did ης ιδυνομενων παρα πραξιν, μουθ η μνημει φυσιν ἔχονται, 1b. c. 9. p. 61. Ed. Ox. ibid. p. 31.

(i) Ἀδειπτος φιλος καταδεικνυεται καὶ ὑπ' αὐθαιρετω τινων ἀρματων πράξεως. Ibid. p. 30.
may be his own case, because he knows he cannot fall into those vices but by his own choice; that God hath given him reason and understanding sufficient to preserve him from them, and all the motives which pleasure, honor, temporal advantage, and his eternal interest can minister to resist all temptations to them. And in like manner it being certain that no good Christian can fall from grace unless he will, and even choose to do so; that he hath wisdom to discern the unpeachable folly and danger of so doing, that God hath given him a new nature, and so a frame of spirit opposite to all temptations to do, and the greatest aids, encouragements, and the most powerful motives to continue constant to the end; why should he have any ground to live uncomfortably, or to abate of his inward peace, because he is under a remote possibility of falling from the divine favor, and not rather believing to rejoice in hope of the glory of God? Note, 3dly. That this doctrine of the imposibility of saints falling finally from grace, cannot be truly comfortable for two signal reasons:

1st. Because though it seems comfortable to a man, who thinks himself a good Christian, to believe he ever shall continue so; yet the reverse of this doctrine is as uncomfortable, viz. that he who does not so continue to the end, let him have been never so fruitful in the works of righteousness, or in the labor of love, or in religious duties, or in a zeal for God and goodness, was never better than an Hypocrite. And then how few can be assured of their own sincerity, or prove the truth of their faith, by better works than they themselves confess an Hypocrite may do? Now it is at least as uncomfortable to be in doubt of our sincerity, as of our continuance in the way of righteousness, though I am sincere at present.

2dly. Let men hold what doctrines they please; yet as it is with them who question Providence and a future judgment, their impious persuasions cannot remove their fears arising from the dictates of a natural conscience; so neither can mens theological persuasions remove the fears and doubtings which do as naturally arise from the dictates of a conscience enlightened by the word of God. When therefore that condemnus, and doth pronounce us guilty of any willful sin, we can have no peace from any thing but our assurance that our sincere repentance hath removed the guilt; but shall be either doubt-ful of our sincerity, or our continuance in it. Whilst we can say with David, (d) I have kept the ways of the Lord, and have not wickedly departed from my God: I was also upright before him, and kept myself from mine iniquity: Whilst

(d) Psal. xviii. 23, 24.
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Confidence doth not condemn us of wilful violations of our covenant, there is as much confidence in God from our opinion, as from the other. We can assure all men that whilst they love God, they shall be beloved by him; and that his countenance will favorably behold the upright. And the other doctrine can afford true comfort to none whose conscience doth condemn them of wilful violations of God's law; that is, of breaking the conditions of the new covenant, or of not keeping his commandments, which is so often made the test of a sincere affection to our God and Savior. Either then we would have peace and comfort, though our hearts depart from God, and deal unfaithfully in our covenant, or only while our hearts do cleave unto him, and we be not unfaithful to it; if we desire comfort in the last case only, our doctrine doth as fully yield it as the other. If in the case of the departure of the heart from God, and breach of covenant, we desire that comfort which God's word plainly and frequently denies us, as is apparent from the very condition of the covenant of grace, sincere obedience, and from the threats it hath denounced against apostatizers. (1s.) We would have comfort when our own heart cannot afford it, because it cannot at the same time thus condemn, and yet speak comfort to us. (2dly.) We would have that comfort which even the doctrine of perseverance cannot yield, seeing the patrons of it do confess that when men fall into wilful sins, though they lose not the title to the divine favor, they lose the comfort of it till they have repented; that this at present cuts off their assurance of being God's children, and consequently of their perseverance to the end.

So that the difference in this case seems only to be this, that when men's hearts do thus condemn them, if they believe our doctrine, they have reason to suspect their fall from grace, by violating the conditions of the covenant of grace; if they be of the other persuasion, they have cause to suspect their sincerity, and fear that they were never upright christians. And the advantage on our side is this, that our opinion naturally tends to render men more careful to avoid all wilful violations of the laws of God, and more speedy in their repentance, and their return unto their duties, than the other doth, this being a most certain rule that that motive is more forcible to engage us to an action, which renders the action to which I am engaged of absolute necessity for the obtaining of the most important end, of which I am assured by performance of it, than that which either renders this end attainable without the performance of that action, or declares that another stands absolutely engaged to cause me to perform it.
Section II.—Let us now take a view of the contrary doctrine; and seeing it asserts that they who have once attained to the favor of God can never fall from it, and also grants that Lot, David, Solomon and Peter were such persons; they must own that drunkenness and incest, murder and adultery, do not put men out of God's favor; that men's hearts may (e) be turned from the Lord to the most gross idolatry, even that of Astartoth, the goddess of the Zidonians, and of Milcom, the abomination of the Ammonites; that after the most solemn engagements to the contrary they may deny Christ before men, and that with oaths and execrations, and still continue high in favor with God; which as it seems the plainest contradiction to those numerous places of scripture, which declare those are such sins, which they who do have no inheritance in the kingdom of God or of Christ, and that they are sins to which the law of Moses threatened death without admission of any atonement by sacrifice, and the severest of God's judgments, even the casting of them off for ever; so doth it give a great encouragement to those who have once gotten an opinion that they are the children of God, to indulge themselves in the like iniquities; as being never able to separate them from the love of God: This doctrine tending evidently to abate the force of all the prohibitions of sin, of all the exhortations to avoid it, of all the cautions to resist and flea from all temptations to commit it, and of all the dreadful judgments denounced without exception against all who do commit it; for when once persons being to think that they are out of the reach of the severest of these judgments, and that they cannot possibly belong to them, they cannot rationally be moved by the fear of them to depart from that iniquity to which they are denounced.

(e) It lessens the force of all the motives offered in the scripture to engage us to persevere in righteousness and goodness, and to have our fruit unto holiness, that the end may be eternal life; for an absolute promise both of the reward, and of all means conducing to it, cannot so powerfully engage us to the pursuit of the said means, as such a promise as fulfilleth the reward upon our own diligence in the use of the means, and so gives place for hope and fear, the two great principles of action, both which must be excluded by an absolute promise, since as we cannot rationally fear what cannot possibly befall us, so what we are already sure of, we do no longer hope for, but with the greatest confidence expect.

(e) It seems not well consistent with the truth, righteousness and holiness of God to give an absolute assurance of his

(e) 1 Kings xi. 5, 9.
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favor, and the fruition of himself forever to any creature, though he fall into the sins forementioned; for though it may be said he doth this only by assuring them that they shall repent of those sins, and return to their obedience, yet doth not this seem suitable to his threats of the severest of his judgments against all persons whatsoever, who shall thus offend, since they were certainly designed to deter them from those sins by fear of falling under those most dreadful judgments, whereas these promises are plain assurances that though they do commit them, they shall not be obnoxious to those judgments. They also seem contrary to the divine purity on the same account as tending to diminish in others the dread of those iniquities which they are thus assured cannot prove fatal to them. In a word, there is not even the shadow of a promise in the holy scripture, that though such or such persons fall into murder, adultery, heathenish idolatry, he will not suffer them to die in them, but will assuredly cause them to repent and turn to their obedience; but there is an express declaration, that (w) when the righteous turneth away from his righteousness andcommiteth iniquity, and doth according to all the abominations that the wicked man doth, all the righteousness that he hath done shall not be mentioned, in his transgressions that he hath trespassed, and in the sin that he hath sinned, in them shall he die. The promises of the Old Testament run in another strain; viz. (w) The Lord will be with you while ye be with him, but if ye forsake him he will forsake you; yea, he will cast you off forever; (x) but as for such as decline to their perverse ways, the Lord will lead them forth with the workers of iniquity; and the promises of the new, that he will establish them, and keep them from evil, and preserve them holy and unblameable; but I find not one promise in the Old or the New Testament, that when the righteous wickedly depart from God, and do after the abominations of the wicked, they shall yet live, and not die in their iniquities.

SECTION III. It were easy to confirm this doctrine from the concurrent suffrage of the ancient Fathers, but this seems to me unnecessary after the confusion of the learned (y) Vol. fius, Communem hanc suffuse antiquitates sententiam, that that was the common sentience of antiquity; and that antiquities too, indubiabiliter adverfatur, all antiquity was contrary to this doctrine of the indefeasibility of the saints. The words of the Greek and Latin Fathers which he cites to prove this may be seen in (z) John Goodwin's fifteenth chapter on that subject, who also adds to them the consent of many Protestants.

(w) Ezek. xvi, 24.—(w) 1 Chron. xxvii. 9.—2 Chron. xv. 2.—(x) Pial. cxxv. 5.—(y) Hist. Pelag. L. 6. Chap. xii. —(z) Redemption. Redeemed, from Section 5. to the 14th.

Ebitat
DISCOURSE VI.

CONTAINING AN ANSWER TO THREE OBJECTIONS AGAINST THE DOCTRINES ASSERTED, AND THE ARGUMENTS BY WHICH THEY ARE CONFIRMED.

CHAPTER I.

Objection 1.

The first grand objection against the force of many of the arguments used in these discourses is this: That they seem as strongly to conclude against God's foreknowledge of future contingencies, as against his absolute decrees; for that comprehending the knowledge of what all men will do, it seems as unreasonable to command, exhort, or tender motives to men to perform what God beforehand sees they will not do, as in case of what he knows they cannot do; and as
contradictory to his goodness to bring them into the world whom he foreknows will certainly be miserable through their own fault, as those whom he reserveth to be miserable through the fault of Adam. It also seems as vain, superfluous and delusive, to seem passionately concerned that they may be saved, or to use patience, long-suffering, or any other means to prevent their ruin, or to lead them to repentance whom he certainly foreknew would not be by these means induced to repent, that they might be saved, and who insally would perish; as to act thus towards them who lie under a decree of reprobation. Now,

Section I.—Answer. (1st.) It is observable, that though this argument be offered in favor of the decrees of absolute election, and that especial grace which is vouchsafed to the objects of it, which makes it necessary for them to be vessels of mercy, and of that absolute reprobation, which makes it necessary for all the objects of it to be vessels of wrath, and insally to fail of salvation, yet doth it plainly overthrow them, or render them superfluous; for be it that these decrees were made from eternity, yet seeing God's foreknowledge of the events of all men, was also from eternity, must he not know what would be the condition of all men when he made these decrees? And what need then could there be of a decree for that event which was insally, by virtue of his foreknowledge without that decree? Either he foreknew these events independently on, and in the same moment that he made these decrees; and then seeing the objects of both these decrees are the same individual persons which he law, then would certain-ly be saved, or perish independently upon them, what need could there be of these decrees to ascertain that event, which his own prescience had rendered certain and insally? Or else it must be said, that God only foreknew these future con-tingences, by virtue of his decrees, that they should come to pass; and then his decrees must be before his knowledge, and the reason of it, and so as this argument doth not at all lessen the horror of them, so is it obnoxious to these dreadful con-sequences.

1st. That it plainly renders God the author of sin; for to say with Calvin, Dr. Tutsis, and Rutherford, Deum non alia ratione providere quæ futura sunt, quam quia ut fierent decrevit, God only doth foresee things future, because he hath decreed they should be so, is, faith Le Blanc, to say God moves and predetermines the wills of men to those things which are evil. Now, who can affirm, faith he, that God antecedently decrees and determines the wills of men to hate and blaspheme him, and therefore foresees that they will do so, and not make God the author of these sins? Nor is this less evident from
the way that Alvarez, and many other schoolmen take to salve this matter, viz. that God foresees the evil men will do, in decreto suo de non dando efficax auxilium ad vitandum pec- catum, quoniam Deo deferente, aut non adjuvante peccatorum ne cadat, infallibiliter est peccaturus, in his decree not to give them efficacious help to avoid sin; for God thus deferring them, or not thus assisting the sinner, that he may not fall, he infalli- bly will sin; for either God did not foresee the sin of fallen angels, or of falling Adam; or else, according to this doctrine, must render their sin necessary by his decree not to afford them efficacious assistance to avoid it, and so their sin will be no sin at all, according to St. Austin's definition of it, that it is the will to do that from which we have freedom to abstain.

Prefecience thus stated must be attended with a fatal neces- sity, though in this case it is not God's foreknowledge, but his decrees which creates that necessity; all things, upon this supposition, being necessary: that is, such as cannot other- wise be, not because God foreknows them, but because by his immutable decrees he hath made them necessary, i.e. he foreknows them because they are necessary, but doth not make them necessary, by foreknowing them. Consider,

2dly. That if there were any strength in this argument, it would prove that we should not deny the liberty supposed in all the arguments we have used against these decrees, but rather predestination itself; for if those two things were really inconsistent, and one of them must be denied, the introducing an absolute necessity of all our actions which evidently de- stroys all religion and morality, would tend more of the two to the dishonor of God, than the denying him a foreknowl- edge.

3dly. Observe that if these Decrétalistes may take sanctuary in the foreknowledge God hath of things future, the Hob- bits, and the Fatalists may do the same; for as I cannot know how God's foreknowledge is consistent with the free- dom of the will of man, so am I as little able to discern how it is consistent with any freedom in his actions, or how God can foreknow them whilst they are future, without foreknow- ing that there are such causes as certainly and necessarily shall and must produce them. And it is very worthy of their ob- servation that the Hobbits having knowledge of Christianity, found their doctrine of the necessity of all things, and the no freedom of the will to will, upon the ninth chapter to the Romans. Thus when Bishop (a) Bramhall had objected against Hobbs, that from his doctrine of the necessity of all events, it follows that praise and reprehen:ion, rewards and

(a) P. 663, 669.
punishments are all vain and unjust, and that if God should openly forbid, and secretly necessitate the same action, punishing men for what they could not avoid, there would be no belief among them of heaven or hell; Mr. Hobbs replies thus: "I must borrow an answer from St. Paul, Rom. ix. 11, to the 18th verse: For there is laid down the very same objection in the case of Esau and Jacob, &c. for the same case is put by St. Paul; and the same objection in these words following, thou wilt ask me then, why doth God complain, for who hath resisted his will? To this therefore the apostle answers, not by denying it was God's will, or that the decree of God concerning Esau was not before he had sinned, or that Esau was not necessitated to do what he did; but thus: Who art thou, O man, that repliest against God? Shall the workman say unto the workman, why hast thou made me thus? Hath not the potter power over his clay, to make one vessel to honor, and another to dishonor? To lay then that God can fo order the world that a sin may be necessarily caused in a man, I do not see how it is any dishonor to him; I hold nothing in all this question between us, but what seems to me not obscurely, but most expressly said in this place by St. Paul." It also deserves to be observed by them, that the Fatalists of old founded their doctrine upon the certainty of divine prescience and predictions, which they said could not be certain, (b) nisi omnia quae sunt, quaeque futura sunt ex omni æternitate definita essent fataliter, if all things done, or to be done, had not been certainly determined from all eternity. It was the fear of this, faith (c) Origen, which made the Greeks embrace this impious doctrine, that God did not foreknow things future and contingent, οί δὲ ημῶν καταναγκάστου τὰ πράγματα καὶ τὸ ἔφοβος μνῆμας σωζομαι, εἰ δὲ θεὸς προφητεύει τὰ μέλλοντα, they supposing that if God foreknew things future, all things would be necessary, and so the liberty of man's will could not be preserved; which, faith Origen, will not follow, because it must be owned, ἢ τὸν πρὸγνωσιν αἰτίαν τῶν γιανωμένων, τὸ δὲ έσχατον αἰτίαν τὸ δι' εἰναυ τῶν μεγαρον, αὐθὴν πρὸγνωσιν, not that God's prescience is the cause of things future, but that their being future is the cause of God's prescience that they will be. And this, faith Le Blanc, is the truest resolution of this difficulty, that prescience is not the cause that things are future, but their being future is the cause they are foreseen; whence it must follow, that man's perishing by his own wilfulness, when he might not have done so, must be the cause that God foresees that he will do so; the reason is, because God's fore.
knowledge neither makes, nor changes its object but sees it as it truly is, and to mult see that action to be freely and contingently future, which indeed is so, and that necessarily to be future which is so. This is so evident, that it is owned by (d) Mr. Hobbs, in these words: "That the foreknowledge of God should be the cause of any thing, cannot be truly said, for foreknowledge is knowledge, and knowledge depends on the existence of the things known, and not they on it."

And therefore let it be observed,

4thly. That God's predestination hath no influence at all upon our actions. This Mr. Baxter proves thus: The word future, and possible, applied to things is terminus diminiuen; for to say this is futurum possibile, is only to say this may be; and to say that is futurum, is only to say it will be. Now to say a thing will be, or may be hereafter, is to say that now it is not in being, that is, it is nothing; now nothing is no effect, and therefore can have no cause; therefore God is no cause of the eternal futurity, or possibility of things. But if this way of arguing seems too nice and subtle, I inquire farther:—Should God by immediate revelation give me the knowledge of the event of any man's state or actions, would my knowledge of them have any influence upon his actions? Surely none at all, and yet my knowledge, as far as it is thus communicated, would be as certain and infallible as is that of God's. To illustrate this in some measure by the comparison of our own knowledge, we know certainly that some things are, and that some things will be, as that the sun will rise tomorrow; and when we thus know they are, or will be, they cannot but be; yet manifest it is that our knowledge doth not at all affect the things we thus know, make them either more certain, or more future than they would be without it: Now foreknowledge in God is knowledge; as therefore knowledge has no influence on things that are, so neither has foreknowledge on things that shall be, and consequently the foreknowledge of any action that would be otherwise free, cannot alter or diminish that freedom; whereas God's decree of election is powerful and active, and comprehends the preparation and exhibition of such means as shall unfruitfully produce the end; and his decree of reprobation is active, as far as action is required to render any man deficient, and therefore sinful of necessity, it being a decree of withholding from the objects of it, that grace which can alone enable them to do what God commands, or to avoid what God forbids on the severest penalty. Now sin having no efficient, but only a deficient cause, it consisting only in not doing what is com-

(d) Br. p. 665.
manded, and not avoiding what is forbidden, that which renders it necessary for me to be thus deficient, must lay me under a necessity of sinning.

Coroll. Hence (adly.) ariseth another signal difference betwixt God's preference and his decrees; that God's preference renders no actions necessary, though it be some are in their own natures so, since otherwise it would lay a necessity on his own actions, he foreknowing both what he can and will do; and from all eternity foreknowing what he did, and will do in time. For example; he foreknew that he would create a world, angels and men in time, that he would send his Son into the world to die for sinners, and many things of a like nature; but this did not make it necessary that he should create the world, angels or men, or send his Son into the world, or do all this then only when he did so; and if his foreknowledge lays no necessity upon himself to act, nor any way impairs the freedom of his own actions; why should it be conceived that it lays any necessity upon humane actions, or impairs the freedom of them? Now if this preference doth not impair the freedom of our actions any more than if God had no such preference, and it be reasonable to give precepts, and tender exhortations and motives to men free to perform, what is required, and what they are exhorted, and thus moved to, it must be reasonable thus to deal with men, notwithstanding God's foreknowledge of their actions; but it is not so with respect to God's decrees, his decree of election comprehending not only the end, but the means to it, as to be wrought by his especial grace, and his unfruitful operation, which I cannot redefine, if I cannot have the will to perform it; and that I cannot have, if this operation determines my will to act in compliance with it; and where the sin consists in a defect, i.e. the not doing what is required and the not avoiding what is forbidden, the decree of withholding that grace without which that effect is necessary, is a decree that the sin shall be inevitable, and to the objects of it necessary.

5thly. God's knowledge reaches not only, τὰ μέλλοντα, to future contingencies; but also, τὰ δυνατά, future possibilities, viz. He knows that such things may be, though they never will be, that I might will and do, what I neither do nor will, and abstain from that I do not abstain from, and that I will this when I might will the contrary; since otherwise I could not but will, and do what I will and do, and could not but abstain from what I do abstain, and so both my will and actions must be necessary, that being so, quod non potest aliter se habere, which cannot be otherwise. Moreover he foresees not only what will be done, but also after what manner it will be done, that free actions will be done freely, and so this preface rather
against the Doctrines Asserted.

must establish than take away the freedom of our actions; for if God foresees I might abstain from what he sees I will not abstain from, and that I might both will and do what he sees I will neither will nor do, as he must if he sees that I act freely; then he sees that I may not perish, when he sees that I will perish, and he sees that I may be willing and obedient, and so may be saved, when he sees that I will not be saved; and then there must be place and equitabte ground for all his admonitions, exhortations and motives not to perish, but to turn and live; because they are only exhortations, commands and motives to do, and to abstain from that which he sees that I may freely do, or may abstain from, and therefore must have power so to do.

If you puzzle me with these inquiries, how then can God certainly know I will do what he sees I may not do? Or, how can that be certainly known which neither in itself, nor in its causes hath any certain being; but may as well not be, or not be done, as be, or be done? This brings me lastly to observe,

Section II.—That this argument only opposeth a great difficulty arising from a mode of knowledge in God, of which we have no idea, against all the plain declarations of his revealed will, produced in great abundance, against the imaginary decrees which men have imposed upon God without just ground. The judicious (f) Le Blanc, after he had considered all the ways the wit of man had invented to rid their hands of this difficulty, how God's prescience could consist with man's liberty; breaks forth into this ingenuous confession, "Such darkness everywhere surrounds us, such inextricable difficulties occur in this matter, that I think it safest for us here to confess our ignorance, and seriously to profess the knowledge of this is too excellent for me, and so sublime, that I cannot attain unto it; and to believe this is one of those mysteries of which the son of Syrac faith, (g) seek not after that which is too hard for thee; and search not into the things that are above thy strength." Nor is it any shame, faith (h)

Mr. Thorndyke, for a christian, or a divine to profess ignorance, when the question is how a matter of faith is, or may be true; but that in a matter so subject to common understanding, as the determination of the will by its own choice, (experience justifying that which faith makes the ground of Christianity, and reason of morality) I should make the whole tenor of the Bible, the tender of Christianity, the whole treaty of God with man concerning his happiness, deliory and abuse, (as condi-

(f) De Con. Libert. hum. cum præscient. n. 43. (g) Ecclef. iii. 21. (h) Epil. part 2. p. 203.
tioning for that which no man can stir hand or foot for, till being determined he cannot do otherwise, because I cannot an-
swer an objection arising from God's presence of future con-
tingences, of which I can have no idea, seems to me very rea-
sonable. I answer therefore to these objections, that God's
doreknowledge is well consistent with the freedom of man's
will, and the contingency of events, since otherwise all men's
actions must be necessary, though I know not how it is so, and
it is therefore well consistent with his power to do the con-
trary, and therefore his foreknowledge that what may not be,
certainly will be, though I know not how it is so, and there-
fore is consistent with his commands and prohibitions, exhor-
tations, admonitions and motives to engage me to do what I
will not do, and with all his commands and admonitions to
abstain from that which I will not abstain, because the
same scripture which ascribes this foreknowledge to
God, doth also assert my liberty to do, or to refuse these
things, charges men's sins and final ruin on themselves,
and sets before them life and death, blessing and cursing, re-
quiring them to choose the one, and avoid the other, though
I know not how both these things are consistent; the reason
of my inability to discern this consistency being only my ig-
norance of what this foreknowledge of God is, or how he doth
foreknow future contingencies; thus also is it in other incom-
 municable attributes of God; e. g. I know that the first be-
ing must be, ens à se, from itself, though I have no idea of
being from himself; and also must be from eternity, though
I have no idea either of an eternity, 
unus, or of 
unus, or an eternal
succession. I know that God is omnipresent, totus ubique,
though I know not what omnipresence is, whether a multi-
lication, or an extension of himself to every ubi, or how a
spirit can be extended, the reason is because I am ignorant what
this omnipresence is, or how God is, or can be so; as there-
fore in this case I am satisfied with my experience or knowl-
edge of his actions in all places that he is so, so ought I to be
satisfied with the like experience that notwithstanding God's
foreknowledge I have as much freewill as if there were no
such foreknowledge, though I am not able to reconcile my
freewill to God's foreknowledge.

Obj. But then why may it not be said in like manner, that
all that you have offered against these decrees from the consid-
eration of divine justice, holiness, truth and sincerity, good-
ness and mercy, may be consistent with those attributes though
we know not how they can be so.

Answer. To this I answer, 
. That this is one signal dif-
ference between these two cases, that the divine prescience
hath been always owned by all christians from the beginning.
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as a necessary part of Christiânity, till Socinus began to question it; whereas these absolute decrees were never known much less asserted by any Christian, till St. Austin's time. Now against a controverted point we have a right to argue from the evident absurdities which follow from it, and the contradiction that it bears to the communicable attributes of God; whereas against a necessary article of faith, viz. the resurrection of our bodies, we can plead no difficulties of apprehending how they can be raised, and against an avowed attribute of God; viz. his eternity or omnipresence, we can raise no objections from the το πᾶς, or an inquiry, how can this be? because incomprehensibility is owned as an attribute of the divine nature; i.e. we own his nature and communicable attributes cannot be comprehended by a finite mind, and so our arguments about the manner of their existence, or their actions, only do betray our ignorance. But then,

ady. As to God's communicable attributes, the matter is far otherwise, for we are called to imitate, and to resemble him in them; to be holy as God is holy, righteous as he is righteous, kind and merciful as our heavenly Father is merciful; his truth and faithfulness and his sincerity is the ground of our hope and dependence on him, and our expectation of good things from him, and therefore we must have just and true ideas of these things, though these ideas in this state of imperfection will be still imperfect. This I find very well illustrated and confirmed by Dr. Sherlock, in his answer to that inquiry how far the unsearchableness of God's judgments is an answer to the difficulties of providence; e.g. "There is great reason for this inquiry that no man may presume to attribute any thing to God which can never be reconciled with the common notions of good and evil, just and unjust, upon this pretence, that the ways and judgments (add, and the attributes) of God are unsearchable and unaccountable, and that we ought not to demand a reason of them.

"That there are such men in the world is sufficiently known to those who understand any thing of some modern controversies in religion; I need instance at present only in the doctrine of eternal and absolute election and reprobation, on which a great many other such like unaccountable doctrines depend, that God created the far greatest part of mankind on purpose to make them miserable, or at least as others flate it, that he ordered and decreed, or, which is the same thing, essentially permitted the sin and fall of Adam, that he might glorify his mercy in choosing some few out of the corrupt mass of mankind to be vessels of glory, and to glorify his justice in the eternal punishment of all others, even of reprobated infants, as involved in the guilt of Adam's sin. Now thus far I confess
that they are in the right that these are very unaccountable doctrines; for to make creatures on purpose to make them miserable, is contrary to all the notions we have of just and good.

But though we readily confess that the ways and judgments of God are unsearchable, yet men must not think, upon this pretense, to attribute what they please to God, how absurd, unreasonable and unjust ever it be, and then shelter themselves against all objections by resolving all into the unaccountable will and pleasure of God; for God hath no such unaccountable will as this is, to do such things as manifestly contradict all the notions which mankind have of good and evil.

"God himself declares his abhorrence of all such imputations as these, as infinitely injurious to him, and appeals to the common notions of what is just and equal; to justify the general rules of his providence against the imputations of injustice in punishing the Jews for their father's sins, by saying first, (i) all souls are mine, as well the soul of the son, as of the father; and therefore he could not be thus partial to one of them above the other, and by declaring that the general rule of his providence was this, to do good to them that were good, and who continued in his goodness, and to punish them who continued in their wickedness without repentance, or turned away from their righteousness; and then leaving it to their own judgments (k) whether his ways were not equal; and elsewhere declaring what he had done to his vineyard to make her fruitful, and yet how unfruitful the remained after all his care, and leaving all men to judge between him and his vineyard.

"Thus it is too certain, that much the greatest part of the world will be finally miserable; and this is very reconcileable to the justice of God, if the greatest part of mankind will be wicked, and so deserve to be miserable: But to lay that God created the greatest part of mankind, or that he created any one man under the absolute decree of reprobation, that he made them to make them miserable, can never be justified by the unaccountable will and pleasure of God; because it is notoriously unjust, if mankind are competent judges of what is just and unjust."

In fine, this is all the Atheist endeavors to prove, and all that he desires should be granted him, to confute the belief of a God and a Providence; that God does such things as we can give no satisfactory account of, does him little service, because the unsearchable wisdom of God answers such diffic.

(i) Ezek. xviii. and Chap. xxxiii.—(k) Isa. v. 3.
culty; but if we will grant him that God acts by such rules as all men who judge impartially, according to the natural notions and the natural sense which we have of justice, must think unjust, this is what we would have; and he will give us leave to talk as much as we please of the arbitrary and sovereign will of God, but he will believe no such God: For this is not the natural notion of a God to be arbitrary, but to be just and good; and to say that God is good and just, but not good and just, as men understand goodness and justice, is to say that we have no natural notion of the goodness and justice of God, and then we can have no natural notion of a God; for if the natural notion of a God be this, that he is just and good, it seems hard to think that we should have the natural notion of a good and just God, without having any natural notion what his goodness and justice is; but instead of that, should have such natural notions of justice and goodness, as (if we believe what some men say of God) can never be reconciled with his being just and good. Add to this those excellent words of (a) Dr. Tillotson: "However we may be at a loss in our conceptions of God's infinite knowledge and power, yet goodness, and justice and truth, are notions easy and familiar, and if we could not understand these, the whole Bible would be insignificant to us; for all revelation from God supposeth us to know what is meant by goodness, justice and truth, and therefore no man can entertain any notion of God, which plainly contradicts these, and it is foolish for any man to pretend that he cannot know what goodness, and justice, and truth in God are; for if we do not know this, it is all one to us whether God be good or not, nor could we imitate his goodness; for he that imitates, endeavors to make himself like something that he knows, and must of necessity have some idea of that to which he aims to be like. So that if we had no certain and settled notion of the goodness, justice and truth of God, he would be altogether an unintelligible being; and religion, which consists in the imitation of him, would be utterly impossible." And those words of his in his sermon on God's foreknowledge, (b) "If God's exhortations were not serious, he could not foresee the final impenitency of men; for to foresee men's final impenitency is to foresee their wilful contempt of God's warnings and exhortations, and their rejection of his grace; men's wilful contempt of his warnings and exhortations cannot be foreseen, unless God foresee that his exhortations are serious, and in good earnest."

Section III. Objection 2.—Ady. It is objected, that by our doctrine we weaken the providence of God; for if he

(a) Serm. of the perfection of God, p. 15, 16.—(b) p. 161.
doth not order, and effectually move the wills of men, he cannot compass the designs of providence.

Answer. This objection will receive the shorter answer, because it falls into this great absurdity, that it makes God as much the author of all the evil as of all the good that is done in the world; for as his providences have for their object, evil actions as well as good, so is it evident that it is as necessary for accomplishing the ends of it, that he should as effectually move the wills of men to the one as to the other; as in the case of Joseph's brethren, Judas betraying his master, and the Jews clamoring for his death. So that we may answer this argument with that of the son of Syrack, (c) Say not thou, he hath caused me to err, for he hath no need of the sinful man. But,

Answer 3.---3dly. To answer more particularly, these things seem only necessary to accomplish all the designs of providence.

3dly. That God hath a perfect prospect of the events of all actions, as well of those which proceed from the free will of man, as of those which issue from natural causes, for if he can discern as well what moral causes will produce such effects in free agents, as what physical causes will produce their effects in natural agents, it must be evidently the same thing as to all the interests and concerns of providence, to compass his designs by moral, as by physical and natural causes.

3dly. That he hath infinite wisdom to direct those actions to their proper ends, and cause the good and evil done by men to serve the ends of his providence, both towards themselves and others.

3dly. That he hath power to restrain them from the execution of those purposes which would thwart the designs of his providence, either by disabling them from bringing their designs to pass, or by withdrawing the subject or the object of them, or by such dispensations as he sees will turn the bents of their hearts another way, or by infinite other means his wisdom can discern and order, and his power can effect without laying any force or necessity upon the wills of men; for if there be no action of any free agent which he cannot thus restrain, when it thwarts the designs of his providence, if he permits no action which he cannot order so as to accomplish the designs of it, it is evident that nothing is, or can be further requisite to the due ordering of all events according to his providence. But,

Section IV.---Answer 3.—3dly. Though this argument from providence doth not concern us in the leaf, yet it seems.

(c) Chap. xv, 42.
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Evidently to overthrow the contrary doctrine; for what answer can they return to these inquiries?

1/2. Is it consistent with the justice of providence to wrap up all men's fate in that of (d) Adam's? So that had he continued in his righteousness all the time before he begat a son, none of his posterity should have been the better for it, provided that he had sinned the day before; but if he once trespassed, all his posterity, to the end of the world, should be on that account the objects of God's wrath, and obnoxious to external damnation: Yea, that if he sinned but once, all men, even the new born infant, should be liable to all these miseries on that account; but though he repented of that sin a thousand times, not one of them should be the better for it; though the same-arbitrary will and decree of God could have made the penitent will of Adam, before he had brought any children, the penitent will of us all, as well as the sinful will of Adam, the sinful will of us all; and could have made him to sustain the person of all mankind when he repented, as well as when he sinned in eating the forbidden fruit; or could have made a compact with him, that if he repented of that sin, all men should be restored to his grace and favor by it, as well as he is supposed to have made a compact, that if he sinned, all his posterity should become the objects of his wrath upon that sole account.

2dly. Is it not one great part of providence to give men laws for the direction of their actions, prescribing what he would have men do and leave undone; and that under a promise of reward to the obedient, and a declaration that he will certainly and severely punish the willful and impenitent offender? Now do not they destroy both the justice and wisdom of this providence, who introduce God after the fall, (which; say they, had made man utterly unable to do any thing truly good, or avoid doing evil in any thing he doth) giving laws positive and negative for the direction of his actions, with threats of the severest and most lafting punishments if he neglect to do what is required, and to avoid what is forbidden; and that after his own decree, de non dando efficax auxilium ad vitandum peccatum, of withholding from him the assistance absolutely necessary to his doing the good required, or avoiding the forbidden evil. From whence it necessarily follows, that he to whom those precepts were given, was then incapable without that assistance of doing the good required, or avoiding the forbidden evil, especially if we consider, that in the nature of the thing, and in the opinion of philosophers, Causa deficiens in rebus necessariis, ad causam per se efficientem re-

(d) Gen. v. 3.
ducenda est, in things necessary, the deficient cause must be reduced to the efficient; and in this case the reason of it is evident, because the not doing what is required, or not avoiding what is forbidden, being a defect, must follow from the position of the necessary cause of that deficiency.

3dly. Is it consistent with the justice of providence, to aggravate the sins of reprobates on this account, that they knew their Lord's will and did it not, provided that knowledge rendered them no more able to do it than the most ignorant of men, or to make it such an aggravation of the sins of Christians, that they are committed against greater light, and stronger motives to perform their duty than ever was vouchsafed to the Heathen world; if, after this, they of them who lie under God's decree of preterition, are as unable to perform that duty as the worst of Heathens?

4thly. Is it suitable to the holiness of providence, or to that purity which is essential to the divine nature, and makes it necessary for him to bear a strong affection to, and to be highly pleased with the holiness of all that are thus like unto him, and to reward them for it with the enjoyment of himself, not withstanding absolutely to decree not to afford to the greatest part of them to whom he hath given his holy commandments, that aid, which he sees absolutely necessary to enable them to be holy, and without which they lie under an absolute incapacity of being holy?

5thly. Is it reconcilable to the goodness of providence, or to the kindness, philanthropy, the mercy and compassion of our gracious God in all his providential dispensations, to highly magnified in holy scripture, to deal with men according to the tenor of these doctrines? e.g. Can we conceive he is a God full of compassion, and one whose tender mercies are over all his works, who seeing so many millions of millions of precious souls which he had made and sent into the world, equally wanting, and equally capable of his favor, (be having also equal reason to afford it as well to them as any other souls which were his offspring) passed an absolute decree to vouchsafe his favor only to a little remnant of them, leaving the far greatest part of them under a sad necessit of perishing everlastingly for the offence of their forefather Adam, committed long before they had a being; so that they must be as fure to be damned eternally, as they are to be born in time. Can he be truly styled a God of great goodness, or of rich mercy to such men? Or a true lover of their souls? Can he uprightly declare he used all his dispensations to recover them from a perishing condition, because he had compassion on them? And yet have determined from all eternity to leave them in a remediless condition? Can he ask in good
earnest what he could have done more to make them fruitful in good works than he had done? Can he declare he was long-suffering towards them because he was not willing they should perish, but rather by his patience should be led unto repentance; and yet, from all eternity, decree to leave them under a sad necessity of perishing, and to deny them that assistance which could alone produce within them repentance unto life?

6thly. Doth it comport with the wisdom of providence, to promise or to threaten upon impossible conditions, an impossible condition being in true construction, none at all; how much less will it comport with the same wisdom to tender the covenant of grace to all mankind to whom the gospel is vouchsafed upon conditions which the most part of them, before that covenant was established, were utterly unable to perform, and who by God's decree of preterition were inevitably left under that disability; or to declare he exercised such providences towards his people to try them, thus disabled, whether they would obey his commandments or not, i. e. whether they would exercise those faculties which, under that disability, they could not exercise? Is it agreeable to this wisdom to be still soliciting, entitling, challenging, punishing, alluring and sending prophets, preachers, messengers to engage them to do what his decree, or non dandum auxilium necessarium, of not affording the aid necessary for those ends, had rendered it impossible for them to do? Surely these dealings must import this, that God saw they might have done what, through want of due attention, consideration and reflection, they did not; or that he passionately desired that might be done, which only was not done, because he did not unfruitful work the change in them? That is, he seriously desired and wished they had been of the number of his elect, when he himself, by an absolute decree from all eternity, had excluded them out of that number.

7thly. On the other hand, can it accord with the same wisdom of providence to threaten the severest judgments to them, if they repented not, or if they turned away from their righteousness, or fell away from their own steadfastness, or endured not to the end, whom he had absolutely decreed to give repentance to, and by continuance in well-doing to preserve them to a blessed immortality; or to caution them not to do so, or to inquire whether temptations had not prevailed upon them so to do, or bid them fear lest they should do so.

8thly. Is it suitable to the sincerity of his providential dispensations, of which his dealings with men by his revealed will towards them, make so great a part, to move them to the performance of their duty only by motives which he knows cannot work upon them without that farther aid he from eternity
hath determined to deny them; or to call heaven and earth to witness that he had set before them life and death, good and evil, and therefore required them to choose the good, and refuse the evil, when he before hand knew it was impossible for most of them to do either; and after all to inquire what could he have done more to render them obedient, to ask why they would not be made clean? Or when this would once be? And to wonder at their unbelief, and upbraid them for their impenitence, and to complain that, after such engaging dispensations, and such judgments, they repented not, they turned not to him, or only did this feignedly? Or, lastly, to send his messengers to them with this encouragement, it may be they will consider, it may be they will return from their evil ways, it may be they will reverence my son; for what room can there be for any of these suppositions, where the effect depends on God’s own immediate acting upon the heart, and not upon any hearing, or consideration of man without it, or upon any dispositions in them, or any means that they can use to move him to enable them to do it.

... Or lastly. Is it suitable to the same wisdom and sincerity to move such persons by promises to repent and believe, and to require them, having such promises to cleanse themselves from all filthiness of flesh and spirit, perfecting holiness in the fear of God? For seeing to call men to faith and repentance, is to call men to believe to the salvation of their souls, and to repent that they may live and not die, and therefore to be seriously willing they should be saved and not perish, and to pass antecedently a decree of preterition on the same men, is seriously to will they shall not be saved, but shall inevitably perish; what wit of man can shew how God can be serious in calling such men to faith and repentance, much less in his concern, that they might do so, or in his trouble, that they have not done so, and yet be serious and in good earnest in his antecedent decree to deny them that aid, without which they never can believe or repent?
CHAPTER II.

The third and last objection respecting the state of Heathens, to whom the gospel either never hath been tendered, or who for many ages have been deprived of the knowledge of it, being of more importance, requires a more large consideration, and therefore in this chapter I shall offer what I can produce in the discussion of it.

Objection. The difficulty, as it is abstracted from this controversy, is propounded by (e) Dr. Sherlock thus: "That since all men have immortal souls, and must be happy or miserable forever, God should for so many ages suffer the whole world, excepting the Jews, to live in ignorance, and in Pagan idolatry and superstitution, that Christ came so late into the world to reveal the true God, and to publish the gospel to them; and that so great a part of the world still are Pagans and Mahometans, and that so little a part of the Christian world retain the true faith and worship of Christ. This is ten thousand times a greater difficulty than any present evils and calamities; because the consequences of it reach to eternity."

Answer. "But then the whole difficulty is no more than this, that we know not what the condition of such men is in the other world, who lived in invincible ignorance of the true God, and of our Savior Jesus Christ in this; this we confess we do not know, but believe so well of God, that we are verily persuaded, could we see what their state will be in another world, we should see no reason to quarrel with God, only because we know not how he deals with the ignorant Heathens in the other world; if we knew how God deals with these men, and knew that he deals hardly by them, as far as we could judge, this would be a difficulty; but what difficulty is there in knowing nothing of the matter? For if we know nothing of it, we can judge nothing of it. Now seeing we cannot look into the world to come, and cannot otherwise know any thing of the future state of ignorant Heathens but by revelation, that scripture which containeth all our Revelations, saying nothing of it; it follows that we can judge nothing of it certainly."

"Some men indeed, but without any authority from scripture, confidently affirm that ignorant Heathens shall suffer the same condemnation which Christ has threatened against wilful

(e) Disc. of Providence, p. 120. &c.
insidels, and wicked christians, and then it may well be thought a great difficulty that God should as severely punish men for not knowing Christ, when he was never preached to them, and they had no other possible way of knowing him, as he will punish those who have had the gospel of Christ preached to them, but refused to believe in him, or have professed the faith of Christ, but lived very wickedly; but this is a difficulty of their own making, and it would be much more safe for themselves, and much more honorable for God, to confess their own ignorance of such matters, as they have no possible way to know, and to refer all such unknown cases to the wisdom, justice and goodness of God, than to pretend to know what they cannot know, and thence to raise such objections as they cannot answer."

SECTION I.—As this objection respects this controversy, it runs thus: That God seems to have dealt as severely with the Heathens, to whom the knowledge of his will and gospel hath never been revealed, as we can imagine him to have dealt with men according to the doctrine of absolute election and reprobation, and of special grace vouchsafed only to some few christians, whilst others are left to the defensive rule of their own wills without sufficient grace to enable them to turn to God and to do works meet for repentance. For,

1st. It may be said that we are forced to grant that the grace of conveying the gospel to any persons, and calling them to be his church and people when other nations were left in darkness, was of free grace without consideration of any worth in them to whom the gospel was vouchsafed above those who never had the knowledge of it. Now the vouchsafement of the means of grace being from such a free election, without consideration of any worth in the persons, it seems reasonable also to believe that the decree itself concerning the end, viz. the salvation tendered to us by the gospel is also free; and that it is not always applied to them whom God foreknew would use it better than others, appears from these words of Christ, that (a) if the mighty works which were done in Capernaum, Chorazin and Bethsaida, had been done in Tyre and Sidon they would have repented.

Moreover seeing it is in fact certain, that the greatest part of mankind have been always left destitute of these means of grace which were vouchsafed to the few first, and after to the Gentiles, we need not wonder why that God who freely communicates the knowledge of himself by the gospel to some nations, denying it to others, should hold the same methods with individuals, that he doth with whole bodies; for the

(a) Matth. xi. 23, 24.
against the Doctrines Asserted.

rejecting of whole nations by the lump for so many ages, is much more unaccountable than the forsaking of a few to be infallibly conducted to salvation, and leaving others in that state of disfability in which they shall inevitably fail of it. Now to this I reply,

Answ. 1. — 1st. That this objection doth by no means answer the chief arguments produced against these decrees; it faith indeed, that God may as well make such decrees, as leave the greatest part of mankind void of the means of grace; which will be afterwards considered. But what is this to our chief arguments which are all taken from the inconsistency of these decrees, with the truth and sincerity of his following declarations made in scripture viz. with his commands to all to whom the gospel is vouchsafed, to repent, with his exhortations and desires that they would do so, with his threats of ruin to them if they do not, with the sending his messengers to persuade them to it, with his declarations that he used great patience and longsuffering to lead them to repentance, and did this out of a sincere desire that they might not perish, with all the promises, motives and encouragements he hath spread before them to induce them to it, with his inquiries, why they would die? With his admiration at their continuance, after all his dealings, in their impenitence, and his upbraiding them for not repenting; with his questions when they would be made clean? With his declarations that he would have cleansed them, and they would not be cleansed; he would have gathered them, and they would not be gathered? With his appeal to them, what he could have done more than he had done to effect it, and innumerable things of the like nature, dispersed through the whole body of the scripture? When those of the contrary persuasion can shew the like inconsistency betwixt God's declarations, touching the Heathen world, and his dealings with them, then, and then only will they shew this dispensation is obnoxious to the same difficulties which we object against, these absolute decrees.

2dly. I confess there is, and ought to be allowed in reason, a greater depth in the divine providence, and in his dispensations towards the sons of men, than we can fathom by our shallow reason; for (b) he doth great things and unsearchable, and such whose footsteps we can never trace, his judgments are a great abyss, his greatness is unsearchable, his understanding is infinite. We therefore may put the question of Zophar the Naamathite to the pretended wise and prudent, and most sagacious inquirers into wisdom, (c) canst thou by wisdom find out God? Canst thou search out the Almighty to

(b) Psal. XXXVII. 3. CXLVII. 5. — (c) Job xi. 7, 8, 9.
perfection? Now from this very topic the apostle doth conclude that we are, in such cases as these are, even that of the rejection of his once beloved people, after all that we can say to vindicate his justice, and his goodness, to end in this divine apostrophe, (d) oh the depth of the wisdom, and the knowledge of God! How unsearchable are his judgments, and his ways past finding out! But then as it would be in us an intolerable piece of insolvency to say, against the plainest declarations of the scripture, that God did not in wisdom make the world, because we are not able to discern the wisdom of all things framed in it; so must it be an equal insolvency in us to say God doth not act in the preserving it, and in the ordering of affairs in it according to the meatures of true goodness, because we who are unacquainted with the inward dispositions of men, and see not the end, and cannot dive into the reasons of his dispensations, cannot discern the footsteps of that goodness in all his various transactions towards men. Now hence it clearly follows.

Section II.—That what God hath plainly and frequently revealed concerning his goodness, ought firmly to be owned and believed, although we are not able to discern how it comports with those phænomena we have observed in the world, because from that of which we have no clear or comprehensive notion, we can make no clear and certain inferences, and so can have no certain evidence that such and such things are not well consistent with the love and goodness of God to mankind; but of things clearly and frequently taught concerning the divine philanthropy, we have a full and clear idea, which therefore we in reason stand obliged to own, though we are still unable to discern how the transactions of God in the world comport with our imperfect knowledge, and weak notions of immense and boundless goodness, and perhaps false conceptions how it ought to act. "We need not, faith an excellent writer, trouble ourselves with that question which is made concerning the conversion of all, or not of all men, (c) si ea quæ claræ sunt non de his quæ occultæ sunt obscuresmus, if we do not obscure those things which are clear by those which are secret; that is, faith Dr. Barrow, if we do not obscure so clear a truth as that God is the Savior of all men, by debating how his grace is imparted to them, and by laboring overmuch in reconciling of it with other dispensations of providence.

Seeing then God hath so often and so clearly taught us that he is good to all, and that his tender mercies are over all his works, and that he careth for all alike, and the whole earth is full of his goodness, that he is abundant in goodness, and kind

(d) Rom. xi. 33.—(c) De Voc. Gent. i. i. c. 8.
against the Doctrines Affirmed.

even to the unthankful and the wicked, that he would not the
death of him that dies, but rather that he should be converted
and live; and hath confirmed this saying with an oath: See-
ing it is expressly said, that he is the Savior of all men, though
especially of them that believe; and that he would have all men
to be saved, and to come to the knowledge of the truth: I say
seeing the revelations of this nature are clear and copious, and
are to them who do believe the scripture clear and certain
demonstrations of divine goodness to the whole race of man-
kind, and all the instances propounded to the contrary in the
objection depend upon our apprehensions of what this good-
ness would be apt in our conceits to do, in which we may be
subject to great misapprehensions and mistakes; have we not
reason to believe these clear and copious revelations, notwithstanding those little scruples which from our fond ideas, and
imperfect notions of divine goodness, we do make against them?
Now to apply these things to our subject.

1st. We know from scripture how dreadful for quality,
how endless for duration will be the punishment of every
christian who fails of the salvation tendered, and that as death
leaves them, judgment will find them; but we know so little
of the future state of Heathens, from that scripture, which can
alone acquaint us with his dealings with them; that we are
uncertain both as to the measure and the duration of their
punishments: Yea we have no assurance that providence may
not put them into a better state, before their final doom, who
have wanted any opportunity here to improve themselves bet-
ter. St. Paul informs the Athenians that (f) God overlooked
the times of Heathen ignorance, but now, by the promulgation
of the gospel, he commands all men every where to repent, be-
cause he hath appointed a day in which he will judge the world
in righteousness; and of this, ἔψις ἔρις ἡττάσθη, he gave them
a proof by raising our Lord Jesus from the dead, by which
words he seems to intimate, that where there hath never been
any call by prophet or apostle to repentance, or any assurance
of a future judgment, there is like reason for his overlooking
still those times of ignorance; for parium par ratio est.

2dly. We know that God hath made a tender of the coven-
ant of Grace upon conditions of faith and repentance, to
all that live under the gospel dispensation; and that these de-
crees of absolute reprobation, and of denying the help neces-
sary to the performing these conditions, are inconsistent with
that tender; whereas we know of no such tender made to the
Heathen world, but rather that they are still strangers to the
covenant of promise. Ephes. ii. 12.

Answer to Three Objections

3dly. We know not any promises God hath made to them, nor what are the conditions he requires in order to his acceptance of them through Christ Jesus; but we know that according to these decrees God tenders his promises to the reprobate part of mankind only upon impossible conditions, and after he had passed upon them an act of preterition, and so had left them infallibly to fail of ever obtaining the blessings promised.

4thly. We know from scripture that the Heathens who never heard of Christ, and never had Christ preached to them, are not bound to believe in him; for how shall they believe in him of whom they have not heard, or how shall they hear without a preacher? Saith St. Paul, Rom. x. 14. but we know from the same scripture, that this is the command of God to all that have heard of Christ, (a) that they believe in the Son of God; and yet we know, according to the doctrine of our adversaries, that he died not for them, and that they cannot, without that aid God hath decreed to withhold from them, believe unto salvation, and therefore must be damned for that unbelief, they, from the hour of their birth to the close of their days, were never able to prevent.

5thly. We know that God sent his Prophets and Messengers, Apostles and Evangelists, to move the Jews unto repentance, and those Gentiles to whom the gospel was offered to embrace it; and that under both these dispensations he established an order of men to call all men indifferently to repentance, and to walk worthy of that vocation to which they were called; and we know this to be inconsistent with those decrees which others do contend for, unless God can seriously call them to repent and believe, whom he hath left under an impossibility of doing so; but we know not that any thing of this was done towards those Heathens to whom the gospel never hath been preached, nor ever any messenger or prophet sent, and so can make no like objections against his dealings with them. And thus I might dismis this subject; but I farther add,

Section III.—Answer 2.—3dly. This objection supposeth it the same thing to be without a gospel revelation, and to be without any means of grace at all; to be without those means the gospel tenders to the Christian, and the law tenders to the Jew, to do what is well pleasing to God, and is rewardable by his goodness, and to be without any means at all to do so; which supposition seems plainly contrary to the declarations of the holy scripture touching the Heathen world, and contrary to the express declarations of the ancient fathers, and also to be of dangerous consequence.

(a) John vii. 29.
And, 1st. This supposition seems plainly contrary to many declarations made in scripture concerning the condition of the Heathen world; for as God plainly faith even in respect to their justification, that he is the God not of the Jews only, but also of the Gentiles, Rom. iii. 29. and that he is the same Lord who is rich unto all that call upon him, Rom. x. 12. So has he also by St. Peter taught, that he is no respecter of persons, but that in every nation he that feareth God, and worketh righteousness is accepted of him, Acts x. 34, 35. Whence it appears,

1st. That some of all nations owning the true God, as did Cornelius and his friends who gave occasion for these words, not only might, but actually did fear God, and work righteousness, for otherwise they very ill deserved the titles given to the proselytes of the gate belonging to all nations, Acts ii. 10. that they were pious and devout men, and such as feared God, as hath been shewed, note on Acts x. 2. Nor could St. Peter perceive that God was no respecter of persons on the account of a thing that never did or could happen; and though it be commonly said, that there is no respect of persons in gratuitis, in things freely given; yet is that only true where no duty is required, and no service is demanded in order to that gift; where therefore God requires under penalty that Heathens should do him such and such service, if he gives the grace necessary to the performance of that service to others in like circumstances, and not to them, there he is a respecter of persons; and where he makes a promise of acceptance, or gives just reason to expect it, as he must do where he requires duty, since there can be no motive to perform that duty which will not be accepted, there the acceptance of one before another standing upon equal terms with him, is an accepting persons: Nor could St. Peter otherwise have proved from the example of Cornelius’s aims accepted by God, that he was no respecter of persons, had not God some way obliged himself without distinction of persons or nations, to accept every one that worketh righteousness. It therefore is a manifest absurdity to say that God requires the same moral duty from christians, Jews and Heathens upon condition of reward, or under penalty, and yet is no respecter of persons, if under equal circumstances he affords grace, sufficient to obtain that reward, and to avoid that penalty to one, and will not do it to another, since this most plainly is in matters of reward and punishment to have respect to one above another, without regard to the worthiness of the one, or the unworthiness of the other.

2dly. Hence it appears that God accepts men not on the account of their descent or circumcision, or of his own de-
crees, but only because they fear God and work righteousness. Now the inference from this text is this.

Coroll. That those Heathens who have at any time attained to the knowledge of the true God, may in that state perform those righteous actions which shall be acceptable in his sight.

Argument 2.—2dly. This may be gathered from these words of St. Paul, God who in times past suffered all nations to walk in their own ways, nevertheless left not himself without a witness in that he did them good, and gave them rain from heaven, and fruitful seasons, &c. Acts xiv. 16, 17. Where observe,

1st. That God did not so far suffer them to walk in their own ways, as to allow of their manners, or to leave them without sufficient means to convince them of the error of their ways, or their idolatrous worship; for this he had done so effectually as to leave them without excuse, in that they did not worship him as God, nor were thankful for his benefits, Rom. 1. 20, 21. but he so far permitted this, as that he sent them no prophet to instruct them better, and gave them no positive revelation of his will, no written instructions of that way in which he would be worshipped, as he had done unto the Jews.

2dly. From verse the 17th it appears, (1st.) That the giving rain in its seasons, and fruitful seasons of the year, is even to the Heathens a testimony of the divine providence; for this rain coming from the clouds of heaven, and those fruitful seasons depending so necessarily on the sun and moon, and the celestial influences, and being so constantly produced by them, demonstrate that they must drive them from, and owe them to him whose power and wisdom made the heaven and the earth, and therefore that they ought to worship, and to be thankful to him for them. (2dly.) These being good things and such as filled their hearts with food and gladness, and being conferred freely and constantly on them who daily provoked him by their idolatries and ingratitude, were also a clear testimony of his goodness to them, and therefore an assurance that if they, knowing him by these means to be God, would turn from their dumb Idols, and worship him as God, they might find grace and favor in his eyes, who was so good to them even whilst they did continue to provoke him. Now the knowledge of that God who made heaven and earth as the only true God who is to be worshipped, and whose providence affords us all the blessings we enjoy, and the belief that he is well inclined to shew mercy to all that thus turn to him, and to reward all that thus fear him, and are thankful to him, seems to
be all that God expected from the Gentiles, as may be gathered from Rom. i. 20, 21.

Argument 3. — 3dly. From those words of the same apostle, God that made the world and all things in it, made all nations of one blood, and hath determined the times before appointed (i.e. the fixed seasons of the year) and the bounds of their habitations, that they might seek the Lord, if haply they might feel after him, and find him, Acts xvii. 24, 26, 27. Where we learn,

1st. That God made the world with this design, that men by contemplation of the power, wisdom and goodness, visible in the creation of it, might seek after the author of it, and seeking find him; and therefore must have made it so as that it doth afford sufficient evidence that it was framed by his power and wisdom.

2dly. That to seek after God in the scripture phrase is so to seek him out that we may give him that worship which is due to him; as when the apostle faith, he that cometh to God must believe that he is, and that he is a rewarder of them that diligently seek him, Heb. xi. 6. To find him, is to obtain his grace and favor, or to find him good and propitious to them that seek him; for to what other end should men so solicitously seek after him, but to obtain his grace and favor; or why should they desire to find him, but to obtain some benefit from him thus found? Is it not upon this account that all men come unto him, and do him service? And would any one do it if they expected no advantage by it? And in this sense God faith, if from thence thou shalt seek the Lord, thou shalt find him, if thou seek him with all thy heart, Deut. iv. 29. And if you seek him he will be found of you. 2 Chron. xv. 2.

3dly. Observe that sinners cannot thus hope to seek, or find God, unless they can expect to find him merciful in the pardon of those sins they confess and forswear; and that this hath been a principle embraced by all nations appears from the propitiatory sacrifices which did so generally obtain among them even from the beginning; and therefore all, this must depend on this foundation, that God is the maker of heaven and earth, and of all that therein is; and so it evidently doth. For,

1st. That which made all things, must be itself unmade, and so must be eternal; it also must have power over all things, and so must be Almighty.

2dly. That which made all things must have power to direct, govern, order and dispose of all things as he pleases, and so his creatures must depend upon him for protection, and the disposal of all their affairs.
3dly. That which made all things in such an excellent order and dependence on each other, in such constant and unchangeable vicissitudes, fitted with such faculties, adapted to such ends and uses, must have infinite wisdom, and must design things for those ends for which he hath thus fitted them.

4thly. He that hath made things so as that they constantly in their respective seasons, produce things good and useful for the preservation and comfort of those creatures he hath made, filling their mouths with food, and their hearts with gladness, must be good to all, even to the unthankful and the wicked, and so his tender mercies must be over all his works.

5thly. He who vouchsaft such kindness to, and maketh such provisions for those bodies which we have common with the brutes, must have made some provisions for that soul which is our better part, and which is more especially derived from him, they therefore must be capable of receiving blessings and favors from him; and if they be lapsed and sinful creatures, and made so as that they certainly will be subject to sin, they must be capable of finding mercy, from him when they return from their iniquity. Now the plain inference hence is this,

Coroll. That men guided only by the light of nature may so acceptably seek God as to find him gracious and merciful towards them.

Argument 4. This, 4thly, may be proved from those words, Heb. xi. 6. He that cometh to God must believe that he is, and that he is a rewarder of them that diligently seek him; where observe,

1st. That to come to God is to serve him, throughout the tenor of the scripture, and more especially in this epistle where it signifies to come to his throne of grace by prayer. Chap. iv. 16. by the oblation of sacrifices, Chap. x. 1. by the performance of any other duty, Chap. vii. 25. x. 22. yea from the context it appears that it is ἐνεργεῖσθαι, to do what is well pleasing to him; for Enoch, faith St. Paul, received a testimony, ἐνεργεῖσθαι, that he did what was well pleasing to God; but without faith it is impossible, ἐνεργεῖσθαι, to do what is well pleasing to him; for he that (thus) cometh to God must believe that he is. Now this is the title given to God's most eminent servants before, and after the flood, that, ἐνεργεῖσθαι τῷ Θεῷ, they pleased God. To Enoch, Gen. v. 22, 24. To Noah, Gen. vi. 9. To Abraham, Gen. xxiv. 40. To Isaac, Gen. xlviii. 15. And all that God requires of Abraham to fit him for the blessings promised is, ἐνεργεῖσθαι εἰς τὸν Θεόν, to walk before me and be thou perfect. Gen. xvii. 1.
That all men may so seek God as to do what is well pleasing to him, if they diligently endeavor so to do, since otherwise it cannot be their duty to seek to please him, it being no man's duty to do that which he cannot do: He that denies this inference as to the heathen word, exempts them from all obligations to seek God whom they cannot please.

That if they do so, they shall be rewarded by him, and so God must be willing that they should seek him, and that they should be rewarded for so doing. And,

That the Heathens may have grounds sufficient to believe that they shall be rewarded for serving him diligently according to the light which God had given them; deny these two last inferences, and you deprive them of any motive to do that which is well pleasing to him, this being that which Heathens by the light of nature saw, that if piety and advantage, or profit did not go together, piety could be preserved in none. The only inference which I think needful here to make is this,

Inference. That Heathens may have faith in God, even that faith which is the expectation of things hoped for, and may encourage them to seek him diligently.

Argument 5. This may be further evident from those words, 

The wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all Ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who hold the truth in unrighteousness; where observe,

That the apostle there is speaking of the Heathen world, of the Gentiles, Ver. 16. of them who changed the image of the incorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, Ver. 23. and worshipped the creature more than the creator, Ver. 25.

Observe that this wrath of God was revealed from heaven, against their ungodliness, i. e. against their impiety in robbing God of his honor, and giving it to them which by nature were no Gods, and in being ungrateful to him who was the author of all their blessings, Ver. 21. for their unrighteousness, i. e. the violation of the laws of justice, charity and mercy towards one another, of which the Apostle speaks from Ver. 26. to the 30th.

Observe that they did this against sufficient evidence and manifestation of the truth delivered to them, holding the truth in unrighteousness; that is, by acting contrary to the notions they had, or might have learned from the law of nature, and by suppressing or corrupting the dictates of their own natural consciences; and this is still more evident from the reason of this wrath of God revealed against them, the Apostle

(b) Rom. i. 18.
saying, (1st.) That they were thus ungodly when God had shewed and made manifest to them by his works, that which might be known of him by the light of nature, Ver. 19, 20. adly. That when they had these sufficient means of knowing God, and many of them by these means did know him, they did not glorify him as God, nor were they thankful to him for the blessings he had conferred upon them, Ver. 21. and so they robbed him of his glory, and the acknowledgment of his goodness, against that light which he had given them to discern these things, and that because they liked not to retain God in their knowledge, Ver. 28. adly. That upon this account they were ἁμαρτολογηται, without excuse; for I think that interpretation of those words which makes this manifestation of himself to be given with this intention only to render them inexcusable in their damnation, unworthy of a consutation, it being to be rejected, as it is by Documentius, with an ἀρετή, or with the utmost detestation; this being the vilest imputation that can be cast upon our gracious God, to say that he vouchsafes the manifestation of himself to men only to aggravate their condemnation, and give himself a specious pretence to render them forever miserable; it is therefore certain from the reason following (they were inexcusable, ἁμαρτή, because knowing God, they did not glorify him as God) that the true import of these words is this; that God had so far manifested himself unto them by the works of his creation and his providence, that they who were not by these means induced to glorify him as God, and were not duly thankful to him for the benefits he alone had conferred upon them, were without any reasonable excuse for their neglect to do so. Now both the reason and suffrage of mankind proclaim this an excuse sufficient, for not doing anything, that they had never power or sufficient means for the performance of it; and if they had this power, and these means, it is certain that these Heathens might have thus glorified God, and have been thankful, and that doing so they would have been free from the neglect of that which by this revelation God had made their duty.

4thly. Moreover what is it to glorify him as God? Is it not to own him as the only God, to give him the worship due from creatures to their great creator? To obey his known commands; to submit to his good pleasure; to repent of all their wilful violations of his holy laws, and when they have deviated from them, to return to that obedience which they owe to him; to conform to all his imitable perfections, or in the language of the Heathens, συμφέροντες, ὑμωθήναι, ὑμοστοικόνισας καὶ θεῖ, to live the life of God, to converse still with him, to be like to, and of the same mind with him; to call upon him who is the giver of all good things, and to
depend upon him for all the blessings they did want? Is it not certain from the writings of the wiser Heathens, that they knew all this to be their duty? Or could they glorify him as God, if they neglected so to do?

Again, could they be thankful to him for his blessings, unless they acknowledged him the author of them, and owned their obligations to improve them to his honor, to love him for his bounty, and to live to him by whom they lived?

5thly. Observe, that the great reason of the wrath of God revealed against them was this; that they thus sinned against the knowledge and conscience of their duty, by holding the truth in unrighteousness, as is more clearly delivered in these words, Ver. 30. that knowing, to δικαιοσύνη, the righteous sentence of God that they who did such things were worthy of death, (they themselves passing this sentence upon those who denied the dignity, or worship of their Gods, and against many acts of unrighteousness here mentioned) they not only did the same, but took pleasure in them that did them. Now hence the inference is this,

Inference. That all the acts of ungodliness and unrighteousness, here mentioned as things too commonly practised in the Heathen world, were done against sufficient light and conviction; that they did these things against the natural light of their own consciences, and the knowledge of that duty which was due from them both to God and man.

Argument 6. This also seemeth evident from what the apostle hath declared touching the Gentiles who had not the law; to wit, that God would judge them according to their works, Rom. ii. 6. for a righteous God will only judge and condemn them for the neglect of that which they knew to be their duty, and might have done, but did not; and for the doing that which they knew to be evil, and might have avoided, but did not; and both these things supposeth they had sufficient grounds, even from the light of nature, to know, and might have by their sincerer endeavors obtained sufficient help for the performance of it; for, as Origen well reasons, if God condemned the Heathens for holding the truth in unrighteousness, and because when they knew God, they did not glorify him as God, neither were thankful; it seems agreeable to reason to believe that had they done what they culpably neglected, and therefore might have done; i.e. had they glorified him as God, and been thankful, they would have done that which was acceptable to God, and fit to be rewarded by his goodnens.

6thly. When the apostle adds, that the Gentiles which knew not the law of Moses did by nature (i.e. by virtue of the law of nature written in their hearts) the things contained in the
moral law, he must insinuate that they had the natural principles of good and evil discovered to them by their own reason and discretion. Hence when they did that which was naturally evil, their conscience, faith he, did accuse them for it, Ver. 15. whence it follows that they must have both the knowledge of the principles of natural religion, and an ability to perform them; and to avoid those sins against the light of nature for which their conscience did accuse them; for a conscience rightly informed will accuse no man for doing what he was not able to avoid. Again, when conscience in them is said, ἀπολογεῖν, to apologize, or plead for them, since conscience plainly doth imply a knowledge of a rule by which our affections are to be directed, and that our actions have been done according to, or in repugnance to that rule, it cannot otherwise plead for them than by an inward sense that they have acted suitably to that rule, or to the knowledge of their duty towards God and man, they from the light of nature or the convictions of their reason had discovered. And so much for the testimonies of holy scripture.

Section IV.—It feemeth also evident from reason, that if God would be worshipped, served and obeyed by his rational creatures, he must have given them sufficient knowledge of that being whom they are to serve, worship and obey, and of those laws which he requires them to obey; and also must have given them abilities to do them as far as he requires this to their acceptance, and motives sufficient to induce them thus to serve and to obey him; for all mens reason must convince them that a righteous God will not require any person in order to his acceptance of him, to do that good, or to avoid that evil which he hath given them no means to know, no ability to do, and no motive to perform; since what they cannot know to be their duty, or knowing cannot do, they cannot be obliged to do; and what they can have no motive to do or to avoid, they can have no just ground or reason to do, or to avoid. Now hence arise these useful corollaries.

Coroll. 1. 1st. That they who say the Heathens want sufficient means to know, or to perform those natural duties which they owe to God or man, so far destroy both natural religion and morality, because they must abolve the Heathens from any obligations to perform them; and why then are they styled duties of natural religion, or morality?

Coroll. 2.—2dly. That they who say there are some precepts which the Heathens cannot perform at all, viz. Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, &c. and thou shalt not covet any thing that is thy neighbor’s, must either say these are no duties of natural religion, or morality, and that God therefore hath not required the Heathens to perforn


against the Doctrines Asser
ted.

That they who say that all those actions of the Heathens which are for the matter good, yet are formally sins, because they are not done out of love to God as the principle, and to God's glory as the end; must either say that Heathens cannot, by the light of nature, know they are to do them from such principles, and to such ends, and then they cannot be obliged so to do them; or else that though they know they ought to do them from this principle, and to this end, yet they want power so to do them; and then they must affirm that they lie under an absolute necessity of doing all their actions with these two essential defects, and so under an absolute necessity of sinning; against the judgment of all antiquity, St. Austin not excepted, that no man is to be judged or condemned as a sinner, for that which he lies under a fad necessity to do, or to omit. One would be tempted to conceive they who so confidently aver these things, had never read those layings of the (a) Heathens who place their confidence in this, That it shall be well with them both in life and death because they truly love God, and do endeavor to be as like him as they can, and that this is the best way of doing honor to him; declaring as fully against doing those things out of vain (b) glory, and chiefly to obtain applause from men as Christians do, and saying that they are to do them out of respect to conscience, and ought even to lose their reputation to preserve it, and that (c) to live according to nature, and, ωθησασι τον θεον και εκπαίδη
tον θεον, to obey or follow the direction and example of God is the same thing; that in every thing be it great or little, we are to have respect to God, and glorify him forever and ever, and can do nothing well towards man unless we do it in respect to

(a) Soerat. Apol. p. 31. Cicero Tusc. q. r. n. 82. Jamblic. Protrep. c. 31. p. 84.
(b) Εκπαίδησιν ανίμοι ad honestum conftitum per medium infamiam tandem, nemo mihi videtur pluris affiniare virtutem, nemo illi magis devotus quam qui boni viri famam perdidit, ne conflitiam perderet, Seneca, Ep. 81. p. 704. samam occupare et, το ενομον το βικαιν προπο
(c) № με χαρίν του Ποδαρόπουλου μας του Θεού ἔργα το εἰς το θεό της
dynastias ιμοσιν, Hieroc. in Carm. Pythag. p. 23, 24. Διη μοι παν ης το μετατομα ὡς γεωργιον τι ανω της θεος το βικαιν συναφρον 
divine things: (d) That God is to be revered above all things, to be owned, thought upon, and respected in all things, to be invoked that we may obtain them, and to be celebrated for them; to omit many things of a like nature.

ady. If God hath given to all men immortal souls, it seemeth plainly hence to follow, that he hath put them some way in a capacity of being happy after death, and hath not left them under an inevitable necessity of being always miserable; for since, according to our Savior's words, It had been better for such men that they had not been born, and according to right reason, it is better not to be, than to be miserable: And since all such men must be subject to a necessity of being miserable only by being born into the world, that is only by God's own action in giving them life, and infusing a spiritual soul into them, and all their offspring must be miserable by that which God himself hath called his benediction on our first parents, by which they were enabled to increase and multiply: I say, seeing these things are so, it follows that either we must deny the immortality of the souls of these Heathens, and say that they will die with their bodies, and be liable to no account hereafter, or allow that they are placed by divine providence in a capacity of avoiding the being ever miserable in that future state. And that God hath vouchsafed some means of grace and kindness even to the souls who by his providence have wanted that light which he imparted to the Jew and Christian, may be concluded from his goodness to them in things temporal; for since he is that God who doeth good to all, and whose tender mercies are over all his works, who giveth to all men liberally, filling their hearts with food and gladness: Is it likely that he should wholly neglect their spiritual concerns leaving their precious souls entirely destitute of help, and under a sad necessity of pineing away in their iniquities, and being miserable forever? And to be fatally exposed to eternal death, without affording them any means of redress. This even to a Heathen seemed a most unworthy apprehension, that God should be.

(e) ἤπιος μὲν τὰ ψυχὰ καλῶς καὶ ἀθίνως παρασκευάζεται, ἀπὸ δὲ τὰ κρύπτω ἀπὸρος, liberal in bestowing mean things, and sparing in better things; why therefore should we Christians, who have clearer discoveries of the divine goodness, think that he who gives to all men life, breath, and all things, should utterly withhold from any the means of serving him acceptably? They surely might infer from his declarations that he is the Savior of all men, and that all souls are his, (which is plainly said to answer the complaints of those who thought he dealt hardly with them) that he is the God of the Gentiles a.

(d) Vide Gatuk, voce Deus.—(e) Max. Tyr. Dial. 27. p. 216.
against the Doctrines Asserted.

well as of the Jews; the same God who is rich unto all that call upon him, that he hath not left himself without a testi-
mony of his goodness; that he made them to seek after him, in or-
der to the finding him, and so far manifested himself to them
that they might know him so as to worship him as God, and
to be thankful to him for his benefits: That, Lastly, He hath
made them so as to be a law unto themselves, by virtue of that
light of reason he hath implanted in them, so that they have
an inward satisfaction in doing well, and an accusing con-
cience when they do that which is naturally evil; they, I say,
may more rationally conclude he is not utterly deficient in
communicating interior affinities, and promoting the good
use and improvement of these talents; since otherwise they
are not only bestowed in vain, and so as to produce no good
effect; but are really unkindnesses, as being only apt to pro-
duce ill effects on those on whom they are conferred, viz.
the aggravation of their sin, and consequently of their future
punishment.

Coroll. Hence then it follows that no Heathen nations are
wholly left without some means of knowing and some ability
of doing those things God hath made absolutely requisite to
free them from his future wrath, since otherwise they must
be born under an absolute necessity of being ever miserable.

Section V. — Lastly. I add that it cannot be consistent
with divine equity and goodness to make that a condition of
any man's happiness which he cannot know to be his duty,
or knowing cannot do; since this must certainly subject him
to an impossibility of being happy, and therefore to a certainty
of being miserable; which, by the former proposition, must
be repugnant both to the justice and the goodness of God.

Coroll. Hence it is evident that the knowledge of any reve-
elation made to Jew or Christian, cannot be necessary to the
happiness of the Heathens in general, and much less the prac-
tice of any purely Christian duty; because it is morally im-
possible that many of them should come to the knowledge of
these things; and therefore faith in Christ Jesus cannot be
necessary to the salvation of as many of them as have never
heard of him; for how, faith the apostle, (f) shall they be-
lieve in him of whom they have not heard? And to whom no
preacher of Christ Jesus hath been ever sent; for how shall
they hear without a preacher?

Section VI. — Lastly. This I think certain, that God
will only judge men at the last for sinning against the means
he hath vouchsafed them to know, and to perform their duty,
and only by that law which he hath given them; for sin being

(f) Rom. x. 14.
only a transgression of a law; where God hath given no law forbidding any action, there can be no imputable transgression of it; and where he hath given no law commanding, there can be no neglect of duty.

Coroll. Hence it must follow, that those Heathens to whom the law of nature hath been only given, can be judged only for the violations of that law; that is for the neglect of that which by that law they might discern to be their duty to perform, or their sin to commit; God's wrath being only revealed from heaven against them who held the truth in unrighteousness. And therefore as almost all the Theses laid down by Vossius, in his disputation de Virtutibus Gentilium, are absurd; so the first Thesis which makes this a requisite of a good action, that it be done according to the law of God, if he understands this of a written law of which the texts alleged by him only speak, is the firstborn of absurdities; as requiring the Heathens to know the revealed will of God, in order to their doing good, without a revelation, and saying that a righteous judge will judge the Heathens by a law he never had revealed to them, and condemn them for not walking by that rule he never gave them for the direction of their actions. As absurd is his fifth Thesis which requires this condition as necessary to render the actions of the Heathens profitable to them, ut promiserit Deus remunerari fe ea velle aeterna vita, that God should have promised to reward them with eternal life; this being to make it necessary in order to any motive they can have, that is, to any hope they should be better for any good they do, that they should have a promise of eternal life; who are strangers to the covenant of promise, and are incapable of having it, no such promise being ever made to any without a revelation: Sure it is, from the words of the apostle, that if they have any motive to serve God, they must have reason to believe that he is a rewarder of them that diligently seek him, and so their service must be done in faith; but then what that reward will be, it being not of debt but grace, it is impossible for them to know without a promise. And this I think so far unnecessary to their good actions, that I rather incline to believe that they among them who endeavored to live holy and righteousness with respect to a recompence without a promise, on the account of divine goodnes, and of his love to virtue wherever it was found, will find a suitable reward from God; and that he highly did approve that noble resolution of Socrates, That being persuaded that good men living and dying should be happy, and bad men punished; (g) I, faith he, bid adieu to the applause of the world, καὶ σκοτών ἤμεσα ἀμπελοδομὴν τοῦ κρίν.

(g) Plato Georg. p. 352.
against the Doctrines Affected.

...would make it my care how I may appear before my judge with a most pure soul, and how I may live and die the best of men: As also that of (b) Scipio, who being told in a dream by Africa-
nus, certum esse in caelo et definitum locum ubi beati ævo sempiterno fruuantur, that there was a certain place in heaven where the blessed lived forever, and that the way to it was that of piety and righteousness: enters into this serious resolution, (i) I have always had some regard to these things, nunc autem tanto præmio proposito emitur multo vigilantius, but now in pros-
pect of this high reward, I will pursue them with the greater diligence.

SECTION VII.—5thly. God having laid down this meth-
od in the dispensation of his gifts, that he who is, in divinis
misis, faithful in the least talent, shall have a suitable reward,
and that to him that hath, so as to improve what he enjoys,
shall more be given, and vice verfa, we may hence rationally
conclude that he who diligently endeavors to do good accord-
ing to that light he hath received, shall find some tokens of the
favor of God; and that if any farther aid be requisite to ena-
ble the Heathens acceptably to perform their duty, the divine
goodness will impart that also to them by those secret dispen-
sations of his providence which we are not acquainted with;
for as to idiots and infants, among christians, God’s mercies
are vouchsafed, and our Savior’s meritorious performances
are applied in a manner not understood by us, without any
capacity in them to know or believe any thing, so may God’s
grace be communicated to, and the merits of Christ avail for,
ignorant Heathens, in a way unknown to, and unsearchable
by us. And therefore as the Heathens did acknowledge that
the divine assistance was necessary to the performance of their
duty, so did they accordingly expect it and declare that (l)
nullus unquam vir magnus fuit sine aliquo aequo Divino, no
man ever was or could be excellent without some divine assis-
tance; and that by reason of mens proneness to vice, and the
difficulty of a virtuous life, they stood in need, (m) τις αν-
ωτατος και ούκωνωσιος, of God to be their helper, and their
coadjutor. Whence we may argue, that where this was want-
ing, it is not for want of knowledge, or of power, but for
their slothfulness, and the abusing of their talents, they shall
be condemned.

SECTION VIII.—And Lastly, We may reasonably con-
clude God will deal with them in respect both to the accepta-


C e e
tion and reward of their good, and his displeasures against, and punishment of, their evil actions according to the measures of their ignorance and knowledge, the abilities, motives and inducements afforded to them to do or to avoid them; and that in these particulars,

If. That their good actions done upon less convictions, aids and motives, may be more acceptable to God than the like actions done by Christians upon much stronger evidence, and better aids, and more powerful inducements to the same actions, because this shews a greater readiness to attend to the objects of our faith, and better inclination to the performance of our duty. Hence Christ speaks thus to Thomas, (n) Hast thou seen, and therefore believed? Blessed are they who have not seen, and yet have believed. From which words both interpreters and schoolmen gather, that faith upon lesser evidence is of greater merit, because it shews a greater promptitude in the will to embrace, and a stronger affection to the objects of faith. And the (p)Centurion’s faith is commended above that of Israel, because he believed in Christ upon lesser evidence than they, who were acquainted with Moses and the prophets, had; and upon those words of Christ to the Syrophoenician woman, (p) O woman, great is thy faith. I have descended thus, “Great is thy faith, that having no promise to rely upon, and suffering so many reproaches, and such seeming contempt, thou still retainest so good hope of my kindness and mercy.” The faith of those who firmly rely upon God’s promise, and are not by great temptations and afflictions moved from their confidence is praiseworthy; but highly excellent is their faith who depending only upon his goodness, do place their humble confidence in God, embracing the faith of Socrates as most certain truth, (q) that no evil could happen to a good man, living or dead. Nec unquam ejus res à Diis immortalibus negligentur, because the immortal Gods could never neglect his affairs. “Whence we may learn that the faith of Gentiles is not only pleasing to God, but sometimes more excellent than that of those to whom the promises belong, viz. when upon lesser motives it brings forth equal fruits.” Thus, v. g. it is praiseworthy in a Christian, to take no thought for tomorrow, but to depend on the assurance Christ hath given him that he shall want neither food nor raiment; but it is more noble in an Heathen, (a) Epictetus, to believe that a servant of God should not be solicitous for the morrow, and put the question thus, ὡς ἐντοίχων; τίς εἰς ἄνδρας, καί

any good man fear he may want food? Doth God so regis,his servants, and his witnesses of his care and providence? It is a christian virtue to be contented, and to acquiesce in all God's providences, even under all the comforts, the afflances, the promises and hopes which christianity affords; but for a poor slave or servant, as (b) Epistle was, to be able thus to appeal to God, Did I ever, Lord, accuse thee, or complain of thy government? Was I not always willing to be sick when it was thy pleasure that I should be so? Did I ever desire to be what thou wouldst not have me to be? Was I ever the less pleased upon that account? Am I not always ready to do what thou commandedst? Did I ever transgress thy precepts, or abuse the faculties that thou hast given me? Will thou have me to quit the plays? I go from them full of thanks that thou admittest me to see thy works, and understand the administrations of thy providence. Will thou have me to continue here, I will freely do as thou wiltst? Wouldst thou have me to depart hence, I will freely do it at thy command? (c) I have always had my will subject to that of God. (d) Would he have me to be feverish, I would be so? To desire or attempt any thing, I will desire and attempt it? Would he not have me to enjoy it, I would not have it? Would he have me die, I am willing to die? (e) Deal with me according to thy pleasure; I am always of the same mind with thee: I refuse nothing which thou art pleased to lay upon me; lead me whither thou wiltst; clothe me as thou pleasest; I will be a magistrate, or private person; continue me in my country or in exile, I will not only submit to, but defend thy proceedings in all things. Let me fee in christians a more entire submission to the will of God, that I may prefer their christian virtues before his splendid sins.

2dly. The Heathens also may expect a reward upon performance of their duty; for as (f) much will be required of them to whom much is given, so to whom little is given, of them little, will be required, faith our Lord. We see it is thus in reference to all other things of a like nature, the lefs our subsance is, the lefs charity is required from us; the lefs strength they have to perform it, the lefs service will be expected from a child or servant; the lefs time men can spare from their necessary labor, the lefs time will God require to be employed in his immediate service; and the weaker men's intellectual faculties are, the lefs measure of knowledge we require from them: And what reason have we to believe God will not deal with

Heathens after the same gracious measures, abating somewhat of that duty which he requires towards their acceptance, because he hath afforded to them less means to know, less aid and motives to perform it.

3dly. The Heathens may reasonably expect that God should be more ready to pardon and pass by their transgressions, because there must be in them the more of ignorance, and so the less of contempt; and so the more of that which renders them excusable, and the less of that which aggravates transgression: And, faith good (g) Salutian, Ignosci aliquatenus ignorantiae potest, contemptus veniam non meretur, ignorance may obtain pardon where contempt will not. Hence our Lord prays thus for his murderers, though most of them were guilty of affected ignorance, (h) Father, forgive them, they know not what they do. St. Peter faith to them, (i) I know ye did it ignorantly, as did also your rulers. Repent ye therefore and be converted, that your sins may be blotted out. St. Paul faith, that (k) being ignorant of him, and of the words of the prophets, they fulfilled them in condemning him; whereas, (l) had they known him, they would not have crucified the Lord of glory. And how much more may be said to mitigate the ignorance of Heathens, of whom St. Paul discourse as of men left, Συμφιλέων, to grope after God, as in the dark, if haply they might find him; and who are often by him styled darkness, and children of darkness, before the light of the gospel shone upon them, and therefore these times of ignorance, faith he, God overlooked. Acts xviii. 30.

4thly. They may expect that God should be more patient and long suffering towards them before he punisheth, because the less the light is they enjoy, the less is their offence against it; (m) Provunt esse virta paria, fed in his vitiiis necesse esset peccata nostra esse graviore, so that where the vice may be equal, the sin, faith Salutian, must be the greater, and so deserve a swifter vengeance. Thus God bore with the Amorites four hundred years, after his promise made to Abraham, (n) that his seed should inherit their land, because the sins of the Amorites were not yet full, there being then, doubtless some good men among them, under the government of Melchisedeck, the priest of the most high God; and probably among the confederates of Abraham, Eschol, Manre and Mer, and the subjects of King Abimelech; whereas, when the time came for their desolation, we find not one worthy to be saved from desolation, but Rahab, and she was an Harlot.

And when the old world was grown so generally, and so prodigiously wicked, that the imaginations of their hearts were only evil, and that continually, and there was not one good man left among them besides Noah, God still grants them one hundred and twenty years space for their repentance; and this is that time as St. Peter faith, (p) the long suffering of God waited in the days of Noah; whereas Christ's admonition to the churches of Ephesus and Smyrna runneth thus, (q) Repent, or I will come unto thee quickly.

SECTION IX.—Lastly, It is also reasonable to conceive that God may be more gentle in the punishment of their iniquities, according to our Lord's own aphorism, (r) He that knew not his Lord's will and therefore did it not, shall be beaten with few stripes, because his sin has to much less of wilfulness; whereas he that knew his Lord's will, and yet did it not, shall be beaten with many stripes, because he sits out of presumptuous contempt of known duty. Now, faith St. James, (s) To him that knoweth to do good, and doth it not, to him it is sin, imputable to his condemnation. And these let it be noted, that in the opinion of (t) Origen, Tertullian and Salvian, the person to be beaten with many stripes is the Jew, that knew the will of God; the person to be beaten with few is the Gentile who knew it not. We may pass a severe sentence on them, as if they were like brute beasts made to be destroyed: but it would be more charitable to say with Salvian (u) Qualiter in die judiciori puniendi funt, nullus potest scire nisi solum Judex, how they shall be hereafter punished God only knows. However God exercises greater patience to them here, than towards us christians, Cum sciat eos ea facere quae nesciunt, nosnos autem negligere quae credunt, because they know not that which they do is evil, but we neglect what we know to be our duty. Hence Christ declares it will be more tolerable for Sodom in the day of judgment, than for Capernaum; for Tyre and Sidon, than for Chorazin and Bethsaida; because, had the mighty works Christ did in those days, been done in Tyre and Sidon, they would have repented in sackcloth and ashes. And this brings me to the consideration of the inference made in the objection from these words, viz.

Hence it appears that the means of salvation are not always applied to them whom God foresaw would use them better.

Answer. Now to this inference I answer, that it is wonderful to hear those very men making this inference from these

words, who when they are pressed with this plain inference from them, that if the miracles Christ did in these cities to confirm that heavenly doctrine by which he called them to repentance had not been sufficient for that end, without that unfruitable impulse which the event shews he was not pleased to afford them, he must not only have upbraided, but pronounced the heaviest judgments against those cities for not doing that in which they had no sufficient means afforded them to perform; they answer that these words do not shew that God foresaw these means would have had actually this effect on Tyre and Sidon, but only that in humane probability, they might have had this effect upon them, or that men might have reasonably expected this fruit from them. Or, adly. That these words do contain such an hyperbolical expression, as Christ used in saying, (x) if these should hold their peace, the stones would immediately cry out; or which we use by saying this would make stones speak, a blind man may see this, or a child may understand it; and so these words serve only to shew the unreasonable effects of the impenitency of those cities (without the divine impulse absolutely necessary to that end) but never were intended to intimate the sincerity of the repentance these miracles would have wrought in Tyre and Sidon; these subtleties I have confuted in the note upon these words, Matth. xi. 24. To which I add these words of (y) Mr. Thorndyke: "I find no good reason to infer positively, as our Lord doth, that Chorazin and Bethsaida shall be tormented more than Tyre and Sidon, because, probably, Tyre and Sidon would have repented. The same I say to others who would have our Lord say only this, (z) That had these miracles been done in Tyre and Sidon, they would have repented, but not from the heart, because miracles are not able to convert any one to God from the heart; for in conscience is there any reason that Chorazin and Bethsaida should fare worse than Tyre and Sidon, because they would have repented as Hypocrites, continuing no less sinners than if they had not repented. To say as others do, That had God ordained these miracles to be done at Tyre and Sidon, he would have determined their wills by his immediate act to be converted, is to say that our Lord, by a mental reservation, says that, whereas he expresseth not the reason, and so cozens them who satisfy themselves with the reason which he doth express."

When (adly.) they do in favor of these false interpretations add, That it would be an act of cruelty in God to have denied

(x) Luke xix. 42. — (y) Phil. Part 2 p. 173. — (z) Camero. in

leçon.
them those means which he foresaw would have produced in them repentance to salvation, I reply three things.

1st. That they here say more than can be necessarily inferred from Christ's words, who faith indeed that Tyre and Sidon would have repented in sackcloth and ashes, i.e. with repentance at present true and sincere, and in which they persevering might have remained to those days. But so did Nineveh repent at the preaching of Jonas in sackcloth and ashes; and the text faith, God saw their works that they returned from their evil ways, but then they afterwards relapsed into them to their utter ruin. Philip converted the people of Samaria by miracles, but they were soon perverted again by the forgeries of Simon Magus; the stony ground believed for a season, but in time of persecution fell away; and so did many of the Jews who had once truly owned the faith of Christ, so that (a) it had been better for them not to have known the way of righteousness, than after they had known it, to depart from the holy commandment; and who can tell whether God might not foresee that the repentance of Tyre and Sidon, though for a season true, would not have ended in a like apostasy?

2dly. I add that it is most absurd to say that God is cruel towards any person or nation, provided he apply not to them all the means his wisdom can discover to be effectual to bring them to repentance and salvation, this being to condemn our gracious God of cruelty in the whole course of his Providence; for, doubtless, his infinite wisdom could have found out means, throughout all ages, to have converted more than actually were converted, yea to have turned the hearts of all mankind unto him. Even this of miracles might have been as effectual to any other people, as it would have been to Tyre and Sidon, to the conversion of infidels in any age, as in the first ages of christianity. The appearance of Christ to them in the like miraculous manner as he did to Saul, might have been as effectual to convert any other Jews, and God in his infinite wisdom might have foreseen they would be so; must he be therefore cruel because in all ages, from the first degeneracy of mankind to this day, he did not always use these means for their conversion; and if he doth not still continue them whilst the world lasts? Who then can free his providential dispensations from this blaspemous charge? Surely, if means sufficient for the performance of that duty which God requires from any person or nation, and for neglect of which he will condemn them at the last, be all that can be requisite to exempt God from this charge of cruelty, even those of Tyre and Sidon had, or might have had, them; God send...
ing his messages to them by his prophets, and they living in
the land of Canaan, being not unacquainted with the miracles
God wrought for his own people, Christ also being sometimes
in their coasts, and they being as able as others were to come
from the coasts of Tyre and Sidon (b) to hear him, and to be
healed of their diseases. And if other Gentiles had not such
means, Why was God’s wrath revealed from heaven against
that ungodliness and unrighteousness which they had not suf-
icient means to avoid? And why doth God complain of the
impenitent, the disobedient and unbelievers, because they-o-
 beyed not his precepts, and hearkened not to his calls and in-
vitations, and were not induced by his promises and threats;
his patience and longsuffering, and all the other methods of
his providence, to faith, repentance and obedience? Why
doth he marvel at, complain of, and upbraid them for that,
which they had no sufficient means to alter or amend?
, gld. If means unfruitfully effectual be necessary on this
account, who lay this odious charge upon God so evidently as
they do who tell us he hath left the greatest part of all mankind
under an absolute decree, not to afford them those means
which he sees absolutely necessary for the performance of that
duty without which they cannot be happy, or for avoiding
that sin by which they shall inevitably be miserable to all eter-
nity?

CHAPTER III.

For a closer I shall briefly add some testimonies of the
primitive fathers concerning God’s general goodness to the
world throughout all ages, and more especially towards the
heathens.

Section I.—Cent. 1. Clemens Romanus, in his epistle to
the Corinthians, speaks to them thus, Let us diligently inspect
all ages, and we shall find that in all ages God gave place for
repentance to all that would turn to him. This Noah preached
to the old world, and Jonas to the Ninevites; and they

(b) Luke vi. 17.
against the Doctrines Asserted.

repenting of their sins, εξελέησαντο των θεων ἴκτενουσαντες καὶ ἔλαβον ἑαυτης καίσερ ἀλλοτριος τω θαυματης, appeased God by prayers, and obtained salvation, though they were aliens from God; i. e. not in covenant with him as the Jews were.

Cent. 2. Justin Martyr highly approves of that saying of Plato, (a) That they who seek to appease God by vows and sacrifices, ought, το πατεσθαι καὶ μεταγινωσκειν εφ οις ήμαρτον, to repent of, and forsake their sins; which if they conceive God inflexible, they will never do, εις ουκ ἐφελος εκ της μετανοιας έξειν οἱμενοι, as expecting to receive no benefit from their repentance.

He adds, (b) That wicked Demons did persecute, της σωματικης, of σωματικης, of Сωκράτους, καὶ της δομικης, the good, as Socrates, and those that were like him, Heracleitus, and Mufonius, καὶ των της και σωματικης δεσμωτη κατα λογου βιων σωματικων, and και κατα νους κεφαλην, and all who any way endeavored to live according to reason, and eschew wickedness. (c) That whatsoever the philosophers or lawgivers found out or spoke well, they found out, δια λογου μερος ου εις Χριστος, by participation of the Logos, which is Christ. (d) That God made all mankind with understanding and liberty of will, άρισται ται άληθει και έυμαρται, to choose the truth and do good; so that they who neglected to do so, ανακαλοντων παρα τω βεβα, inexcusable before God. (e) And that, οι μετα λογου έσωσαντες κρισναιοι ήσυ της Сωκράτους και Ηρακλειτος, they who live according to reason are Christians, as was Socrates and Heraclitus, on which place see the note of Langius.

Athenagoras faith, (f) That God made them, εις την αει διαμονην, to live forever, who bearing the image of God in themselves, did participate of a mind and rational judgment; that knowing their maker, and his power and wisdom, νομιζον ται συνεδρευον, and δια και τουτοι δε συνδεσμωνον αναινως οις την προασδομουν εχρησιν ζων, (g) That walking according to the law of nature and justice, they might live forever in those things by which they had strengthened the life they formerly received. And again, η μεν την γενεαν αεις ζωοντων την εις αει διαμονην, the cause of man's production confirms his perpetual duration, God having made him with an immortal soul, and given him, νεως ται νοους έμφυτους, a mind, and natural law to direct all his actions, and made him the protector of his magnificence and wisdom in all things; και έπει τοις αυτο διαμονην εκσιχναι, and adorned him with all things necessary for that duration, according to his will and purpose, and the nature which he hath received.

Answer to Three Objections

Cent. 3. Clemens of Alexandria, handles this subject fully, proving this from the title of God that he is the Lord and Savior of all; for, faith he, (h) the Lord both of the Greeks and the Barbarians persuadeth all that are willing, but compels none, εξ ἀυτῶν Σωτηρίαν λαβέω, to receive salvation from him, because he may choose it; for he that is Lord of all, takes care of all as far as it belongs to him so to do, Σωτήρ γὰρ ἐστιν χρίς τῶν μὲν, τῶν δὲ ἰ, for he is the Savior not of some only, and not of others; but as everyone is fitted for it, τὸν ἑαυτοῦ διενειμένον ἐνεργείαν καὶ Ἑλληνικαὶ καὶ Βαρβάρικας, he distributes his goodness both to the Greeks and the Barbarians. He adds, (i) that the law from the beginning was this, that whoever would, might choose virtue; and therefore the precepts in the law, καὶ ποιεῖ τὸν νόμον τὸν μὲν ἐλεόμενον ἄφαντα ἡμῶν, καὶ μακάριον γέρας λαμβάνειν ἔτεκαν, and before the law, appointed every one that would to receive eternal life, and the blessed reward; ὁί δὲ γὰρ πάντα ἴσα κεῖται πάρθενον τοῦ θεοῦ, καὶ ἑαυτὸς αἰμετώνοις, (k) for all things are alike afforded by God, and he is blameless; but let him choose them that can, καὶ ὁ ἐνθάνατος ἐοὐμεί, and he who is willing can do it.

To that inquiry of Celsus, why God doth not free all men from their vices? Origen answers, (l) that God doth not always send correctors of them, οἱ γὰρ ἐστίν τα βιλατεῖα προχαλάτες μεν τὸν λόγον θεοῦ ἑαυτὸς δεδωκώτος ἕστιν ἐν ἄνθρωποις; for the reasons which provoke men to choose the best things, are by God put into the hearts of men. To his inquiry why God after so many ages attempted to justify men? Origen answers, (m) that οὐ εἰσὶν ὅτι ἐκεῖνοι ἐκκείσαι τὸν ἄνθρωπον ἐν θεῷ, there was no time when God was not willing to render the lives of men righteous; for he was always mindful of this by giving them occasions of virtue, and the amendment of their lives. To that imputation of Celsus, that Christians held that God neglecting all other men, took care only of them, (which in the language of our adversaries is true of the elect only among Christians) Origen answers, (n) that this doctrine was falsely imputed to Christians, they knowing from the scriptures that God loveth all men, and hateth nothing that he hath made; that the earth is full of his mercy, and that it reacheth to all flesh.

Cent. 4. Nazianzen faith (o) that there being many things for which God is to be celebrated or admired, yet is there, οὐδὲν ἀσκος ὡς τὸ πάντων ἐνεργείαν ἑδωκότων, nothing so proper to him, as to do good to all. And Chrysostom speaks thus, (p)

against the Doctrines Asserted.

Before the coming of Christ, ενων καὶ μὴ ὑπολογίζοντας τὸν Χριστὸν τοτε σωθῆναι, they that believed not in Christ might be saved, τιτε μὲν γὰρ εἰς Σωτηρίαν προκε τοῦ θεοῦ εἰσέχεις μοιν; for then the knowledge of the true God; and freedom from idolatry was sufficient for salvation. And this he proves from these words of the apostle, (q) glory, honor and peace to every one that doth good, to the Jew first, and also to the Gentile. St. Jerom also faith, that from those words of St. John concerning Christ, (r) he is the true light that enlighteneth every one that comes into the world; it is plain, natura omnibus ineffe Dei notitiam, nec quenquam sine Christo nasci, et non habere in se semina sapientiae, et justitiae reliquarumque virtutum; unde multi sine fide, et Evangelio Christi, vel sapienter faciunt aliqua vel sanget; that the knowledge of God is by nature in all men, and that no man is born without Christ, or who hath not in himself the seeds of wisdom and justice, and of all other virtues, whence many, without faith and the gospel of Christ, perform some things either wisely or holily.

Cret. 5. The author of the treatises de vocatione Gentium faith (s) we firmly believe, and piously confess, according to the scriptures, that the care of the divine providence was never wanting to mankind in general, who, though he chose to himself a peculiar people instructed by his laws, nulli tamen Nationi hominem bonitatis suæ dona subtraxit, yet hath he not withdrawn from any nation the gifts of his goodness, but let them receive the voices of the prophets, and of the law, in Tertullianiis Elementorum, in the evidence of the elements (or first principles of natural religion;) (t) for he gives them his laws in their minds, easque in cordibus eorum digito suo inscribit, and writes them with his finger in their hearts, that they may obtain the knowledge of God, not by humane reason, but by his instruction. And we believe that the help of the divine grace was never wholly withdrawn from any; (u) for the eternal goodness of God doth not so withdraw itself from those men, ut illos ad cognoscendum se atque meendum nullis significationibus admoneret, as to admonish them by no intimations that they should know and fear him; (w) for the heaven and earth, and every creature is so ordered to the advantage of man, that by the contemplation of them, by the experience of so great goodness, and the receipt of so many gifts, ad cultum et dilectionem fui imbuherentur Authoris, implente omnia spiritu Dei, they may be instructed to worship and love that God whose spirit filleth all things. For, faith he, (x) datur unicuique fine merito unde tendat ad meritum, et datur ante ullam laborem.

(q) Rom. ii. 10. — (r) In Gal. c. 1. f. 70. M. — (s) L. 1. c. 2. — (t) Cap. 3. — (w) Cap. 7. — (w) Lib. 1. c. 1. — (x) Cap. 2.
unde quisque mercedem accipiat secundum suum laborem, to
every one is given that, without any worthiness, by which he
may tend to what is worthy, and that is given, without his la-
bor, from whence he may receive a reward according to his la-
bor; (y) for the experience of all ages teaches us the just mer-
cy of God, and his merciful justice, that he was never wanting
in nourishing men's bodies, nec docendis juvandisque eorum
mentibus deluisse, or in instructing and assisting their minds;
(z) for there was always exhibited to all men a certain mea-
sure of teaching from above, in which though the grace was
more sparing and obscure, sufficient tamen sicut Deus judicavit
quibildam ad remedium, omnibus ad testimonium, was yet
sufficient in God's account for a remedy to some, and for a
testimony to all; and they who never heard of the gospel were
yet men, (a) quibus illa mensura Generalis auxilii quae defu-
per omnibus hominibus est præbita, non negatur, to whom is
not denied that general measure of aid which is afforded to all
men from above, the manifold and ineffable goodness of God,
so far consulting the good of all men; ut neque ulli peren-
tium fuppetat excutatio de abnegato fibi lumine veritatis, that
none that perish shall be able to say in their excuse, that the
light of the truth was denied them; seeing it may be proved
that not only in the last days, but in all ages past, (b) gratiam
Dei omnibus hominibus affuisse, the grace of God was afford-
ed to all men.

(y) Cap. 3.—(z) Cap. 5.—(a) Cap. 9.—(b) Cap. 10.
HEN I had put these papers to the press, I met with a treatise of the Rev. Dr. John Edwards, in which he hath done me the honor frequently to attempt the refutation of the expositions given in my Annotations of texts relating to these controversies; all which shall, by divine assistance, be fully vindicated from his exceptions in due time. But leaving that work to a farther opportunity, I shall at present only consider his weak attempts to free the doctrines he hath so zealously espoused, from the imputation of novelty; and demonstrate, that not one of them was, in his senfe, maintained before St. Austin's time, and that some of them were not owned by any ecclesiastical writer for a long time after. And,

1. Whereas he absolutely denies that election to life and salvation is on the account of faith, or works foreseen, Vossius, in his pelagian history, declares, that (a) all the Greek fathers always, and all the Latin fathers, who lived before St. Austin, held that they were predestinated to life, whom God foresaw that they would live piously and righteously; or, as others say, whom he foresaw, would believe and persevere to the end. And

this he proves from the testimonies of Just in M. Irenaeus, Clemens of Alexandria, Chrysostom, Theodoret, and others of the Greek church. And among the Latic, from the testimonies of Tertullian, Hilary, St. Ambrose, Hilarius Diaconus, and St. Jerom.

Proser, in his epistle to St. Augustin, inquires of him how he may avoid this imputation of novelty; for, faith he, (b) having had recourse to the opinions of almost all that went before me, concerning this matter, I find all of them holding one and the same opinion, in which they have received the purpose and the predecessin of God according to his presence: that for this cause God made some vessels of honor, and others vessels of dishonor, because he foresaw the end of every one, and knew before how he would will and act under the aid of grace. And, after this ingenious confession, was this very Proser fit to be produced by the doctor as a witness of the antiquity of these doctrines? To what end also, doth he cite St. Augustin as a witness of their antiquity, who manifestly owns, that he was formerly of the other opinion? This his own words import, as you may see in Vossius, p. 545, 546, 547.

Again, is it not wonderful to find the doctor laying so dogmatically, that (c) this election without the foresight of good works is asserted by that St. Jerom, who on that place of Malachy, Jacob have I loved, and Esau have I hated, faith, (d) the love and hatred of God ariseth either from his foreknowledge of things future, or from works? in his commentary on the Galatians, he brings in the Heretics, i.e. the Valentinians, Basilidians and Manichees, disputing thus, (e) that a just man would not be chosen before he had done any good, nor would the sinner be hated before he had sinned, unless the nature of those that perish, and of those that are saved were different; and answerers, (f) that this happeneth from the foreknowledge of God,

(c) Page 503.
(d) Porro dieicto et odium Dei, vel ex praecidentia nascitur futurorum vel ex operibus, aliquin novimus quod omnia Deus diligat, nec quicumquam erit quae creavit. F. 127. H.
(e) Nuncum aut juvus diligenter, antequam aliquid boni faceret, aut peccator odietur ante delictum, nisi effect perdantum, et salvandum natura diversa.
(f) Ad quod potest simpliciter respondi, hoc ex Dei praecendentia evident, ut quicunque futurum, prius diligat quam oritur ex uero, et quorum peccatorum, odedit antequam peccet, non quid in amore, et in odio iniquitas Dei sit, sed quo non alter ego habeas debeat, quos fit vel peccatores futuros esse, vel justos. Comm. in Gal. F. 70. L. 11.
who loves him whom he knows will hereafter be righteous, be-
fore he is born, and hates him whom he knows will be wicked,
before he sins; not that there is iniquity in the love and hatred
of God, but because he ought not to deal otherwise with them,
whom he knows will be hereafter just, or wicked. And speak-
ing of those words, Eph. i. 4. God hath chosen us in him, be-
fore the foundation of the world, that we should be holy, he
faith, (g) that this belongs to the foreknowledge of God to
whom all future things are as already done, and to whom all
things are known before they be done, even as St. Paul was pre-
destinated in his mother’s womb. And still more wonderful is
it, that the doctor should attempt to prove this was St. Jerem’s
sentiment from his first book against Ruffinus; when in the
only place relating to that matter, he repeats the very words
last cited, and immediately adds, (h) certè in expostione illâ
nullum crimen, surely there is no fault in that exposition. He,
indeed, in that place rejects the opinion of Origen, that souls
were elected before the foundation of the world, propter Sanc-
titatem, et nullum vitium peccatorum, for their holiness, and
freedom from all sin, by this good argument, that the text
faith they were chosen, not because they were holy in another
world, but that they might be holy in this. But then he adds,
that he refers this election not to the original of souls,
Sed ad Dei Praescientiam, to the foreknowledge of God.

To proceed to his second article concerning free will: Here he affords in the general, (i) that the opinion of several
of the fathers concerning man’s free will and God’s grace, is
the very same that he hath maintained. Now to shew the man-
ifest falsehood of this affirmation, let it be noted,

19. That the freedom he allows to man’s will in this mat-
ter is only (k) a freedom from coaction, not such a freedom
from necessity, as concerns in not being determined to one part;
whereas, faith Vossius, (l) “The liberty of the will, according
to the ancients, is a liberty not only from coaction, but
from necessity; and almost all of them used this argument a-

(g) Quod autem electos nos ut essentus sancti, et immaculati coram
ipso, hoc est Deus, ante fabricam mundi teftatus est; ad Prae scientiam
Dei pertinet, cui omnia futura facta sunt, et antequam sint, universa
funt nota. Sicut et Paulus ipse prædestinatur in utero matris fusc. Com.
in Ephes. F. 90. C.

(h) F. 73. Lit H. M. — (j) P. 503. — (k) P. 151, 152, 258.

(i) Liberras Arbitrii, secundum veteres, non solum est libertas a co-
hoc ellet, rueret imperium paternum, herile, civile, quando ut homines
sponte agent, tamen, si necessario agent, non mandato aut prohibitioni,
non præmissi aut praemii ullus sit relictus locus. Itaque hoc Argumento
Patres plerique omnes adiuouer solent arbitrii libertatem adversus Man-
ichæs, qui et ipse, fine dubio, sponte, nec coacte, hominem agere fateban-
tur, sed neceffario omnia agere credebant. P. 702.
Postscript.

gainst the Manichees, (who doubtless held that man acted spontaneously, and not by compulsion) that if man acted necessarily, there was no place left for precepts or prohibitions, for rewards or punishments."

The Doctor proceeds thus; (m) "St. Basii in many places of his writings doth clearly and plainly assert the absolute necessity of the grace of the Holy Spirit, in order to the prosecution of good works acceptable to God, and he depresses the power of free will. Gregory Nazianzen doth in some part imitate him in his 58th poem; and as these were the only men of the Greek Fathers, so Cyprian is the first of all the Latin ones that speaks out concerning the degeneracy and infirmity of man, and the necessity of divine and supernatural Grace. Laëntius is the next that plainly owns these."—Now this is very artificially said upon several accounts;

1/3. Upon an account, too frequent with the Doctor, that it is nothing to the purpose; for no Remonstrant or Arminian that I know of, denies the necessity of Divine Grace in order to the prosecution of good works; none of them denies the degeneracy and the infirmity of man: The Doctor’s assertions are (n) "That men unconverted have a will only to evil; for the liberty of the will to good was taken away from all men by Adam’s fall. (o) All the will and power he hath is to incline to evil, and to act it. Thus it is with every man while he is in his unregenerate state." In which assertions he was so convinced, that even his great patron St. Austin had declared the sound Catholic faith was against him, that in his citation from his 47th epistle, he fraudulently leaves out the words that do evince it. For thus they run, (p) In fide fana Catholica perfeverent, quæ neque liberum arbitrium negant, (these words the (q) Doctor left out) Siue in vitam malam, siue in bonam, neque tantum ei tribuit ut sine gratia Dei aliquid valeat, siue ut ex malo convertatur in bonum, siue in bono perfeveranter proficiat, siue ut ad bonum sempiternum perveniat. The sound Catholic faith, faith St. Austin, denies not the liberty of the will in order to a good life. (The liberty of the will to good was taken away from all men by Adam’s fall, faith the Doctor.)

2dly. It is also very artificially done to cite the names of Basili, St. Cyprian and Laëntius, without citing either words or book. For I am very confident that Laëntius, hath not one word to his purpose, and that Nazianzen faith only that human indigery without the assistance of God’s grace and holy spirit, is not sufficient to resist temptations, or to enable us

(m) P. 503, 504. (n) P. 250. (o) P. 253. (p) P. 164. (q) P. 504.
to perform our duty. (r) St. Cyprian, notwithstanding all he is pretended to say concerning the degeneracy of man, proves that he still hath credendi, vel non credendi libertatem in arbitrio positam, a liberty of will to believe, or not believe, from Deut. xxx. 19. Isa. i. 19. As also generally the Fathers; St. Austin not excepted, do. And in his epistle to Cornelius he adds, (s) That Christ said to his Apostles, Will you go away? preferring the law by which man being left to his liberty, and to his own will, chooseth to himself either death or salvation.

St. Basil doth not so far deprec the power of free will, but that in his commentary on these words, If you be willing and obedient, &c. Isa. i. 19. He, faith he, (t) here established the free will of man, and that with reference to the preceding words, Wash you, make you clean, put away the evil of your doings, cease to do evil, learn to do well; and then he truly reconciles this with the grace of God by ascribing the fruits of holiness to the Holy Ghost; but adding that first we must will, and then our will shall be established. On the 14th chapter of Isaiah, ver. 21. he faith, (u) Every man is able by his own choice, either to be an holy seed, or the contrary. Elsewhere he faith (x) it depends on our own choice either to abstain from iniquity, or to be wicked.

St. Jerom is introduced (y) as "a great and hearty opposer of freewill, as it imports a natural ability in all men to choose good, without the asistance of supernatural grace! and what is that to us who only do assert it sub ipsa gratia adutorio, by the asistance of that grace? But let us hear St. Jerom, in his commentary on those words of Isaiah, If ye be willing, &c. He, faith (z) the prophet, prefers the liberty of the will to both parts, that the punishment, or the reward may not from the forejudgment of God, but from the good works of every one. In his third book against the Pelagians, he

---

(r) Tert. ad Quirimum, l. 3.
(t) Tot quasi sostiit egregious, molior techi, adhebentim faciis, posta genitce, aperquit; thei magum taum, armiger. To. 2. p. 56. C. crisiere, idem, dicat de, idem, immaculatam, n. P. 57. B. (u) Quamvis uti, inas ex antiquis quae praebentes, ut sua quies, ut oto. P. 259. C.
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speaks thus (a) to Critobulus, This is what I had told thee in
the beginning, that it is put into our power either to sin or not to
sin, and either to extend our hand to good or evil, that the lib-
erty of the will may be preferr'd. It is in the same book that he
cites those words of Christ the Doctor instructs on, No man can
come unto me except my Father draw him; where he adds these
words, Where (b) mercy and grace is, freewill in part doth
cease, which confess only in this, that we will, and desire, and
afford to the things proposed; but it is in the power of God
that what we desire, labor after, or endeavor, by his aid and
help, we may be able to fulfill; to which we heartily subscribe.
The (c) Doctor in his citation from his second book against
Jovinian, shews again his artifice in leaving out the preceding
words, which plainly make against him, and beginning with
an imperfect sentence. The whole sentence in (d) St. Jerom
runs verbatim thus, Liberi arbitrii noe condidit Deus, nec ad
virtutes, nec ad vitia necessitate trahimur, alioquin ubi necessi-
itas est, nec damnatio, nec corona est, sicut (then follow the
words cited) in bonis operibus perfeclor est Deus, non volentis
neque currentis sed miserentis et adjuvantis Dei (there they
end) ut prevenire valeamus ad calcem, sic in malis et peccatis,
lemna nostra sunt incentiva, et perfectio diaboli; that is, God
hath created us with free will, nor are we drawn by necessity
either to vice or virtue; for otherwise where there is necessity,
there is neither (place for) condemnation or reward. And as
in good works, it is God that perfects them, for it is not of him
that wills, or runs, (there is the office of the will) but of God
who sheweth mercy, and afflicts us to be able to bring our works
unto perfection; so as to sins and evil actions, we have in ours-
elves the seeds of evil, inciting us to do them, but the devil per-
fects the work. And that this is the true exposition of St.
Jerom's words, is evident from himself; for having cited those
words of the apostle, It is not of him that willeth, &c. He
immediately adds, (e) Hence we understand that it belongs to
us to will and run, but that our will and running may be com-

(a) Hoc est quod tibi in principio dixerim, in nostris eis postumum
potuisse vel peccare, vel non peccare, et vel ad bonum, vel ad malum ex-
tendere manum, ut liberum servetur Arbitrium. Fol. 168. Lit. I.
(b) Ubi autem misericordia et gratia est, liberum ex parte cessa
Arbitrium, quod in eo tantum postumum est ut velimur, et cupiamus, et plas-
titis tribuamus affectum, jam in Domino posteta est ut quod cupimus,
quod laboramus, et nitimur, illius ope et auxilio implere valeamus. Ibid.
Lit. D. E.
(c) P. 505.—(d) L. 2 adv. Jovinian. F. 26. Lit. I.
(e) Ex quibus intelligimus nostrum quidem esse, velle, et currere, et
ut voluntas nostras compleatur, et curra, ad dei misericordiam pertinent,
aeque ina fieri ut in voluntate nostra, et in curra, liberum servetur Ar-
bitrium, et in contemplatione voluntatis, et curra, Dei cunctia potestas
relinquantur. Lib. 1. contr. Pelag. F. 94. A.
postscript.

pleaded, belongs to the mercy of God; and so it comes to pass that in our willing and running, our free will is preserved, and in the perfecting, or consummation of our will and course, all things are left to the power of God.

Moreover, in the same book against Jovinian he introduces our Lord speaking thus to his disciples, "In my Father's house are many mansions; I would have told you, I go to prepare a mansion for you." (f) Si non unufquisque mansionem ficti non ex largitate Dei, sed ex propriis operibus praepararet, et ideo non eli meum parare, sed veletrum; i.e., If every one did not prepare a mansion for himself, not from the bounty of God, but his own proper works, whence it is not my part, but yours to do it.

And on Christ's answer to the petition of the sons of Zebedee, to sit on my right hand, and my left, is not mine to give, he dicants thus, (g) It is not of the Son, to give, and how then is it of the Father to prepare? Diverse mansions, faith Christ, are prepared in heaven for manifold and diverse virtues, Quas non perlona accipiant sed opera, which men receive not on account of their persons, but their works. Frustra ergo a me petitis, quod in vobis situm est, you therefore in vain ask that of me which is in your own power to obtain, which my Father hath prepared for them, who, by their worthy virtues, are to ascend to so great dignity.

Prosper of Aquitaine, who writ of grace and free will, though faith the Doctor, he owns the latter, yet requires the former as absolutely necessary to the producing of any good work; and so do we.

(h) St. Austin, faith he, was as much for free will as any father, as his books against the Manichees testify. But then this was the difference betwixt him and them, that they flood firm to all that they delivered on this subject; but he retractst almost all he had delivered in these books, with an irresistible evidence of reason, and as the voice of all mankind, of which I have laid sufficient under this head.

Article 3. As for the antiquity of the irresistibleness of grace, he hath only one, St. Austin, to produce against an hundred testimonies of the fathers, cited by Vossius, to prove that God laid no necessity upon mens will to act, as he must do, if he act irresistibly upon it, that being necessary, quod non potest altere fato habere, which cannot be otherwise. The citations of Vossius begin Hil. Pelag. 1. 7. p. 712. and end p. 716. with these words, Sed tandem allegandi Veteres finis esto, quando, non dico, si omnia omnium indicare velimus (quod ne possumus quidem) fed vel sola quae possimus, nullus fit

(f) F. 33. A. — (g) Ibid. — (h) P. 504.
futurus finis. (i) Calvin faith, that voluntatem move Dei non qualiter multis seculos traditum est, ut nostrae electionis fit motioni Dei obtemperare, vel refragara. And that this is true of the first four centuries I have fully proved.

Article 4. The fourth article concerning the extent of Christ's redemption, being that which draws all the rest after it, on which side ever the truth lies, the Doctor muskers up all his strength to free his limited extent of it from the charge of novelty, but all in vain; he begins thus, (k) What some of the fathers taught, concerning the limited extent of Christ's redemption is the same that I have delivered in one of the foregoing discourses. To which words I oppose the contrary affront of Vossius in these words: (1) Veteris Ecclesiae judicium fuit, Christum pro culpa universalis hominibus providisse à remedio universalis, solvendo ἀπὸ τῶν infiniti pretij, ne ejus defectu periret quipiam; i.e. it was the judgment of the ancient church, that Christ provided an universal remedy for the universal sin of man by paying a price of infinite value, that no man might perish for want of it. And this he proves by plain testimonies from p. 658 to 679. The learned (m) Daily proves from about a thousand testimonies of one hundred and twenty fathers the same doctrine, and concludes thus, Certe qui Christum pro solis Ecclesiae mortuum absolvit, ut ut vulgo loquuntur, in terminis dixerit, esto primis Christianismi seculis invenio nemenam; throughout eight centuries of christianity, I find not one single person who directly, and in terms faith, that Christ died only for the elect.

This Doctrine, faith (n) Vossius, the Fathers proved, from all those places of scripture, which say Christ died for all, and especially for the unbelievers, impotent, and those that perish; nor did they think that those places which say Christ died for the Church, or that the benefits of his death belonged only to believers were repugnant to these testimonies, for these Doctours of the Church not obscurely taught that the death of Christ is considered two ways; Vel quod ad Virtem, et vim mortis, et tum Christi morientis, tum Patris eum mittentis voluntatem, quam antecedentem vocant, either as to the force and virtue of it, and as to the antecedent will both of Christ, dying, and the father sending him; and in this sense Christ died for, and redeemed all and singular without exception: Or Christ's death may be considered, quod ad effectum, fructumque ex ea, promanatem, et voluntatem Christi, et Patris quam dicunt consequentem, as to the effect and fruit accruing to men from it, and as to the consequent will of Christ, and of the father; and

in this respect it is confessed, that as the fruit of Christ's death belongs not to all, that depending on the will of man applying Christ's merits to himself, so neither can Christ be said to die for all; which words contain a full answer to all the Doctor hath offered from the fathers on this head. And Dally doth particularly, and copiously confute him in every father that he hath alluded.

Thus to his citation from the letter of the church of Smyrna, which faith we can never forfake Christ, (n) who suffered for the salvation of the whole world of those that are saved, Mr. Dally faith, this is impertinent, as laying only what we all confess, but not denying that which is in controversy, viz. That Christ died also for them who are not actually saved, as almost all the ancients say he did, and among them Polycarp himself, the person mentioned in this letter, who faith, that God will require the blood of Christ, (o) ἀπὸ τῶν ἀπεθάνων αυτῷ, from those that have not believed in him, or have not obeyed him.) He also adds, that Ruffinus translated these very words, thus, Qui mortem pro totius mundi sui salute suffinuit, who suffered death for the salvation of the whole world. adly. He cites (p) Origen saying, there is a world of the Saints, and a world of the wicked. Now to shew the artifice of this citation let it be observed, that Origen there speaking of the world abowe the stars, faith it is not subject of corruption, Sanctorum quippe est, et ad liquidum perfectorum mundus ille, for that is the world of the saints made compleatly perfect, et non impiorum sicut ille notter, and not as is that in which we live, the world of the wicked. Now whether it be fair dealing to use such citations, let the learned judge. In his second citation he lends us to Origens commentary on St. John, which contains 429 pages; but the place referred to is in p. 147, 148. Where he doth not positively say that John i. 29. 1 John ii. 2. are to be interpreted of the church only, but by way of inquiry faith, ἡμεῖς ἐν Χριστῷ ἐνωπίων εἶμα τῷ ἔλεγεν ἣν ἂν ἀνήρ τῷ θεῷ τίνα ἀρχήν τινα ἀγαντίαν τότε κόσμιον, κόσμον νοιτέν ὑμῖν τῶν ἐκκλησίων, i. e. but we inquire whether in that saying, behold the lamb of God that taketh away the sins of the world; by the world we can rightly understand the church. Nor deth he give us the least hint that by the church he only understandeth the elect, and not the universal church? Let us now hear his true exposition of these words, which we find in the same commentary, (q) εἰ χριστὸν ἐνεργεῖν ἄνω οὐκ ἔχει τῶν ἐν τῷ κόσμω, he labors to take it away from every man in the world, that fia may be took away from the whole world. Now let the

(n) Ἔπει ὃς τῷ τῶν κόσμων συντρίφαν. Section 13.—
(o) Ἑρέτ. τ. 2. C. 3. Fol. 126. A.——(q) P. 35. C.
Postscript.

Doctör understand this either of the guilt, or the dominion of sin, it is all one, for Christ delivers no man from the dominion of sin, whom he delivers not also from the guilt of sin. What he thought of the other place, we learn from his own words but a little before the place referred to, viz. that this lamb of God was sacrificed, (r) ἵνα ἐρήμῳ ἀναρτήσῃ ἐκ δύναμιν, ἅπελτα τῷ κόσμῳ τερέ τινα καὶ σώσωσθε, that he might take away the sins, not of some few only, but of the universal world for which he suffered; for if any man sin we have an advocate, &c. not for our sins only, but for the whole world; so Origen. Again, in the same commentary, he faith, Christ died, (f) ὅπερ πάντος λογικῶς, for every thing that had reason, the stars not excepted (whom he thought not only to have reason, but also a capacity of sinning;) and this he proves from the words of the apostle, Heb. ii. 9. He tasted death for every one. See many other texts of the like nature cited from Origen by Dally, from page 765, to 768.

gdly. His citation from St. Ambroţe, is both mistaken and impertinent: It is mistaken; for the author of the book, de Vocatione Gentium, is not St. Ambroţe; but either Prosper as Dally, or P. Leo, as Queznel seemeth to have proved. It is also cited falsely; for in the author the whole words run thus, (a) Habet ergo populus Dei plenitudinem suam (et quamvis magnam Hominum Salvantis gratiam aut repellat, aut negligat) in electis tamen et præscitis, et ab omnium generalitate diffreetis, specialis quædam censetur Univerfitas, ut de toto mundo totus mundus liberatus, et ab omnibus hominibus, omnes homines videantur assumpti. But the Doctör cites them thus, Hebet populus plenitudinem suam, in electis enim et præscitis, &c. leaving out the words in the parenthesis, which plainly shew a great part of men refuseth and negleget the grace of that God who would save them. Moreover, he is still unhappy in making this citation from this author, who in the very next chapter, not only affords universal redemption, but declares it to be the doctrine of the universal church.—For having cited these words of the apostle, that God would have all men to be saved: He adds, (b) Quam particulam verborum Apostoli ista integrè plenèque sucipimus, ut nihil ei de præcedentibus, sive subjiciat, quæ ad eam pertinent, subtrahamus, i.e. which portion of the words of the apostle we so entirely and fully do embrace, as to substraét nothing from it relating to the precedent words (requiring us to make supplications for all men) or the words following (relating to kings and all that are in authority.) Then repeating all the apostle's words, which conclude thus, there is one Lord Jesus

(r) Page 144. A.—(f) Page 28.—(a) Lib. i. c. 3.—(b) Cap. 4.
Postscript. 407

Christ who gave himself a ransom for all, he proceeds thus, of this rule of the apostolical doctrine, quæ Ecclesiam Universalis imbuitur, with which the universal church is furnished, let us inquire, quid in ipsa Universalis Ecclesiam fentiat, what was the sense of the universal church about it? For we cannot doubt what was her sense of the precept, when we know what was the obedience she paid to it. Now the apostle's precept (faith he) is this, that prayers and supplications, and giving of thanks should be made for all men; which law of supplications the devotion of all the prelates and faithful so uniformly receives, that there is no part of the world in which such prayers are not celebrated by the christians.

The church therefore everywhere where prays to God not only for the saints, and the regenerate in Christ, but also for all infidels and enemies of the crosses of Christ; that is, for all idolators, all the persecutors of Christ's members, for the unbelieving Jews, for heretics and Schismatics, and what doth the apostle for these but that, ab erroribus suis convertantur ad Deum, accipiant fidem, accipiant charitatem, et de ignorantiae tenebris liberati in agnationem veniant veritatis. i.e. that they might be converted from their errors to God, might have faith and charity, and being delivered from the darkness of ignorance might come to the acknowledgment of the truth. And thus, faith he, our merciful and just God requires that prayer should be made to him for all men. Now could the whole church thus beg these blessings peculiar to saints, and the redeemed of the Lord, for all infidels, all enemies of the crosses of Christ, and all persecutors of Christ's members, unless she believed that Christ died for the salvation of them all, at least intentionally; or could God require her thus to pray for all men, if he were not willing they should be saved?

As for the true St. Ambrose, let him consult the learned (c) Dally, and he will find no less than twenty-eight passages cited from him to this effect, that the Lord Jesus came, omnes salovs facere peccatores, to save all sinners, and therefore was not to pass by even the traitor Judas, that all men might take notice that in the election of this traitor, salvandorum omnium infligne pretendent, he holds forth an indication that he would have all men to be saved; that God shews all men, ut quod in eo fuit omnes voluit liberare, that, as far as in him lies, he would deliver all men from perishing. And that if any one perish he therefore doth so, quia curari noluit cum remedium haberet quo posset evadere, because he would not be cured when he had a remedy by which he could escape ruin.

4thly. He cites, as from St. Jerom, a passage from a commentary on Job xxxviii. which as it is wholly impertinent, as

(c) From page 799, to page 807.
proving nothing but that the actual benefit of Christ's death belongs only to the faithful; so all scholars know it to be a spurious piece: And cites from his commentary on St. Matth. xx. 28. these words, that when Christ took upon him the form of a servant, that he might shed his blood for the world, he said not that he gave his life a redemption for all, but for many, hoc est pro ipsis qui credere voluerunt, that is for them who would believe. Now this is said agreeable to that which Vossius observed, that as to the will of Christ, and of the Father sending him, that was general, that all men should be saved; but as to the effect that depending on the will of man, he died not for all, but for many; because many refused the benefit offered to them by Christ. That this is indeed the mind of St. Jerom, is evident from his own words, (d) Vult Deus salvari omnes, et in aghitionem veritatis venire, sed quia nullus absque propria voluntate salvatur, liberi enim Arbitrii sumus; vult nos bonum velle ut cum voluerimus, velit in nobis et ipsa suum completere conßilium. It therefore was, according to St. Jerom, the will and counsel of God that all men should be saved; but so as that, having free will, they should be willing to be saved, because no man is saved without his own will. In his commentary on (e) Isa. lxiii. having cited these words of St. John, God so loved the world that he gave his only begotten Son, that every one that believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life: He adds, if the prudent reader inquire why all men are not saved; if our Savior loved them and redeemed them by his own blood, the cause plainly follows in these words, ipsa autem noluerunt; but they would not. God therefore would have saved them who desired to be saved, and provoked them to be saved that their will might be rewarded, fed illi credere noluerunt; but they would not believe. In his epistle to (f) Oceanus, he faith, John Baptist must lie, when he said behold the lamb of God which taketh away the sins of the world, si sunt ad huc in seculo quorum Christus peccata non tulerit, if there be any yet living for whose sins Christ did not suffer. See ten other passages to the same effect in Dally, from page 821, to 825.

5thly. Whereas (g) he brings in St. Chrysostom expounding those words of Christ, I lay down my life for my sheep, by the people of God foreknown, and predestinated by him, as if he made the predestinated only to be the same as Christ's sheep: Here again the doctor imposeth upon the reader; for Chrysostom there is not interpreting the word sheep, but these words, Ver. 14. I know my sheep, and am known of mine; and this, faith he, is like to that of St. Paul, God hath not cast off his

people whom he foreknew, and the Lord knoweth who are his. Both which places he interprets of God's (h) foresight of their faith and fitness to be the objects of his favor, or of their liability in truth and righteousness; and so the import of the words of Chrysostom is plainly this, that our Lord foreknew who would be humble, meek, tractable, who would own him as the true shepherd, and hear his voice, and laid down his life for them, and for them only in the sense explained by Vossius; of predestination I find not one word. Moreover both in that and the foregoing homily he plainly says, (i) that Christ suffered for the salvation of the world, and that God gave up his son to the death for us all. And indeed it is somewhat surprising to find Chrysostom produced for an opinion which in twenty-two places cited by (k) Dally, he so plainly contradicts, declaring, that God made the creation, and all us, that he might save us, and delivering us from error might give us the fruition of his kingdom; that God had prepared a kingdom even for them that shall be damned; that Christ died for all men to do his part to save all men; that he offered his sacrifice. ὑπὲρ ἅπασς τῆς φύσεως, for the whole nature of man; with many other things of a like nature.

6thly Whereas the doctor introducteth St. Austin, interpreting these words, God would have all men to be saved, etc. generibus singulorum, et non de singulis generum, i.e. not of all men in general, but of some of all kinds: That is, as (l) Vossius, and (m) Dally, in his Expositio, hath fully proved, against the plain meaning of the text. This only can be hence inferred, that St. Austin did not think, this place a just proof of the doctrine of the universal redemption of all mankind, as all the Greek Scholastics did. His second citation from St. Austin is very artificially produced; for, whereas, the words of (n) St. Austin are, universae utique hoc dicit Ecclesia, quam plurumque ipsam mundi nomine appellat: He cites them thus, Ecclesian plerumque etiam ipsam mundi nomine appellat.

Moreover, that St. Austin held the doctrine of universal redemption is evident from his own words, that, omnes utique mortui sunt in peccatis, nemine profusus excepto, et pro omnibus mortuis vivus mortuus est unus, all men, none at all excepted, are dead in sin; and for all that were dead, one that liveth died. And this he largely proves from those words of the apostle, a Cor. v. 14. For if one died for all, then were all dead; and he died for all, that they who live might not live to themselves, but to him that died for them. In this argument he
triumphs over the Pelagians, that all without exception being
dead, either in original or actual sins, infants must be be, and
Chrift dying for all that were dead, must die for them. Se

Pelagianum, l. 6. c. 4. p. 1109. c. 5. p. 1191. P. C. 7.
Dally, from St. Austin, to the same effect, from p. 393, to
p. 843.

Prudly. As for proper Aquitanus, that he affected copies so
the same doctrine, Dally proves by testimonies contained in
his works from p. 834. to p. 879. And in particular, whereas
as, some Gallican divines had objected in matter of repugnance
to St. Austin, that he maintained, quod non omnes homines
vult Deus salus fieri, sed certum numerum prædestinandum,
et quod non pro totius mundi redemptione salvator fit cruci-
fixus, item quod non pro totius mundi salute, et redemptione
Dominus nofter Jesus Christus fit passus, that is, that he held
the same doctrine which Dr. Edwards does; as by objecting
these doctrines as reproachable to him, these divines sufficiently
shew that in their judgments, the doctrine of the church was
contrary to these sentiments. So proper by declaring to ex-
pressly that these accusations were unjust approbation, insinued
to blast the memory of St. Austin, that they were prodigious
and blasphemous lies, that they objected to him impious and
profane opinions, not one of which ever came into the heart of
St. Austin, sufficiently shews that neither he nor St. Austin
ever held any of those doctrines, and that he looked upon them
as impious and profane opinions. See Dally, p. 856.

Lastely. As for the article of the saints final perseverance,
the doctor had not the confidence to cite one father for it, Vo-
fius having so expressly told him, that all antiquity impugned
the indefectibility of the saints, and that they only could deny the
contrary, to be the common doctrine of antiquity, qui in anti-
quitate plenè sunt Hospites, who are mere strangers to it, Hist.
Pelag. l. 6. p. 566. Now from what hath been thus establish-
ed, two things do evidently follow, viz.

If. That all the members of the church of England are ob-
bliged, by the express precepts of the church of England not
to teach or propound to the people, as an article of faith, any
of those Doctrines which Dr. Edwards hath so zealously
maintained in his late book upon these five articles, they be-
ing such as (o) the Catholic Fathers and Ancient Bishops did

(o) Imprimis vero videbunt (Episcopi) ne quid unquam doceant pro
concione (concionatore) quod a popularis religio teneri, et credibilia
nisi quod contentum est in Doctrine veterum et novi Testamenti, quoque
ex illa ipsa Doctrina Catholici Parres, et veteres Episcopi collegiamentum
never gather from the holy scriptures; for that the generality of
the fathers taught the contrary to these doctrines from the
holy scriptures, hath been fully proved.

...adly. Whereas it hath hitherto been thought, and by the
divines of the church of England religiously maintained, and
to have been the glory of the church of England, that both in
her doctrine and discipline she kept close to the sentiments of
primitive antiquity; if these doctrines, which I have shewed
to have been the common sentiments of the church of Christ;
do contradict her avowed doctrines, it must be owned that in
these doctrines she hath departed from the common sentiments
of the best antiquity.
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Deism, respecting the Deity, God foresees who would be damned before or at the sacrifice of the Decree of individual predestination, or he did not. If God is certainly & infallibly what we call Decree in order to make things, then he did not foresee who would be saved, only made the Decree that the knowledge of this Decree, then he before his knowledge, and knowledge was an essential attribute of Deity. 

The will of men to hate and blaspheme the Son of God. No man can affirm that God wills the wills of men to hate and blaspheme the Son of God, that they will do what men will act of their own free will. The opposite of what we affirm for Deity. 

P556. Deity is not subject to the will of men, and the will of men is not subject to Deity. If God's power over the will of men, why should it beg any one's assent? God not only forecasts what will after what manner, he forces that forecast. Consequently, his foreknowledge establishes the foundation of all the wills of men. 

On the other hand, the Decree of God is the cause and the manner. The decree of God in the wills of all the wills of men. The power determining the will is the cause of all the wills of men. The will of men is caused by the will of Deity. 

The Scriptures respect God's foreknowledge, and liberty to do or not to do. The knowledge in God is how the foreknowledge is to be interpreted. The Scripture does not mean the will of men is interpreted by the will of God.

P558. The divine foreknowledge has always been different, the Doctrine of the Deists, the Doctrine of the Deists, and the Doctrine of the Deists.

The difficulty is that it is not.
How the Greek Fathers under
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"Written in the book of life." (Job 15: 25)
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This book is under no circumstances to be taken from the Building